

complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 27, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016-15627 Filed 6-30-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1011]

Certain Inkjet Printers, Printheads, and Ink Cartridges, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 27, 2016, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of HP Inc. of Palo Alto, California. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet printers, printheads, and ink cartridges, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,270,201 (“the ‘201 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 6,491,377 (“the ‘377 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 6,260,952 (“the ‘952 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 7,004,564 (“the ‘564 Patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 7,090,343 (“the ‘343 Patent’”); and U.S. Patent No. 7,744,202 (“the ‘202 Patent’”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2016).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 27, 2016, *ordered that—*

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain inkjet printers, printheads, and ink cartridges, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-3, 6, 13-15, 17, 23-25, 28-30, 35, and 37 of the ‘201 patent; claims 22-24 of the ‘377 patent; claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 13, 14, and 16 of the ‘952 patent; claims 1-24 of the ‘564 patent; claims 1-22 of the ‘343 patent; and claims 1-6, 9-12, 16, 18, 21, 23, and 26-30 of the ‘202 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: HP Inc., 1501 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, California 94304-1185.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Memjet, Ltd., 61/62 Fitzwilliam Lane, Dublin 2, Ireland

Memjet US Services, Inc., 10918 Technology Place, San Diego, California 92127

Memjet Home and Office, Inc., 923 South Bridgeway Place, Suite 220, Eagle, Idaho 83616

Memjet North Ryde Pty Ltd., 6-8 Lyon Park Road, North Ryde, New South Wales, 2113, Australia

Memjet Technology Ltd., 61/62 Fitzwilliam Lane, Dublin 2, Ireland

Memjet Holdings Ltd., 61/62 Fitzwilliam Lane, Dublin 2, Ireland

Afinia LLC (d/b/a Afinia Label), 8150 Mallory Court, Chanhassen, Minnesota 55317

Astro Machine Corporation, 630 Lively Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois 60007

Colorlyne Technologies, LLC, 3275 Intertech Drive, Suite 100, Brookfield, Wisconsin 53045

Formax Technologies, Inc., 1 Education Way, Dover, New Hampshire 03820

Neopost USA, Inc., (d/b/a Neopost Northwest, Neopost Northeast, Neopost Priority Systems, and/or Neopost Southeast), 478 Wheelers Farms Road, Milford, Connecticut 06461

Printware LLC, 2935 Waters Road, Suite 160, Eagan, Minnesota 55121

VIPColor Technologies USA, Inc., 6737 Mowry Avenue, Newark, California 94560

ABC Office (d/b/a Brent Barlow), 1142 West Flint Meadow Drive, Kaysville, Utah 84037

All for Mailers, Inc., 4 Roland Avenue, Feasterville, Pennsylvania 19053

Fernqvist Labeling Solutions, Inc., 2544 Leghorn Street, Mountain View, California 94043

Information Management Services LLC, (d/b/a MyBinding.com), 5500 N.E. Moore Court, Hillsboro, Oregon 97124

JMP Business Systems, Inc., 1450 Tollhouse Road, No. 103, Clovis, California 93611

Mono Machines LLC, 1133 Broadway Suite 706, New York, New York 10010

Ordway Corporation, (d/b/a Print & Finishing Solutions), 1632 Sierra

Madre Circle, Placentia, California
92870

Pacific Barcode Inc., 27531 Enterprise
Circle West, Temecula, California
92590

Pacific Code & Label, Inc., 37 N.E. 47th
Avenue, Building B, Portland, Oregon
97213

Parts Now! LLC, 434 S. Yellowstone
Drive, Suite 100, Madison, Wisconsin
53719

Trademark Copysystems Inc., (d/b/a
Address—Addresser Sales Company),
13864 Bennett Road, Cleveland, Ohio
44133

Vivid Data Group LLC, 4711 Hines
Place, Suite 112, Dallas, Texas 75235

(c) The Office of Unfair Import
Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite
401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted,
the Chief Administrative Law Judge,
U.S. International Trade Commission,
shall designate the presiding
Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the
notice of investigation must be
submitted by the named respondents in
accordance with section 210.13 of the
Commission's Rules of Practice and
Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to
19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such
responses will be considered by the
Commission if received not later than 20
days after the date of service by the
Commission of the complaint and the
notice of investigation. Extensions of
time for submitting responses to the
complaint and the notice of
investigation will not be granted unless
good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely
response to each allegation in the
complaint and in this notice may be
deemed to constitute a waiver of the
right to appear and contest the
allegations of the complaint and this
notice, and to authorize the
administrative law judge and the
Commission, without further notice to
the respondent, to find the facts to be as
alleged in the complaint and this notice
and to enter an initial determination
and a final determination containing
such findings, and may result in the
issuance of an exclusion order or a cease
and desist order or both directed against
the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 27, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016-15621 Filed 6-30-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701-TA-379 and 731-
TA-788, 792, and 793 (Third Review)]

Stainless Steel Plate From Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan Institution of Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International
Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives
notice that it has instituted reviews
pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the
Act”), as amended, to determine
whether revocation of the
countervailing duty order on stainless
steel plate from South Africa and the
antidumping duty orders on stainless
steel plate from Belgium, South Africa,
and Taiwan would be likely to lead to
continuation or recurrence of material
injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested
parties are requested to respond to this
notice by submitting the information
specified below to the Commission;¹ to
be assured of consideration, the
deadline for responses is August 1,
2016. Comments on the adequacy of
responses may be filed with the
Commission by September 14, 2016.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 1, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Messer (202-205-3193), Office of
Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW.,
Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-
impaired persons can obtain
information on this matter by contacting
the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-
205-1810. Persons with mobility
impairments who will need special
assistance in gaining access to the
Commission should contact the Office
of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.
General information concerning the
Commission may also be obtained by
accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for
this proceeding may be viewed on the
Commission's electronic docket (EDIS)
at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On May 11, 1999, the
Department of Commerce issued a
countervailing duty order on imports of

¹ No response to this request for information is
required if a currently valid Office of Management
and Budget (OMB) number is not displayed; the
OMB number is 3117-0016/USITC No. 16-5-361,
expiration date June 30, 2017. Public reporting
burden for the request is estimated to average 15
hours per response. Please send comments
regarding the accuracy of this burden estimate to
the Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade
Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC
20436.

certain stainless steel plate from South
Africa (64 FR 25288). On May 21, 1999,
Commerce issued antidumping duty
orders on imports of certain stainless
steel plate from Belgium, South Africa,
and Taiwan (64 FR 27756).² On March
11, 2003, as a result of intervening
litigation of the Commission's original
determinations, Commerce amended
those antidumping and countervailing
duty orders on imports of certain
stainless steel plate to remove the
original language that excluded cold-
rolled stainless steel plate in coils (68
FR 11520 and 68 FR 11524). Following
five-year reviews by Commerce and the
Commission, effective July 18, 2005,
Commerce issued a continuation of the
countervailing duty order on imports of
stainless steel plate from South Africa
and the antidumping duty orders on
imports of stainless steel plate from
Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan (70
FR 41202). Following the second five-
year reviews by Commerce and the
Commission, effective August 30, 2011,
Commerce issued a continuation of the
countervailing duty order on imports of
stainless steel plate from South Africa
and the antidumping duty orders on
imports of stainless steel plate from
Belgium, South Africa, and Taiwan (76
FR 53882). The Commission is now
conducting third reviews pursuant to
section 751(c) of the Act, as amended
(19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), to determine
whether revocation of the orders would
be likely to lead to continuation or
recurrence of material injury to the
domestic industry within a reasonably
foreseeable time. Provisions concerning
the conduct of this proceeding may be
found in the Commission's Rules of
Practice and Procedure at 19 CFR parts
201, Subparts A and B and 19 CFR part
207, subparts A and F. The Commission
will assess the adequacy of interested
party responses to this notice of
institution to determine whether to
conduct full or expedited reviews. The
Commission's determinations in any
expedited reviews will be based on the
facts available, which may include

² Commerce revoked the antidumping duty order
regarding stainless steel plate from Korea as a result
of proceedings before the World Trade
Organization. 76 FR 74771 (December 1, 2011)
(implementing determination under Section 129 of
the Uruguay Round Agreements Act regarding
stainless steel plate in coils from Korea). Commerce
had already revoked the antidumping duty order on
stainless steel plate from Italy after the Commission
reached a negative determination in its second five-
year review of that order. 76 FR 54207 (August 31,
2011). Commerce also had previously revoked an
antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate
from Canada after the Commission reached a
negative determination in its first five-year review
of that order. 70 FR 41207 (July 18, 2005).