

complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 27, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-15627 Filed 6-30-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1011]

### Certain Inkjet Printers, Printheads, and Ink Cartridges, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 27, 2016, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of HP Inc. of Palo Alto, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet printers, printheads, and ink cartridges, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,270,201 (“the ‘201 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 6,491,377 (“the ‘377 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 6,260,952 (“the ‘952 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 7,004,564 (“the ‘564 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 7,090,343 (“the ‘343 Patent’”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,744,202 (“the ‘202 Patent’”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2016).

**Scope of Investigation:** Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 27, 2016, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet printers, printheads, and ink cartridges, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-3, 6, 13-15, 17, 23-25, 28-30, 35, and 37 of the ‘201 patent; claims 22-24 of the ‘377 patent; claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 13, 14, and 16 of the ‘952 patent; claims 1-24 of the ‘564 patent; claims 1-22 of the ‘343 patent; and claims 1-6, 9-12, 16, 18, 21, 23, and 26-30 of the ‘202 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: HP Inc., 1501 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California 94304-1185.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Memjet, Ltd., 61/62 Fitzwilliam Lane, Dublin 2, Ireland

Memjet US Services, Inc., 10918 Technology Place, San Diego, California 92127

Memjet Home and Office, Inc., 923 South Bridgeway Place, Suite 220, Eagle, Idaho 83616

Memjet North Ryde Pty Ltd., 6-8 Lyon Park Road, North Ryde, New South Wales, 2113, Australia

Memjet Technology Ltd., 61/62 Fitzwilliam Lane, Dublin 2, Ireland

Memjet Holdings Ltd., 61/62 Fitzwilliam Lane, Dublin 2, Ireland

Afinia LLC (d/b/a Afinia Label), 8150 Mallory Court, Chanhassen, Minnesota 55317

Astro Machine Corporation, 630 Lively Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois 60007

Colorlyne Technologies, LLC, 3275 Intertech Drive, Suite 100, Brookfield, Wisconsin 53045

Formax Technologies, Inc., 1 Education Way, Dover, New Hampshire 03820

Neopost USA, Inc., (d/b/a Neopost Northwest, Neopost Northeast, Neopost Priority Systems, and/or Neopost Southeast), 478 Wheelers Farms Road, Milford, Connecticut 06461

Printware LLC, 2935 Waters Road, Suite 160, Eagan, Minnesota 55121

VIPColor Technologies USA, Inc., 6737 Mowry Avenue, Newark, California 94560

ABC Office (d/b/a Brent Barlow), 1142 West Flint Meadow Drive, Kaysville, Utah 84037

All for Mailers, Inc., 4 Roland Avenue, Feasterville, Pennsylvania 19053

Fernqvist Labeling Solutions, Inc., 2544 Leghorn Street, Mountain View, California 94043

Information Management Services LLC, (d/b/a MyBinding.com), 5500 N.E. Moore Court, Hillsboro, Oregon 97124

JMP Business Systems, Inc., 1450 Tollhouse Road, No. 103, Clovis, California 93611

Mono Machines LLC, 1133 Broadway Suite 706, New York, New York 10010

Ordway Corporation, (d/b/a Print & Finishing Solutions), 1632 Sierra

Madre Circle, Placentia, California  
92870

Pacific Barcode Inc., 27531 Enterprise  
Circle West, Temecula, California  
92590

Pacific Code & Label, Inc., 37 N.E. 47th  
Avenue, Building B, Portland, Oregon  
97213

Parts Now! LLC, 434 S. Yellowstone  
Drive, Suite 100, Madison, Wisconsin  
53719

Trademark Copysystems Inc., (d/b/a  
Address—Addresser Sales Company),  
13864 Bennett Road, Cleveland, Ohio  
44133

Vivid Data Group LLC, 4711 Hines  
Place, Suite 112, Dallas, Texas 75235

(c) The Office of Unfair Import  
Investigations, U.S. International Trade  
Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite  
401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted,  
the Chief Administrative Law Judge,  
U.S. International Trade Commission,  
shall designate the presiding  
Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the  
notice of investigation must be  
submitted by the named respondents in  
accordance with section 210.13 of the  
Commission's Rules of Practice and  
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to  
19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such  
responses will be considered by the  
Commission if received not later than 20  
days after the date of service by the  
Commission of the complaint and the  
notice of investigation. Extensions of  
time for submitting responses to the  
complaint and the notice of  
investigation will not be granted unless  
good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely  
response to each allegation in the  
complaint and in this notice may be  
deemed to constitute a waiver of the  
right to appear and contest the  
allegations of the complaint and this  
notice, and to authorize the  
administrative law judge and the  
Commission, without further notice to  
the respondent, to find the facts to be as  
alleged in the complaint and this notice  
and to enter an initial determination  
and a final determination containing  
such findings, and may result in the  
issuance of an exclusion order or a cease  
and desist order or both directed against  
the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 27, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-15621 Filed 6-30-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-379 and 731-  
TA-788, 792, and 793 (Third Review)]

### Stainless Steel Plate From Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan Institution of Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** United States International  
Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives  
notice that it has instituted reviews  
pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the  
Act”), as amended, to determine  
whether revocation of the  
countervailing duty order on stainless  
steel plate from South Africa and the  
antidumping duty orders on stainless  
steel plate from Belgium, South Africa,  
and Taiwan would be likely to lead to  
continuation or recurrence of material  
injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested  
parties are requested to respond to this  
notice by submitting the information  
specified below to the Commission;<sup>1</sup> to  
be assured of consideration, the  
deadline for responses is August 1,  
2016. Comments on the adequacy of  
responses may be filed with the  
Commission by September 14, 2016.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* July 1, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of  
Investigations, U.S. International Trade  
Commission, 500 E Street SW.,  
Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-  
impaired persons can obtain  
information on this matter by contacting  
the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-  
205-1810. Persons with mobility  
impairments who will need special  
assistance in gaining access to the  
Commission should contact the Office  
of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.  
General information concerning the  
Commission may also be obtained by  
accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for  
this proceeding may be viewed on the  
Commission's electronic docket (EDIS)  
at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

*Background.*—On May 11, 1999, the  
Department of Commerce issued a  
countervailing duty order on imports of

<sup>1</sup> No response to this request for information is  
required if a currently valid Office of Management  
and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the  
OMB number is 3117-0016/USITC No. 16-5-361,  
expiration date June 30, 2017. Public reporting  
burden for the request is estimated to average 15  
hours per response. Please send comments  
regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to  
the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade  
Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC  
20436.

certain stainless steel plate from South  
Africa (64 FR 25288). On May 21, 1999,  
Commerce issued antidumping duty  
orders on imports of certain stainless  
steel plate from Belgium, South Africa,  
and Taiwan (64 FR 27756).<sup>2</sup> On March  
11, 2003, as a result of intervening  
litigation of the Commission's original  
determinations, Commerce amended  
those antidumping and countervailing  
duty orders on imports of certain  
stainless steel plate to remove the  
original language that excluded cold-  
rolled stainless steel plate in coils (68  
FR 11520 and 68 FR 11524). Following  
five-year reviews by Commerce and the  
Commission, effective July 18, 2005,  
Commerce issued a continuation of the  
countervailing duty order on imports of  
stainless steel plate from South Africa  
and the antidumping duty orders on  
imports of stainless steel plate from  
Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan (70  
FR 41202). Following the second five-  
year reviews by Commerce and the  
Commission, effective August 30, 2011,  
Commerce issued a continuation of the  
countervailing duty order on imports of  
stainless steel plate from South Africa  
and the antidumping duty orders on  
imports of stainless steel plate from  
Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan (76  
FR 53882). The Commission is now  
conducting third reviews pursuant to  
section 751(c) of the Act, as amended  
(19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine  
whether revocation of the orders would  
be likely to lead to continuation or  
recurrence of material injury to the  
domestic industry within a reasonably  
foreseeable time. Provisions concerning  
the conduct of this proceeding may be  
found in the Commission's Rules of  
Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR parts  
201, Subparts A and B and 19 CFR part  
207, subparts A and F. The Commission  
will assess the adequacy of interested  
party responses to this notice of  
institution to determine whether to  
conduct full or expedited reviews. The  
Commission's determinations in any  
expedited reviews will be based on the  
facts available, which may include

<sup>2</sup> Commerce revoked the antidumping duty order  
regarding stainless steel plate from Korea as a result  
of proceedings before the World Trade  
Organization. 76 FR 74771 (December 1, 2011)  
(implementing determination under Section 129 of  
the Uruguay Round Agreements Act regarding  
stainless steel plate in coils from Korea). Commerce  
had already revoked the antidumping duty order on  
stainless steel plate from Italy after the Commission  
reached a negative determination in its second five-  
year review of that order. 76 FR 54207 (August 31,  
2011). Commerce also had previously revoked an  
antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate  
from Canada after the Commission reached a  
negative determination in its first five-year review  
of that order. 70 FR 41207 (July 18, 2005).