DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39


RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Final rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: We are superseding Airworthiness Directive (AD) 2016–07–30 for all Airbus Model A330–200, –200 Freighter, and –300 series airplanes, and all Airbus Model A340–200, –300, –500, and –600 series airplanes. For certain airplanes, AD 2016–07–30 required replacing certain Angle of Attack (AOA) sensors (probes) with certain new AOA sensors. For certain other airplanes, AD 2016–07–30 also required inspections and functional heat testing of certain AOA sensors for discrepancies, and replacement if necessary. This new AD requires the same actions as AD 2016–07–30. This new AD was prompted by a report of a typographical error in the regulatory text of AD 2016–07–30. We are issuing this AD to prevent erroneous AOA information and Alpha Protection (Alpha Prot) activation due to blocked AOA probes, which could result in a continuous nose-down command and consequent loss of control of the airplane.

DATES: This AD is effective July 6, 2016.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

We must receive comments on this AD by August 5, 2016.

ADDRESSES: You may send comments, using the procedures found in 14 CFR 11.43 and 11.45, by any of the following methods:

• Federal eRulemaking Portal: Go to http://www.regulations.gov. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

• Fax: 202–493–2251.


• Hand Delivery: U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M–30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this final rule, contact Airbus SAS, Airworthiness Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33 5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email airworthiness.A330–A340@airbus.com; Internet http://www.airbus.com. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call 425–227–1221. It is also available on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2016–7263.

Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA–2016–7263; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Operations office (telephone 800–647–5527) is in the Addresses section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

On March 26, 2016, we issued AD 2016–07–30, Amendment 39–18475 (81 FR 21722, April 13, 2016) (“AD 2016–07–30”), for all Airbus Model A330–200, –200 Freighter, and –300 series airplanes; and all Airbus Model A340–200, –300, –500, and –600 series airplanes. AD 2016–07–30 was prompted by a report of blockage of AOA probes during climb, leading to activation of the Alpha Prot while the Mach number increased. This activation could cause a continuous nose-down pitch rate that cannot be stopped with backward sidestick input, even in the full backward position. For certain airplanes, AD 2016–07–30 required replacing certain AOA sensors (probes) with certain new AOA sensors. For certain other airplanes, AD 2016–07–30 also required inspections and functional heat testing of certain AOA sensors for discrepancies, and replacement if necessary. We issued AD 2016–07–30 to prevent erroneous AOA information and Alpha Prot activation due to blocked AOA probes, which could result in a continuous nose-down command and loss of control of the airplane.

Since we issued AD 2016–07–30, we received a report of a typographical error in the regulatory text of AD 2016–07–30. Paragraph (l) of AD 2016–07–30 inadvertently referred to paragraph (g) and should have referred to paragraph (j). “Repetitive Inspections/Tests of Certain Thales AOA Sensors.” The intent of paragraph (l) of AD 2016–07–30 was to give credit for doing the
actions required by paragraph (j) of AD 2016–07–30 using earlier revisions of the service information specified in paragraph (j) of AD 2016–07–30. We have changed paragraph (l) of this AD to refer to paragraph (j) of this AD.

The European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA), which is the Technical Agent for the Member States of the European Union, has issued EASA Airworthiness Directive 2015–0134, dated July 8, 2015 (referred to after this as the Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information, or “the MCAI”), to correct an unsafe condition for all Airbus Model A330–200, –300, –400, –500, and –600 series airplanes; and all Model A340–200, –300, –500, and –600 series airplanes. The MCAI states:

An occurrence was reported where an Airbus A321 airplane encountered a blockage of two Angle of Attack (AOA) probes during climb, leading to activation of the Alpha Protection (Alpha Prot) while the Mach number increased. The flight crew managed to regain full control and the flight landed uneventfully. It was determined that the affected AOA probes are also fitted on A330 and A340 airplanes.

When Alpha Prot is activated due to blocked AOA probes, the flight control laws order a continuous nose down pitch rate that, in a worst case scenario, cannot be stopped with backward sidestick inputs, even in the full backward position. If the Mach number increases during a nose down order, the AOA value of the Alpha Prot will continue to decrease. As a result, the flight control laws will continue to order a nose down pitch rate, even if the speed is above minimum selectable speed, known as VLS.

This condition, if not corrected, could result in loss of control of the airplane. Investigation results indicated that airplanes equipped with certain UTC Aerospace (UTAS, formerly known as Goodrich) AOA sensors, or equipped with certain SEXTANT/THOMSON AOA sensors, appear to have a greater susceptibility to adverse environmental conditions than airplanes equipped with the latest Thales AOA sensor, Part Number (P/N) C16291AB, which was designed to improve AOA indication behaviour in heavy rain conditions.

Having determined that replacement of these AOA sensors is necessary to achieve and maintain the required safety level of the airplane, EASA issued [an AD * * *], to require modification of the airplanes by replacement of the affected P/N sensors, and, after modification, prohibits (re-) installation of those P/N AOA sensors. That [EASA] AD also required repetitive detailed visual inspections (DET) and functional heating tests of certain Thales AOA sensors and provided an optional terminating action for those inspections.

Since EASA AD 2015–0089 was issued, based on further analysis results, Airbus issued Operators Information Transmission (OIT) Ref. 999.0017/15 Revision 1, instructing operators to speed up the repair of the affected P/N 0861ED2 AOA sensor. For the reasons described above, this [EASA] AD retains the requirements of EASA AD * * *, which is superseded, but reduces the compliance times for airplanes with UTAS P/N 0861ED2 AOA sensors installed.


Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

Airbus has issued the following service information:


The service information describes procedures for replacing certain pitot probes with certain new pitot probes. The service information also describes procedures for inspections and functional heat testing of certain pitot probes, and replacement if necessary. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

FAA’s Determination and Requirements of This AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with the State of Design Authority, we have been notified of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are issuing this AD because we evaluated all pertinent information and determined the unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of these same type designs.

FAA’s Justification and Determination of the Effective Date

We are superseding AD 2016–07–30 to correct a typographical error in the regulatory text. No other changes have been made to AD 2016–07–30. Therefore, we determined that notice and opportunity for public comment are unnecessary.

Comments Invited

This AD is a final rule that involves requirements affecting flight safety, and we did not precede it by notice and opportunity for public comment. We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the ADDRESSES section. Include “Docket No. FAA–2016–7263; Directorate Identifier 2016–NM–072–AD” at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information you provide. We will also post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this AD.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD affects 55 airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate the following costs to comply with this AD:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Labor cost</th>
<th>Parts cost</th>
<th>Cost per product</th>
<th>Cost on U.S. operators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replacement</td>
<td>5 work-hours × $85 per hour = $425.</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$425</td>
<td>$23,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection/test</td>
<td>3 work-hours × $85 per hour = $255.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$255 per inspection/test cycle</td>
<td>14,025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We have received no definitive data that will enable us to provide a cost estimate for the on-condition actions specified in this AD.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. “Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs,” describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in “Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

1. Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866;
2. Is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979);
3. Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska; and
4. Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing airworthiness directive AD 2016–07–30, Amendment 39–18475 (81 FR 21722, April 13, 2016), and adding the following new AD:


(a) Effective Date

This AD is effective June 7, 2016.

(b) Affected ADs


(c) Applicability

This AD applies to the airplanes, certificated in any category, identified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD, all manufacturer serial numbers.


(d) Subject

Air Transport Association (ATA) of America Code 34, Navigation.

(e) Reason

This AD was prompted by a report of blockage of two Angle of Attack (AOA) probes during climb, leading to activation of the Alpha Protection (Alpha Prot) while the Mach number increased. This activation could cause a continuous nose-down pitch rate that cannot be stopped with backward sidestick input, even in the full backward position. We are issuing this AD to prevent erroneous AOA information and Alpha Prot activation due to blocked AOA probes, which could result in a continuous nose-down command and consequent loss of control of the airplane.

(f) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(g) Retained Replacement of Certain SEXTANT/THOMSON AOA Sensors With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (h) of AD 2016–07–30, with no changes. Do the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD at the applicable time specified in paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD.

(1) For airplanes with AOA sensors having P/N 0861ED: Within 22 months after May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30).

(2) For airplanes with AOA sensors having P/N 0861ED2: Within 7 months after May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30).

(i) Retained Replacement of Certain SEKTAN/THOMSON AOA Sensors With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (h) of AD 2016–07–30, with no changes. For airplanes on which any SEXTANT/THOMSON AOA sensor having P/N 45150320 is installed: Within 22 months after May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30), replace all SEKTAN/THOMSON AOA sensors (probes) having P/N 45150320 with AOA sensors having Thales P/N C16291AB, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service information identified in paragraph (g)(1), (g)(2), or (g)(3) of this AD.


(h) Retained Compliance Times for the Requirements of Paragraph (g) of This AD With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (h) of AD 2016–07–30, with no changes. Do the actions required by paragraph (g) of this AD at the applicable time specified in paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD.

(1) For airplanes with AOA sensors having P/N 0861ED: Within 22 months after May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30).

(2) For airplanes with AOA sensors having P/N 0861ED2: Within 7 months after May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30).

(j) Retained Repetitive Inspections/Tests of Certain Thales AOA Sensors With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (j) of AD 2016–07–30, with no changes. For airplanes on which one or more Thales AOA sensor having P/N C16291AA is installed: Before the accumulation of 17,000 total flight hours on the AOA sensor since first installation on an airplane, or within 6 months after May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30), whichever occurs later, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 3,800 flight hours: do a detailed inspection of the three AOA sensors at FINs 3FP1, 3FP2, and 3FP3 for discrepancies (e.g., the vane of the sensor does not move properly), and a functional heating test of each AOA sensor having P/N C16291AA, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service information identified in paragraph (j)(1), (j)(2), or (j)(3) of this AD.


(k) Retained Corrective Actions With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (k) of AD 2016–07–30, with no changes. If any discrepancy is found during any inspection required by paragraph (j) of this AD, or if any test is failed during the heating test required by paragraph (j) of this AD: Before further flight, replace all affected AOA sensors with sensors identified in paragraph (k)(1) or (k)(2) of this AD, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service information identified in paragraph (j)(1), (j)(2), or (j)(3) of this AD.

(i) Only AOA sensors with part numbers approved after the effective date of this AD have been installed.
(ii) The AOA sensor part number is approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA; the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA); or Airbus’s EASA Design Organization Approval (DOA).
(iii) The installation is accomplished in accordance with airplane modification instructions approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA; the EASA; or Airbus’s EASA DOA.

(n) Retained Optional Terminating Modification With No Changes

This paragraph restates the optional action specified in paragraph (n) of AD 2016–07–30, with no changes. Replacement of all Thales AOA sensors having P/N C16291AA with Thales AOA sensors having P/N C16291AB, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of the applicable service information identified in paragraphs (n)(1), (n)(2), or (n)(3) of this AD, which are not incorporated by reference in this AD.


(o) Retained Parts Installation Prohibitions With No Changes

This paragraph restates the requirements of paragraph (o) of AD 2016–07–30, with no changes.

(1) For airplanes on which only Thales P/N C16291AA AOA sensors are installed as of May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30): No person may install, on any airplane, a Thales AOA sensor having P/N C16291AA as of May 18, 2016.
(2) For airplanes on which the modification specified in paragraph (n) of this AD has been done: No person may install, on any airplane, a Thales AOA sensor having P/N C16291AA after accomplishing the specified modification.
(3) For airplanes on which Thales P/N C16291AA or P/N C16291AB AOA sensors are installed as of May 18, 2016 (the effective date of AD 2016–07–30): No person may install, on any airplane, a UTAS AOA sensor having P/N 0861ED or P/N 0861ED2 or a SEXTANT/THOMSON AOA sensor having P/N 45150320, as of May 18, 2016.
(4) For airplanes on which the replacement required by paragraph (l) of this AD has been done: No person may install, on any airplane, a UTAS AOA sensor having P/N 0861ED or P/N 0861ED2 or a SEXTANT/THOMSON AOA sensor having P/N 45150320, after accomplishing the replacement.
(5) For airplanes on which the replacement required by paragraph (g) of this AD has been done: No person may install, on any airplane, a UTAS AOA sensor having P/N 0861ED or P/N 0861ED2, or a SEXTANT/THOMSON AOA sensor having P/N 45150320, after accomplishing the replacement, except that a UTAS AOA sensor having P/N 0861ED may be installed in the standby position of that airplane.

(p) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:
(1) Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs): The Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, send your request to your principal inspector or local Flight Standards District Office, as appropriate. If sending information directly to the International Branch, send it to ATTN: Vladimir Ulyanov, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, WA 98057; telephone 425–227–1138; fax 425–227–1149. Information may be emailed to: 9-ANM-116-AMOC-REQUESTS@faa.gov. Before using any approved AMOC, notify your appropriate principal inspector, or lacking a principal inspector, the manager of the local Flight Standards district office certificate holding district office. The AMOC approval letter must specifically reference this AD.
(2) Contacting the Manufacturer: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer, the action must be accomplished using a method approved by the Manager, International Branch, ANM–116, Transport Airplane Directorate, FAA; or the EASA; or Airbus’s EASA DOA. If approved by the DOA, the approval must include the DOA-authorized signature.
(3) Required for Compliance (RC): If any service information contains procedures or tests that are identified as RC, those procedures and tests must be done to comply with this AD; any procedures or tests that are not identified as RC and specified in MDAP may be done in accordance with the operator’s maintenance or inspection program without obtaining approval of an AMOC; provided the procedures and tests identified as RC can be done and the airplane can be put back in an airworthy condition. Any substitutions or changes to procedures or tests identified as RC require approval of an AMOC.

(q) Related Information

(1) Refer to Mandatory Continuing Airworthiness Information (MCAI) EASA Airworthiness Directive 2015–0134, dated July 8, 2015, for related information. This MCAI may be found in the AD docket on the Internet at http://www.regulations.gov by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA– 2016–7263.
(2) Service information identified in this AD that is not incorporated by reference is available at the address specified in paragraphs (r)(4) and (r)(5) of this AD.

(r) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this
 paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.
(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by
this AD, unless this AD specifies otherwise.
(3) The following service information was
approved for this AD on May 18, 2016 (81 FR
15722, April 13, 2016),
(i) Airbus Service Bulletin A330–34–3215,
Revision 03, dated July 23, 2015.
(ii) Airbus Service Bulletin A330–34–3228,
dated October 7, 2009.
(iii) Airbus Service Bulletin A330–34–3315,
dated March 26, 2015.
4215, Revision 03, dated July 27, 2015.
(v) Airbus Service Bulletin A340–34–4234,
dated October 7, 2009.
4294, dated March 26, 2015.
5062, Revision 02, dated July 24, 2015.
5070, dated October 9, 2009.
5105, dated March 26, 2015.
(4) For service information identified in
this AD, contact Airbus SAS, Airworthiness
Office—EAL, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte,
31707 Blagnac Cedex, France; telephone +33
5 61 93 36 96; fax +33 5 61 93 45 80; email
airworthiness.A330-A340@airbus.com;
(5) You may view this service information
at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate,
1601 Lind Avenue SW, Renton, WA. For
information on the availability of this materi
(6) You may view this service information
that is incorporated by reference at the
National Archives and Records
Administration (NARA). For information on
the availability of this material at NARA, call
202–741–6030, or go to http://www.archives.gov/
final-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html.
Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 9,
2016.
Michael Kaszycki.
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane
Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 2016–13437 Filed 6–20–16; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71
[Docket No. FAA–2016–0071; Airspace
Docket No. 16–ASO–1]

Amendment of Class D and Class E
Airspace Orlando, FL; and Amendment
of Class E Airspace; Gainesville, FL

AGENCY: Federal Aviation
Administration (FAA), DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends Class E
Airspace at Gainesville Regional
Airport, Gainesville, FL; and Orlando
Executive Airport, Orlando, FL, by
eliminating the Notice to Airmen
(NOTAM) part time status of the Class
E airspace designated as an extension at
each airport. This is an administrative
change to coincide with the FAA’s
aeronautical database. This action also
updates the geographic coordinates of
Orlando Executive Airport in existing
Class D and E airspace.

DATES: Effective 0901 UTC, September 15,
2016. The Director of the Federal
Register approves this incorporation by
reference action under title 1, Code of
Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to
the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9
and publication of conforming
amendments.

ADDRESSES: FAA Order 7400.9Z,
Airspace Designations and Reporting
Points, and subsequent amendments can
be viewed online at http://www.faa.gov/
airtraffic/publications/; For further
information, you can contact the
Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation
Administration, 800 Independence
Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591;
telephone: 202–267–8783. The Order is
also available for inspection at the
National Archives and Records
Administration (NARA). For information
on the availability of this material at
NARA, call 202–741–6030, or go to http://
www.archives.gov/federal_register/cfr/
ibr_locations.html.
FAA Order 7400.9. Airspace
Designations and Reporting Points, is
published yearly and effective on
September 15.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John
Fornito, Operations Support Group,
Eastern Service Center, Federal Aviation
Administration, P.O. Box 20636,
Atlanta, Georgia 30320; telephone (404)
305–6364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA’s authority to issue rules
regarding aviation safety is found in
Title 49 of the United States Code.
Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the
authority of the FAA Administrator.
Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs,
describes in more detail the scope of the
agency’s authority. This rulemaking is
promulgated under the authority
described in Subtitle VII, Part A,
Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that
section, the FAA is charged with
prescribing regulations to assign the use
of airspace necessary to ensure the
safety of aircraft and the efficient use of
airspace. This regulation is within the
scope of that authority as it amends
Class D and Class E airspace at the
Florida airports listed in this final rule.

History

In a review of the airspace, the FAA
found the airspace description for
Gainesville Regional Airport,
Gainesville, FL, and Orlando
Executive Airport, Orlando, FL, as published in
FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace
Designations and Reporting Points, does not
match the FAA’s charting
information. This is an administrative
change to coincide with the FAA’s
aeronautical database.

Class D and Class E airspace
designations are published in
paragraphs 5000, 6002, and 6004,
respectively, of FAA Order 7400.9Z
dated August 6, 2015, and effective
September 15, 2015, which is
incorporated by reference in 14 CFR
part 71.1. The Class D and E airspace
designations listed in this document will
be published subsequently in the
Order.

Availability and Summary of
Documents for Incorporation by
Reference

This document amends FAA Order
7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and
Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2015,
and effective September 15, 2015. FAA
Order 7400.9Z is publicly available as
listed in the ADDRESSES section of this
document. FAA Order 7400.9Z lists
Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas,
air traffic service routes, and reporting
points.

The Rule

This action amends Title 14 Code of
Federal Regulations (14 CFR) Part 71 by
eliminating the NOTAM information
that reads “This Class E airspace area is
effective during the specific dates and
time established in advance by Notice to
Airmen. The effective date and time will
thereafter be continuously published in
the Airport/Facility Directory” from the
regulatory text of the Class E airspace
designated as an extension to Class D,
at Gainesville Regional Airport,
Gainesville, FL; and Orlando Executive
Airport, Orlando, FL.

This is an administrative change
amending the description for the above
Florida airports, to be in concert with
the FAA’s aeronautical database, and
does not affect the boundaries, or
operating requirements of the airspace,
therefore, notice and public procedure
under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are unnecessary.
The geographic coordinates of Orlando
Executive Airport are adjusted under
Class D and Class E airspace, to coincide
with the FAA’s aeronautical database.