respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques when appropriate, and other forms of information technology.

To be eligible to receive a formula grant under Section 307(a) of the Older Americans Act (OAA) of 1965, as amended, each State Unit on Aging (SUA) is required to develop a State Plan on Aging that conforms to requirements and priorities outlined by the Assistant Secretary for Aging. Such plans are required, by statute, to be completed by each state and territory every two, three or four years. States with current two- or three-year plans may request an extension, or may amend their current plans if needed; however, at the end of a four-year plan, states must develop a new plan. There is no statutory authority to extend a plan beyond a four-year period.

State plans must address key objectives and focus areas as articulated by the Assistant Secretary for Aging. Objectives and focus areas may change periodically, in accordance with the evolution of policies and practices pertaining to the provision of home and community-based supportive services to older adults and their family caregivers. Additionally, state plans must include specific assurances that the state will carry out certain activities in accordance with the OAA. Finally, states are required to develop (or revise) and submit an Intrastate Funding Formula (IFF), detailing how Federal funds made available under the OAA will be disbursed throughout the state. The information submitted to ACL/AoA via the state plan is used for Federal oversight of Title III and VII programs, ensuring that OAA funds are available to implement said guidance, direction and requirements for states.

When completed annually by ACL/AoA staff, the template presented here for comment will yield a Program Instruction containing the necessary information states need to develop and submit their state plans on aging. ACL/AoA estimates the burden of this data collection as follows: approximately one third (1/3) of the 56 State Units on Aging (or approximately 18 states per year) submit a new state plan in a given year. Estimates as to the amount of time it takes to prepare and submit a state plan vary greatly. Recent feedback from states indicates that, on average, it takes a state approximately 750 hours to prepare and submit a state plan on aging. The proposed Program Instruction template may be found on the ACL Web site for review at: http://www.aao.acl.gov/AoA_Programs/OAA/Aging_Network/pi/PI_Template.aspx.

Dated: June 14, 2016.

Kathy Greenlee,
Administrator and Assistant Secretary for Aging.
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Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for Office of Management and Budget Review; Comment Request; Prevention of Salmonella Enteritidis in Shell Eggs During Production—Recordkeeping and Registration Provisions—21 CFR 118.10 and 118.11; OMB Control Number 0910–0660—Extension

Shell eggs contaminated with Salmonella Enteritidis (SE) are responsible for more than 140,000 illnesses per year. The Public Health Service Act (PHS Act) authorizes the Secretary to make and enforce such regulations as “are necessary to prevent the introduction, transmission, or spread of communicable diseases from foreign countries into the States . . . or from one State . . . into any other State” (section 361(a) of the PHS Act). This authority has been delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs. Under section 402(a)(4) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) (21 U.S.C. 342(a)(4)), a food is adulterated if it is prepared, packed, or held under insanitary conditions whereby it may have been contaminated with filth or rendered injurious to health. Under section 701(a) of the FD&C Act (21 U.S.C. 371(a)), FDA is authorized to issue regulations for the efficient enforcement of the FD&C Act.

Under part 118 (21 CFR part 118), shell egg producers are required to implement measures to prevent SE from contaminating eggs on the farm and from further growth during storage and transportation. Shell egg producers also
are required to maintain records concerning their compliance with part 118 and to register with FDA. As described in more detail with regard to each information collection provision of part 118, each farm site with 3,000 or more egg laying hens that sells raw shell eggs to the table egg market, other than directly to the consumer, must refrigerate, register, and keep certain records. Farms that do not send all of their eggs to treatment are also required to have an SE prevention plan and to test for SE.

Section 118.10 of FDA’s regulations requires recordkeeping for all measures the farm takes to prevent SE in its flocks. Since many existing farms participate in voluntary egg quality assurance programs, those respondents may not have to collect any additional information. Records are maintained on file at each farm site and examined there periodically by FDA inspectors.

Section 118.10 also requires each farm site with 3,000 or more egg laying hens that sells raw shell eggs to the table egg market, other than directly to the consumer, and does not have all of the shell eggs treated, to design and implement an SE prevention plan.

Section 118.10 requires recordkeeping for each of the provisions included in the plan and for plan review and modifications if corrective actions are taken.

Finally, § 118.11 of FDA’s regulations requires that each farm covered by § 118.1(a) register with FDA using Form FDA 3733. The term “Form FDA 3733” refers to both the paper version of the form and the electronic system known as the Shell Egg Producer Registration Module, which is available at http://www.access.fda.gov. We strongly encourage electronic registration because it is faster and more convenient. The system can accept electronic registrations 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. A registering shell egg producer receives confirmation of electronic registration instantaneously once all the required fields on the registration screen are completed. However, paper registrations will also be accepted. Form FDA 3733 is available for download for registration by mail or CD-ROM.

Recordkeeping and registration are necessary for the success of the SE prevention measures. Written SE prevention plans and records of actions taken due to each provision are essential for farms to implement SE prevention plans effectively. Further, they are essential for us to be able to determine compliance. Information provided under these regulations helps us to notify quickly the facilities that might be affected by a deliberate or accidental contamination of the food supply. In addition, data collected through registration is used to support our enforcement activities.

**Description of Respondents:** Respondents to this information collection include farm sites with 3,000 or more egg laying hens that sell raw eggs to the table egg market, other than directly to the consumer.

In the Federal Register of January 28, 2016 (81 FR 4923), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. FDA received two comments in response, both of which supported the collection of information by FDA to ensure that farms are in compliance with the FD&C Act and regulations, and that adequate control measures for prevention of SE are being implemented.

We estimate the burden of this collection of information as follows:

### TABLE 1—ESTIMATED ANNUAL RECORDKEEPING BURDEN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description and 21 CFR section</th>
<th>Number of recordkeepers</th>
<th>Number of records per recordkeeper</th>
<th>Total annual records</th>
<th>Average burden per recordkeeping</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration Records, § 118.10(a)(3)(iv) 3</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>135,200</td>
<td>.5 (30 minutes)</td>
<td>67,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing, Diversion, and Treatment Records, § 118.10(a)(3)(v) through (viii) (positive) 3</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>17,836</td>
<td>.5 (30 minutes)</td>
<td>8,918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg Testing, § 118.10(a)(3)(vii)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,317</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>19,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Testing, § 118.10(a)(3)(v) 3</td>
<td>6,308</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>145,084</td>
<td>.25 (15 minutes)</td>
<td>36,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing, Diversion, and Treatment Records, § 118.10(a)(3)(v) through (viii) (negative) 3</td>
<td>5,965</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5,965</td>
<td>.5 (30 minutes)</td>
<td>2,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Plan Review and Modifications, § 118.10(a)(4)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chick and Pullet Procurement Records, § 118.10(a)(2)</td>
<td>4,731</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4,731</td>
<td>.5 (30 minutes)</td>
<td>2,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodent and Other Pest Control, § 118.10(a)(3)(ii), and Biosecurity Records, § 118.10(a)(3)(i) 3</td>
<td>9,462</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>492,024</td>
<td>.5 (30 minutes)</td>
<td>246,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Plan Design, § 118.10(a)(1)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaning and Disinfection Records, § 118.10(a)(3)(iii)</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>.5 (30 minutes)</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total hours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>392,857</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

2 Some records are kept on a by-farm basis and others are kept on a by-house basis.

3 Calculations include requirements for pullet and layer houses.

We are basing our estimates for the recordkeeping burden and the reporting burden on our experience with similar recordkeeping activities and the number of registrations and cancellations received in the past 3 years.

The number of recordkeepers estimated in column 2 of table 1 is drawn from estimates of the total number of layer and pullet houses affected by part 118. We assume that those farms that are operating according to recognized industry or State quality assurance plans are already largely in compliance with the plan design and recordkeeping provisions discussed in this section, and therefore are not experiencing additional costs to comply with recordkeeping provisions. We found that 59 percent of farms with more than 50,000 layers are members of State or industry quality assurance plans. Fewer than 6 percent of farms with fewer than 50,000 layers are members of quality assurance plans. Thus, we estimate the number of layer farms incurring a new recordkeeping
burden because of part 118 to be 2,600, and the number of houses affected to be 4,731.

Prevention plan design (§ 118.10(a)(1)) records are kept on a per farm basis, so we assume that new prevention plan design is only undertaken by new entrants to the industry. Refrigeration records (§ 118.10(a)(3)(iv)) are also kept on a per farm basis so the estimated number of recordkeepers for this provision is 2,600.

Records of chick and pullet procurement (§ 118.10(a)(2)), rodent and other pest control (§ 118.10(a)(3)(iii)), and biosecurity (§ 118.10(a)(3)(i)) are kept on a per house basis, so the estimated number of recordkeepers for these provisions is 4,731.

Records of cleaning and disinfection (§ 118.10(a)(3)(iii)) are also kept on a per house basis, but only need to be kept in the event that a layer house tests environmentally positive for SE. Prevention plan review and modifications (§ 118.10(a)(4)) also need to be performed every time a house tests positive, which we estimate that 7 percent tests positive. Therefore, the number of recordkeepers for these provisions is calculated to be 3,431 (4,731 houses × 0.070) annually.

Records of testing, diversion, and treatment (§ 118.10(a)(3)(v)) through (viii) are kept on a per house basis and include records on flocks from pullet houses. We estimate that there are onethird as many pullet houses as there are layer houses. Therefore the total number of recordkeepers for these provisions is 6,308 (4,731 + (4,731/3)). The number of annual records kept depends on whether or not houses test positive for SE. Annually, 343 layer and pullet houses (4,731 layer houses × 0.070 + (4,731/3 pullet houses) × 0.0075) are expected to test positive and 5,965 are expected to test negative (4,731 layer houses × 0.930) + (4,731/3 pullet houses) × 0.9925).

We assume that refrigeration records are kept on a weekly basis on a per house basis under § 118.10(a)(3)(iv)). We estimate that 2,600 recordkeepers maintain 52 records each for a total of 135,200 records and that it takes approximately 0.5 hour per recordkeeping. Thus, the total annual burden for refrigeration records is calculated to be 67,600 hours (135,200 × 0.5 hour).

We assume that records of testing, diversion, and treatment under § 118.10(a)(3)(v) through (viii) are kept weekly in the event a layer house tests environmentally positive for SE. We estimate that 343 layer and pullet houses test positive and thus 343 recordkeepers maintain 52 records each for a total of 17,836 records and that it takes approximately 0.5 hour per recordkeeping. Thus, the total annual burden for testing, diversion, and treatment in the event of a positive test result is calculated to be 8,918 hours (17,836 × 0.5 hour).

Given a positive environmental test for SE, we estimate the weighted average number of egg tests per house under § 118.10(a)(3)(vii)) to be 7. We estimate that 331 recordkeepers maintain 7 records each for a total of 2,317 records and that it takes approximately 8.3 hours per recordkeeping. Thus, the total annual burden for egg testing is calculated to be 19,231 hours (2,317 × 8.3 hours).

We estimate that all 1,577 pullet and 4,731 layer houses not currently testing (6,308 recordkeepers) incur the burden of a single environmental test annually under § 118.10(a)(3)(v)). The number of samples taken during the test depends on whether a farm employs the row based method (an average of 12 samples per house) or the random sampling method (32 samples per house). We estimate that roughly 50 percent of the houses affected employ a row based method and 50 percent employs a random sampling method, implying an average of 23 samples per house. Thus, we estimate 6,308 recordkeepers take 23 samples each for a total of 145,084 samples. The total burden of sampling is estimated on a per swab sample basis. We estimate that it takes approximately 15 minutes to collect and pack each sample. Thus, the total annual burden for environmental testing is calculated to be 36,271 hours (145,084 × 0.25 hour).

We estimate that records of testing, diversion, and treatment under § 118.10(a)(3)(v) through (viii) are kept weekly in the event a layer house tests environmentally negative for SE. We estimate that 5,965 layer and pullet houses test negative and thus 5,965 recordkeepers maintain 1 record of that testing that takes approximately 0.5 hour per record. Thus, the total annual burden for testing, diversion, and treatment in the event of a negative test result is calculated to be 2,983 hours (5,965 × 0.5 hour).
This estimate is based on the average number of new shell egg producer registrations and cancellations received in the past 3 years under § 118.11. We estimate that we will receive an average of 300 registrations or updates per year over the next 3 years. Based on the number of cancellations previously received, we estimate that we will receive approximately 30 cancellations per year over the next 3 years.

We estimate that it takes the average farmer 2.3 hours to register, taking into account that some respondents completing the registration may not have readily available Internet access. Thus, the total annual burden for new shell egg producer registrations or updates is calculated to be 690 hours (300 × 2.3 hours).

We estimate cancelling a registration, on average, requires a burden of approximately 1 hour, taking into account that some respondents may not have readily available Internet access. Thus, the total annual burden for cancelling shell egg producer registrations is calculated to be 30 hours (30 cancellations × 1 hour).

Dated: June 15, 2016.

Leslie Kux,
Associate Commissioner for Policy.
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**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing that a proposed collection of information has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (the PRA).

**DATES:** Fax written comments on the collection of information by July 21, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** To ensure that comments on the information collection are received, FDA recommends that written comments be faxed to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attn: FDA Desk Officer, FAX: 202–395–7285, or emailed to oira_submission@omb.eop.gov. All comments should be identified with the OMB control number 0910–NEW and title “Medical Device Accessories.” Also include the FDA docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** FDA PRA Staff, Office of Operations, Food and Drug Administration, 8455 Colesville Rd., COLE–14526, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, PRAStaff@fda.hhs.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with 44 U.S.C. 3507, FDA has submitted the following proposed collection of information to OMB for review and clearance.

**Medical Device Accessories—OMB Control Number 0910–NEW**

The draft guidance encourages manufacturers and other parties to utilize the process defined in section 513(f)(2) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the FD&C Act) to request risk- and regulatory control-based classifications of new types of accessories. This process provides a pathway to class I or class II classification for accessory devices for which general controls, or general and special controls, provide a reasonable assurance of safety and effectiveness, but for which there is no legally marketed predicate device.

In accordance with section 513(f)(2) of the FD&C Act, manufacturers and other parties may submit a de novo requesting FDA to make a classification determination for the accessory device according to the criteria in section 513(a)(1) of the FD&C Act. The de novo must include a description of the device and detailed information and reasons for any recommended classification (see section 513(f)(2)(A)(v) of the FD&C Act).

In the Federal Register of January 20, 2015 (80 FR 2710), FDA published a 60-day notice requesting public comment on the proposed collection of information. We received a total of 12 comments on the guidance. Of these the following were related to the information collection:

Two comments raised concerns regarding the possible difficulties for manufacturers to submit a de novo for new accessories and for risk- and regulatory control-based classification of accessories that were approved under the premarket approval application (PMA) for the parent medical devices. One comment questioned whether FDA considered the possible “practical and economic impact” of the proposed definition of “accessories” that may result in manufacturers being obligated to list some components as accessories for FDA’s registration and listing process. The second comment anticipates that “few companies are likely to pursue this route given the associated costs and minimal advantage in time to market.” Neither comment specifically discusses the potential PRA burden hours of voluntarily submitting a de novo application; however, it may be inferred that this could impact their resources under the PRA for submitting a de novo.

Also, FDA is not proposing to limit or remove any mechanism that currently exists for manufacturers to obtain marketing authorization for accessories. De novos are typically less burdensome than PMAs for the purpose of classifying a new accessory. Furthermore, if a manufacturer wishes for an accessory to remain in the same regulatory class as the parent device, that manufacturer may continue to submit the accessory for clearance or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description and 21 CFR section</th>
<th>FDA form No.</th>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
<th>Number of responses per respondent</th>
<th>Total annual responses</th>
<th>Average burden per response</th>
<th>Total hours</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Registrations or Updates, § 118.11 ...</td>
<td>Form FDA 3733&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancellations, § 118.11 ..................</td>
<td>Form FDA 3733 ...</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ............................................</td>
<td>............................................</td>
<td>............................................</td>
<td>............................................</td>
<td>............................................</td>
<td>............................................</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> There are no capital costs or operating and maintenance costs associated with this collection of information.

<sup>2</sup> The term “Form FDA 3733” refers to both the paper version of the form and the electronic system known as the Shell Egg Producer Registration Module, which is available at http://www.access.fda.gov per § 118.11(b)(1).