

marked as to whether they are the “confidential” or “non-confidential” version, and that the confidential business information is clearly identified by means of brackets. All written submissions, except for confidential business information, will be made available for inspection by interested parties.

The Commission may include some or all of the confidential business information submitted in the course of this investigation in the report it sends to the USTR. Additionally, all information, including confidential business information, submitted in this investigation may be disclosed to and used: (i) By the Commission, its employees and Offices, and contract personnel (a) for developing or maintaining the records of this or a related proceeding, or (b) in internal investigations, audits, reviews, and evaluations relating to the programs, personnel, and operations of the Commission including under 5 U.S.C. Appendix 3; or (ii) by U.S. government employees and contract personnel for cybersecurity purposes. The Commission will not otherwise disclose any confidential business information in a manner that would reveal the operations of the firm supplying the information.

**Summaries of Written Submissions:** The Commission intends to publish summaries of the positions of interested persons in an appendix to its report. Persons wishing to have a summary of their position included in the appendix should include a summary with their written submission. The summary may not exceed 500 words, should be in MSWord format or a format that can be easily converted to MSWord, and should not include any confidential business information. The summary will be published as provided if it meets these requirements and is germane to the subject matter of the investigation. In the appendix the Commission will identify the name of the organization furnishing the summary, and will include a link to the Commission’s Electronic Document Information System (EDIS) where the full written submission can be found.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 16, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–14618 Filed 6–20–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–966]

### Certain Silicon-on-Insulator Wafers; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting an Unopposed Motion for Termination of the Investigation Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 16) granting an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in whole based on complainant’s withdrawal of the complaint.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lucy Grace D. Noyola, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–3438. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 24, 2015, based on a complaint filed by Silicon Genesis Corp. of San Jose, California (“Complainant”). 80 FR 57641 (Sept. 24, 2015). The complaint, as amended, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and/or the sale within the United States after importation of certain silicon-on-insulator wafers by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 5,985,742; 6,180,496; 6,294,814; 6,790,747; 7,811,901; 6,013,563 (“the ‘563 patent”); 6,162,705 (“the ‘705 patent”); and 6,103,599 (“the

’599 patent”). *Id.* The notice of investigation names as a respondent Soitec S.A., Parc Technologique des Fontaines de Bernin, France (“Respondent”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) also was named as a party to the investigation. *Id.* Subsequently, the investigation was partially terminated as to several patent claims, leaving only asserted claim 1 of the ‘563 patent; claim 1 of the ‘705 patent; and claims 1 and 15 of the ‘599 patent remaining in the investigation. *See* Notice (Feb. 25, 2016) (determining not to review Order No. 7 (Jan. 22, 2016)); Notice (Mar. 1, 2016) (determining not to review Order No. 8 (Feb. 2, 2016)); Notice (May 4, 2016) (determining not to review Order No. 12 (Apr. 5, 2016)); Notice (May 31, 2016) (determining not to review Order No. 14 (May 5, 2016)).

On May 18, 2016, Complainant filed an unopposed motion to terminate the investigation in whole based on its withdrawal of the complaint. On May 20, 2016, OUII filed a response, supporting the motion.

On May 20, 2016, the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued an ID (Order No. 16) granting the motion. The ALJ found no extraordinary circumstances preventing termination of the investigation and further found that termination was in the public interest. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 15, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–14593 Filed 6–20–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–941]

### Certain Graphics Processing Chips, Systems on a Chip, and Products Containing the Same; Commission Determination To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation on the Basis of a Settlement Agreement; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to grant a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation based on a settlement agreement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ron Traud, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, (202) 205-3427. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on December 30, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Gyeonggi-do, Republic of Korea, and Samsung Austin Semiconductor, LLC of Austin, Texas (collectively, Complainants). 79 FR 78477-78 (Dec. 30, 2014). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain graphics processing chips (GPUs), systems on a chip (SoCs), and products containing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-4, 6, and 19-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,147,385 (the '385 patent); claim 10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,173,349 (the '349 patent); claims 1, 2, 4, 19, 20, and 22 of U.S. Patent No. 7,056,776 (the '776 patent); and claims 1-3, 7-9, 12-15, 17, and 19 of U.S. Patent No. 7,804,734 (the '734 patent). *Id.* The notice of investigation named as respondents NVIDIA Corporation (NVIDIA) of Santa Clara, California; Biostar Microtech International Corp. of New Taipei, Taiwan; Biostar Microtech U.S.A. Corp. of City of Industry, California; Elitegroup Computer Systems Co. Ltd. of Taipei, Taiwan; Elitegroup Computer Systems, Inc. of Newark, California; EVGA Corp. of Brea, California; Fuhu,

Inc. of El Segundo, California; Jatton Corp. of Fremont, California; Mad Catz, Inc. of San Diego, California; OUYA, Inc. of Santa Monica, California; Sparkle Computer Co., Ltd. of New Taipei City, Taiwan; Toradex, Inc. of Seattle, Washington; Wikipad, Inc. of Westlake Village, California; ZOTAC International (MCO) Ltd of New Territories, Hong Kong; and ZOTAC USA, Inc. of Chino, California (collectively, Respondents). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (OUII) is also a party to this investigation. *Id.*

On May 1, 2015, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination terminating the investigation as to respondent Wikipad, Inc. Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation as to Respondent Wikipad, Inc. Based on a Consent Order Stipulation, Consent Order, and Settlement Agreement; Issuance of Consent Order (May 1, 2015) (determining not to review Order No. 6 (Apr. 1, 2015)). On July 1, 2015, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination terminating the investigation with respect to the '776 patent. Notice of Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation with Respect to U.S. Patent No. 7,056,776 (July 1, 2015) (determining not to review Order No. 9 (June 9, 2015)). On August 13, 2015, the Commission determined not to review an initial determination finding that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement has been satisfied. Notice of a Commission Determination Not to Review an Initial Determination That the Economic Prong of the Domestic Industry Requirement Has Been Satisfied (Aug. 13, 2015) (determining not review Order No. 12 (July 16, 2015)). On September 17, 2015, the Commission determined not to review (1) an initial determination terminating the investigation as to claims 19-21 of the '385 patent and claims 7-9, 12-15, 17, and 19 of the '734 patent; and (2) an initial determination terminating the investigation as to respondent ZOTAC International (MCO) Ltd. Notice of Commission Decision Not to Review Two Initial Determinations That Terminated the Investigation as to Certain Asserted Patent Claims and as to One Respondent (Sept. 17, 2015) (determining not to review Order No. 23 (Aug. 26, 2015) and Order No. 25 (Aug. 26, 2015)).

The following claims remained at issue for consideration by the ALJ: Claims 1-4 and 6 of the '385 patent;

claim 10 of the '349 patent; and claims 1 and 3 of the '734 patent. On December 22, 2015, the ALJ issued his final ID, which found a violation of all three remaining patents. *See* ID at 1. On January 5, 2016, the ALJ issued his recommended determination on remedy and bond (RD), which recommended the issuance of a limited exclusion order covering all of the infringing articles imported, sold for importation, or sold after importation by the remaining respondents. RD at 9. The RD also recommended the issuance of cease and desist orders to certain domestic respondents. *Id.* at 14. The RD additionally set a bond in the amount of 4% of the average value of the accused GPUs and SoCs. *Id.* at 17.

The remaining respondents and OUII filed petitions for review, and OUII, and Complainants filed responses to the petitions. On February 24, 2016, the Commission determined to review some of the petitioned issues. 81 FR 10654 (Mar. 1, 2016). On March 7, 2016, the parties filed written submissions on the issues under review, remedy, the public interest, and bonding. On March 14, 2016, the parties filed reply submissions. No submissions were received from the public.

On April 29, 2016, and prior to the Commission's final determination, the private parties indicated that they had reached a settlement agreement. On May 16, 2016, the private parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of that settlement pursuant to Commission Rule § 210.21(b). An amended version of the joint motion (the Corrected Joint Motion) was filed on May 19, 2016. The motion to terminate is based on a Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Settlement Agreement (MOU), a binding agreement between Samsung Electronics and NVIDIA. The Corrected Joint Motion declares that the MOU "completely resolves the disputes between all parties with respect to the asserted patents," that there are "no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied, between them concerning the subject matter of this investigation," and that "it is in the interest of the public and administrative economy to grant this motion." Corrected Joint Mtn. at 2. The Corrected Joint Motion includes confidential and public versions of the MOU. On May 26, 2016, OUII filed a submission supporting the termination of the investigation. No other party filed a response to the Corrected Joint Motion.

The Commission has determined that the Corrected Joint Motion complies with the requirements of section 210.21(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules

of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.21(b)(1)), and that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the requested termination. The Commission also finds that granting the Corrected Joint Motion would not be contrary to the public interest pursuant to section 210.50(b)(2) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). Accordingly, the Commission hereby grants the Corrected Joint Motion. This investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 16, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14657 Filed 6-20-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## JOINT BOARD FOR THE ENROLLMENT OF ACTUARIES

### Meeting of the Advisory Committee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.

**ACTION:** Notice of Federal Advisory Committee meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Executive Director of the Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries gives notice of a meeting of the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations (portions of which will be open to the public) in Arlington, VA, on July 14-15, 2016.

**DATES:** Thursday, July 14, 2016, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Friday, July 15, 2016, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Internal Revenue Service, 2345 Crystal Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22202.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Patrick W. McDonough, Executive Director of the Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries, 703-414-2173.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the Advisory Committee on Actuarial Examinations will meet at the Internal Revenue Service, 2345 Crystal Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, VA 22202, on Thursday, July 14, 2016, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., and Friday, July 15, 2016, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss topics and questions that may

be recommended for inclusion on future Joint Board examinations in actuarial mathematics and methodology referred to in 29 U.S.C. 1242(a)(1)(B) and to review the May 2016 Pension (EA-2L) and Basic (EA-1) Examinations in order to make recommendations relative thereto, including the minimum acceptable pass scores. Topics for inclusion on the syllabus for the Joint Board's examination program for the November 2016 Pension (EA-2F) Examination will be discussed.

A determination has been made as required by section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App., that the portions of the meeting dealing with the discussion of questions that may appear on the Joint Board's examinations and the review of the May 2016 Pension (EA-2L) and Basic (EA-1) Examinations fall within the exceptions to the open meeting requirement set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(9)(B), and that the public interest requires that such portions be closed to public participation.

The portion of the meeting dealing with the discussion of the other topics will commence at 1:00 p.m. on July 14, 2016, and will continue for as long as necessary to complete the discussion, but not beyond 3:00 p.m. Time permitting, after the close of this discussion by Committee members, interested persons may make statements germane to this subject. Persons wishing to make oral statements should notify the Executive Director in writing prior to the meeting in order to aid in scheduling the time available and should submit the written text, or at a minimum, an outline of comments they propose to make orally. Such comments will be limited to 10 minutes in length. All persons planning to attend the public session should notify the Executive Director in writing to obtain building entry. Notifications of intent to make an oral statement or to attend must be sent electronically, by no later than July 7, 2016, to [nhqjbea@irs.gov](mailto:nhqjbea@irs.gov). Any interested person also may file a written statement for consideration by the Joint Board and the Committee by sending it to: Internal Revenue Service; Attn: Patrick W. McDonough, Executive Director; Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries SE:RPO; REFM, Park 4, Floor 4; 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224.

Dated: June 13, 2016.

**Patrick W. McDonough,**  
*Executive Director, Joint Board for the Enrollment of Actuaries.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14542 Filed 6-20-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—R Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 23, 2016, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), R Consortium, Inc. ("R Consortium") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Avant, Inc., Chicago, IL, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and R Consortium intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 15, 2015, R Consortium filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on October 2, 2015 (80 FR 59815).

The last notification was filed with the Department on December 3, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 11, 2016 (81 FR 1206).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14704 Filed 6-20-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—The Open Group, L.L.C.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 13, 2016, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), The Open Group, L.L.C. ("TOG") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were