

E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Frank Wiley Field Airport, Miles City, MT. The Class E surface airspace would be modified to a 5-mile radius of Frank Wiley Field Airport to support terminal operations below 700 feet above the surface and to account for rising terrain. Class E airspace designated as an extension to Class E surface area would be removed as there are no Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) procedures that require a surface extension. Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface would be modified to an 8-mile radius of Frank Wiley Field Airport to support IFR departures below 1,200 feet above the surface due to rising terrain. After a review of the airspace, the FAA found modification of the airspace necessary for the safety and management of IFR operations at the airport.

Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6002, 6004, and 6005, respectively, of FAA Order 7400.9Z, dated August 6, 2015, and effective September 15, 2015, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures” prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2015, and effective September 15, 2015, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6002 Class E Airspace Designated as Surface Areas.

* * * * *

ANM MT E2 Miles City, MT [Modified]

Miles City, Frank Wiley Field, MT
(Lat. 46°25'41" N., long. 105°53'10" W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface within a 5-mile radius of Frank Wiley Field.

Paragraph 6004 Class E Airspace Areas Designated as an Extension to a Class D or Class E Surface Area.

* * * * *

ANM MT E4 Miles City, MT [Removed]

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

ANM MT E5 Miles City, MT [Modified]

Miles City, Frank Wiley Field, MT
(Lat. 46°25'41" N., long. 105°53'10" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8-mile radius of Frank Wiley Field and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 34.5-mile radius of Frank Wiley Field.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on June 7, 2016.

Byron Chew,

*Acting Manager, Operations Support Group,
Western Service Center.*

[FR Doc. 2016-14280 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

30 CFR 57, 70, 72, and 75

[Docket No. MSHA-2014-0031]

RIN 1219-AB86

Exposure of Underground Miners to Diesel Exhaust

Correction

In proposed rule document 2016-13219 appearing on pages 36826-36831 in the issue of Wednesday, June 8, 2016, make the following correction:

1. On page 36826, in the third column, in the **DATES** section, “September 1, 2016” should read “September 6, 2016”.

[FR Doc. C1-2016-13219 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R07-OAR-2016-0280; FRL-9947-80-Region 7]

Approval of Iowa’s State Implementation Plan (SIP); Definition of Greenhouse Gas and Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) Plantwide Applicability Limits (PALs) Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve two SIP revisions submitted by the State of Iowa. First, EPA is proposing to approve the definition of greenhouse gas, which will make the state’s definition consistent with the Federal definition, and add greenhouse gases to emission inventory requirements. Second, EPA is proposing to approve Iowa’s revision to its Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) program, specifically to the definition of “subject to regulation,” and adopt by reference the most recent Federal plantwide applicability limitations (PALs) provisions.

DATES: Written comments must be received by July 18, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R07-OAR-2016-0280, to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be

edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Heather Hamilton, Environmental Protection Agency, Air Planning and Development Branch, 11201 Renner Boulevard, Lenexa, Kansas 66219 at 913-551-7039, or by email at Hamilton.heather@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document proposes to take action to approve the definition of greenhouse gas, and add greenhouse gases to emission inventory requirements. We have published a direct final rule approving the State's SIP revision (s) in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register**, because we view this as a noncontroversial action and anticipate no relevant adverse comment. We have explained our reasons for this action in the preamble to the direct final rule. If we receive no adverse comment, we will not take further action on this proposed rule. If we receive adverse comment, we will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take effect. We would address all public comments in any subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. We do not intend to institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting must do so at this time. For further information, please see the information provided in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Greenhouse gases, Incorporation by reference, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

Mark Hague,

Regional Administrator, Region 7.

[FR Doc. 2016-14281 Filed 6-16-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R03-OAR-2016-0042; FRL-9947-84-Region 3]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Revisions and Amendments to Regulations for Continuous Opacity Monitoring, Continuous Emissions Monitoring, and Quality Assurance Requirements for Continuous Opacity Monitors

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a state implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland. This revision pertains to revisions to Maryland regulations for continuous opacity monitoring (COM or COMs) and continuous emissions monitoring (CEM or CEMs) and to an amendment adding requirements for Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) as they pertain to COMs. This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act (CAA).

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 18, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R03-OAR-2016-0042 at <http://www.regulations.gov>, or via email to fernandez.cristina@epa.gov. For comments submitted at *Regulations.gov*, follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. For either manner of submission, the EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For

additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marilyn Powers, (215) 814-2308, or by email at powers.marilyn@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 24, 2015, the State of Maryland through the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) submitted a revision to the Maryland SIP comprised of revisions and amendments to COMAR 26.01.01 *General Administrative Requirements* related to requirements for COMs and CEMs and the addition of new COMAR 26.01.31 *Quality Assurance Requirements for Continuous Opacity Monitors (COMs)*. On February 26, 2016, MDE provided a supplemental letter indicating MDE was excluding portions of COMAR 26.11.01 submitted in the November 24, 2015 SIP submittal from EPA's review and consideration as a SIP revision. The February 26, 2016 letter from MDE is available in the docket for this rulemaking and is available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>.¹

I. Background

On February 28, 1996 (61 FR 6418), EPA approved Maryland regulation COMAR 26.11.01.10 *Continuous Emissions Monitoring (CEM) Requirements* into the Maryland SIP. COMAR 26.11.01.10 required large fuel-burning equipment burning coal and residual oil to install COMs and demonstrate compliance using COM data. The regulation established monitoring requirements, CEM installation requirements, CEM installation and certification schedules, quality assurance procedures for opacity monitors, and recordkeeping and reporting requirements. The regulation incorporated by reference Maryland's Technical Memorandum 90-01 (TM 90), and required compliance determinations for the State's visible emissions limits and QA/QC for COMs in accordance with the procedures therein. The terms CEMs and COMs are used interchangeably in COMAR 26.11.01.10, therefore MDE determined it was necessary to establish separate

¹ Specifically, in the February 26, 2016 letter from MDE to EPA, MDE withdrew from EPA's review and consideration the text in COMAR 26.11.01.10.A(4), in COMAR 26.11.01.10.B(4), in COMAR 26.11.01.10D(2)(c), and in COMAR 26.11.01.10.F which had initially been included in the November 25, 2015 SIP submittal.