effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is not a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was not subject to review under section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September 30, 1993. This proposed rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

III. Regulatory Flexibility Act

DoD, GSA, and NASA do not expect this proposed rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule raises the simplified acquisition threshold for special emergency procurement authority, an arena in which a smaller percentage of small businesses participate, as compared to larger businesses. However, an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) has been prepared consistent with 5 U.S.C. 603. The analysis is summarized as follows:

This proposed rule implements section 816 of the National Authorization Act for Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 Public Law 114–92. Therefore, the FAR is revised to raise the simplified acquisition thresholds for special emergency procurement authority.

The objective of this proposed rule is to increase the simplified acquisition thresholds for special emergency procurement authority from $300,000 to $750,000 (within the United States) and $1 million to $1.5 million (outside the United States) for acquisitions of supplies or services that, as determined by the head of the agency, are to be used to support a contingency operation or to facilitate defense against or recovery from nuclear, biological, chemical, or radiological attack.

DoD, GSA, and NASA do not expect this proposed rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the rule raises the simplified acquisition threshold for special emergency procurements, an arena in which a smaller percentage of small businesses participate, as compared to larger businesses. Between $300,000 and the increase to $750,000, 188 total awards were made of which 45 or 24 percent were to small businesses in FY 2014, and 219 total awards were made of which 66 or 30 percent were to small businesses in FY 2015. Between $1 million and the increase to $1.5 million, 56 total awards were made of which 10 or 17 percent were to small businesses in FY 2014, and 29 total awards were made of which 9 or 31 percent were to small businesses in FY 2015. The proposed rule imposes no reporting, recordkeeping, or other information collection requirements. The rule does not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any other Federal rules.

There are no known significant alternatives to the rule. The impact of this proposed rule on small business is not expected to be significant.

The Regulatory Secretariat Division has submitted a copy of the IRFA to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. A copy of the IRFA may be obtained from the Regulatory Secretariat Division. DoD, GSA, and NASA invite comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this proposed rule on small entities.

DoD, GSA, and NASA will also consider comments from small entities concerning the existing regulations in subparts affected by the proposed rule consistent with 5 U.S.C. 610. Interested parties must submit such comments separately and should cite 5 U.S.C. 610 (FAR Case 2016–604), in correspondence.

IV. Paperwork Reduction Act

The proposed rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. chapter 35).

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 2, 13, and 19

Government procurement.

Dated: June 14, 2016.

William Clark,
Director, Office of Government-Wide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-Wide Policy.

Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend 48 CFR parts 2, 13, and 19, as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 2, 13, and 19 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 51 U.S.C. 20113.

PART 2—DEFINITIONS WORDS AND TERMS

2.101 [Amended]

2. Amend the definition “Simplified acquisition threshold” in paragraph (b) of section 2.101 by:

a. Removing from paragraph (1) “$300,000” and adding in its place “$750,000”; and

b. Removing from paragraph (2) “$1 million” and adding in its place “$1.5 million”.

PART 13—SIMPPLIED ACQUISITION PROCEDURES

13.003 [Amended]

3. Amend section 13.003 by removing from paragraph (b)(1) “$300,000” and adding “$750,000” in its place.

PART 19—SMALL BUSINESS PROGRAMS

19.203 [Amended]

4. Amend section 19.203 by removing from paragraph (b) “$300,000” and adding “$750,000” in its place.

19.502–2 [Amended]

5. Amend section 19.502–2 by removing from paragraph (a) “$300,000” and adding “$750,000” in its place.

PART 23—SUPPLEMENTAL PROCEDURES, NUCLEAR, BIOLOGICAL, CHEMICAL, AND RADIOTOXIC

23.210 [Amended]

nuclear, biological, chemical, or radiological defense.

DoD, GSA, and NASA do not expect this rule to duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any other Federal rule.

Therefore, DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to remove from 48 CFR parts 13, 19, and 23 the references to the following methods:

• Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov.
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48 CFR Parts 8 and 13

[FAR Case 2015–015; Docket No. 2015–0015; Sequence No. 1]

RIN 9000–AM89

Federal Acquisition Regulation: Strategic Sourcing Documentation

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement a section of the Carl Levin and Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 that provides that the contract file shall contain certain documentation if the Federal Government makes a purchase of supplies and services offered under the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI), but the FSSI is not used.

DATES: Interested parties should submit comments to the Regulatory Secretariat Division at one of the addresses shown below on or before August 19, 2016 to be considered in the formulation of a final rule.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in response to FAR case 2015–015 by any of the following methods:

• Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov.
Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for “FAR Case 2015–015.” Select the link “Comment Now” that corresponds with “FAR Case 2015–015.” Follow the instructions provided on the screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “FAR Case 2015–015” on your attached document(s).

- Mail: General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division, ATTN: Ms. Flowers, 1800 F Street NW., 2nd floor, Washington, DC 20405.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite “FAR case 2015–015” in all correspondence related to this case. Comments received will generally be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment, please check www.regulations.gov, approximately two to three business days after submission to verify posting (except allow 30 days for posting of comments submitted by mail.)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For clarification of content, contact Mr. Michael O. Jackson, Procurement Analyst, at 202–208–4949. For information pertaining to status or publication schedules, contact the Regulatory Secretariat Division at 202–501–4755. Please cite “FAR Case 2015–015.”

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 836 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (NDAA) (Pub. L. 113–291) was enacted on December 19, 2014. This provision is part of subtitle D, Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform, of title VIII of the NDAA. It established that when the Federal Government makes a purchase of supplies or services offered under the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI), but the FSSI is not used, the contract file for the purchase shall include a brief analysis of the comparative value, including price and nonprice factors, between the supplies and services offered under the FSSI and those offered under the source(s) to be used for the purchase. The goals and benefits of the FSSI Program as taken directly from the FSSI strategic sourcing Web site (www.strategicsourcing.gov) are:

Strategic sourcing is the structured and collaborative process of critically analyzing an organization’s spending patterns to better leverage its purchasing power, reduce costs, and improve overall performance. The primary goals of FSSI are to—

- Strategically source across federal agencies;
- Establish mechanisms to increase total cost savings, value, and socioeconomic participation;
- Collaborate with industry to develop optimal solutions;
- Share best practices; and
- Create a strategic sourcing community of practice.

Strategic Sourcing drives both dollar savings and process improvements. The Federal Government, suppliers and ultimately the U.S. taxpayers benefit when government can better articulate its requirements and provide committed purchase volumes, and in return, industry suppliers can provide better pricing and more valuable solutions. Specific benefits of Strategic Sourcing include—

- MeetOMB’s goal for cross-government participation;
- Assist with socioeconomic goals;
- Collect and analyze data;
- Identify trends;
- Re-engineer high cost management processes;
- Replicate cost-saving business processes;
- Share lessons learned and best practices;
- Realize cost efficiencies;
- Streamline procurement process; and
- Drive additional discounts.

By ensuring consideration of strategically sourced vehicles, the proposed documentation requirement will raise the visibility of these solutions, promote their use, and help to better leverage the Government’s buying power.


II. Proposed FAR Changes

The purpose of the proposed FAR changes is to update FAR 8.004 to add the requirements established by section 836. Additionally, FAR 13.301 is updated to clarify that for micro-purchases made using the governmentwide purchase card, purchase card holder will follow the documentation requirements in Appendix B of OMB Circular A–123 (available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/omb/assets/omb/circulars/a123/a123_appendix_b.pdf), which prescribes management guidance on the use of purchase cards.

This new FAR rulemaking requires contracting officers when purchasing supplies or services that are offered under the FSSI, but the FSSI is not used, to document the contract file to include a brief analysis of the comparative value, including price and nonprice factors, between the supplies and services offered under the FSSI and those offered under the source(s) to be used for the purchase.

III. Applicability to Contracts for Amounts not Greater Than the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (SAT), Commercial Items, and Commercially Available Off-the-Shelf (COTS) Items

41 U.S.C. 1905 governs the applicability of laws to contracts or subcontracts in amounts not greater than the SAT. 41 U.S.C. 1906 requires that the FAR include a list of provisions of law that are not applicable to the acquisition of commercial items (other than the acquisition of commercially available off-the-shelf (COTS) items). 41 U.S.C. 1907 requires that the FAR include a list of provisions of law that are not applicable to the acquisition of COTS items. It is anticipated that at the time of the final rule the FAR Council will approve these determinations.

IV. Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

Executive Orders (E.O.s) 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This is a significant regulatory action and, therefore, was subject to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) review under Section 6(b) of E.O. 12866, Regulatory Planning and Review, dated September...
30, 1993. This proposed rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804.

**V. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

DoD, GSA, and NASA do not expect this proposed rule to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq., because the proposed rule only affects the internal operating procedures of the Government.

However, an Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis (IRFA) was performed and is summarized as follows:

This rule implements section 836 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, which provides that the contract file shall contain certain documentation if the Federal Government makes a purchase of supplies and services offered under the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI), but the FSSI is not used.

The goal of the rule is to have contracting officers consider use of the GSA Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI) when purchasing items that are available through FSSI.

According to the Federal Procurement Data System, in Fiscal Year 2014, the Federal Government made approximately 170,403 contract awards (not including modifications and orders) of which approximately 85,624 (50.25 percent) were awarded to about 43,545 unique small business entities. The rule requires action by contracting officers when purchasing items available through FSSI and will not directly affect any small entities. It specifically requires the contracting officer to place a certain contract file in the contract file if the Federal Government makes a purchase of supplies and services offered under the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (FSSI), but the FSSI is not used.

The rule could potentially affect small businesses that offer supplies or services under the FSSI as the rule will require contracting officers to consider FSSI vendors when they may not have done so in the past, and this could lead to more sales for those small businesses. There are currently 137 entities awarded under the FSSI of which 78 (57 percent) are small entities. The required consideration of FSSI offerings does not directly have a negative effect on entities not awarded an FSSI contract, but the required contracting officer consideration and documentation requirement could indirectly lead to more purchases going to those vendors involved in the FSSI.

An explicit goal of Federal strategic sourcing is to maintain and/or enhance socio-economic goals. Partnering with small businesses during key stages of the strategic sourcing process will enable the FSSI to meet or exceed socio-economic goals. As part of the FSSI process, current spending with small business is baseline for each initiative to gain an understanding of how much is being spent. Then sourcing strategies are developed that expand the business done with targeted groups against that baseline, quantify the expected changes, and compare this with actual results as required by OMB guidance on strategic sourcing. Each participating agency will continue to be responsible for achieving its socio-economic goals and will need to evaluate the impact of FSSI sourcing recommendations.

There is no reporting required by Government contractors, all action on the rule is internal to the Government.

The rule does not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any other Federal rules.

No viable alternatives were determined at this rulemaking stage.

The Regulatory Secretariat Division has submitted a copy of the IRFA to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. A copy of the IRFA may be obtained from the Regulatory Secretariat Division. DoD, GSA and NASA invite comments from small business concerns and other interested parties on the expected impact of this rule on small entities.

The rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 35 U.S.C. chapter 35.

**VI. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The proposed rule does not contain any information collection requirements that require the approval of the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35.

**List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 8 and 13**

Government procurement.

Dated: June 14, 2016.

William F. Clark,
Director, Office of Government-wide Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.

Therefore, DoD, GSA and NASA propose amending 48 CFR parts 8 and 13 as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR parts 8 and 13 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; and 51 U.S.C. 20113.

**PART 8—REQUIRED SOURCES OF SUPPLIES AND SERVICES**

2. Amend section 8.004 by—

a. Redesignating paragraphs (a)(1) and (2) as paragraphs (a)(1)(i) and (ii), respectively;

b. Redesignating the introductory paragraph as paragraph (a)(1);
a written contract will be made through use of the card.

* * * *

[FR Doc. 2016–14412 Filed 6–17–16; 8:45 am]
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