



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81                      Thursday,  
No. 111                     June 9, 2016

Pages 37121–37484

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL REGISTER



The **FEDERAL REGISTER** (ISSN 0097-6326) is published daily, Monday through Friday, except official holidays, by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, under the Federal Register Act (44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402 is the exclusive distributor of the official edition. Periodicals postage is paid at Washington, DC.

The **FEDERAL REGISTER** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders, Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress, and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless the issuing agency requests earlier filing. For a list of documents currently on file for public inspection, see [www.ofr.gov](http://www.ofr.gov).

The seal of the National Archives and Records Administration authenticates the **Federal Register** as the official serial publication established under the Federal Register Act. Under 44 U.S.C. 1507, the contents of the **Federal Register** shall be judicially noticed.

The **Federal Register** is published in paper and on 24x microfiche. It is also available online at no charge at [www.fdsys.gov](http://www.fdsys.gov), a service of the U.S. Government Publishing Office.

The online edition of the **Federal Register** is issued under the authority of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register as the official legal equivalent of the paper and microfiche editions (44 U.S.C. 4101 and 1 CFR 5.10). It is updated by 6:00 a.m. each day the **Federal Register** is published and includes both text and graphics from Volume 59, 1 (January 2, 1994) forward. For more information, contact the GPO Customer Contact Center, U.S. Government Publishing Office. Phone 202-512-1800 or 866-512-1800 (toll free). E-mail, [gpocusthelp.com](mailto:gpocusthelp.com).

The annual subscription price for the **Federal Register** paper edition is \$749 plus postage, or \$808, plus postage, for a combined **Federal Register**, **Federal Register** Index and List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA) subscription; the microfiche edition of the **Federal Register** including the **Federal Register** Index and LSA is \$165, plus postage. Six month subscriptions are available for one-half the annual rate. The prevailing postal rates will be applied to orders according to the delivery method requested. The price of a single copy of the daily **Federal Register**, including postage, is based on the number of pages: \$11 for an issue containing less than 200 pages; \$22 for an issue containing 200 to 400 pages; and \$33 for an issue containing more than 400 pages. Single issues of the microfiche edition may be purchased for \$3 per copy, including postage. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, or charge to your GPO Deposit Account, VISA, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover. Mail to: U.S. Government Publishing Office—New Orders, P.O. Box 979050, St. Louis, MO 63197-9000; or call toll free 1-866-512-1800, DC area 202-512-1800; or go to the U.S. Government Online Bookstore site, see [bookstore.gpo.gov](http://bookstore.gpo.gov).

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register**.

**How To Cite This Publication:** Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 81 FR 12345.

**Postmaster:** Send address changes to the Superintendent of Documents, Federal Register, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402, along with the entire mailing label from the last issue received.

## SUBSCRIPTIONS AND COPIES

### PUBLIC

#### Subscriptions:

Paper or fiche 202-512-1800  
Assistance with public subscriptions 202-512-1806

**General online information** 202-512-1530; 1-888-293-6498

#### Single copies/back copies:

Paper or fiche 202-512-1800  
Assistance with public single copies 1-866-512-1800  
(Toll-Free)

### FEDERAL AGENCIES

#### Subscriptions:

Assistance with Federal agency subscriptions:

Email [FRSubscriptions@nara.gov](mailto:FRSubscriptions@nara.gov)  
Phone 202-741-6000



# Contents

## Federal Register

Vol. 81, No. 111

Thursday, June 9, 2016

### Agriculture Department

*See* Forest Service  
*See* Rural Utilities Service

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37250–37259

### Antitrust Division

#### NOTICES

Membership Changes under the National Cooperative  
Research and Production Act:  
AllSeen Alliance, Inc., 37213  
Cooperative Research Group on Development and  
Validation of FlawPRO for Assessing Defect  
Tolerance of Welded Pipes under Generalized High  
Strain Conditions, 37212  
DVD Copy Control Association, 37214–37215  
fd.io Project, Inc., 37211–37212  
Interchangeable Virtual Instruments Foundation, Inc.,  
37215  
International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative, 37213  
Node.js Foundation, 37215  
Silicon Integration Initiative, Inc., 37212–37213  
TeleManagement Forum, 37213–37214  
UHD Alliance, Inc., 37212

### Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37370–37371

### Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37412–37415

### Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

#### PROPOSED RULES

Medicare Program:  
Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment Systems for  
Acute Care Hospitals, etc.; Correction, 37175–37176

### Children and Families Administration

#### NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,  
Submissions, and Approvals, 37196–37198

### Civil Rights Commission

#### NOTICES

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 37178

### Coast Guard

#### RULES

Drawbridge Operations:  
Narrow Bay, Suffolk County, NY, 37158  
Reynolds Channel, Nassau, NY, 37157  
Saugatuck River, Saugatuck, CT, 37156–37157  
Regulated Navigation Areas:  
Holiday Events; Biscayne Bay, Miami, FL, 37158–37160

Special Local Regulations and Safety Zones:  
Recurring Marine Events and Fireworks Displays Within  
the Fifth Coast Guard District, 37156

#### PROPOSED RULES

Anchorage Grounds:  
Hudson River; Yonkers, NY to Kingston, NY, 37168–  
37170

### Commerce Department

*See* Economic Development Administration  
*See* Industry and Security Bureau  
*See* International Trade Administration  
*See* National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37262–37278

### Commodity Futures Trading Commission

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37408–37409

#### NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,  
Submissions, and Approvals:  
CFTC SmartCheck Annual Campaign Impact Tracking  
Survey, 37188–37190

#### Meetings:

Market Risk Advisory Committee, 37187–37188

### Consumer Product Safety Commission

#### RULES

Revisions to Safety Standard for Carriages and Strollers,  
37128–37132

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37418–37421

### Defense Department

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37280–37281, 37400–  
37405

### Economic Development Administration

#### NOTICES

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,  
Submissions, and Approvals:  
Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Program, 37178–  
37179

### Education Department

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37284–37285

### Energy Department

*See* Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### PROPOSED RULES

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37288–37291

**Environmental Protection Agency****RULES**

Air Quality State Implementation Plans; Approvals and Promulgations:

Indiana; Removal of Gasoline Vapor Recovery Requirements, 37160–37162

Minnesota; Sulfur Dioxide, 37162–37164

**PROPOSED RULES**

Air Quality State Implementation Plans; Approvals and Promulgations:

Idaho; Stationary Source Permitting Revisions, 37170–37175

Minnesota; Sulfur Dioxide, 37175

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37374–37383

**Federal Aviation Administration****RULES**

Airworthiness Directives:

BLANIK LIMITED Gliders, 37122–37124

EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Gliders, 37124–37126

Amendments of Class C Airspace:

Billings Logan International Airport, MT, 37126–37127

Capital Region International Airport, MI, 37127–37128

**PROPOSED RULES**

Airworthiness Directives:

PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. Airplanes, 37166–37168

**Federal Communications Commission****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37424–37460

**NOTICES**

Date by which LPTV and TV Translator Stations must be Operating in Order to Participate in Post-Incentive Auction Special Displacement Window, 37195–37196

**Federal Election Commission****NOTICES**

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 37196

**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission****NOTICES**

Complaints:

Michigan South Central Power v. Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC, 37192

Conference:

Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc, 37195

Environmental Assessment Statements; Availability, etc.:

FFP Missouri 5, LLC FFP Missouri 6, LLC Solia 6 Hydroelectric, LLC, 37191–37192

Environmental Impact Statements; Availability, etc.:

Columbia Gulf Transmission, LLC, 37192–37195

Permit Applications:

Energy Resources USA Inc., 37191

Post Technical Conference:

Review of Generator Interconnection Agreements and Procedures; American Wind Energy Association, 37192

**Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission****NOTICES**

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 37196

**Federal Railroad Administration****NOTICES**

Environmental Impact and Related Procedures, 37237–37238

**Federal Reserve System****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37462–37463

**NOTICES**

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies, 37196

**Fish and Wildlife Service****NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

Import of Sport-Hunted African Elephant Trophies, 37207–37208

**Food and Drug Administration****RULES**

Advisory Committee; Transmissible Spongiform

Encephalopathies Advisory Committee; Termination, 37153

**Foreign Assets Control Office****NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:

Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations: Report on Closure by U.S. Financial Institutions of Correspondent Accounts and Payable-Through Accounts, 37239–37240

**Forest Service****NOTICES**

Environmental Impact Statements; Availability, etc.:

Lincoln National Forest, NM; Integrated Non-Native Invasive Plant Management, 37177–37178

**General Services Administration****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37386–37387, 37400–37405

**Health and Human Services Department**

*See* Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

*See* Children and Families Administration

*See* Food and Drug Administration

*See* Indian Health Service

**PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37294–37306

**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals, 37198–37199

**Homeland Security Department**

*See* Coast Guard

**PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37308–37317

**Housing and Urban Development Department****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37320–37321

**NOTICES**

Regulatory Waiver Requests Granted for First Quarter of Calendar Year 2016, 37200–37207

**Indian Affairs Bureau****NOTICES**

Requests for Nominations:  
Bureau of Indian Education Advisory Board for Exceptional Children, 37208–37209

**Indian Health Service****NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:  
Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Contracts, 37199–37200

**Industry and Security Bureau****NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:  
Delivery Verification Procedure for Imports, 37179  
International Import Certificate, 37179–37180

**Interior Department**

See Fish and Wildlife Service

See Indian Affairs Bureau

See Land Management Bureau

See Office of Natural Resources Revenue

**PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37324–37327

**International Trade Administration****NOTICES**

Implementation of Determinations Pursuant to Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, 37180–37184

**International Trade Commission****NOTICES**

Investigations; Determinations, Modifications, and Rulings, etc.:  
Certain Mobile and Portable Electronic Devices Incorporating Haptics (Including Smartphones and Laptops) and Components Thereof, 37210–37211

**Justice Department**

See Antitrust Division

**PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37330–37331

**Labor Department****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37334–37339

**NOTICES**

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals, Submissions, and Approvals:  
Summary Plan Description Requirements Under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, as Amended, 37215–37216

**Land Management Bureau****NOTICES**

Meetings:  
Dominguez–Escalante National Conservation Area Advisory Council, 37210

Plats of Survey:

Colorado, 37209–37210

**National Aeronautics and Space Administration****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37390, 37400–37405

**National Highway Traffic Safety Administration****NOTICES**

Meetings:  
3D Surrogate Vehicle Scanning Event, 37238–37239

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration****RULES**

Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic:  
South Atlantic Snowy Grouper; Commercial Accountability Measure and Closure, 37164–37165

**NOTICES**

Harmful Algal Blooms Program:  
Regional Rotations; Termination, 37187  
Meetings:  
Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops and Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops, 37184–37186  
Caribbean Fishery Management Council, 37186–37187  
Permits:  
Foreign Fishing, 37184

**Nuclear Regulatory Commission****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:  
Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37466–37468

**Office of Natural Resources Revenue****RULES**

Civil Monetary Penalties Inflation Adjustment, 37153–37156

**Postal Regulatory Commission****NOTICES**

New Postal Products, 37216–37217

**Postal Service****NOTICES**

Product Changes:  
Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement, 37217–37218

**Presidential Documents****ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS**

Defense and National Security:  
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Presidential Determination No. 2016–06 of May 19, 2016), 37481–37482  
Jerusalem Embassy Act; Suspension of Limitations (Presidential Determination No. 2016–07 of June 1, 2016), 37483  
North Korea Sanctions and Policy Enhancement Act of 2016; Delegation of Functions and Authorities (Memorandum of May 18, 2016), 37477–37480

**Railroad Retirement Board****NOTICES**

Privacy Act of 1974; Matching Program, 37218–37219

**Regulatory Information Service Center****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37244–37248

**Rural Utilities Service****RULES**Rural Broadband Access Loans and Loan Guarantees,  
37121–37122**Securities and Exchange Commission****RULES**

Summary of Form 10-K, 37132–37138

**PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37470–37473

**NOTICES**Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposals,  
Submissions, and Approvals, 37231–37232

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Changes:

Fixed Income Clearing Corp., 37220–37222

Miami International Securities Exchange LLC, 37219–  
37220

National Securities Clearing Corp., 37229–37231

NYSE Arca, Inc., 37222–37229

The Depository Trust Co., 37232–37236

**Small Business Administration****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37392–37398

**Social Security Administration****RULES**Medical Criteria for Evaluating Respiratory System  
Disorders, 37138–37153**State Department****NOTICES**

Meetings:

International Security Advisory Board, 37236

**Surface Transportation Board****PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37476

**NOTICES**

Discontinuance of Service Exemptions:

CSX Transportation, Inc., Boone County, WV, 37236–  
37237**Transportation Department***See* Federal Aviation Administration*See* Federal Railroad Administration*See* National Highway Traffic Safety Administration**PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37342–37363

**Treasury Department***See* Foreign Assets Control Office**PROPOSED RULES**

Regulatory Agenda:

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, 37366–37367

**United States Sentencing Commission****NOTICES**

Proposed Priorities for Amendment Cycle, 37241–37242

Requests for Nominations:

Practitioners Advisory Group, 37240–37241

**Separate Parts In This Issue****Part II**

Regulatory Information Service Center, 37244–37248

**Part III**

Agriculture Department, 37250–37259

**Part IV**

Commerce Department, 37262–37278

**Part V**

Defense Department, 37280–37281

**Part VI**

Education Department, 37284–37285

**Part VII**

Energy Department, 37288–37291

**Part VIII**

Health and Human Services Department, 37294–37306

**Part IX**

Homeland Security Department, 37308–37317

**Part X**

Housing and Urban Development Department, 37320–37321

**Part XI**

Interior Department, 37324–37327

**Part XII**

Justice Department, 37330–37331

**Part XIII**

Labor Department, 37334–37339

**Part XIV**

Transportation Department, 37342–37363

**Part XV**

Treasury Department, 37366–37367

**Part XVI**Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance  
Board, 37370–37371**Part XVII**

Environmental Protection Agency, 37374–37383

**Part XVIII**

General Services Administration, 37386–37387

**Part XIX**

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 37390

**Part XX**

Small Business Administration, 37392–37398

**Part XXI**

Department of Defense, 37400–37405

General Services Administration, 37400–37405

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 37400–  
37405

**Part XXII**

Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 37408–37409

**Part XXIII**

Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, 37412–37415

**Part XXIV**

Consumer Product Safety Commission, 37418–37421

**Part XXV**

Federal Communications Commission, 37424–37460

**Part XXVI**

Federal Reserve System, 37462–37463

**Part XXVII**

Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 37466–37468

**Part XXVIII**

Securities and Exchange Commission, 37470–37473

**Part XXIX**

Surface Transportation Board, 37476

**Part XXX**

Presidential Documents, 37477–37483

---

**Reader Aids**

Consult the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue for phone numbers, online resources, finding aids, and notice of recently enacted public laws.

To subscribe to the Federal Register Table of Contents LISTSERV electronic mailing list, go to <http://listserv.access.gpo.gov> and select Online mailing list archives, FEDREGTOC-L, Join or leave the list (or change settings); then follow the instructions.

**CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE**

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

**3 CFR****Administrative Orders:**

## Memorandums:

## Memorandum of May

18, 2016 .....37479

## Presidential

## Determinations:

## No. 2016–06 of May

19, 2016 .....37481

## No. 2016–07 of June

1, 2016 .....37483

**7 CFR**

1738 .....37121

**14 CFR**

39 (2 documents) .....37122,

37124

71 (2 documents) .....37126,

37127

**Proposed Rules:**

39 .....37166

**16 CFR**

1227 .....37128

**17 CFR**

249 .....37132

**20 CFR**

404 .....37138

416 .....37138

**21 CFR**

14 .....37153

**30 CFR**

1241 .....37153

**33 CFR**

100 .....37156

117 (3 documents) .....37156,

37157, 37158

165 .....37158

**Proposed Rules:**

110 .....37168

**40 CFR**

52 (2 documents) .....37160,

37162

**Proposed Rules:**

52 (2 documents) .....37170,

37175

**42 CFR****Proposed Rules:**

405 .....37175

412 .....37175

413 .....37175

485 .....37175

**50 CFR**

622 .....37164

# Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 81, No. 111

Thursday, June 9, 2016

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Utilities Service

#### 7 CFR Part 1738

RIN 0572-AC06

#### Rural Broadband Access Loans and Loan Guarantees

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule; confirmation.

**SUMMARY:** The Rural Utilities Service (RUS), hereinafter referred to as the Agency, is confirming the interim final rule published in the **Federal Register** on July 30, 2015, which amends its regulation for the Rural Broadband Access Loan and Loan Guarantee Program (Broadband Loan Program).

**DATES:** Effective June 9, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Keith Adams, Assistant Administrator, Telecommunications Program, Rural Utilities Program, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., STOP 1590, Room 5151-S, Washington, DC 20250-1590. Telephone number: (202) 720-9554, Facsimile: (202) 720-0810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Since the inception of the Broadband Loan Program, the Agency has faced, and continues to face, significant challenges in delivering the program due to the following factors: (1) The significant number of applicants proposing to offer broadband service that are start-ups with limited resources; (2) the continual evolution of telecommunications technology; and (3) the associated higher costs of serving rural communities. With the enactment of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (the 2014 Farm Bill), the Broadband Loan Program has been significantly modified, and was suspended while the Agency revised this regulation. Given that the program was unable to operate during the

revision, the Agency published an interim rule on July 30, 2015 in the **Federal Register** (80 FR 45397) so that the program could immediately reopen. The Agency invited comments to guide its efforts in drafting the new procedures implementing the 2014 Farm Bill and received comments from the National Cable & Telecommunications Association, WTA-Advocates for Rural Broadband and Mr. James Cook. These comments and the Agency's responses are summarized as follows:

#### Broadband Service and Broadband Lending Speed Definitions

*Comment:* Respondents commented that the definitions for Broadband Service, which sets the eligibility standard for an area, and Broadband Lending Speed, which sets the construction standard, are too low and should be raised to higher standards to be more in line with the current Federal Communications Commission (FCC) definition for broadband of 25 megabits downstream and 3 megabits upstream.

*Response:* As the respondents noted in their comments, the regulation establishes a process to change these definitions by publishing new requirements when a NOSA/NOFA is published opening up an application window. The Agency agrees that higher definitions would be beneficial to rural residents. However, when these definitions are set, it is not only the bandwidth requirement for rural areas that is considered but also the amount of funding that is available for any given application window. If a higher definition for bandwidth is used, more of the country then becomes eligible for funding. When limited funding is available, the Agency has to ensure that those funds are directed to the most unserved rural areas. The Agency will re-evaluate these requirements every time a NOSA/NOFA is published and set the standards accordingly.

#### Overbuilding

*Comment:* Respondents commented that the RUS investment should go into unserved areas and that the Agency count all terrestrial providers in the proposed service territory when determining area eligibility.

*Response:* RUS agrees that unserved areas should be the target of the program. To ensure that all terrestrial broadband providers are counted in an

area where an application is being considered, RUS has developed a multi-layer approach to locate them. First, when an application is submitted, the proposed service territory maps will be posted online utilizing the RUS Mapping Tool and existing service providers may respond to the public notice. If three or more existing providers are identified in the area and they meet the minimum eligibility speeds, then that area is considered ineligible for funding. If no providers respond to the public notice, then the Agency will have its field staff visit the proposed service area and locate all broadband providers in the area. The field staff will contact these providers and request that they respond to the public notice.

#### Area Eligibility

*Comment:* One respondent suggested that instead of three incumbents making a service area ineligible for funding, that the requirement be changed to two incumbents. The respondent also suggested that the definition of broadband service be raised to the current FCC definition for broadband of 25 megabits downstream and three megabits upstream.

*Response:* Although there is merit in using a higher bandwidth definition to determine area eligibility, the requirement that three incumbents in an area make that area ineligible is a statutory requirement and cannot be changed. The regulation does allow for the eligibility definition to be changed and the Agency will consider higher speeds whenever a NOSA/NOFA is published. As stated previously, the Agency must also recognize the amount of funding that is available each time an application window is opened and will set the eligibility definition accordingly.

#### Affordable Rates

*Comment:* One commenter reiterated that broadband service in rural areas needs to be affordable.

*Response:* Applicants must complete a market survey and a competitive analysis of all providers in the proposed service area as part of the application. This ensures that price points are set at the proper level for the area in question and that the operation is sustainable. There is a balance between providing high quality broadband service and charging the appropriate rate. It must be recognized that in less dense population

areas, it may be necessary to charge a higher rate to ensure the viability of the operation.

#### Affiliated Companies

*Comment:* One commenter proposed that affiliate or affiliated companies providing broadband service in the same proposed funded service area should be recognized as one incumbent service provider when considering if an area is eligible for funding.

*Response:* Each company that is providing broadband service in an area and meets the definition of an incumbent service provider will be counted as an incumbent service provider in determining the eligibility of an area. RUS cannot treat legally established companies properly acting as independent companies as the same entity.

#### Broadband Usage Restrictions

*Comment:* One commenter recommended that if a company has capped the amount of bandwidth that is allowed for a given period, that additional standards should be established in determining if this provider would be counted as an incumbent service provider when determining service eligibility.

*Response:* The Agency appreciates this suggestion and will consider it during the next revision to the regulation. The main goal of the program is to provide funding to areas that do not have any broadband service. Most companies that cap bandwidth have options where a consumer can buy more bandwidth at an additional cost.

#### Wireless Broadband Service

*Comment:* One commenter recommended that wireless solutions for broadband service should be validated during busy hour/busy time when determining if the wireless provider meets the definition for an incumbent service provide when determining area eligibility.

*Response:* There are many levels of providing broadband service and a number of ways for determining this. The Agency has elected to use advertised broadband rates that are being sold and to validate that this level of service is being provided in an area. We will consider implementing additional tests the next time the regulation is revised. If tests of this nature are implemented for wireless service providers then corresponding tests will have to be implemented for wireline service providers.

The Agency appreciates the interest of the commenters and thanks them for their comment submissions.

The Rural Utilities Service did not receive any significant adverse comments during the public comment period on the interim rule, and therefore confirms the rule without change.

#### PART 1738—RURAL BROADBAND ACCESS LOANS AND LOAN GUARANTEES

Accordingly, the interim rule amending 7 CFR part 1738 which was published at 80 FR 45397 on July 30, 2015, is adopted as a final rule without change.

Dated: May 26, 2016.

**Brandon McBride,**

*Administrator, Rural Utilities Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13302 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2016-4233; Directorate Identifier 2016-CE-003-AD; Amendment 39-18540; AD 2016-11-13]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; BLANIK LIMITED Gliders

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are superseding airworthiness directive (AD) 99-19-33 for BLANIK LIMITED Models L-13 Blanik and L-13 AC Blanik gliders (type certificate previously held by LET Aeronautical Works). This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as lack of distinct color marking of the elevator drive. We are issuing this AD to require actions to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD is effective July 14, 2016.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain other publication listed in this AD as of November 8, 1999 (64 FR 50440, September 17, 1999).

**ADDRESSES:** You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-

4233; or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

For service information identified in this AD, contact BLANIK LIMITED, 2nd Floor Beaux Lane House, Mercer Street Lower, Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland; phone: +420 733 662 194; email: [info@blanik.aero](mailto:info@blanik.aero); Internet: [http://www.blanik.aero/%EF%BB%BFcustomer\\_support](http://www.blanik.aero/%EF%BB%BFcustomer_support). You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148. It is also available on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for Docket No. FAA-2016-4233.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Rutherford, Aerospace Engineer, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4165; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: [jim.rutherford@faa.gov](mailto:jim.rutherford@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Discussion

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to BLANIK LIMITED Models L-13 Blanik and L-13 AC Blanik gliders. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on March 4, 2016 (81 FR 11473), and proposed to supersede AD 99-19-33, Amendment 39-11320 (64 FR 50440; September 17, 1999) (“AD 99-19-33”).

The NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products and was based on mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country. The MCAI states that:

Colour marking of elevator drive is not inspected or re-painted during sailplane operation. The elevator drive is asymmetrical and improper installation causes significant elevator deflection changes.

The MCAI can be found in the AD docket on the Internet at: <https://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=FAA-2016-4233-0003>.

A review of records since issuance of AD 99-19-33 revealed that the FAA inadvertently did not address this MCAI for the EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Models L 13 SEH VIVAT and L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders and the BLANIK LIMITED Model L-13 AC Blanik gliders. This AD would supersede AD 99-19-13 to add

the BLANIK LIMITED Model L-13 AC Blanik gliders to the applicability of the AD.

The FAA is addressing the EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Models L 13 SEH VIVAT and L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders in another AD action.

#### Comments

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We received no comments on the NPRM (81 FR 11473, March 4, 2016) or on the determination of the cost to the public.

#### Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed except for minor editorial changes. We have determined that these minor changes:

- Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM (81 FR 11473, March 4, 2016) for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM (81 FR 11473, March 4, 2016).

#### Related Service Information

We reviewed LET Aeronautical Works LET Mandatory Bulletin MB No.: L13/082a, dated December 10, 1998. The service information describes procedures for painting the left arm of the elevator drive. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the **ADDRESSES** section of the AD.

#### Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD will affect 124 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 1 work-hour per product to comply with the basic requirements of this AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour. Required parts would cost about \$10 per product.

Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the AD on U.S. operators to be \$11,780, or \$95 per product.

#### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701:

General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

#### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this AD:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
- (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-4233; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

#### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

## PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

- 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

- 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing Amendment 39-11320 (64 FR 50440, September 17, 1999) and adding the following new AD:

#### 2016-11-13 BLANIK LIMITED:

Amendment 39-18540; Docket No. FAA-2016-4233; Directorate Identifier 2016-CE-003-AD.

#### (a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective July 14, 2016.

#### (b) Affected ADs

This AD supersedes AD 99-19-33, Amendment 39-11320 (64 FR 50440; September 17, 1999) ("AD 99-19-33").

#### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to BLANIK LIMITED Models L-13 Blanik and L-13 AC Blanik gliders (type certificate previously held by LET Aeronautical Works), all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

#### (d) Subject

Air Transport Association of America (ATA) Code 27: Flight Controls.

#### (e) Reason

This AD was prompted by mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as lack of distinct color marking of the elevator drive. We are issuing this AD to prevent inadvertent backward installation of the elevator drive, which could cause significant elevator deflection changes and lead to loss of control.

#### (f) Actions and Compliance

Unless already done, do the following actions in paragraphs (f)(1) and (f)(2) of this AD, including all subparagraphs:

- (1) *Model L-13 Blanik gliders:*
  - (i) Within the next 3 calendar months after November 8, 1999 (the effective date retained from AD 99-19-33), paint the elevator drive mechanism using a contrasting color (such as red) following the procedures in LET Mandatory Bulletin MB No.: L13/082a, dated December 10, 1998.
  - (ii) As of November 8, 1999 (the effective date retained from AD 99-19-33), only install an elevator bellcrank that has been painted as specified in paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this AD and that has been properly oriented to make sure it is not being installed backward.
- (2) *Model L-13 AC Blanik gliders:*
  - (i) Within the next 3 calendar months after July 14, 2016 (the effective date of this AD), paint the elevator drive mechanism using a contrasting color (such as red) following the procedures in LET Mandatory Bulletin MB No.: L13/082a, dated December 10, 1998.

(ii) As of July 14, 2016 (the effective date of this AD), only install an elevator bellcrank that has been painted as specified in paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this AD and that has been properly oriented to make sure it is not being installed backward.

#### (g) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs)*: The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Jim Rutherford, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4165; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: [jim.rutherford@faa.gov](mailto:jim.rutherford@faa.gov). Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product*: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

#### (h) Related Information

Refer to MCAI Civil Aviation Authority AD CAA-AD-4-099/98, dated December 30, 1998, for related information. The MCAI can be found in the AD docket on the Internet at: <https://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=FAA-2016-4233-0003>.

#### (i) Material Incorporated by Reference

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(3) The following service information was approved for IBR on November 8, 1999 (64 FR 50440, September 17, 1999).

(i) LET Mandatory Bulletin MB No.: L13/082a, dated December 10, 1998.

(ii) Reserved.

(4) For service information identified in this AD, contact BLANIK LIMITED, 2nd Floor Beaux Lane House, Mercer Street Lower, Dublin 2, Republic of Ireland; phone: +420 733 662 194; email: [info@blanik.aero](mailto:info@blanik.aero); Internet: [http://www.blanik.aero/%EF%BB%BFcustomer\\_support](http://www.blanik.aero/%EF%BB%BFcustomer_support).

(5) You may view this service information at FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148. In addition, you can access this service information on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-4233.

(6) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records

Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html>.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 20, 2016.

**Pat Mullen,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-12591 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

**[Docket No. FAA-2016-4230; Directorate Identifier 2015-CE-041-AD; Amendment 39-18539; AD 2016-11-12]**

**RIN 2120-AA64**

#### **Airworthiness Directives; EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Gliders**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are superseding airworthiness directive (AD) 2000-20-12 for EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Models L 13 SEH VIVAT and L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders (type certificate previously held by AEROTECHNIK s.r.o.). This AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) issued by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as insufficient material strength of the tail-fuselage attachment fitting. We are issuing this AD to require actions to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** This AD is effective July 14, 2016.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain other publication listed in this AD as of November 27, 2000 (65 FR 61262, October 17, 2000).

**ADDRESSES:** You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-4230; or in person at the Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

For service information identified in this AD, contact EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o.,

Letecka 1008, 686 04 Kunovice, Czech Republic; phone: +420 572 537 428; email: [evektor@evektor.cz](mailto:evektor@evektor.cz); Internet: <http://www.evektor.cz/en/sales-and-support>. You may view this referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148. It is also available on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for Docket No. FAA-2016-4230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Rutherford, Aerospace Engineer, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4165; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: [jim.rutherford@faa.gov](mailto:jim.rutherford@faa.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Discussion**

We issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to amend 14 CFR part 39 by adding an AD that would apply to EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Models L 13 SEH VIVAT and L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders. That NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on March 4, 2016 (81 FR 11465), and proposed to supersede AD 2000-20-12, Amendment 39-11923 (65 FR 61262, October 17, 2000).

The NPRM proposed to correct an unsafe condition for the specified products and was based on mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country. The MCAI states that:

To prevent destruction of tail-fuselage attachment fitting which can lead to loss of control of the sailplane. This destruction could be caused due to lower strength of the material used during production.

The MCAI can be found in the AD docket on the Internet at: <https://www.regulations.gov/#!documentDetail;D=FAA-2016-4230-0003>.

A review of records since issuance of AD 2000-20-12 revealed that the FAA inadvertently did not address this MCAI for the EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Model L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders and the BLANIK LIMITED Model L-13 AC Blanik gliders. This AD supersedes AD 2000-20-12 to add the EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Model L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders to the applicability of the AD.

The FAA is addressing the BLANIK LIMITED Model L-13 AC Blanik gliders in another AD action.

##### **Comments**

We gave the public the opportunity to participate in developing this AD. We

received no comments on the NPRM (81 FR 11465, March 4, 2016) or on the determination of the cost to the public.

### Conclusion

We reviewed the relevant data and determined that air safety and the public interest require adopting the AD as proposed except for minor editorial changes. We identified that we inadvertently included a parts cost for the initial inspection in the NPRM (81 FR 11465, March 4, 2016), and we removed that parts cost from this final rule AD action. The basic estimated cost for U.S. operators remains the same. We have determined that these minor changes:

- Are consistent with the intent that was proposed in the NPRM (81 FR 11465, March 4, 2016) for correcting the unsafe condition; and
- Do not add any additional burden upon the public than was already proposed in the NPRM (81 FR 11465, March 4, 2016).

### Related Service Information Under 1 CFR Part 51

AEROTECHNIK CZ s.r.o. issued Mandatory Service Bulletin SEH 13-005a, dated November 18, 1999. The service information describes procedures for testing the material strength of attachment fitting part number A 102 021N and instructions for contacting the manufacturer for replacement information if necessary. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the **ADDRESSES** section of the AD.

### Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD will affect 9 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 4 work-hours per product to comply with the basic requirements of this AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour.

Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the AD on U.S. operators to be \$3,060, or \$340 per product.

In addition, we estimate that any necessary follow-on actions would take about 16 work-hours and require parts costing \$500, for a cost of \$1,860 per product. We have no way of determining the number of products that may need these actions.

According to the manufacturer, some of the costs of this AD may be covered under warranty, thereby reducing the cost impact on affected individuals. We do not control warranty coverage for affected individuals. As a result, we

have included all costs in our cost estimate.

### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this AD:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
- (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-4230; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains the NPRM, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section.

Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

- 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

- 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by removing Amendment 39-11923 (65 FR 61262; October 17, 2000) and adding the following new AD:

#### 2016-11-12 EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o.:

Amendment 39-18539; Docket No. FAA-2016-4230; Directorate Identifier 2015-CE-041-AD.

#### (a) Effective Date

This airworthiness directive (AD) becomes effective July 14, 2016.

#### (b) Affected ADs

This AD supersedes AD 2000-20-12, Amendment 39-11923 (65 FR 61262; October 17, 2000) ("AD 2000-20-12").

#### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o. Models L 13 SEH VIVAT and L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders (type certificate previously held by AEROTECHNIK s.r.o.), all serial numbers, certificated in any category.

#### (d) Subject

Air Transport Association of America (ATA) Code 53: Fuselage.

#### (e) Reason

This AD was prompted by mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as insufficient material strength of the tail-fuselage attachment fitting. We are issuing this proposed AD to detect and correct tail-fuselage fittings with insufficient material strength, which if left uncorrected could result in detachment of the tail from the fuselage with consequent loss of control.

#### (f) Actions and Compliance

Unless already done, do the following actions in paragraphs (f)(1) and (f)(2) of this AD, including all subparagraphs:

- (1) *Model L 13 SEH VIVAT gliders:*
  - (i) Within the next 60 days after November 27, 2000 (the effective date retained from AD 2000-20-12), inspect the tail-fuselage

attachment fitting, part number (P/N) A 102 021N, for damage and material hardness following the procedures in AEROTECHNIK CZ s.r.o. Mandatory Service Bulletin SEH 13-005a, dated November 18, 1999.

(ii) If you find the tail-fuselage attachment fitting is damaged or the material does not meet the hardness requirements specified in the service bulletin during the inspection required in paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this AD, before further flight, you must contact the manufacturer to obtain an FAA-approved replacement part for P/N A 102 021N and FAA-approved installation instructions and install the replacement part. Use the contact information found in paragraph (i)(4) to contact the manufacturer.

(iii) As of November 27, 2000 (the effective date retained from AD 2000-20-12), do not install, on any glider, a P/N A 102 021N attachment fitting that has not passed the inspection required in paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this AD.

(2) *Model L 13 SDM VIVAT gliders:*

(i) Within the next 60 days after July 14, 2016 (the effective date of this AD), inspect the tail-fuselage attachment fitting, P/N A 102 021N, for damage and material hardness following the procedures in AEROTECHNIK CZ s.r.o. Mandatory Service Bulletin SEH 13-005a, dated November 18, 1999.

(ii) If you find the tail-fuselage attachment fitting is damaged or the material does not meet the hardness requirements specified in the service bulletin during the inspection required in paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this AD, before further flight, you must contact the manufacturer to obtain an FAA-approved replacement part for P/N A 102 021N and FAA-approved installation instructions and install the replacement part. Use the contact information found in paragraph (i)(4) to contact the manufacturer.

(iii) As of July 14, 2016 (the effective date of this AD), do not install, on any glider, a P/N A 102 021N attachment fitting that has not passed the inspection required in paragraph (f)(2)(i) of this AD.

**(g) Other FAA AD Provisions**

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Jim Rutherford, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4165; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: [jim.rutherford@faa.gov](mailto:jim.rutherford@faa.gov). Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product:* For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

**(h) Related Information**

Refer to MCAI Civil Aviation Authority AD CAA-AD-T-112/1999R1, dated November 23, 1999, for related information. The MCAI can be found in the AD docket on the Internet at: <https://www.regulations.gov/#/documentDetail;D=FAA-2016-4230-0003>.

**(i) Material Incorporated by Reference**

(1) The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference (IBR) of the service information listed in this paragraph under 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51.

(2) You must use this service information as applicable to do the actions required by this AD, unless the AD specifies otherwise.

(3) The following service information was approved for IBR on November 27, 2000 (65 FR 61262, October 17, 2000).

(i) AEROTECHNIK CZ s.r.o. Mandatory Service Bulletin SEH 13-005a, dated November 18, 1999.

(ii) Reserved.

(4) For service information identified in this AD, contact EVEKTOR, spol. s.r.o., Letecka 1008, 686 04 Kunovice, Czech Republic; phone: +420 572 537 428; email: [evektor@evektor.cz](mailto:evektor@evektor.cz); Internet: <http://www.evektor.cz/en/sales-and-support>.

(5) You may review copies of the referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148. In addition, you can access this service information on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-4230.

(6) You may view this service information that is incorporated by reference at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/cfr/ibr-locations.html>.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 20, 2016.

**Pat Mullen,**

*Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-12601 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 71**

[Docket No. FAA-2016-0149; Airspace Docket No. 15-AWA-8]

**RIN 2120-AA66**

**Amendment of Class C Airspace; Billings Logan International Airport, MT**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action amends geographic coordinates of Billings Logan International Airport, Billings, MT, under Class C airspace, due to recent surveys of the airport. This action does not change the boundaries or operating requirements of the airspace.

**DATES:** Effective date 0901 UTC, September 15, 2016. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under title 1, Code of Federal Regulations, part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

**ADDRESSES:** FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at <http://www.faa.gov/airtraffic/publications/>. For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington DC, 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783. The order is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order 7400.9Z at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to [http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/code\\_of\\_federal-regulations/ibr\\_locations.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/code_of_federal-regulations/ibr_locations.html).

FAA Order 7400.9, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Gallant, Airspace Policy Group, Office of Airspace Services, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it updates the geographic coordinates of Billings Logan International Airport, Billings, MT.

## History

During a review of the airspace for Billings Logan International Airport, Billings, MT, the FAA identified that the airport's geographic coordinates were incorrect. This action updates the geographic coordinates to coincide with the FAA's aeronautical database for the respective Class C airspace area.

Class C airspace designations are published in paragraph 4000 of FAA Order 7400.9Z dated August 6, 2015, and effective September 15, 2015, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR part 71.1. The Class C airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

## Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document amends FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2015, and effective September 15, 2015. FAA Order 7400.9Z is publicly available as listed in the ADDRESSES section of this document. FAA Order 7400.9Z lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

## The Rule

This amendment to Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 amends Class C airspace at Billings Logan International Airport, Billings, MT, by adjusting the geographic coordinates to reflect recent survey data.

This is an administrative change and does not affect the boundaries, altitudes, or operating requirements of the airspace, therefore, notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) is unnecessary.

## Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial

number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

## Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures," paragraph 5–6.5a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

## Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

## Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

### PART 71 —DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

- 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### § 71.1 [Amended]

- 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2015, effective September 15, 2015, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 4000 Class C Airspace.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### ANM MT C Billings, MT [Amended]

Billings Logan International Airport, MT  
(Lat. 45°48'28" N., long. 108°32'34" W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 7,700 feet MSL within a 5-mile radius of the Billings Logan International Airport; and that airspace extending upward from 4,900 feet MSL to and including 7,700 feet MSL within a 10-mile radius of the airport.

Issued in Washington, DC, on, June 1, 2016.

**Leslie M. Swann,**

*Acting Manager, Airspace Policy Group.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13553 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA–2015–4452; Airspace Docket No. 15–AWA–7]

RIN 2120–AA66

#### Amendment of Class C Airspace; Capital Region International Airport, MI

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action modifies the Lansing, MI, Class C airspace at the Capital Region International Airport, formerly the Lansing Capital City Airport, by removing a cutout from the surface area that was put in place to accommodate operations at an airport that is now permanently closed. This action also updates the Capital Region International Airport name and geographic coordinates to reflect the current information in the FAA's aeronautical database. The FAA is taking this action to ensure the safe and efficient operations at Capital Region International Airport.

**DATES:** Effective date 0901 UTC, September 15, 2016. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order 7400.9 and publication of conforming amendments.

**ADDRESSES:** FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at [http://www.faa.gov/air\\_traffic/publications/](http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/). For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783. The Order is also available for inspection at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of FAA Order 7400.9Z at NARA, call (202) 741–6030, or go to [http://www.archives.gov/federal\\_register/code\\_of\\_federal\\_regulations/ibr\\_locations.html](http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html).

FAA Order 7400.9, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Colby Abbott, Airspace Policy Group, Office of Airspace Services, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Authority for This Rulemaking**

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority.

This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it modifies a portion of the terminal airspace structure at Capital Region International Airport, Lansing, MI.

**History**

On November 27, 2015, the FAA published in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to modify the Class C airspace at Capital Region International Airport, MI (80 FR 74061) Docket No. FAA-2015-4452. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal. No comments were received.

**Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference**

This document amends FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2015, and effective September 15, 2015. FAA Order 7400.9Z is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. FAA Order 7400.9Z lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

**The Rule**

The FAA is amending Title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 71 to modify the Capital Region International Airport Class C airspace area by removing the cutout from the Class C surface area that excluded the airspace within a 1-mile radius of the former Davis Airport and the airspace 1 mile either side of the 090° bearing from the former Davis Airport. The exclusion from the Class C surface area was in place solely to accommodate operations at Davis Airport, which closed in 2000 and was removed from the FAA's aeronautical database in 2006. Since the original purpose of the exclusion no longer exists, the FAA is removing the words “. . . excluding that airspace

within a 1-mile radius of the Davis Airport and excluding that airspace 1 mile either side of the 090° bearing from Davis Airport to the 5-mile radius from Capital City Airport . . .” from the Class C airspace description.

This action also updates the Capital Region International Airport name and geographic coordinates in the Lansing, MI, Class C airspace description to reflect the current information in the FAA's aeronautical database. Specifically, this action replaces “Capital City Airport” with “Capital Region International Airport” and replaces “lat. 42°46'43” N., long. 84°35'15” W.” with “lat. 42°46'43” N., long. 84°35'10” W.”

Class C airspace areas are published in paragraph 4000 of FAA Order 7400.9Z, dated August 6, 2015, and effective September 15, 2015, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class C airspace modification in this action will be published subsequently in the Order.

**Regulatory Notices and Analyses**

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**Environmental Review**

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures,” paragraph 5–6.5a. This airspace action consists of modifying Class C airspace area and it is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

**List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71**

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

**The Amendment**

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

**PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

**§ 71.1 [Amended]**

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the FAA Order 7400.9Z, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2015, and effective September 15, 2015, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 4000 Class C Airspace.*

\* \* \* \* \*

**AGL MI C Lansing, MI [Amended]**

Capital Region International Airport, MI  
(Lat. 42°46'43” N., long. 84°35'10” W.)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 4,900 feet MSL within a 5-mile radius of Capital Region International Airport; and that airspace extending upward from 2,100 feet MSL to and including 4,900 feet MSL within a 10-mile radius of Capital Region International Airport.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 31, 2016.

**Leslie M. Swann,**

*Acting Manager, Airspace Policy Group.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13551 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

[Docket No. CPSC–2013–0019]

**16 CFR Part 1227****Revisions to Safety Standard for Carriages and Strollers**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 104(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (“CPSIA”), also known as the Danny Keysar Child Product Safety Notification Act, the U.S.

Consumer Product Safety Commission (“Commission” or “CPSC”) has published consumer product safety standards for numerous durable infant or toddler products, including a safety standard for carriages and strollers. The standard incorporated by reference the ASTM voluntary standard for carriages and strollers, with a modification. In August 2011, Congress enacted a public law, which sets forth a process for updating standards that the Commission has issued under the authority of section 104(b) of the CPSIA. In accordance with that process, we are publishing this direct final rule, revising the CPSC’s standard for carriages and strollers to incorporate by reference a more recent version of the applicable ASTM standard.

**DATES:** The rule is effective on October 2, 2016, unless we receive significant adverse comment by July 11, 2016. If we receive timely significant adverse comments, we will publish notification in the **Federal Register**, withdrawing this direct final rule before its effective date. The incorporation by reference of the publications listed in this rule is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of October 2, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. CPSC–2013–0019, by any of the following methods:  
*Submit electronic comments in the following way:*

*Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. To ensure timely processing of comments, the Commission is no longer accepting comments submitted by electronic mail (email), except through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

*Submit written submissions in the following way:*

*Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to:* Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; telephone (301) 504–7923.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this document. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided, to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information electronically. Such information should be submitted in writing.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information related to the carriages and

strollers standard, contact: Rana Balci-Sinha, Director, Division of Human Factors, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 5 Research Place, Rockville MD 20850; telephone: 301–987–2584; email: [rbalcisinha@cpsc.gov](mailto:rbalcisinha@cpsc.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **A. Background**

The Danny Keysar Child Product Safety Notification Act. The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA, Pub. L. 110–314) was enacted on August 14, 2008. Section 104(b) of the CPSIA, also known as the Danny Keysar Child Product Safety Notification Act, requires the Commission to promulgate consumer product safety standards for durable infant or toddler products. The law requires that these standards are to be “substantially the same as” applicable voluntary standards or more stringent than the voluntary standards if the Commission concludes that more stringent requirements would further reduce the risk of injury associated with the product. On March 10, 2014, the Commission published a final rule issuing a standard for carriages and strollers that incorporated by reference the standard in effect at that time, ASTM F833–13b, with a modification to address potential hazardous openings created by adjustable grab bar/tray and foot rest configurations. 79 FR 13208. The standard was codified in the Commission’s regulations at 16 CFR part 1227.

Public Law 112–28. On August 12, 2011, Congress enacted Public Law 112–28, amending and revising several provisions of the CPSIA, including the Danny Keysar Child Product Safety Notification Act. The revised provision sets forth a process for updating CPSC’s durable infant or toddler standards when the voluntary standard upon which the CPSC standard was based is changed.

If an organization revises a standard that has been adopted, in whole or in part, as a consumer product safety standard under this subsection, the Commission must be notified. The statute further provides that the revised voluntary standard shall be considered to be a consumer product safety standard issued by the Commission under section 9 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2058), effective 180 days after the date on which the organization notifies the Commission (or such later date specified by the Commission in the **Federal Register**) unless, within 90 days after receiving that notice, the Commission notifies the organization that it has determined that the proposed

revision does not improve the safety of the consumer product covered by the standard and that the Commission is retaining the existing consumer product safety standard. Public Law 112–28, section 3.

**Notification of Revisions.** On April 5, 2016, ASTM notified the CPSC of ASTM’s approval and publication of revisions to ASTM F833–13b in a revised standard approved on November 1, 2015, ASTM F833–15, Standard Consumer Safety Performance Specification for Carriages and Strollers (ASTM F833–15). As discussed below, the Commission has reviewed the differences between 16 CFR part 1227 and ASTM F833–15.

##### **B. Revisions to the ASTM Standard**

There are several differences between 16 CFR part 1227 (which references ASTM F833–13b) and the revised version of the standard, ASTM F833–15. We summarize the differences and the CPSC’s assessment of the revisions below.

*Definition of Convertible Car Seat/Stroller.* The 2015 version of the ASTM standard adds a definition for a “convertible car seat/stroller” to clarify the distinction between a convertible car seat/stroller (*i.e.*, a car seat with wheels and a handle that can convert to a stroller) and a combined unit of a car seat on a stroller. The definition is referenced in a revised section regarding convertible car seat/strollers, which allows an exemption for restraints used in motor vehicles.

CPSC staff’s review shows that the addition of a definition for “convertible car seat/stroller” adds clarity to the revised standard because this definition is used in a revised section regarding performance requirements for combination units of a car seat on a stroller and convertible car seat/stroller. The addition of this definition is neutral regarding safety.

*Definitions of Tray/Grab Bar Locking and Stop Positions.* The 2015 version of the ASTM standard adds two new definitions that describe locking and stop positions of the tray/grab bar. These definitions are then referenced in revised sections clarifying the performance requirement and test methods associated with passive containment/foot opening.

CPSC staff’s review shows that the addition of definitions for tray/grab bar locking and stop positions improve clarity to the revised standard because these definitions are used in revised sections for performance requirements and test methods applicable to passive containment/foot openings. The

addition of these definitions is neutral regarding safety.

*Requirements for Static Load Associated with Step/Foxtrest.* The 2015 version of the ASTM standard repeats a requirement that any step or foxtrest on a stroller shall support a static load of 50 lbs under the performance requirements section, as well as under the test methods for static load.

CPSC staff's review shows that the addition of a separate section repeating the static load requirement adds clarity to the revised standard because the provision is equally applicable to both the performance requirement and test method sections. The addition of this section is neutral regarding safety.

*Requirements for Combination Unit of a Car Seat on a Stroller and Convertible Car Seat/Stroller.* The 2015 version of the standard allows products that are used as a car seat and that can convert to a stroller using the same restraint as the car seat, to be exempt from the stroller restraint system anchor points and crotch strap location requirements. The restraint systems for car seats sold in the United States are regulated under Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 213 (FMVSS 213).

CPSC staff's review shows that adding the exemption for a restraint system that is certified to restrain a child in a motor vehicle is neutral regarding safety because the restraint systems must comply with the FMVSS requirements. In addition, aside from the restraint system, the combination unit of a car seat on a stroller must still comply with all of the other applicable requirements when the car seat is installed in all of the manufacturer's recommended use positions.

*Requirements for Passive Containment/Foxt Opening, Testing Tray/Grab Bar Locking Positions, and Testing Tray/Grab Bar Positions.* The 2015 version of the standard requires testing of all applicable positions of the adjustable grab bar/tray that may create a hazardous opening. These positions consist of locking positions (including positions intended for non-occupant use), as well as stop positions (not a locking position but a position where tray/grab bar can remain stationary when a 5 lb force is applied for 10 seconds).

CPSC staff's review shows that the revisions improve the safety of the standard set forth in 16 CFR part 1227 to address hazardous openings created by adjustable grab bar/tray and foot rest configurations. In its regulation, the CPSC required that tests be conducted in the position "most likely to cause failure." See 16 CFR 1227.2(b). The 2015 version of the standard provides

additional clarity indicating that the test has to be repeated, depending on the number of adjustments that can be made in the grab bar/tray, as well as foxtrest or calf support positions. The revised test method is a clearer test and will improve the safety of the standard because all potentially hazardous openings will be evaluated.

*Warning Statements for Jogging Strollers.* The 2015 version of the standard clarifies the warning label requirements associated with strollers that have a removable-wheel fork assembly and strollers that are three-wheeled with a locking front wheel and are intended to be used for running, jogging, or walking fast, requiring the units to display the warning label. The warning content remains unchanged.

CPSC staff's review shows that the revisions on the warning label requirements improve the safety of strollers. The version referenced in 16 CFR part 1227, ASTM F833-13b, could be interpreted to require warning labels only on jogging strollers with a removable-wheel fork assembly. The 2015 version of the standard clarifies that the warning label requirements apply to: (1) Any stroller with a removable wheel fork assembly for the label that is placed on the front wheel fork; and (2) any three-wheeled stroller intended to be used while jogging, walking fast, or running with a locking front wheel. Accordingly, the revised standard makes clear that all of these types of three-wheeled strollers must display warning labels.

*Assessment of the Revisions to the ASTM Standard.* Under Public Law 112-28, unless the Commission determines that ASTM's revision "does not improve the safety of the consumer product covered by the standard," ASTM F833-15 will become the new mandatory standard for carriages and strollers. As discussed above, based on the CPSC staff's review, the Commission believes that certain revisions are neutral regarding safety. However, other revisions will improve the safety of standard, including the clarifications to the testing for adjustable grab bar/tray and foot rest configurations and warning labels. Consequently, the Commission did not determine or notify ASTM that the revised standard does not improve the safety of carriages and strollers.

In accordance with Public Law 112-28, the revised ASTM standard for carriages and strollers, therefore, becomes the new CPSC standard 180 days after the date the CPSC received notification of the revision from ASTM. This rule revises the incorporation by reference at 16 CFR part 1227, to

reference the ASTM standard, ASTM F833-15.

### C. Direct Final Rule Process

The Commission is issuing this rule as a direct final rule. Although the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") generally requires notice and comment rulemaking, section 553 of the APA provides an exception when the agency, for good cause, finds that notice and public procedure are "impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest." The Commission concludes that, in the context of these revisions to ASTM standards upon which CPSC's durable infant or toddler product standards are based, which automatically become consumer product standards and that simply would be incorporated by reference into applicable regulatory provisions, notice and comment is not necessary.

Without Commission action to update the incorporation by reference in the CPSC's mandated standards, the standard published in the Code of Federal Regulations will not reflect the revised ASTM standard that will be in effect by operation of law under Public Law 112-28. For accuracy, and to avoid misleading the public about the applicable consumer product standard, the Commission believes that issuing a rule revising the incorporation by reference in these circumstances is appropriate. In Recommendation 95-4, the Administrative Conference of the United States ("ACUS") endorsed direct final rulemaking as an appropriate procedure to expedite promulgation of rules that are noncontroversial and that are not expected to generate significant adverse comment. See 60 FR 43108 (August 18, 1995). Consistent with the ACUS recommendation, the Commission is publishing this rule as a direct final rule because we do not expect any significant adverse comments.

Revising the regulatory reference to the ASTM standard will conform the regulation to the substantive change in the applicable consumer product standard that will occur by operation of law under Public Law 112-28. Public comment will not impact the substantive changes to the standard or the effect of the revised standard as a consumer product safety standard under Public Law 112-28. Therefore, there is little for the public to comment upon.

Unless we receive a significant adverse comment within 30 days, the rule will become effective on October 2, 2016. In accordance with ACUS's recommendation, the Commission considers a significant adverse comment to be one where the commenter explains

why the rule would be inappropriate, including an assertion challenging the rule's underlying premise or approach, or a claim that the rule would be ineffective or unacceptable without change.

Should the Commission receive a significant adverse comment, the Commission would withdraw this direct final rule. Depending on the comments and other circumstances, the Commission may then incorporate the adverse comment into a subsequent direct final rule or publish a notice of proposed rulemaking, providing an opportunity for public comment.

#### **D. Effective Date**

Under the procedure set forth in Public Law 112–28, when a voluntary standard organization revises a standard upon which a consumer product safety standard issued under the Danny Keysar Child Product Safety Notification Act was based, the revision becomes the CPSC standard within 180 days of notification to the Commission, unless the Commission determines that the revision does not improve the safety of the product, or the Commission sets a later date in the **Federal Register**. In accordance with this provision, this rule establishes an effective date that is 180 days after we received notification from ASTM of revisions to these standards. As discussed in the preceding section, this is a direct final rule. Unless we receive a significant adverse comment within 30 days, the rule will become effective on October 2, 2016.

#### **E. Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (“RFA”) generally requires that agencies review proposed and final rules for their potential economic impact on small entities, including small businesses, and prepare regulatory flexibility analyses. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. The change to the incorporation by reference in the carriages and stroller standard will not result in any substantive changes to the standard. Therefore, this rule will not have any economic impact on small entities.

#### **F. Environmental Considerations**

The Commission's regulations provide a categorical exclusion for the Commission's rules from any requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement because they “have little or no potential for affecting the human environment.” 16 CFR 1021.5(c)(2). This rule falls within the categorical exclusion, so no environmental assessment or

environmental impact statement is required.

#### **G. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The carriages and stroller standard contain information collection requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520). No changes have been made to that section of the standard. Thus, these revisions will not have any effect on the information collection requirements related to that standard.

#### **H. Preemption**

Section 26(a) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2075(a), provides that where a “consumer product safety standard under [the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA)]” is in effect and applies to a product, no state or political subdivision of a state may either establish or continue in effect a requirement dealing with the same risk of injury unless the state requirement is identical to the federal standard. Section 26(c) of the CPSA also provides that states or political subdivisions of states may apply to the Commission for an exemption from this preemption under certain circumstances.

The Danny Keysar Child Product Safety Notification Act (at section 104(b)(1)(B) of the CPSIA) refers to the rules to be issued under that section as “consumer product safety standards,” thus, implying that the preemptive effect of section 26(a) of the CPSA would apply. Therefore, a rule issued under section 104 of the CPSIA will invoke the preemptive effect of section 26(a) of the CPSA when it becomes effective.

#### **I. Certification**

Section 14(a) of the CPSA imposes the requirement that products subject to a consumer product safety rule under the CPSA, or to a similar rule, ban, standard, or regulation under any other act enforced by the Commission, be certified as complying with all applicable CPSC requirements. 15 U.S.C. 2063(a). Such certification must be based on a test of each product, or on a reasonable testing program or, for children's products, on tests on a sufficient number of samples by a third party conformity assessment body accredited by the Commission to test according to the applicable requirements. As noted in the preceding discussion, standards issued under section 104(b)(1)(B) of the CPSIA are “consumer product safety standards.” Thus, they are subject to the testing and certification requirements of section 14 of the CPSA.

Because carriages and strollers are children's products, samples of these products must be tested by a third party conformity assessment body whose accreditation has been accepted by the Commission. These products also must comply with all other applicable CPSC requirements, such as the lead content requirements of section 101 of the CPSIA, the tracking label requirement in section 14(a)(5) of the CPSA, and the consumer registration form requirements in the Danny Keysar Child Product Safety Notification Act.

#### **J. Notice of Requirements**

In accordance with section 14(a)(3)(B)(iv) of the CPSIA, the Commission has previously published a notice of requirements (“NOR”) for accreditation of third party conformity assessment bodies for testing carriages and strollers (79 FR 13208 (March 10, 2014)). The NORs provided the criteria and process for our acceptance of accreditation of third party conformity assessment bodies for testing carriages and strollers to 16 CFR part 1227 (which incorporated ASTM F833–13b with modifications). The NORs are listed in the Commission's rule, “Requirements Pertaining to Third Party Conformity Assessment Bodies.” 16 CFR part 1112.

The revisions discussed above do not add any new provisions that would require a third party conformity assessment body (testing laboratory) to conduct additional tests. As discussed above, most of the revisions clarify the existing standard and will not change existing test methods. Although the test method associated with passive containment/foot opening has been clarified to require testing depending on the number of adjustments that can be made in the grab bar/tray as well as footrest or calf support positions, the revision is not expected to affect how a test laboratory tests strollers and convertible carriages/strollers in a stroller mode. Revising the reference to ASTM F833–15 for the carriages and stroller standard will not necessitate any change in the way that third party conformity assessment bodies test these products for compliance to CPSC standards. Therefore, the Commission considers the existing accreditations that the Commission has accepted for testing to this standard also to cover testing to the revised standard. The existing NOR for this standards will remain in place, and CPSC-accepted third party conformity assessment bodies are expected to update the scope of the testing laboratories' accreditation to reflect the revised standard in the normal course of renewing their accreditation.

## K. Incorporation by Reference

The OFR has regulations concerning incorporation by reference. 1 CFR part 51. Under these regulations, agencies must discuss, in the preamble of the final rule, ways that the materials the agency incorporates by reference are reasonably available to interested persons and how interested parties can obtain the materials. In addition, the preamble to the final rule must summarize the material. 1 CFR 51.5(b).

In accordance with the OFR's requirements, section B of this preamble summarizes the ASTM F833-15 standard that the Commission incorporates by reference into 16 CFR part 1227. The standard is reasonably available to interested parties and interested parties may purchase a copy of the standard from ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959 USA, phone: 610-832-9585; <http://www.astm.org/>. A copy of the standard can also be inspected at CPSC's Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814, telephone 301-504-7923.

### List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 1227

Consumer protection, Imports, Incorporation by reference, Infants and children, Law enforcement, Safety, Toys.

For the reasons stated above, the Commission amends title 16 CFR chapter II as follows:

## PART 1227—SAFETY STANDARD FOR CARRIAGES AND STROLLERS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1227 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008, Public Law 110-314, 104, 122 Stat. 3016 (August 14, 2008); Public Law 112-28, 125 Stat. 273 (August 12, 2011).

■ 2. Revise § 1227.2 to read as follows:

### § 1227.2 Requirements for carriages and strollers.

Each carriage and stroller shall comply with all applicable provisions of ASTM F833-15, Standard Consumer Safety Specification for Carriages and Strollers, approved November 1, 2015. The Director of the Federal Register approves the incorporation by reference listed in this section in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. You may obtain a copy of this ASTM standard from ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959 USA; phone: 610-832-9585; <http://>

[www.astm.org/](http://www.astm.org/). You may inspect a copy at the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814, telephone 301-504-7923, or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: <http://www.archives.gov/federal-register/code-of-federal-regulations/ibr-locations.html>.

Dated: June 8, 2016.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016-13663 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### 17 CFR Part 249

[Release No. 34-77969; File No. S7-09-16]

RIN 3235-AL89

### Form 10-K Summary

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission.

**ACTION:** Interim final rule; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** We are adopting an interim final amendment to implement Section 72001 of the Fixing America's Surface Transportation ("FAST") Act. The interim final amendment provides that a registrant may, at its option, include a summary in its Form 10-K provided that each item in the summary includes a cross-reference by hyperlink to the material contained in the registrant's Form 10-K to which such item relates.

#### DATES:

**Effective Date:** The interim final rule is effective on June 9, 2016.

**Comment Date:** Comments should be received on or before July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/interim-final-temp.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number S7-09-16 on the subject line; or
- Use the Federal eRulemaking Portal (<http://www.regulations.gov>). Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

#### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities

and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number S7-09-16. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/interim-final-temp.shtml>). Comments are also available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** N. Sean Harrison, Special Counsel, at (202) 551-3430, in the Office of Rulemaking, Division of Corporation Finance, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** We are adopting an interim final amendment to Form 10-K<sup>1</sup> under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.<sup>2</sup>

## I. Introduction

We are adopting an interim final amendment to Form 10-K that implements Section 72001 of the FAST Act,<sup>3</sup> which became law on December 4, 2015. Section 72001 of the FAST Act directs the Commission, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment, to issue regulations to permit "issuers"<sup>4</sup> to submit a "summary page"<sup>5</sup> on Form 10-K, but only if each item on such summary page includes a cross-reference (by electronic link or otherwise) to the material contained in Form 10-K to which such item relates.

## II. Discussion of Amendment

Although our current rules do not prohibit a registrant from including voluntary information, such as a

<sup>1</sup> 17 CFR 249.310.

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*

<sup>3</sup> Public Law 114-94, 129 Stat. 1312 (Dec. 4, 2015).

<sup>4</sup> We use the terms "issuer" and "registrant" interchangeably throughout this release to refer to a company that is subject to Section 13 [15 U.S.C. 78m] or 15(d) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. 78o(d)] and is required to file an annual report on Form 10-K.

<sup>5</sup> As used in this release, the term "summary page" should not be construed to mean that the summary needs to be a single page, or of any specific length.

summary, in its Form 10-K,<sup>6</sup> we are amending Part IV of Form 10-K<sup>7</sup> to add new Item 16. This new item will expressly allow a registrant, at its option, to include a summary in the Form 10-K. To implement the statutory requirement that each item in the summary be accompanied by an electronic or other cross-reference, new Item 16 requires that each summary topic be hyperlinked to the related, more detailed disclosure item in the Form 10-K.

In light of the varied nature of registrants' size and operations, we believe that registrants should have the flexibility to determine how best to prepare the summary. Accordingly, the amendment does not prescribe the length of the summary (other than to state that the summary shall be brief), specify the Form 10-K disclosure items that should be covered by the summary,<sup>8</sup> or dictate where the summary must appear in the Form 10-K.

We recognize that it might not be practicable or necessary to summarize every Form 10-K disclosure item. The amendment is principles-based and affords a registrant choosing to include a summary the flexibility to decide which items to summarize,<sup>9</sup> as long as

<sup>6</sup> Voluntary information included in Exchange Act filings is subject to the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws and the officer certifications required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) [17 CFR 240.13a-14(a) and 240.15d-14(a)].

<sup>7</sup> Part IV of Form 10-K sets forth the requirements for financial statement schedules, exhibits and certain supplemental information to be furnished to the Commission.

<sup>8</sup> Form 10-K is organized in four parts with each part containing distinct disclosure requirements. Part I (Items 1-4) contains disclosure requirements that relate to, among other things, the registrant's business, risk factors, properties, legal proceedings, and mine safety disclosure, if applicable. Part II (Items 5-9B) contains disclosure requirements that relate to market information, selected financial data, management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations ("MD&A"), quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk, financial statements and supplementary data, a description of changes in and disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure, controls and procedures and other information. Part III (Items 10-14) contains disclosure requirements that relate to directors and executive officers, management remuneration, beneficial ownership, related party transactions and principal accountants' fees and services and other information. Lastly, Part IV (Item 15, signatures and supplemental information) contains requirements that relate to financial statement schedules and exhibits.

<sup>9</sup> The flexible and non-prescriptive nature of new Item 16 is similar to other principles-based requirements under our rules, such as Item 503 Regulation S-K [17 CFR 229.503] and Item 1001 of Regulation M-A [17 CFR 229.1001]. As set forth below in the Request for Comment, we solicit and encourage comment on whether further guidance on preparing the summary should be provided.

the information is presented fairly and accurately.

We are also including an instruction in Item 16 of Form 10-K that addresses information incorporated by reference into the Form 10-K that a registrant may choose to summarize. Exchange Act Rule 12b-23 allows registrants to incorporate information by reference in answer, or partial answer, to any item of an Exchange Act registration statement or report subject to certain conditions.<sup>10</sup> Generally, the incorporated information must be filed as an exhibit to the registration statement or report.<sup>11</sup> Under General Instruction G to Form 10-K, a registrant may incorporate by reference the information required by Parts I or II of Form 10-K from the registrant's annual report to security holders.<sup>12</sup> The information required by Part III of Form 10-K also may be incorporated by reference from a proxy or information statement involving the election of directors, if filed within 120 days of the end of the fiscal year.<sup>13</sup>

As stated above, the interim final amendment to Form 10-K requires the summary to include hyperlinks to the related, more detailed disclosure item in the Form 10-K, regardless of whether the more detailed disclosure appears in the sections of the Form 10-K that follow the summary or in a Form 10-K exhibit. Currently, registrants can hyperlink to different sections within the same document, as well as to specific sections of exhibits that are part of the same filing.

Therefore, the interim final amendment requires registrants electing to prepare a Form 10-K summary that discusses information that is incorporated by reference into the Form 10-K and for which an exhibit is filed with the form to include a hyperlink from the summary to the discussion in the accompanying exhibit. Under the interim final amendment, a registrant choosing to include a summary will only be able to summarize information that is included in the Form 10-K at the time the form is filed, and will not have to file a Form 10-K amendment to summarize Part III information that is incorporated by reference from a proxy

<sup>10</sup> 17 CFR 240.12b-23.

<sup>11</sup> Rule 12b-23(a)(3)(i) [17CFR 240.12b-23(a)(3)(i)] provides an exception that does not require a proxy or information statement incorporated by reference in response to Part III of Form 10-K to be filed as an exhibit.

<sup>12</sup> Information incorporated from the annual report to security holders to fulfill the requirements of Part I of Form 10-K must contain the information required by Items 1-3 of Part I of Form 10-K to the extent applicable. See Note 1 to General Instruction G(2) to Form 10-K.

<sup>13</sup> See Note 2 to General Instruction G(2) to Form 10-K.

or information statement that will be filed after the date that the registrant files its Form 10-K.<sup>14</sup> In that case, however, the registrant must indicate that the summary omits the Part III information.

#### *Request for Comment*

We request and encourage any interested person to submit comments on any aspect of the interim final amendment, other matters that might have an impact on the amendment, and any suggestions for further revisions. In addition, we seek comment on the following:

1. Are companies and investors likely to find a Form 10-K summary useful? If so, should we propose mandating a summary?<sup>15</sup>

2. Would it be helpful to EDGAR users for the Form 10-K summary or a link to the summary to be displayed on a registrant's EDGAR search results landing page?<sup>16</sup>

3. Should we impose a length limitation on the summary? If so, what limitation would be appropriate (e.g., a page limit, word limit, character limit)?

4. Should we provide further guidance on preparation of the summary? For example, should we include language similar to Item 503(a) of Regulation S-K, which covers a prospectus summary?<sup>17</sup>

<sup>14</sup> See Instruction 1 to new Item 16 of Form 10-K. In addition, if the Part III information that is incorporated by reference contains a summary, such as commonly provided in proxy statements for executive compensation disclosure, that summary need not include hyperlinks.

<sup>15</sup> In 2008, the Advisory Committee on Improvements to Financial Reporting issued to the Commission a report that, among other things, recommended an executive summary in the forefront of a company's annual report on Form 10-K (with material updates in quarterly reports on Form 10-Q) that would describe concisely the most important themes or other significant matters with which management is primarily concerned, along with a page index showing where investors could find more detailed information in the document. See *Final Report of the Advisory Committee on Improvements to Financial Reporting to the United States Securities and Exchange Commission* (Aug. 1, 2008), available at <https://www.sec.gov/about/offices/oca/acifr/acifr-finalreport.pdf>.

<sup>16</sup> We are considering ways to further enhance the presentation and usability of the Form 10-K summary. In this regard, we could require registrants to include HTML tags to identify the Form 10-K summary in their EDGAR submissions. This would make it possible for EDGAR to extract the summary from the Form 10-K, so that the information could be included on the registrant's search results EDGAR landing page. This could allow investors to more easily access the information.

<sup>17</sup> Among other provisions, Item 503(a) states "The summary should be brief. The summary should not contain, and is not required to contain, all of the detailed information in the prospectus. If you provide summary business or financial information, even if you do not caption it as a

5. Should we require that the summary appear at the beginning of the Form 10-K? Should we require certain content or a specific format for the Form 10-K summary? For example, should we propose to require registrants choosing to prepare a summary to include specified Form 10-K items, such as the MD&A? Are there some items that registrants should not be permitted to include in a summary? If so, which items should be required to be included in, or excluded from, the summary?

6. Should we require registrants that cannot include a summary of the Part III information (because that information will be incorporated by reference from a later filed proxy or information statement involving the election of directors) to file a Form 10-K amendment to update the summary to reflect the Part III information when that information is filed with the proxy or information statement?

7. Are there other cross-reference methods that we should allow in lieu of, or in addition to, hyperlinks?

8. Should we propose to amend other annual reporting forms, such as Form 20-F<sup>18</sup> filed by foreign private issuers, or Form 1-K<sup>19</sup> filed by issuers that have conducted a Regulation A offering,<sup>20</sup> to expressly allow a summary similar to the approach we are adopting for Form 10-K? Would such revisions be useful given that our rules do not prohibit such registrants from voluntarily including a summary in their annual reports?

With respect to any comments, we note that they are of greatest assistance if accompanied by supporting data and analysis of the issues addressed in those comments.

### III. Procedural and Other Matters

The Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”) generally requires an agency to publish notice of a rulemaking in the **Federal Register** and provide an opportunity for public comment. This requirement does not apply, however, if the agency “for good cause finds . . . that notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.”<sup>21</sup> Because the amendment conforms the specified form to the requirements of a newly enacted statute, the FAST Act, and involves minimal exercise of discretion, the Commission finds that notice and

summary, you still must provide that information in plain English.”

<sup>18</sup> 17 CFR 249.220f.

<sup>19</sup> 17 CFR 239.93.

<sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 230.251–230.263.

<sup>21</sup> 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B).

public comment are unnecessary.<sup>22</sup> As discussed above, Section 72001 of the FAST Act directs the Commission, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment, to issue regulations to permit issuers to submit a summary page on Form 10-K, but only if each item on such summary page includes a cross-reference (by electronic link or otherwise) to the material contained in Form 10-K to which such item relates. The amendment to Form 10-K that we are adopting revises the form to make it consistent with this provision of the FAST Act by expressly providing that a registrant may, at its option, include a summary in its Form 10-K (subject to certain conditions), something that registrants currently are permitted to do under existing rules.

For similar reasons, although the APA generally requires publication of a rule at least 30 days before its effective date, the Commission finds there is good cause for the amendment to take effect on June 9, 2016.<sup>23</sup>

### IV. Economic Analysis

As discussed above, we are amending Form 10-K to implement Section 72001 of the FAST Act. The interim final amendment will provide that a registrant may, at its option, include a summary in its Form 10-K provided that each item in the summary includes a cross-reference by hyperlink to the material contained in the registrant’s Form 10-K to which such item relates. Under the amendment, a registrant will have the flexibility to determine the content of the summary and its length.

We are sensitive to the costs and benefits of the amendment.<sup>24</sup> In this economic analysis, we examine the existing baseline, which consists of the current regulatory framework and market practices, and discuss the potential benefits and costs of the

<sup>22</sup> This finding also satisfies the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 808(2), allowing the amendment to become effective notwithstanding the requirement of 5 U.S.C. 801 (if a federal agency finds that notice and public comment are impractical, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest, a rule shall take effect at such time as the federal agency promulgating the rule determines). The amendment also does not require analysis under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. See 5 U.S.C. 604(a) (requiring a final regulatory flexibility analysis only for rules required by the APA or other law to undergo notice and comment).

<sup>23</sup> See 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3).

<sup>24</sup> Exchange Act Section 23(a)(2) requires us, when adopting rules, to consider the impact that any new rule would have on competition. In addition, Section 3(f) of the Exchange Act directs us, when engaging in rulemaking that requires us to consider or determine whether an action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, to consider, in addition to the protection of investors, whether the action will promote efficiency, competition, and capital formation.

amendment, relative to this baseline, and its potential effects on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. We also consider the potential costs and benefits of reasonable alternatives to the amendment.

Where practicable, we attempt to quantify the economic effects of the amendment; however, in certain cases, we are unable to do so because we lack the necessary data. We do, however, provide a qualitative assessment of the likely economic effects.

#### A. Introduction

As discussed above, new Item 16 to Form 10-K provides that a registrant may, at its option, include a summary in its Form 10-K provided that each item in the summary includes a hyperlink to the detailed information in the registrant’s Form 10-K to which such item relates. In light of the varied nature of registrants’ size and operations, the amendment will provide registrants with flexibility in preparing the summary. The amendment does not prescribe the length of the summary, specify the Form 10-K disclosure items that should be covered in the summary, or dictate where the summary must appear in the Form 10-K.

A registrant may decide which items to summarize as long as the information is presented fairly and accurately. A summary should provide more information than a table of contents, which is often included in Form 10-K and generally shows the complete organizational structure of Form 10-K by listing each disclosure item without a summary of the disclosure. A summary with hyperlinked cross-references will allow users to easily locate the corresponding items in Form 10-K where the disclosure is fully presented, with the potential effect of enhancing the ability of investors and other users of the disclosure to process relevant information and/or reducing their processing time and search costs.

#### B. Baseline and Affected Parties

The amendment will potentially affect all registrants subject to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act that are required to file an annual report on Form 10-K. However, given that current rules do not prohibit a registrant from voluntarily including a summary in its Form 10-K, the amendment likely will not have a substantial impact on the disclosure practices of registrants and on the information processing ability of investors and other users of the disclosure.

In particular, we expect that registrants that do not currently include a summary in their Form 10-Ks will not

be likely to begin doing so in response to the amendment. Also, registrants that currently include a summary in their Form 10-K with a hyperlink for each disclosure topic in the summary to the related material contained in their Form 10-K will not be affected by the amendment because this practice will be in compliance with the hyperlink requirement. Among the registrants that are required to file a Form 10-K, the amendment will affect registrants that currently include a summary in their Form 10-Ks and that (1) do not cross-reference items; (2) use cross-references other than hyperlinks; or (3) use hyperlinks but not for all disclosure topics included in the summary. Under the amendment, if these registrants chose to continue to include a summary in their Form 10-K, they will be required to include hyperlinks to each disclosure topic that is mentioned.

We estimate that, in calendar year 2015, we received 7,844 Form 10-K filings. To draw a baseline indicative of the current disclosure practices among Form 10-K filers, we selected a random sample of 150 of these filings to review. Although small, the random sample was representative of the overall 2015 population of Form 10-K filers and consisted of 42 large accelerated filers, 29 accelerated filers, 27 non-accelerated filers, and 52 smaller reporting companies. None of the filings in the sample included a summary. A large majority (70%) of the 150 sampled filings included a table of contents that was fully hyperlinked to the corresponding items.

Due to the greater complexity of their operations, larger registrants generally have more extensive disclosures that are reflected in lengthier Form 10-Ks and may be more inclined to include a summary to assist investors and other users in navigating their filings.<sup>25</sup> Since we did not find any registrants in the random sample that included a summary in their Form 10-K, we also reviewed the most recent Form 10-K filed by each of the companies on the Fortune 100 list, which includes the largest 100 U.S. companies.<sup>26</sup> Of these companies, we found one large accelerated filer that included a

summary in its Form 10-K. This summary provided an overview of several disclosure topics with cross-references, but not hyperlinks, to the more detailed discussion contained in the Form 10-K. While we found only one registrant that included a summary in its most recent Form 10-K, we found that a large majority of the companies (87%) included a table of contents that was fully hyperlinked to the corresponding items.

There may be several reasons why a summary is not widely used in Form 10-Ks. As with any other voluntary disclosure, registrants presumably weigh the potential incremental disclosure costs, including any liability considerations, against the potential benefits associated with including a summary in a Form 10-K. Among other factors, the perceived net benefit will depend on the presence of alternative disclosures that serve a similar purpose as a summary and on investor interest in such summary. For example, a table of contents may already provide an outline of the Form 10-K and indicate where investors can find additional information in the document.

In conclusion, based on our analysis of two relatively small samples of Form 10-K filings, it appears that the use of a summary in Form 10-Ks is currently extremely limited. While we cannot draw definite conclusions on the current use of a summary or on the current use of hyperlinks in summaries for the entire population of Form 10-K filers due to the size of the samples in our analysis, we believe that the amendment is likely to affect a limited number of Form 10-K filers that currently opt to include a summary in their Form 10-K. As a result of the hyperlink requirement, these filers will need to include a hyperlink for each disclosure topic that is not currently hyperlinked.

### C. Potential Economic Effects

As noted above, Section 72001 of the FAST Act directs the Commission to issue regulations to permit registrants to submit a summary on Form 10-K with cross-references to the related discussion in the report. In implementing this mandate, the amendment will provide that registrants may include a summary in their Form 10-K if each item in the summary includes a hyperlink to the related material contained in the Form 10-K to which such item relates. Relative to cross-references that supply users with only a page reference to the specific Form 10-K items, hyperlinks will not only supply the location but also allow

users to reach that location more easily and quickly.

By presenting an overview of the information contained in Form 10-K, a summary with hyperlinks could make disclosure more effective by enhancing the ability of investors and other users to process relevant information and/or by reducing their processing time and search costs. A summary can be particularly useful to investors and other users in the case of more complex<sup>27</sup> and larger<sup>28</sup> Form 10-Ks. Academic literature has examined the readability of Form 10-Ks and suggested that concisely written documents are more likely to be read, and their information more effectively incorporated into stock prices, compared to longer Form 10-Ks.<sup>29</sup> To the extent that a summary contains a concise overview of the information included in the more detailed disclosure items, the usefulness of the summary for investors may translate into potential positive effects on allocative efficiency and capital formation for registrants who opt to include it.<sup>30</sup> This, in turn, may have positive effects on competition for registrants, relative to, for example, registrants who do not opt to include a summary. For example, a summary could increase investors' interest in the business of a registrant because it may attract investors who otherwise would not be inclined to read the more detailed and lengthy information in the full Form 10-K. We

<sup>27</sup> See Feng Li, *Annual Report Readability, Current Earnings, and Earnings Persistence*, 45 J. of ACCT. & ECON. 221-47 (2008). Using the Fog index and word count of Form 10-Ks, the author found that firms with annual reports that are easier to read have more persistent positive earnings and argues that firm managers may try to hide poor future earnings from investors by increasing the complexity of their written documents. The Fog index is a commonly used measure of the readability of a document.

<sup>28</sup> See Loughran & McDonald, *supra* note 25. While word count and file size are highly correlated, the authors found there is evidence that Form 10-K file size (in megabytes) is a better inverse proxy for readability than a commonly used metric of readability like the Fog index. Larger Form 10-Ks are significantly associated with high return volatility, earnings forecast errors, and earnings forecast dispersion, after controlling for other variables such as firm size, book-to-market, past volatility, industry effects, and prior stock performance.

<sup>29</sup> See Haifeng You & Xiao-jun Zhang, *Financial Reporting Complexity and Investor Under-Reaction to 10-K Information*, 14 REV. of ACCT. STUD. 559-86 (2009). Using the number of words in a Form 10-K as a measure of financial reporting complexity, the authors found that firms above the annual median word count have a delayed stock market reaction over the following 12 months.

<sup>30</sup> See Alastair Lawrence, *Individual Investors and Financial Disclosure*, 56 J. of ACCT. & ECON. 130-47 (2013). Using detailed data of individual investors, this study shows that, on average, individuals invest more in firms with clear and concise financial disclosures.

<sup>25</sup> In addition to structural complexity, there may be other reasons for the length of disclosure documents. One study argues that firms may try to obscure mandated earnings-relevant information by burying the results in longer documents. Additionally, litigation risk may create an incentive to disclose information whether it is useful or not. See Tim Loughran & Bill McDonald, *Measuring Readability in Financial Disclosures*, 69 J. of FIN. 1643-1671 (2014).

<sup>26</sup> Eight entities included in the Fortune 100 list are privately-held companies; therefore, no Form 10-K was available for them.

note that, if users were to rely only on the summary to make investment decisions without considering the more extensive disclosure provided elsewhere in the Form 10-K or other disclosure documents of the registrant, this could lead to less informed investment decisions with a corresponding decrease in allocative efficiency. Overall, relative to the current baseline, we expect that the amendment will have incremental positive effects on efficiency, competition, and capital formation, although, for the reasons discussed above, we do not expect these effects to be particularly significant.

Permitting registrants to determine the content, length, and location of a summary will enable them to tailor the format and presentation of the summary to best suit the specific aspects of their business and operational and financial results. It also will enable registrants to focus on topics or items they consider important to communicate to investors, subject to the overall requirement to present the summary fairly and accurately.

While a summary is potentially useful for investors and registrants, registrants who include a summary in their Form 10-Ks will incur increased disclosure costs to prepare the summary. As discussed above, given that Form 10-K filers can already voluntarily include a summary, we expect that, as a result of the amendment, registrants will not significantly change their disclosure practices by electing to include a summary if they currently do not.

Relative to the current baseline, we expect the potential benefits and costs stemming from the amendment to be limited and primarily related to those registrants—and their investors—who already include a summary in their Form 10-K but do not currently hyperlink or hyperlink only in part. Registrants that have voluntarily included a summary in the past and have not hyperlinked the items in the summary to the relevant sections in the Form 10-K will incur compliance costs to add hyperlinks.

There are potential benefits from adding cross-references to the Form 10-K summary. A summary that briefly discusses items in the Form 10-K without any type of cross-references may disconnect the information in the summary from the disclosure contained in other parts of Form 10-K. The required hyperlinks will serve not only as a reminder for investors that a summary complements the more extensive disclosure presented in other parts of the document, but also as a compass for users to navigate the document more easily and quickly. The

required hyperlinks will easily direct users to a particular item, allowing users to avoid searching the Form 10-K in its entirety, thereby significantly reducing their search costs.

Relative to other types of cross-references that registrants may currently use, such as a footnote or plain text that points to a certain page number or location in the document, the inclusion of hyperlinks should direct users to relevant parts of Form 10-K more easily and quickly. To the extent that hyperlinks are implemented properly, they are able to automatically take the reader to that document or section. Cross-referencing through hyperlinks should make it easier for users to navigate the disclosure and decrease their search time and costs.

Finally, requiring hyperlinks for all topics in a summary that currently has only partial hyperlinks will prevent registrants from selectively steering investors and other users toward particular sections in the Form 10-K.

#### *D. Alternatives*

We considered three alternatives to the amendment. First, instead of providing registrants with the option of including a summary in their Form 10-K, we could have required all registrants to include a summary. By requiring a summary, investors and users could more extensively benefit from the potential usefulness of the summary. In particular, as discussed above, a summary could enhance investors' ability to process relevant material information in the filing. To the extent that a required summary contains useful and concise information, it could translate to potential positive effects on allocative efficiency for a greater number of registrants than under a voluntary approach. These potential benefits could be particularly relevant in the case of registrants with more complex operations that typically file larger reports that investors may find more time-consuming to read. They may be less relevant in the case of smaller registrants that typically have simpler operations and shorter Form 10-Ks. Consequently, requiring a mandatory summary for all registrants may impose additional compliance costs that are not justified by the overall benefits to investors and registrants, although the flexibility to determine the format of the summary could mitigate these additional compliance costs.

Second, instead of providing registrants with the flexibility to determine length, content, and location of the summary in Form 10-K, we could have prescribed a specific format of the summary. This could achieve

consistency across filings and may enable users to compare the summaries of multiple registrants more efficiently. A specific format may also ease the preparation of a summary for some registrants, thereby encouraging them to provide a voluntary summary in their Form 10-Ks. At the same time, prescribing a specific format may discourage registrants from including a summary in their Form 10-K if they find the format not useful for their specific circumstances. Further, if the prescribed format includes sections that are unnecessary to effectively assess the registrant, it could detract from, rather than facilitate, investors' ability to process information efficiently.

Third, instead of requiring hyperlinks, we could have required registrants to use any type of cross-references, electronic or otherwise, to the extent that it would serve the function of locating the corresponding material in the Form 10-K.<sup>31</sup> This alternative would allow greater flexibility to registrants to use either hyperlinks or non-electronic cross-references, such as footnotes or plain text that points to a certain page number or other location in the document, or a combination of the two types in the summary. However, to the extent that registrants choose to use non-electronic cross-references under this alternative, the ability of investors to navigate the disclosure contained in the Form 10-K would be diminished relative to the proposal.

## **V. Paperwork Reduction Act**

### *A. Background*

Certain provisions of Form 10-K that will be affected by the interim final amendment contain "collection of information" requirements within the meaning of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 ("PRA").<sup>32</sup> The Commission is submitting the interim final amendment to the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for review in accordance with the PRA.<sup>33</sup> The title for the collections of information is:

"Form 10-K" (OMB Control No. 3235-0063).

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information requirement unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Compliance with the information

<sup>31</sup> Section 72001 of the FAST Act requires that each item on the summary page include a "cross-reference" to the material contained in the Form 10-K, but the statute does not mandate any particular type of cross-reference.

<sup>32</sup> 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

<sup>33</sup> 44 U.S.C. 3507(d) and 5 CFR 1320.11.

collections is mandatory. Responses to the information collections are not kept confidential and there is no mandatory retention period for the information disclosed. Form 10-K was adopted under the Exchange Act and sets forth the disclosure requirements for annual reports filed by registrants to help investors make informed investment decisions. The hours and costs associated with preparing and filing Form 10-K constitute reporting and cost burdens imposed by each collection of information.

*B. Summary of the Amendment*

As described in more detail above, we are adopting an interim final amendment to Form 10-K to implement Section 72001 of the FAST Act. We are amending Form 10-K to add new Item 16. This new item will explicitly allow a registrant, at its option, to include a summary in the Form 10-K. Each disclosure topic included in the summary is required to contain a hyperlink to the related, more detailed disclosure item in the Form 10-K. Under the interim final amendment, a registrant has the flexibility to determine the content and the length of the summary.

*C. Burden and Cost Estimates Related to the Amendment*

We anticipate that new Item 16 of Form 10-K will increase the burdens and costs for companies that elect to prepare a summary. We derived our

burden hour and cost estimates by estimating the average amount of time it would take a registrant to prepare and review the summary, as well as the average hourly rate for outside professionals who assist with such preparation. In addition, our burden estimates are based on several assumptions.

First, we assumed that registrants that elect to prepare a summary will not summarize every item in the Form 10-K. Therefore, to estimate the average burden hours of the interim final amendment, we have looked to the burden estimates carried internally by registrants for Form 10,<sup>34</sup> an Exchange Act registration form that requires many of same item disclosures as does Form 10-K. For purposes of the PRA, we have estimated the total burden per response for preparing and filing Form 10 to be 215 hours and that 25% of that burden (53.75 hours) is carried internally by the registrant. We estimate that the burden to prepare the Form 10-K summary would be less than that required to prepare the Form 10 because the summary would call for less information than required by Form 10. We estimate that the average incremental burden for a registrant to prepare the summary would be 50 hours. This estimate represents the average burden for all registrants, both large and small. In deriving our estimates, we recognize that the burdens will likely vary among individual registrants based on a number of factors,

including the size and complexity of their operations. We believe that some registrants will experience costs in excess of this average in the first year of compliance with the amendments and some registrants may experience less than the average costs.

Second, we assumed that 10% of Form 10-K filers would elect to prepare a summary. The number of registrants that would choose to do a summary, however, is uncertain. We request comment and supporting empirical data, for purposes of the PRA, on the number of registrants that are expected to prepare a summary as a result of the interim final amendment.

The table below shows the total annual compliance burden, in hours and in costs, of the collection of information resulting from the interim final amendment.<sup>35</sup> The burden estimates were calculated by multiplying the estimated number of responses by the estimated average amount of time it would take an issuer to prepare and review a Form 10-K summary. The portion of the burden carried by outside professionals is reflected as a cost, while the portion of the burden carried by the issuer internally is reflected in hours. For purposes of the PRA, we estimate that 75% of the burden of preparation of Form 10-K is carried by the registrant internally and that 25% of the burden of preparation is carried by outside professionals retained by the registrant at an average cost of \$400 per hour.<sup>36</sup>

TABLE 1—INCREMENTAL PAPERWORK BURDEN UNDER THE INTERIM FINAL AMENDMENT

	Estimated number of affected responses	Incremental burden hours/form	Total incremental burden hours	75% company	25% professional	Professional costs
	(A)	(B)	(C) = (A) * (B)	(D) = (C) * 0.75	(E) = (C) * 0.25	(F) = (E) * \$400
Form 10-K Summary .....	37 814	50	40,700	30,525	10,175	\$4,070,000

*D. Request for Comment*

We request comments in order to evaluate: (1) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information would have practical utility; (2) the accuracy of our estimate of the burden of the collection of

information; (3) whether there are ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) whether there are ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.<sup>38</sup> Specifically, we request

comment on the estimated number or percentage of registrants that are likely to include a summary in their Form 10-K.

Any member of the public may direct to us any comments concerning the accuracy of these burden estimates and any suggestions for reducing the burdens. Persons who desire to submit comments on the collection of

<sup>34</sup> 17 CFR 249.210.

<sup>35</sup> For convenience, the estimated hour and cost burdens in the table have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

<sup>36</sup> We recognize that the costs of retaining outside professionals may vary depending on the nature of

the professional services, but for purposes of this PRA analysis we estimate that such costs will be an average of \$400 per hour. This estimate is based on consultations with several registrants, law firms and other persons who regularly assist registrants in preparing and filing periodic reports with the Commission.

<sup>37</sup> This number is our estimate of the number of registrants that will choose to include a summary in their Form 10-K.

<sup>38</sup> We request comment pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(B).

information requirements should direct their comments to the Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Washington, DC 20503, and send a copy of the comments to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090, with reference to File No. S7-09-16. Requests for materials submitted to the OMB by us with regard to these collections of information should be in writing, refer to File No. S7-09-16 and be submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE., Washington DC 20549-0213. Interested persons are encouraged to send comments to the OMB by July 11, 2016.

## VI. Statutory Authority

The amendment contained in this release is being adopted under the authority set forth in Sections 3, 12, 13, 15(d), and 23(a) of the Exchange Act, and Section 72001 of the FAST Act.

### List of Subjects in 17 CFR Part 249

Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Securities.

### Text of the Interim Final Amendment

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Commission is amending Title 17, Chapter II of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

## PART 249—FORMS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

■ 1. The authority citation for part 249 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.* and 7201 *et seq.*; 12 U.S.C. 5461 *et seq.*; 18 U.S.C. 1350; Sec. 953(b), Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1904; Sec. 102(a)(3), Pub. L. 112-106, 126 Stat. 309 (2012); Sec. 107, Pub. L. 112-106, 126 Stat. 313 (2012), and Sec. 72001, Pub. L. 114-94, 129 Stat. 1312 (2015), unless otherwise noted.

Section 249.220f is also issued under secs. 3(a), 202, 208, 302, 306(a), 401(a), 401(b), 406 and 407, Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745.

Section 249.240f is also issued under secs. 3(a), 202, 208, 302, 306(a), 401(a), 406 and 407, Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745.

Section 249.308 is also issued under 15 U.S.C. 80a-29 and 80a-37.

Section 249.308a is also issued under secs. 3(a) and 302, Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745.

Section 249.308b is also issued under secs. 3(a) and 302, Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745.

Section 249.310 is also issued under secs. 3(a), 202, 208, 302, 406 and 407, Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745.

Section 249.326(T) also issued under section 13(f)(1) (15 U.S.C. 78m(f)(1)).

Section 249.330 is also issued under secs. 3(a), 406, and 407, Pub. L. 107-204, 116 Stat. 745.

Section 249.331 is also issued under 15 U.S.C. 78j-1, 7202, 7233, 7241, 7264, 7265; and 18 U.S.C. 1350.

Section 249.617 is also issued under Pub. L. 111-203, § 939, 939A, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010) (15 U.S.C. 78c, 15 U.S.C. 78o-7 note).

Section 249.819 is also issued under 12 U.S.C. 5465(e).

Section 249.1400 is also issued under sec. 943, Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376.

Section 249.1800 is also issued under Pub. L. 111.203, § 922(a), 124 Stat 1841 (2010).

Section 249.1801 is also issued under Pub. L. 111.203, § 922(a), 124 Stat 1841 (2010).

■ 2. Amend Form 10-K (referenced in § 249.310) by adding new Item 16 to Part IV to read as follows:

**Note:** The text of Form 10-K does not, and this amendment will not, appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

### FORM 10-K

\* \* \* \* \*

### Part IV

\* \* \* \* \*

### Item 16. Form 10-K Summary.

Registrants may, at their option, include a summary of information required by this form, but only if each item in the summary is presented fairly and accurately and includes a hyperlink to the material contained in this form to which such item relates, including to materials contained in any exhibits filed with the form.

**Instruction:** The summary shall refer only to Form 10-K disclosure that is included in the form at the time it is filed. A registrant need not update the summary to reflect information required by Part III of Form 10-K that the registrant incorporates by reference from a proxy or information statement filed after the Form 10-K, but must state in the summary that the summary does not include Part III information because that information will be incorporated by reference from a later filed proxy or information statement involving the election of directors.

\* \* \* \* \*

By the Commission.

Dated: June 1, 2016.

**Brent J. Fields,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-13328 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

### 20 CFR Parts 404 and 416

[Docket No. SSA-2006-0149]

RIN 0960-AF58

### Revised Medical Criteria for Evaluating Respiratory System Disorders

**AGENCY:** Social Security Administration.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** We are revising the criteria in the Listing of Impairments (listings) that we use to evaluate claims involving respiratory disorders in adults and children under titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act (Act). The revisions reflect our program experience and advances in medical knowledge since we last comprehensively revised this body system in 1993, as well as comments we received from medical experts and the public.

**DATES:** These final rules are effective October 7, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cheryl A. Williams, Office of Disability Policy, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235-6401, (410) 965-1020. For information on eligibility or filing for benefits, call our national toll-free number, 1-800-772-1213, or TTY 1-800-325-0778, or visit our Internet site, Social Security Online, at <http://www.socialsecurity.gov>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

We are revising and making final the rules for evaluating respiratory disorders we proposed in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) published in the **Federal Register** on February 4, 2013 (78 FR 7968). The preamble to the NPRM provided an explanation of the changes from the current rules and our reasons for proposing those changes. To the extent that we are adopting the proposed rules as published, we are not repeating that information here. You can view the NPRM by visiting [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and searching for document "SSA-2006-0149-0024." We are making a number of changes because of public comments we received in response to the NPRM. We explain those changes in our summary of public comments and our responses later in this preamble. We are also making minor editorial changes for clarity throughout these final rules.

#### Why are we revising the listings for evaluating respiratory disorders?

We are revising the listings for evaluating respiratory disorders to

reflect our program experience and advances in medical knowledge since we last comprehensively revised the listings for this body system, and comments we received from medical experts and the public at an outreach policy conference, in response to an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM), and in response to an NPRM. We last published final rules making comprehensive revisions to section 3.00—the respiratory system listings for adults (people who are at least 18 years old)—and section 103.00—the respiratory system listings for children (people under age 18)—on October 7, 1993.<sup>1</sup> Since that time, we have revised the introductory text for children, revised some testing requirements, added adult and child listings for lung transplants, removed criterion C from listing 3.09, added listing 103.06 and corresponding introductory text, and extended the effective date of the rules.<sup>2</sup>

#### When will we begin to use these final rules?

We will begin to use these final rules on their effective date. We will continue to use the current listings until the date these final rules become effective. We will apply the final rules to new applications filed on or after the effective date of these final rules and to claims that are pending on or after the effective date.<sup>3</sup> These final rules will remain in effect for 3 years after the date they become effective, unless we extend them, or revise and issue them again.

#### Public Comments on the NPRM

In the NPRM, we provided the public with a 60-day comment period that ended on April 5, 2013. We received 212 comments. The commenters included advocacy groups, legal services organizations, State agencies that make disability determinations for us, medical organizations, and people who have respiratory disorders or have relatives with respiratory disorders.

<sup>1</sup> 58 FR 52346; corrected at 59 FR 1274 (January 10, 1994). These listings appear in appendix 1 to subpart P of part 404.

<sup>2</sup> See 65 FR 54747 (2000), 65 FR 57946 (2000), 67 FR 20018 (2002), 67 FR 43537 (2002), 68 FR 36911 (2003), 70 FR 35028 (2005), 71 FR 2312 (2006), 72 FR 33662 (2007), 73 FR 31025 (2008), 75 FR 33166 (2010), 77 FR 35264 (2012), 79 FR 10661 (2014), 80 FR 1 (2015), and 80 FR 19522 (2015).

<sup>3</sup> This means that we will use these final rules on and after their effective date, in any case in which we make a determination or decision. We expect that Federal courts will review our final decisions using the rules that were in effect at the time we issued the decisions. If a court reverses our final decision and remands a case for further administrative proceedings after the effective date of these final rules, we will apply these final rules to the entire period at issue in the decision we make after the court's remand.

We carefully considered all of the comments that were relevant to this rulemaking. We have tried to present the commenters' concerns and suggestions accurately and completely, and we have responded to all significant issues that were within the scope of these rules. We provide our reasons for adopting or not adopting the recommendations in the summaries of the comments and our responses. We also received several comments supporting our proposed changes. We appreciate those comments; however, we did not include them in our discussion of the rules below.

As part of the rulemaking process, we held an informational teleconference with the public on May 10, 2013, during which we discussed general background information on the disability program, information for people with cystic fibrosis who either apply for Social Security disability benefits or are currently receiving disability benefits, information we received from medical experts and members of the public, and proposed criteria in listings 3.04 and 103.04.<sup>4</sup> We did not accept public comments during the teleconference. We have included information related to the teleconference in the rulemaking docket for these rules under Docket ID number SSA-2006-0149-0237.<sup>5</sup>

#### Pulmonary Function Testing

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we not refer to arterial blood gas (ABG) tests and pulse oximetry as pulmonary function tests (PFTs) because they are monitoring devices.

*Response:* We are not adopting this recommendation because we use the results of these tests to document the severity of respiratory disorders and we believe it is appropriate, for this purpose, to refer to ABG tests and pulse oximetry as PFTs.

*Comment:* Many commenters did not support removing the requirement for spirometry tracings of the forced expiratory maneuvers used to determine a person's highest forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV<sub>1</sub>) and forced vital capacity (FVC). Some commenters explained that the tracings allow us to confirm that the American Thoracic Society (ATS) testing standards were met. One commenter stated that requiring tracings will enhance the quality of the test and ensure confidence in the disability decision-making process for respiratory disorders. Another commenter agreed with us that accepting providers'

interpretations of spirometry results without requiring tracings might reduce the number of tests that we purchase, but stated that not also requiring tracings might result in inappropriate allowances. One commenter suggested that, if we do not require tracings, we should require flow-volume loops to ensure the integrity of the test.

*Response:* We are adopting the recommendation that we continue to require spirometry tracings. In the proposed rule, we indicated that we believed it would be appropriate to trust the professional who supervises the test and for us to use the resulting spirometry values *without* corresponding tracings to assess the severity of a person's respiratory disorder. The public commenters (including medical experts who use the results of spirometry in their treatment of people with respiratory disorders, and disability examiners), however, disagreed with us.

In its public comment, the ATS recommended that we continue to require documentation of three acceptable tracings. We agree with that comment.

For most claims involving respiratory disorders and in which spirometry results are available, the evidence we receive usually does not include the spirometry tracings. By requiring tracings, we may need to recontact the medical source to seek the tracings or, if we know from experience that the source either cannot or will not provide the tracings, we may need to purchase consultative examinations to obtain spirometry results with tracings, unless we can make a fully favorable determination or decision on another basis. We will provide guidance to our adjudicators on when it is appropriate to purchase a PFT when we conduct training on the final rules.

*Comment:* Some commenters recommended that we continue to require documentation of equipment calibration for spirometry.

*Response:* We are not adopting these recommendations because, in our program experience, recorded calibrations that we receive almost invariably establish spirometer accuracy. We do not believe it is necessary to continue to require proof of equipment calibration. We expect the professional who supervises the test to comply with the professional standards for equipment calibrations. If, however, we have reason to believe that the equipment was not calibrated, we may then request calibration logs from the medical source.

*Comment:* Several commenters explained that the spirometry values

<sup>4</sup> See 78 FR 26681 (2013).

<sup>5</sup> See <http://www.regulations.gov/#/documentDetail;D=SSA-2006-0149-0237>.

(FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC) for several listings (proposed 3.02A, 3.02B, 3.02C4, 3.03A, 3.04A, 3.04B, 103.02A, 103.02B, 103.04A, and 103.04B) include too much variability in percent predicted between females and males, as well as between different height and age categories.

*Response:* We agree with these commenters. While we based the values in the spirometry tables on reference values from Hankinson, *et al.*,<sup>6</sup> as noted in the NPRM, we agree that there was too much variability between categories (age, gender, and height). In these final rules, the percent predicted values (from which we derive the spirometry values that we use in final 3.02A, 3.02B, 3.03A, 3.04A, 103.02A, 103.02B, and 103.04A) by height are all within three percentage points of one another for a given age and gender cohort.

*Comment:* Some commenters recommended that we include percent predicted values in our rules rather than tables of absolute values for measurement of lung function.

*Response:* We did not adopt these recommendations. We believe that both percent predicted values and absolute values accurately represent the severity of a person's respiratory disorder. While the percent predicted values represent the percentage of lung function remaining, the absolute values of FEV<sub>1</sub> and FVC represent the *actual* volumes of air that a person exhales during a forced expiratory maneuver.

*Comment:* Two commenters suggested that we use the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (CDC/NIOSH) calculator, which calculates percent predicted values, to determine the severity of a person's respiratory disorder.<sup>7</sup>

*Response:* We did not adopt these recommendations because the calculator is intended for use with a NIOSH spirometry training course and the Food and Drug Administration has not approved the calculator for clinical use.

*Comment:* One commenter agreed with using diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide (DLCO) to measure respiratory function but recommended that we use percent predicted values rather than absolute values to more accurately capture condition severity.

*Response:* We did not adopt this recommendation. DLCO test results include both the actual (absolute) and percent predicted values for the measurement. Both values represent the ability of the lungs to transfer gases across the alveolar-capillary membrane. Neither value is more accurate than the other value because they both represent the same DLCO measurement.

*Comment:* One commenter had three concerns with the use of pulse oximetry in proposed 3.02C4a. First, requiring pulse oximetry and spirometry decreases the utility of the listing. Second, the key finding on a 6-minute walk test (6MWT) is whether desaturation occurs with exertion and not the baseline or post-6MWT results. Lastly, requiring printouts of pulse oximetry will dramatically reduce the availability of pulse oximetry evidence that we can use. This commenter suggested that the listing require desaturation with exercise independent of spirometry.

*Response:* We partially adopted these recommendations. We revised proposed 3.02C4, final 3.02C3, to require only pulse oximetry. We believe that the percent of oxygen saturation of blood hemoglobin measured by pulse oximetry required in 3.02C3 demonstrates a chronic gas exchange defect of listing-level severity. If resting pulse oximetry does not establish listing-level severity, we may use pulse oximetry during or after a 6MWT. We require a printout of the pulse wave during measurement because we use it to verify that perfusion to the area covered by the probe is adequate and that the probe is positioned properly, and because motion artifact may limit the accuracy of pulse oximetry *during* the 6MWT. Furthermore, to be consistent with this revision to final 3.02C3, we combined proposed 3.02C2, which required two resting ABG tests to document a chronic gas exchange defect of listing-level severity, and proposed 3.02C3, which required one exercise ABG test, into final 3.02C2 requiring one ABG test, either resting or during steady state exercise.

*Comment:* One commenter recommended that a clinical evaluation accompany the pulse oximetry measurement in proposed 3.02C4 because a pulse oximetry measurement should not be considered a primary diagnostic tool.

*Response:* We agree with the commenter, but did not make any changes as a result. Proposed and final 3.00D1 explain that we need a person's medical history, physical examination findings, the results of imaging, and pulmonary function tests to document

and assess the severity of a person's respiratory disorder. Consequently, the rules already require the type of clinical evaluation of a person's respiratory disorder that the commenter suggested.

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we require pulse oximetry be performed while the person is breathing room air or on oxygen supplementation. This commenter also suggested that we include a requirement that nail polish is removed prior to testing and that, if finger circulation is not good, we accept ear lobe pulse oximetry.

*Response:* We did not adopt these recommendations because the purpose of the pulse oximetry measurement is to determine oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) saturation on room air and not with oxygen supplementation. We do not require that a finger probe be used. It is the responsibility of the professional supervising the test to choose the most appropriate probe (for example, finger or ear) and to also ensure that proper testing protocol (including removal of nail polish) is followed.

#### Asthma

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we remove the requirement for reduced lung function between asthma exacerbations (that is, baseline obstruction).

*Response:* We did not propose to change this requirement and, therefore, are not adopting this recommendation. We currently require baseline obstruction (current 3.00C) established by spirometry while the person is medically stable to document listing-level asthma. We continued to include this requirement in final 3.00I2a and 3.03A.

*Comment:* One commenter asked us to continue to consider adherence to therapy for asthma.

*Response:* We agree with the commenter, but did not make any changes as a result. We consider any hospitalization for an exacerbation of asthma lasting at least 48 hours to be despite prescribed therapy, unless we have evidence to the contrary.

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we add a criterion to proposed 103.03 for the need for endotracheal intubation, which is a type of treatment for respiratory failure.

*Response:* We did not adopt this recommendation because we do not believe we need to specify the types of treatments we consider under 103.03 when a child is hospitalized for asthma. We did, however, add guidance in final 3.00I1 and 103.00G1 to explain that we evaluate respiratory failure resulting from chronic asthma under final 3.14 or 103.14.

<sup>6</sup>Hankinson, J. L., Odencrantz, J. R., & Fedan, K. B. (1999). Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general U.S. population. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 159(1), 179-187.

<sup>7</sup>The CDC/NIOSH calculator is available at <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/spirometry/refcalculator.html>.

## Cystic Fibrosis

*Comment:* Many commenters recommended that we continue to consider treatment for cystic fibrosis (CF) outside of the hospital. The commenters stated that physicians treat CF pulmonary exacerbations in a variety of ways including hospitalization and through use of intravenous antibiotics and inhaled nebulized therapies outside of the hospital setting. Some commenters explained that treatment at home for CF pulmonary exacerbations indicates the same severity of illness as a hospitalization for CF and is increasingly the method preferred by treating physicians.

*Response:* We adopted these recommendations. We included a criterion in final 3.04G and 103.04G that requires 10 consecutive days of intravenous antibiotic treatment, without specifying where (for example, in a hospital) the treatment occurs, for CF pulmonary exacerbations. We also added guidance in final 3.00J3 and 103.00H3 to explain that treatment for CF exacerbations usually includes intravenous antibiotics and intensified airway clearance therapy (for example, increased frequencies of chest percussion or increased use of inhaled nebulized therapies, such as bronchodilators or mucolytics). We want to assure the commenters that we are able to evaluate CF under the criteria in final listings 3.04 and 103.04, using medical equivalence, the functional equivalence rules for children, or at other steps in our sequential evaluation process.

*Comment:* Multiple commenters suggested that we revise proposed 3.04D and 103.04E, which required any two of six listed CF exacerbations and complications. Some commenters explained that four of the listed exacerbations and complications (spontaneous pneumothorax, respiratory failure, pulmonary hemorrhage, and hypoxemia) are serious health issues for people with CF. The commenters recommended that we revise the list to more accurately reflect the progression of CF and that we require only one of these four exacerbations or complications to establish that a person is disabled.

*Response:* We adopted these recommendations by adding standalone listing criteria for spontaneous pneumothorax in final 3.04C and 103.04D, respiratory failure in final 3.04D and 103.04E, pulmonary hemorrhage requiring vascular embolization in final 3.04E and 103.04F, and hypoxemia measured by pulse oximetry in final 3.04F.

*Comment:* One commenter stated that ABG tests in proposed 3.04B do not correlate well to disability for people with CF, and that ABG tests are not generally used in most specialized CF care centers.

*Response:* We adopted this recommendation and removed proposed 3.04B that required ABG test results to evaluate the severity of CF in the final rule.

*Comment:* One commenter said that proposed 103.04C for hypoxemia with the need for at least 1.0 liter per minute of oxygen supplementation for at least 4 hours per day for at least 90 consecutive days is “significantly too strict” for children with CF. The commenter stated that any child whose CF meets the proposed listing would already be on a lung transplant list.

*Response:* We adopted this recommendation and have not included proposed 103.04C in the final rule. While being on a lung transplant list is not a listing criterion, we believe children with CF whose impairment would have met proposed 103.04C will have an impairment that meets the requirements in one of the listings for CF included in the final rule.

*Comment:* Multiple commenters objected to the proposed lower spirometry values for evaluating CF in proposed 3.04A and 103.04A.

*Response:* We adopted these comments and modified the spirometry values in proposed 3.04A and 103.04A. Our revisions to *all* spirometry values to minimize variability, as we described above, in addition to the fact that people with CF are disabled at a comparatively higher level of lung function than people who do not have CF, resulted in none of the values in final 3.04A and 103.04A being lower than the corresponding values in current 3.04A and 103.04A.

## Pulmonary Hypertension

*Comment:* Multiple commenters recommended that we not use echocardiograms to evaluate the severity of chronic pulmonary hypertension in proposed 3.09B. One commenter stated that results from echocardiograms do not accurately reflect the presence of moderate pulmonary hypertension that causes marked functional limitations. Another commenter stated that only cardiac catheterization should be used to evaluate disability for pulmonary hypertension in proposed 3.09A.

*Response:* We adopted these recommendations and removed the echocardiography requirement from final 3.09. We also removed echocardiography from the list of

examples of medical imaging techniques in proposed 3.00D2 (final 3.00D3).

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we add listing criteria to proposed 3.09A, which requires only cardiac catheterization for chronic pulmonary hypertension.

*Response:* We did not adopt this recommendation because adding the suggested listing criteria to 3.09 increases the severity level of the listing. We believe final 3.09 is medically appropriate and represents an inability to perform any gainful activity. When we have the results of cardiac catheterization and those results meet the requirements of the listing, we do not need additional criteria to support listing-level severity. Adding listing criteria creates an unnecessary evidence burden on claimants.

## Respiratory Failure

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we exclude asthma and obesity as underlying conditions for respiratory failure in proposed 3.14 and 103.14.

*Response:* We did not adopt this recommendation. Final 3.14 and 103.14 require that we evaluate respiratory failure resulting from any chronic respiratory disorder except CF. Obesity is not a “chronic respiratory disorder” and, therefore, respiratory failure cannot be evaluated under these listings if obesity is the person’s only impairment. (We address how to consider the effects of obesity combined with a respiratory disorder in final 3.00O.) We believe it is appropriate to evaluate respiratory failure resulting from chronic asthma under these listings.

*Comment:* One commenter recommended that we consider noninvasive ventilation as an alternative to invasive ventilation for treatment of respiratory failure resulting from CF.

*Response:* We adopted this recommendation because ventilatory support in respiratory failure associated with any underlying chronic respiratory disorder, including CF, while traditionally provided by invasive ventilation, is now often provided by noninvasive ventilation. In either case, cyclical positive pressure is applied to the airway to assist ventilation and reduce the work of breathing. We believe it is reasonable to count the total ventilatory support time, whether it be invasive or noninvasive ventilation, for our purposes, so we added this alternative to final 3.04D, 3.14, 103.04E, and 103.14.

## Other Comments

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we include a listing for people with

respiratory disorders who are dependent on oxygen supplementation.

*Response:* We are not adopting this recommendation because the use of supplemental oxygen does not, by itself, indicate an impairment of listing-level severity. In proposed 3.00D1 and final 3.00D2 and 103.00D2, we explain that if a person uses supplementation oxygen, we still need medical evidence to establish the severity of his or her respiratory disorder.

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we include a criterion in 3.02 that requires three hospitalizations within a 12-month period for any chronic respiratory disorder except CF.

*Response:* We adopted this recommendation in final 3.02D because we agree that three hospitalizations of 48 hours or longer, 30 days or more apart, within a 12-month period that we are considering in connection with an application or continuing disability review for exacerbations or complications of a chronic respiratory disorder will prevent a person from engaging in any gainful activity and, therefore, represents listing-level severity.

Additionally, we are able to evaluate chronic respiratory disorders resulting in fewer than three hospitalizations in a consecutive 12-month period using medical equivalence, under other listing criteria, or at other steps in our sequential evaluation process. For example, if a claimant's chronic respiratory disorder does not precisely meet the hospitalization requirements in final 3.02D, we may find that the disorder is medically equivalent to that listing, if the disorder is at least medically equal in severity and duration to the listing criteria. Our medical equivalence rules permit us to find that a disorder is medically equivalent to a listing at step 3 if there are other findings related to the disorder that are at least of equal medical significance to the listing criteria (see §§ 404.1526 and 416.926).

Although some of our listings include criteria for repeated hospitalizations (3.02D, 3.03B, 3.04B, 3.07, 103.02E, 103.03, and 103.04C), our medical equivalence policy accommodates recent trends in clinical care that emphasize quality of, rather than quantity of, medical treatment. The medical equivalence policy also accommodates claimants' varying level of access to medical care (as well as the preference of some medical providers to reduce the use of emergency department and hospital-level medical interventions). This accommodation accounts for differences in medical care people with similar disorders receive

depending on the medical resources available to them. The medical equivalence policy provides some flexibility in determining whether a claimant is disabled at step 3 of the sequential evaluation process by allowing us to consider whether the claimant's impairment meets the listed criteria or is at least equal in severity and duration to the criteria of any listed impairment. The final listings do not provide substantive instructions to our adjudicators for determining such equivalence because we can better provide this information through operating instructions and training

If we are not able to find that a person's impairment due to a chronic respiratory disorder is disabling using our listings, we may still find the person disabled at the final steps of the sequential evaluation process.

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we include a criterion in 3.02 for persistent chronic lung infections that are refractory to treatment or provide guidance in our internal operating instructions for how to evaluate these cases.

*Response:* We did not adopt this recommendation because we explain in final 3.00Q that we evaluate limitations in respiratory function resulting from chronic lung infections under 3.02. We will, however, provide guidance to our adjudicators on how to evaluate chronic lung infections that are resistant to treatment when we conduct training on these final rules.

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we include a listing for prolonged, active infectious periods of mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) lasting longer than 12 months.

*Response:* We did not adopt this recommendation because prolonged, active infectious periods of MTB lasting longer than 12 months are extremely rare. MTB is generally treatable with a 6-month course of antibiotics. If, however, active infectious periods associated with resistance to, or intolerance of, multiple antibiotics last longer than 12 months, we will evaluate the impairment under an appropriate listing.

*Comment:* One commenter suggested that we place the tables in Part A directly following the listings for which they are used, similar to how the tables appear in Part B.

*Response:* We adopted this recommendation because we agree that it is easier for an adjudicator to use a table when it is located directly following its listing.

## Other Changes

In proposed 3.00O and 103.00L, we included guidance explaining that, for listings that require a specific number of events within a 12-month period, the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with the application or continuing disability review. We did not, however, provide a reference to proposed 3.00O and 103.00L in each proposed listing. In these final rules, we include this guidance in each listing (final 3.02D, 3.03B, 3.04B, 3.04F, 3.04G, 3.07, 3.14, 103.02E, 103.03, 103.04C, 103.04G, and 103.14) and, as a result, it is unnecessary to also include the same guidance in the introductory text.

In proposed 3.00D3 and 103.00D3, we included a requirement that pulmonary function testing be conducted in accordance with the most recently published standards of the ATS. We do not include this statement in these final rules because we now include in final 3.00E and 103.00E (for spirometry) and in final 3.00F (for DLCO) the specific ATS testing standards that we require to evaluate respiratory disorders. The ATS may revise its testing standards at any time, in which case we would review any new standards and, if appropriate, publish proposed changes to our requirements for public comment before revising the rules.

In these final rules, we are redesignating current 103.00F as 103.00K and revising the reference to 103.00F in listing 103.06 to 103.00K. We are not revising the introductory text or the listing requirements, both of which we added to the respiratory body system in 2015.<sup>8</sup>

## What is our authority to make rules and set procedures for determining whether a person is disabled under the statutory definition?

The Act authorizes us to make rules and regulations and to establish necessary and appropriate procedures to implement them. Sections 205(a), 702(a)(5), and 1631(d)(1) of the Act.

## Regulatory Procedures

*Executive Order 12866, as Supplemented by Executive Order 13563*

We consulted with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and determined that these final rules meet the criteria for a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563. Therefore, OMB reviewed them.

<sup>8</sup> See 80 FR 19522.

### Regulatory Flexibility Act

We certify that these final rules will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they affect individuals only. Therefore, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended, does not require us to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis.

### Paperwork Reduction Act

This final rule does not create any new or affect any existing collections and, therefore, does not require OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 96.001, Social Security—Disability Insurance; 96.002, Social Security—Retirement Insurance; 96.004, Social Security—Survivors Insurance; and 96.006, Supplemental Security Income).

### List of Subjects

#### 20 CFR Part 404

Administrative practice and procedure; Blind, Disability benefits; Old-age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance; Reporting and recordkeeping requirements; Social Security.

#### 20 CFR Part 416

Administrative practice and procedure; Aged, Blind, Disability benefits; Public assistance programs; Reporting and recordkeeping requirements; Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

**Carolyn W. Colvin,**

*Acting Commissioner of Social Security.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, we are amending 20 CFR part 404 subpart P and part 416 subpart I as set forth below:

## PART 404—FEDERAL OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS AND DISABILITY INSURANCE (1950—)

### Subpart P—Determining Disability and Blindness

■ 1. The authority citation for subpart P of part 404 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 202, 205(a)-(b) and (d)-(h), 216(i), 221(a), (i), and (j), 222(c), 223, 225, and 702(a)(5) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 402, 405(a)-(b) and (d)-(h), 416(i), 421(a), (i), and (j), 422(c), 423, 425, and 902(a)(5)); sec. 211(b), Pub. L. 104–193, 110 Stat. 2105, 2189; sec. 202, Pub. L. 108–203, 118 Stat. 509 (42 U.S.C. 902 note).

■ 2. Amend appendix 1 to subpart P of part 404 by:

- a. Revising item 4 of the introductory text before part A;
- b. Revising the body system name for section 3.00 in the table of contents;

- c. Revising section 3.00 in part A;
  - d. Revising in part B the body system name for section 103.00 in the table of contents; and
  - e. Revising section 103.00 in part B.
- The revisions read as follows:

### Appendix 1 to Subpart P of Part 404—Listing of Impairments

\* \* \* \* \*

4. Respiratory Disorders (3.00 and 103.00):  
October 7, 2019.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Part A

\* \* \* \* \*

3.00 Respiratory Disorders.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 3.00 RESPIRATORY DISORDERS

##### A. Which disorders do we evaluate in this body system?

1. We evaluate respiratory disorders that result in obstruction (difficulty moving air out of the lungs) or restriction (difficulty moving air into the lungs), or that interfere with diffusion (gas exchange) across cell membranes in the lungs. Examples of such disorders and the listings we use to evaluate them include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (chronic bronchitis and emphysema, 3.02), pulmonary fibrosis and pneumoconiosis (3.02), asthma (3.02 or 3.03), cystic fibrosis (3.04), and bronchiectasis (3.02 or 3.07). We also use listings in this body system to evaluate respiratory failure (3.04D or 3.14), chronic pulmonary hypertension (3.09), and lung transplantation (3.11).

2. We evaluate cancers affecting the respiratory system under the listings in 13.00. We evaluate the pulmonary effects of neuromuscular and autoimmune disorders under these listings or under the listings in 11.00 or 14.00, respectively.

B. *What are the symptoms and signs of respiratory disorders?* Symptoms and signs of respiratory disorders include dyspnea (shortness of breath), chest pain, coughing, wheezing, sputum production, hemoptysis (coughing up blood from the respiratory tract), use of accessory muscles of respiration, and tachypnea (rapid rate of breathing).

C. *What abbreviations do we use in this body system?*

1. *ABG* means arterial blood gas.
2. *BiPAP* means bi-level positive airway pressure ventilation.
3. *BTPS* means body temperature and ambient pressure, saturated with water vapor.
4. *CF* means cystic fibrosis.
5. *CFRD* means CF-related diabetes.
6. *CFTR* means CF transmembrane conductance regulator.
7. *CO* means carbon monoxide.
8. *COPD* means chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
9. *DLCO* means diffusing capacity of the lungs for carbon monoxide.
10. *FEV<sub>1</sub>* means forced expiratory volume in the first second of a forced expiratory maneuver.
11. *FVC* means forced vital capacity.
12. *L* means liter.
13. *mL CO (STPD)/min/mmHg* means milliliters of carbon monoxide at standard

temperature and pressure, dry, per minute, per millimeter of mercury.

14. *P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub>* means arterial blood partial pressure of oxygen.

15. *P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>* means arterial blood partial pressure of carbon dioxide.

16. *S<sub>p</sub>O<sub>2</sub>* means percentage of oxygen saturation of blood hemoglobin measured by pulse oximetry.

17. *6MWT* means 6-minute walk test.

18. *VI* means volume of inhaled gas during a DLCO test.

D. *What documentation do we need to evaluate your respiratory disorder?*

1. We need *medical evidence* to document and assess the severity of your respiratory disorder. Medical evidence should include your medical history, physical examination findings, the results of imaging (see 3.00D3), pulmonary function tests (see 3.00D4), other relevant laboratory tests, and descriptions of any prescribed treatment and your response to it. We may not need all of this evidence depending on your particular respiratory disorder and its effects on you.

2. If you use *supplemental oxygen*, we still need medical evidence to establish the severity of your respiratory disorder.

3. *Imaging* refers to medical imaging techniques, such as x-ray and computerized tomography. The imaging must be consistent with the prevailing state of medical knowledge and clinical practice as the proper technique to support the evaluation of the disorder.

4. *Pulmonary function tests* include *spirometry* (which measures ventilation of the lungs), *DLCO* tests (which measure gas diffusion in the lungs), *ABG* tests (which measure the partial pressure of oxygen, *P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub>*, and carbon dioxide, *P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>*, in the arterial blood), and *pulse oximetry* (which measures oxygen saturation, *S<sub>p</sub>O<sub>2</sub>*, of peripheral blood hemoglobin).

E. *What is spirometry and what are our requirements for an acceptable test and report?*

1. Spirometry, which measures how well you move air into and out of your lungs, involves at least three forced expiratory maneuvers during the same test session. A forced expiratory maneuver is a maximum inhalation followed by a forced maximum exhalation, and measures exhaled volumes of air over time. The volume of air you exhale in the first second of the forced expiratory maneuver is the FEV<sub>1</sub>. The total volume of air that you exhale during the entire forced expiratory maneuver is the FVC. We use your highest FEV<sub>1</sub> value to evaluate your respiratory disorder under 3.02A, 3.03A, and 3.04A, and your highest FVC value to evaluate your respiratory disorder under 3.02B, regardless of whether the values are from the same forced expiratory maneuver or different forced expiratory maneuvers.

2. We have the following requirements for spirometry under these listings:

a. You must be medically stable at the time of the test. Examples of when we would not consider you to be medically stable include when you are:

- (i) Within 2 weeks of a change in your prescribed respiratory medication.
- (ii) Experiencing, or within 30 days of completion of treatment for, a lower respiratory tract infection.

(iii) Experiencing, or within 30 days of completion of treatment for, an acute exacerbation (temporary worsening) of a chronic respiratory disorder. Wheezing by itself does not indicate that you are not medically stable.

(iv) Hospitalized, or within 30 days of a hospital discharge, for an acute myocardial infarction (heart attack).

b. During testing, if your FEV<sub>1</sub> is less than 70 percent of your predicted normal value, we require repeat spirometry after inhalation of a bronchodilator to evaluate your respiratory disorder under these listings, unless it is medically contraindicated. If you used a bronchodilator before the test and your FEV<sub>1</sub> is less than 70 percent of your predicted normal value, we still require repeat spirometry after inhalation of a bronchodilator unless the supervising physician determines that it is not safe for you to take a bronchodilator again (in which case we may need to reschedule the test). If you do not have post-bronchodilator spirometry, the test report must explain why. We can use the results of spirometry administered without bronchodilators when the use of bronchodilators is medically contraindicated.

c. Your forced expiratory maneuvers must be satisfactory. We consider a forced expiratory maneuver to be satisfactory when you exhale with maximum effort following a full inspiration, and when the test tracing has a sharp takeoff and rapid rise to peak flow, has a smooth contour, and either lasts for at least 6 seconds or maintains a plateau for at least 1 second.

3. The spirometry report must include the following information:

a. The date of the test and your name, age or date of birth, gender, and height without shoes. (We will assume that your recorded height on the date of the test is without shoes, unless we have evidence to the contrary.) If your spine is abnormally curved (for example, you have kyphoscoliosis), we will substitute the longest distance between your outstretched fingertips with your arms abducted 90 degrees in place of your height when this measurement is greater than your standing height without shoes.

b. Any factors, if applicable, that can affect the interpretation of the test results (for example, your cooperation or effort in doing the test).

c. Legible tracings of your forced expiratory maneuvers in a volume-time format showing your name and the date of the test for each maneuver.

4. If we purchase spirometry, the medical source we designate to administer the test is solely responsible for deciding whether it is safe for you to do the test and for how to administer it.

*F. What is a DLCO test, and what are our requirements for an acceptable test and report?*

1. A DLCO test measures the gas exchange across cell membranes in your lungs. It measures how well CO diffuses from the alveoli (air sacs) of your lungs into your blood. DLCO may be severely reduced in some disorders, such as interstitial lung disease (for example, idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, asbestosis, and sarcoidosis) and

COPD (particularly emphysema), even when the results of spirometry are not significantly reduced. We use the average of two of your unadjusted (that is, uncorrected for hemoglobin concentration) DLCO measurements reported in mL CO (STPD)/min/mmHg to evaluate your respiratory disorder under 3.02C1.

2. We have the following requirements for DLCO tests under these listings:

a. You must be medically stable at the time of the test. See 3.00E2a.

b. The test must use the single-breath technique.

(i) The VI during the DLCO maneuver must be at least 85 percent of your current FVC, and your time of inhalation must be less than 4 seconds. (See 3.00E for our rules for programmatically acceptable spirometry.) If you do not have an FVC measurement on the same day as the DLCO test, we may use your FVC from programmatically acceptable spirometry administered within 90 days of the DLCO test.

(ii) Your breath-hold time must be between 8 and 12 seconds.

(iii) Your total exhalation time must be less than or equal to 4 seconds, with a sample collection time of less than 3 seconds. If your FVC is at least 2.0 L, the washout volume must be between 0.75 L and 1.0 L. If your FVC is less than 2.0 L, the washout volume must be at least 0.5 L.

3. The DLCO test report must include the following information:

a. The date of the test and your name, age or date of birth, gender, and height without shoes. (We will assume that your recorded height on the date of the test is without shoes, unless we have evidence to the contrary.) If your spine is abnormally curved (for example, you have kyphoscoliosis), we will substitute the longest distance between your outstretched fingertips with your arms abducted 90 degrees in place of your height when this measurement is greater than your standing height without shoes.

b. Any factors, if applicable, that can affect the interpretation of the test results (for example, your cooperation or effort in doing the test).

c. Legible tracings of your VI, breath-hold maneuver, and volume of exhaled gas showing your name and the date of the test for each DLCO maneuver.

d. At least two acceptable (see 3.00F2) DLCO measurements within 3 mL CO (STPD)/min/mmHg of each other or within 10 percent of the highest value.

4. We may need to purchase a DLCO test to determine whether your disorder meets 3.02C1 when we have evidence showing that you have a chronic respiratory disorder that could result in impaired gas exchange, unless we can make a fully favorable determination or decision on another basis. Since the DLCO calculation requires a current FVC measurement, we may also purchase spirometry at the same time as the DLCO test, even if we already have programmatically acceptable spirometry.

5. Before we purchase a DLCO test, a medical consultant (see §§ 404.1616 and 416.1016 of this chapter), preferably one with experience in the care of people with respiratory disorders, must review your case

record to determine if we need the test. The medical source we designate to administer the test is solely responsible for deciding whether it is safe for you to do the test and for how to administer it.

*G. What is an ABG test, and what are our requirements for an acceptable test and report?*

1. *General.* An ABG test measures P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>, and the concentration of hydrogen ions in your arterial blood. We use a resting or an exercise ABG measurement to evaluate your respiratory disorder under 3.02C2.

2. *Resting ABG tests.*

a. We have the following requirements for resting ABG tests under these listings:

(i) You must be medically stable at the time of the test. See 3.00E2a.

(ii) The test must be administered while you are breathing room air; that is, without oxygen supplementation.

b. The resting ABG test report must include the following information:

(i) Your name, the date of the test, and either the altitude or both the city and State of the test site.

(ii) The P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> values.

c. We may need to purchase a resting ABG test to determine whether your disorder meets 3.02C2 when we have evidence showing that you have a chronic respiratory disorder that could result in impaired gas exchange, unless we can make a fully favorable determination or decision on another basis.

d. Before we purchase a resting ABG test, a medical consultant (see §§ 404.1616 and 416.1016 of this chapter), preferably one with experience in the care of people with respiratory disorders, must review your case record to determine if we need the test. The medical source we designate to administer the test is solely responsible for deciding whether it is safe for you to do the test and for how to administer it.

3. *Exercise ABG tests.*

a. We will *not* purchase an exercise ABG test.

b. We have the following requirements for exercise ABG tests under these listings:

(i) You must have done the exercise under steady state conditions while breathing room air. If you were tested on a treadmill, you generally must have exercised for at least 4 minutes at a grade and speed providing oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) consumption of approximately 17.5 milliliters per kilogram per minute (mL/kg/min) or 5.0 metabolic equivalents (METs). If you were tested on a cycle ergometer, you generally must have exercised for at least 4 minutes at an exercise equivalent of 5.0 METs.

(ii) We may use a test in which you have not exercised for at least 4 minutes. If you were unable to complete at least 4 minutes of steady state exercise, we need a statement by the person administering the test about whether the results are a valid indication of your respiratory status. For example, this statement may include information about your cooperation or effort in doing the test and whether you were limited in completing the test because of your respiratory disorder or another impairment.

c. The exercise ABG test report must include the following information:

(i) Your name, the date of the test, and either the altitude or both the city and state of the test site.

(ii) The  $P_{aO_2}$  and  $P_{aCO_2}$  values.

H. *What is pulse oximetry, and what are our requirements for an acceptable test and report?*

1. Pulse oximetry measures  $S_pO_2$ , the percentage of oxygen saturation of blood hemoglobin. We use a pulse oximetry measurement (either at rest, during a 6MWT, or after a 6MWT) to evaluate your respiratory disorder under 3.02C3 or, if you have CF, to evaluate it under 3.04F.

2. We have the following requirements for pulse oximetry under 3.02C3:

a. You must be medically stable at the time of the test. See 3.00E2a.

b. Your pulse oximetry measurement must be recorded while you are breathing room air; that is, without oxygen supplementation.

c. Your pulse oximetry measurement must be stable. By "stable," we mean that the range of  $S_pO_2$  values (that is, lowest to highest) during any 15-second interval cannot exceed 2 percentage points. For example: (1) The measurement is stable if the lowest  $S_pO_2$  value during a 15-second interval is 87 percent and the highest value is 89 percent—a range of 2 percentage points. (2) The measurement is not stable if the lowest value is 86 percent and the highest value is 89 percent—a range of 3 percentage points.

d. If you have had more than one measurement (for example, at rest and after a 6MWT), we will use the measurement with the lowest  $S_pO_2$  value.

e. The pulse oximetry report must include the following information:

(i) Your name, the date of the test, and either the altitude or both the city and State of the test site.

(ii) A graphical printout showing your  $S_pO_2$  value and a concurrent, acceptable pulse wave. An acceptable pulse wave is one that shows the characteristic pulse wave; that is, sawtooth-shaped with a rapid systolic upstroke (nearly vertical) followed by a slower diastolic downstroke (angled downward).

f. We may need to purchase pulse oximetry at rest to determine whether your disorder meets 3.02C3 when we have evidence showing that you have a chronic respiratory disorder that could result in impaired gas exchange, unless we can make a fully favorable determination or decision on another basis. We may purchase pulse oximetry during and after a 6MWT if your  $S_pO_2$  value at rest is greater than the value in Table V.

g. Before we purchase pulse oximetry, a medical consultant (see §§ 404.1616 and 416.1016 of this chapter), preferably one with experience in the care of people with respiratory disorders, must review your case record to determine if we need the test. The medical source we designate to administer the test is solely responsible for deciding whether it is safe for you to do the test and for how to administer it.

3. We have the following requirements for pulse oximetry under 3.04F:

a. You must be medically stable at the time of the test. See 3.00E2a.

b. Your pulse oximetry measurement must be recorded while you are breathing room air; that is, without oxygen supplementation.

c. If you have had more than one measurement (for example, at rest and after a 6MWT), we will use the measurement with the lowest  $S_pO_2$  value.

d. The pulse oximetry report must include your name, the date of the test, and either the altitude or both the city and State of the test site. If you have CF, we do not require a graphical printout showing your  $S_pO_2$  value and a concurrent, acceptable pulse wave.

I. *What is asthma and how do we evaluate it?*

1. *Asthma* is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the lung airways that we evaluate under 3.02 or 3.03. If you have respiratory failure resulting from chronic asthma (see 3.00N), we will evaluate it under 3.14.

2. For the purposes of 3.03:

a. We need evidence showing that you have listing-level (see Table VI in 3.03A) airflow obstruction at baseline while you are medically stable.

b. The phrase "consider under a disability for 1 year" in 3.03B does not refer to the date on which your disability began, only to the date on which we must reevaluate whether your asthma continues to meet a listing or is otherwise disabling.

c. We determine the onset of your disability based on the facts of your case, but it will be no later than the admission date of your first of three hospitalizations that satisfy the criteria of 3.03B.

J. *What is CF and how do we evaluate it?*

1. *General.* We evaluate *CF*, a genetic disorder that results in abnormal salt and water transport across cell membranes in the lungs, pancreas, and other body organs, under 3.04. We need the evidence described in 3.00J2 to establish that you have *CF*.

2. *Documentation of CF.* We need a report signed by a physician (see §§ 404.1513(a) and 416.913(a) of this chapter) showing both a *and* b:

a. One of the following:  
(i) A positive newborn screen for *CF*; or  
(ii) A history of *CF* in a sibling; or  
(iii) Documentation of at least one specific *CF* phenotype or clinical criterion (for example, chronic sino-pulmonary disease with persistent colonization or infections with typical *CF* pathogens, pancreatic insufficiency, or salt-loss syndromes); *and*

b. One of the following definitive laboratory tests:  
(i) An elevated sweat chloride concentration equal to or greater than 60 millimoles per L; or  
(ii) The identification of two *CF* gene mutations affecting the *CFTR*; or  
(iii) Characteristic abnormalities in ion transport across the nasal epithelium.

c. When we have the report showing a *and* b, but it is not signed by a physician, we also need a report from a physician stating that you have *CF*.

d. When we do not have the report showing a *and* b, we need a report from a physician that is persuasive that a positive diagnosis of *CF* was confirmed by an appropriate definitive laboratory test. To be persuasive, this report must include a statement by the physician that you had the

appropriate definitive laboratory test for diagnosing *CF*. The report must provide the test results or explain how your diagnosis was established that is consistent with the prevailing state of medical knowledge and clinical practice.

3. *CF pulmonary exacerbations.* Examples of *CF* pulmonary exacerbations include increased cough and sputum production, hemoptysis, increased shortness of breath, increased fatigue, and reduction in pulmonary function. Treatment usually includes intravenous antibiotics and intensified airway clearance therapy (for example, increased frequencies of chest percussion or increased use of inhaled nebulized therapies, such as bronchodilators or mucolytics).

4. For 3.04G, we require any two exacerbations or complications from the list in 3.04G1 through 3.04G4 within a 12-month period. You may have two of the same exacerbation or complication or two different ones.

a. If you have two of the acute exacerbations or complications we describe in 3.04G1 and 3.04G2, there must be at least 30 days between the two.

b. If you have one of the acute exacerbations or complications we describe in 3.04G1 and 3.04G2 and one of the chronic complications we describe in 3.04G3 and 3.04G4, the two can occur during the same time. For example, your *CF* meets 3.04G if you have the pulmonary hemorrhage we describe in 3.04G2 and the weight loss we describe in 3.04G3 even if the pulmonary hemorrhage occurs during the 90-day period in 3.04G3.

c. Your *CF* also meets 3.04G if you have both of the chronic complications in 3.04G3 and 3.04G4.

5. *CF* may also affect other body systems such as digestive or endocrine. If your *CF*, including pulmonary exacerbations and nonpulmonary complications, does not meet or medically equal a respiratory disorders listing, we may evaluate your *CF*-related impairments under the listings in the affected body system.

K. *What is bronchiectasis and how do we evaluate it?* Bronchiectasis is a chronic respiratory disorder that is characterized by abnormal and irreversible dilatation (enlargement) of the airways below the trachea, which may be associated with the accumulation of mucus, bacterial infections, and eventual airway scarring. We require imaging (see 3.00D3) to document this disorder. We evaluate your bronchiectasis under 3.02, or under 3.07 if you are having exacerbations or complications (for example, acute bacterial infections, increased shortness of breath, or coughing up blood) that require hospitalization.

L. *What is chronic pulmonary hypertension and how do we evaluate it?*

1. Chronic pulmonary hypertension is an increase in the blood pressure of the blood vessels of the lungs. If pulmonary hypertension is not adequately treated, it can eventually result in right heart failure. We evaluate chronic pulmonary hypertension due to any cause under 3.09.

2. Chronic pulmonary hypertension is usually diagnosed by catheterization of the

pulmonary artery. We will not purchase cardiac catheterization.

M. *How do we evaluate lung transplantation?* If you receive a lung transplant (or a lung transplant simultaneously with other organs, such as the heart), we will consider you to be disabled under 3.11 for 3 years from the date of the transplant. After that, we evaluate your residual impairment(s) by considering the adequacy of your post-transplant function, the frequency and severity of any rejection episodes you have, complications in other body systems, and adverse treatment effects. People who receive organ transplants generally have impairments that meet our definition of disability before they undergo transplantation. The phrase “consider under a disability for 3 years” in 3.11 does not refer to the date on which your disability began, only to the date on which we must reevaluate whether your impairment(s) continues to meet a listing or is otherwise disabling. We determine the onset of your disability based on the facts of your case.

N. *What is respiratory failure and how do we evaluate it?* Respiratory failure is the inability of the lungs to perform their basic function of gas exchange. We evaluate respiratory failure under 3.04D if you have CF-related respiratory failure, or under 3.14 if you have respiratory failure due to any other chronic respiratory disorder. Continuous positive airway pressure does not satisfy the criterion in 3.04D or 3.14, and cannot be substituted as an equivalent finding, for invasive mechanical ventilation or noninvasive ventilation with BiPAP.

O. *How do we consider the effects of obesity when we evaluate your respiratory disorder?* Obesity is a medically determinable impairment that is often associated with respiratory disorders. Obesity makes it harder for the chest and lungs to expand, which can compromise the ability of

the respiratory system to supply adequate oxygen to the body. The combined effects of obesity with a respiratory disorder can be greater than the effects of each of the impairments considered separately. We consider any additional and cumulative effects of your obesity when we determine whether you have a severe respiratory disorder, a listing-level respiratory disorder, a combination of impairments that medically equals the severity of a listed impairment, and when we assess your residual functional capacity.

P. *What are sleep-related breathing disorders and how do we evaluate them?*

1. *Sleep-related breathing disorders* (for example, sleep apnea) are characterized by transient episodes of interrupted breathing during sleep, which disrupt normal sleep patterns. Prolonged episodes can result in disorders such as hypoxemia (low blood oxygen) and pulmonary vasoconstriction (restricted blood flow in pulmonary blood vessels). Over time, these disorders may lead to chronic pulmonary hypertension or other complications.

2. We evaluate the complications of sleep-related breathing disorders under the listings in the affected body system(s). For example, we evaluate chronic pulmonary hypertension due to any cause under 3.09; chronic heart failure under 4.02; and disturbances in mood, cognition, and behavior under 12.02 or another appropriate mental disorders listing. We will not purchase polysomnography (sleep study).

Q. *How do we evaluate mycobacterial, mycotic, and other chronic infections of the lungs?* We evaluate chronic infections of the lungs that result in limitations in your respiratory function under 3.02.

R. *How do we evaluate respiratory disorders that do not meet one of these listings?*

1. These listings are only examples of common respiratory disorders that we consider severe enough to prevent you from doing any gainful activity. If your impairment(s) does not meet the criteria of any of these listings, we must also consider whether you have an impairment(s) that meets the criteria of a listing in another body system. For example, if your CF has resulted in chronic pancreatic or hepatobiliary disease, we evaluate your impairment under the listings in 5.00.

2. If you have a severe medically determinable impairment(s) that does not meet a listing, we will determine whether your impairment(s) medically equals a listing. See §§ 404.1526 and 416.926 of this chapter. Respiratory disorders may be associated with disorders in other body systems, and we consider the combined effects of multiple impairments when we determine whether they medically equal a listing. If your impairment(s) does not meet or medically equal a listing, you may or may not have the residual functional capacity to engage in substantial gainful activity. We proceed to the fourth step and, if necessary, the fifth step of the sequential evaluation process in §§ 404.1520 and 416.920 of this chapter. We use the rules in §§ 404.1594 and 416.994 of this chapter, as appropriate, when we decide whether you continue to be disabled.

3.01 Category of Impairments, Respiratory Disorders

3.02 *Chronic respiratory disorders* due to any cause except CF (for CF, see 3.04) with A, B, C, or D:

A. FEV<sub>1</sub> (see 3.00E) less than or equal to the value in Table I–A or I–B for your age, gender, and height without shoes (see 3.00E3a).

TABLE I—FEV<sub>1</sub> CRITERIA FOR 3.02A

Height without shoes (centimeters) < means less than	Height without shoes (inches) < means less than	Table I–A		Table I–B	
		Age 18 to attainment of age 20		Age 20 or older	
		Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)
<153.0 .....	<60.25 .....	1.20	1.45	1.05	1.20
153.0 to <159.0 .....	60.25 to <62.50 .....	1.30	1.55	1.15	1.35
159.0 to <164.0 .....	62.50 to <64.50 .....	1.40	1.65	1.25	1.40
164.0 to <169.0 .....	64.50 to <66.50 .....	1.45	1.75	1.35	1.50
169.0 to <174.0 .....	66.50 to <68.50 .....	1.55	1.85	1.45	1.60
174.0 to <180.0 .....	68.50 to <70.75 .....	1.65	2.00	1.55	1.75
180.0 to <185.0 .....	70.75 to <72.75 .....	1.75	2.10	1.65	1.85
185.0 or more .....	72.75 or more .....	1.80	2.15	1.70	1.90

OR  
B. FVC (see 3.00E) less than or equal to the value in Table II–A or II–B for your age,

gender, and height without shoes (see 3.00E3a).

TABLE II—FVC CRITERIA FOR 3.02B

Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	Table II-A		Table II-B	
		Age 18 to attainment of age 20		Age 20 or older	
		Females FVC less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Females FVC less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Females FVC less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FVC less than or equal to (L, BTPS)
<153.0	<60.25	1.35	1.65	1.30	1.50
153.0 to <159.0	60.25 to <62.50	1.50	1.80	1.40	1.65
159.0 to <164.0	62.50 to <64.50	1.60	1.90	1.50	1.75
164.0 to <169.0	64.50 to <66.50	1.70	2.05	1.60	1.90
169.0 to <174.0	66.50 to <68.50	1.80	2.20	1.70	2.00
174.0 to <180.0	68.50 to <70.75	1.90	2.35	1.85	2.20
180.0 to <185.0	70.75 to <72.75	2.05	2.50	1.95	2.30
185.0 or more	72.75 or more	2.10	2.60	2.00	2.40

OR  
C. Chronic impairment of gas exchange demonstrated by 1, 2, or 3:

1. Average of two unadjusted, single-breath DLCO measurements (see 3.00F) less than or equal to the value in Table III for your gender and height without shoes (see 3.00F3a); or

TABLE III—DLCO CRITERIA FOR 3.02C1

Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	Females DLCO less than or equal to (mL CO (STPD)/min/ mmHg)	Males DLCO less than or equal to (mL CO (STPD)/min/ mmHg)
<153.0	< 60.25	8.0	9.0
153.0 to <159.0	60.25 to <62.50	8.5	9.5
159.0 to <164.0	62.50 to <64.50	9.0	10.0
164.0 to <169.0	64.50 to <66.50	9.5	10.5
169.0 to <174.0	66.50 to <68.50	10.0	11.0
174.0 to <180.0	68.50 to <70.75	10.5	11.5
180.0 to <185.0	70.75 to <72.75	11.0	12.0
185.0 or more	72.75 or more	11.5	12.5

2. Arterial P<sub>a</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and P<sub>a</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> measured concurrently by an ABG test, while at rest or during steady state exercise, breathing room air (see 3.00G3b), less than or equal to the applicable values in Table IV-A, IV-B, or IV-C; or

Tables IV-A, IV-B, and IV-C—ABG Criteria for 3.02C2

TABLE IV-A

[Applicable at test sites less than 3,000 feet above sea level]

Arterial P <sub>a</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg) and	Arterial P <sub>a</sub> O <sub>2</sub> less than or equal to (mm Hg)
30 or below	65
31	64
32	63
33	62
34	61
35	60
36	59
37	58
38	57
39	56
40 or above	55

TABLE IV-B

[Applicable at test sites from 3,000 through 6,000 feet above sea level]

Arterial P <sub>a</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg) and	Arterial P <sub>a</sub> O <sub>2</sub> less than or equal to (mm Hg)
30 or below	60
31	59
32	58
33	57
34	56
35	55
36	54
37	53
38	52
39	51
40 or above	50

TABLE IV-C

[Applicable at test sites over 6,000 feet above sea level]

Arterial P <sub>a</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg) and	Arterial P <sub>a</sub> O <sub>2</sub> less than or equal to (mm Hg)
30 or below	55
31	54

TABLE IV-C—Continued

[Applicable at test sites over 6,000 feet above sea level]

Arterial P <sub>a</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg) and	Arterial P <sub>a</sub> O <sub>2</sub> less than or equal to (mm Hg)
32	53
33	52
34	51
35	50
36	49
37	48
38	47
39	46
40 or above	45

3. S<sub>p</sub>O<sub>2</sub> measured by pulse oximetry (see 3.00H2) either at rest, during a 6MWT, or after a 6MWT, less than or equal to the value in Table V.

TABLE V—S<sub>p</sub>O<sub>2</sub> CRITERIA FOR 3.02C3

Test site altitude (feet above sea level)	S <sub>p</sub> O <sub>2</sub> less than or equal to
Less than 3,000	87 percent.
3,000 through 6,000	85 percent.
Over 6,000	83 percent.

OR  
 D. Exacerbations or complications requiring three hospitalizations within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability

review). Each hospitalization must last at least 48 hours, including hours in a hospital emergency department immediately before the hospitalization.  
 3.03 *Asthma* (see 3.00I), with both A and B:

A. FEV<sub>1</sub> (see 3.00E1) less than or equal to the value in Table VI–A or VI–B for your age, gender, and height without shoes (see 3.00E3a) measured within the same 12-month period as the hospitalizations in 3.03B.

TABLE VI—FEV<sub>1</sub> CRITERIA FOR 3.03A

Height without shoes (centimeters) < means less than	Height without shoes (inches) < means less than	Table VI–A		Table VI–B	
		Age 18 to attainment of age 20		Age 20 or older	
		Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)
<153.0	<60.25	1.65	1.90	1.45	1.60
153.0 to <159.0	60.25 to <62.50	1.75	2.05	1.55	1.75
159.0 to <164.0	62.50 to <64.50	1.85	2.15	1.65	1.90
164.0 to <169.0	64.50 to <66.50	1.95	2.30	1.75	2.00
169.0 to <174.0	66.50 to <68.50	2.05	2.45	1.85	2.15
174.0 to <180.0	68.50 to <70.75	2.20	2.60	2.00	2.30
180.0 to <185.0	70.75 to <72.75	2.35	2.75	2.10	2.45
185.0 or more	72.75 or more	2.40	2.85	2.20	2.55

AND  
 B. Exacerbations or complications requiring three hospitalizations within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review). Each hospitalization must last at

least 48 hours, including hours in a hospital emergency department immediately before the hospitalization. Consider under a disability for 1 year from the discharge date of the last hospitalization; after that, evaluate the residual impairment(s) under 3.03 or another appropriate listing.

3.04 *Cystic fibrosis* (documented as described in 3.00J2) with A, B, C, D, E, F, or G:  
 A. FEV<sub>1</sub> (see 3.00E) less than or equal to the value in Table VII–A or VII–B for your age, gender, and height without shoes (see 3.00E3a).

TABLE VII—FEV<sub>1</sub> CRITERIA FOR 3.04A

Height without shoes (centimeters) < means less than	Height without shoes (inches) < means less than	Table VII–A		Table VII–B	
		Age 18 to attainment of age 20		Age 20 or older	
		Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)
<153.0	<60.25	1.65	1.90	1.45	1.60
153.0 to <159.0	60.25 to <62.50	1.75	2.05	1.55	1.75
159.0 to <164.0	62.50 to <64.50	1.85	2.15	1.65	1.90
164.0 to <169.0	64.50 to <66.50	1.95	2.30	1.75	2.00
169.0 to <174.0	66.50 to <68.50	2.05	2.45	1.85	2.15
174.0 to <180.0	68.50 to <70.75	2.20	2.60	2.00	2.30
180.0 to <185.0	70.75 to <72.75	2.35	2.75	2.10	2.45
185.0 or more	72.75 or more	2.40	2.85	2.20	2.55

OR  
 B. Exacerbations or complications (see 3.00J3) requiring three hospitalizations of any length within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review).  
 OR  
 C. Spontaneous pneumothorax, secondary to CF, requiring chest tube placement.  
 OR  
 D. Respiratory failure (see 3.00N) requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, noninvasive ventilation with BiPAP, or a combination of

both treatments, for a continuous period of at least 48 hours, or for a continuous period of at least 72 hours if postoperatively.  
 OR  
 E. Pulmonary hemorrhage requiring vascular embolization to control bleeding.  
 OR  
 F. S<sub>p</sub>O<sub>2</sub> measured by pulse oximetry (see 3.00H3) either at rest, during a 6MWT, or after a 6MWT, less than or equal to the value in Table VIII, twice within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review).

TABLES VIII—S<sub>p</sub>O<sub>2</sub> CRITERIA FOR 3.04F

Test site altitude (feet above sea level)	S <sub>p</sub> O <sub>2</sub> less than or equal to
Less than 3,000	89 percent.
3,000 through 6,000	87 percent.
Over 6,000	85 percent.

OR  
 G. Two of the following exacerbations or complications (either two of the same or two different, see 3.00J3 and 3.00J4) within a 12-month period (the 12-month period must

occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review):

1. Pulmonary exacerbation requiring 10 consecutive days of intravenous antibiotic treatment.
2. Pulmonary hemorrhage (hemoptysis with more than blood-streaked sputum but not requiring vascular embolization) requiring hospitalization of any length.
3. Weight loss requiring daily supplemental enteral nutrition via a gastrostomy for at least 90 consecutive days or parenteral nutrition via a central venous catheter for at least 90 consecutive days.
4. CFRD requiring daily insulin therapy for at least 90 consecutive days.
- 3.05 [Reserved]
- 3.06 [Reserved]
- 3.07 *Bronchiectasis* (see 3.00K), documented by imaging (see 3.00D3), with exacerbations or complications requiring three hospitalizations within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review). Each hospitalization must last at least 48 hours, including hours in a hospital emergency department immediately before the hospitalization.
- 3.08 [Reserved]
- 3.09 *Chronic pulmonary hypertension due to any cause* (see 3.00L) documented by mean pulmonary artery pressure equal to or greater than 40 mm Hg as determined by cardiac catheterization while medically stable (see 3.00E2a).
- 3.10 [Reserved]
- 3.11 *Lung transplantation* (see 3.00M). Consider under a disability for 3 years from the date of the transplant; after that, evaluate the residual impairment(s).
- 3.12 [Reserved]
- 3.13 [Reserved]
- 3.14 *Respiratory failure* (see 3.00N) resulting from any underlying chronic respiratory disorder except CF (for CF, see 3.04D), requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, noninvasive ventilation with BiPAP, or a combination of both treatments, for a continuous period of at least 48 hours, or for a continuous period of at least 72 hours if postoperatively, *twice* within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review).

\* \* \* \* \*

Part B

\* \* \* \* \*

103.00 Respiratory Disorders.

\* \* \* \* \*

**103.00 Respiratory Disorders**

*A. Which disorders do we evaluate in this body system?*

1. We evaluate respiratory disorders that result in obstruction (difficulty moving air out of the lungs) or restriction (difficulty moving air into the lungs), or that interfere with diffusion (gas exchange) across cell membranes in the lungs. Examples of such disorders and the listings we use to evaluate them include chronic obstructive pulmonary

disease (103.02), chronic lung disease of infancy (also known as bronchopulmonary dysplasia, 103.02C or 103.02E), pulmonary fibrosis (103.02), asthma (103.02 or 103.03), and cystic fibrosis (103.04). We also use listings in this body system to evaluate respiratory failure resulting from an underlying chronic respiratory disorder (103.04E or 103.14) and lung transplantation (103.11).

2. We evaluate cancers affecting the respiratory system under the listings in 113.00. We evaluate the pulmonary effects of neuromuscular and autoimmune disorders under these listings or under the listings in 111.00 or 114.00, respectively.

*B. What are the symptoms and signs of respiratory disorders?* Symptoms and signs of respiratory disorders include dyspnea (shortness of breath), chest pain, coughing, wheezing, sputum production, hemoptysis (coughing up blood from the respiratory tract), use of accessory muscles of respiration, and tachypnea (rapid rate of breathing).

*C. What abbreviations do we use in this body system?*

1. *BiPAP* means bi-level positive airway pressure ventilation.
2. *BTPS* means body temperature and ambient pressure, saturated with water vapor.
3. *CF* means cystic fibrosis.
4. *CFRD* means CF-related diabetes.
5. *CFTR* means CF transmembrane conductance regulator.
6. *CLD* means chronic lung disease of infancy.
7. *FEV<sub>1</sub>* means forced expiratory volume in the first second of a forced expiratory maneuver.
8. *FVC* means forced vital capacity.
9. *L* means liter.

*D. What documentation do we need to evaluate your respiratory disorder?*

1. We need *medical evidence* to document and assess the severity of your respiratory disorder. Medical evidence should include your medical history, physical examination findings, the results of imaging (see 103.00D3), spirometry (see 103.00E), other relevant laboratory tests, and descriptions of any prescribed treatment and your response to it. We may not need all of this evidence depending on your particular respiratory disorder and its effects on you.

2. If you use *supplemental oxygen*, we still need medical evidence to establish the severity of your respiratory disorder.

3. *Imaging* refers to medical imaging techniques, such as x-ray and computerized tomography. The imaging must be consistent with the prevailing state of medical knowledge and clinical practice as the proper technique to support the evaluation of the disorder.

*E. What is spirometry and what are our requirements for an acceptable test and report?*

1. Spirometry, which measures how well you move air into and out of your lungs, involves at least three forced expiratory maneuvers during the same test session. A forced expiratory maneuver is a maximum inhalation followed by a forced maximum exhalation, and measures exhaled volumes of

air over time. The volume of air you exhale in the first second of the forced expiratory maneuver is the FEV<sub>1</sub>. The total volume of air that you exhale during the entire forced expiratory maneuver is the FVC. We use your highest FEV<sub>1</sub> value to evaluate your respiratory disorder under 103.02A and 103.04A, and your highest FVC value to evaluate your respiratory disorder under 103.02B, regardless of whether the values are from the same forced expiratory maneuver or different forced expiratory maneuvers. We will not purchase spirometry for children who have not attained age 6.

2. We have the following requirements for spirometry under these listings:

a. You must be medically stable at the time of the test. Examples of when we would not consider you to be medically stable include when you are:

(i) Within 2 weeks of a change in your prescribed respiratory medication.

(ii) Experiencing, or within 30 days of completion of treatment for, a lower respiratory tract infection.

(iii) Experiencing, or within 30 days of completion of treatment for, an acute exacerbation (temporary worsening) of a chronic respiratory disorder. Wheezing by itself does not indicate that you are not medically stable.

b. During testing, if your FEV<sub>1</sub> is less than 70 percent of your predicted normal value, we require repeat spirometry after inhalation of a bronchodilator to evaluate your respiratory disorder under these listings, unless it is medically contraindicated. If you used a bronchodilator before the test and your FEV<sub>1</sub> is less than 70 percent of your predicted normal value, we still require repeat spirometry after inhalation of a bronchodilator unless the supervising physician determines that it is not safe for you to take a bronchodilator again (in which case we may need to reschedule the test). If you do not have post-bronchodilator spirometry, the test report must explain why. We can use the results of spirometry administered without bronchodilators when the use of bronchodilators is medically contraindicated.

c. Your forced expiratory maneuvers must be satisfactory. We consider a forced expiratory maneuver to be satisfactory when you exhale with maximum effort following a full inspiration, and when the test tracing has a sharp takeoff and rapid rise to peak flow, has a smooth contour, and either lasts for at least 6 seconds (for children age 10 and older) or for at least 3 seconds (for children who have not attained age 10), or maintains a plateau for at least 1 second.

3. The spirometry report must include the following information:

a. The date of the test and your name, age or date of birth, gender, and height without shoes. (We will assume that your recorded height on the date of the test is without shoes, unless we have evidence to the contrary.) If your spine is abnormally curved (for example, you have kyphoscoliosis), we will substitute the longest distance between your outstretched fingertips with your arms abducted 90 degrees in place of your height when this measurement is greater than your standing height without shoes.

b. Any factors, if applicable, that can affect the interpretation of the test results (for example, your cooperation or effort in doing the test).

c. Legible tracings of your forced expiratory maneuvers in a volume-time format showing your name and the date of the test for each maneuver.

4. If you have attained age 6, we may need to purchase spirometry to determine whether your disorder meets a listing, unless we can make a fully favorable determination or decision on another basis.

5. Before we purchase spirometry for a child age 6 or older, a medical consultant (see § 416.1016 of this chapter), preferably one with experience in the care of children with respiratory disorders, must review your case record to determine if we need the test. If we purchase spirometry, the medical source we designate to administer the test is solely responsible for deciding whether it is safe for you to do the test and for how to administer it.

F. *What is CLD and how do we evaluate it?*

1. *CLD*, also known as bronchopulmonary dysplasia, or BPD, is scarring of the immature lung. CLD may develop as a complication of mechanical ventilation and oxygen therapy for infants with significant neonatal respiratory problems. Within the first 6 months of life, most infants with CLD are successfully weaned from mechanical ventilation, and then weaned from oxygen supplementation. We evaluate CLD under 103.02C, 103.02E, or if you are age 2 or older, under 103.03 or another appropriate listing.

2. If you have CLD, are not yet 6 months old, and need 24-hour-per-day oxygen supplementation, we will not evaluate your CLD under 103.02C until you are 6 months old. Depending on the evidence in your case record, we may make a fully favorable determination or decision under other rules before you are 6 months old.

3. We evaluate your CLD under 103.02C if you are at least 6 months old and you need 24-hour-per-day oxygen supplementation. (If you were born prematurely, we use your corrected chronological age. See § 416.924b(b) of this chapter.) We also evaluate your CLD under 103.02C if you were weaned off oxygen supplementation but needed it again by the time you were 6 months old or older.

4. We evaluate your CLD under 103.02E if you are any age from birth to the attainment of age 2 and have CLD exacerbations or complications (for example, wheezing, lower respiratory tract infections, or acute respiratory distress) that require hospitalization. For the purpose of 103.02E, we count your initial birth hospitalization as one hospitalization. The phrase “consider under a disability for 1 year from the discharge date of the last hospitalization or until the attainment of age 2, whichever is later” in 103.02E does not refer to the date on which your disability began, only to the date on which we must reevaluate whether your impairment(s) continues to meet a listing or is otherwise disabling.

G. *What is asthma and how do we evaluate it?*

1. *Asthma* is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the lung airways that we evaluate

under 103.02 or 103.03. If you have respiratory failure resulting from chronic asthma (see 103.00)), we will evaluate it under 103.14.

2. For the purposes of 103.03:

a. The phrase “consider under a disability for 1 year” explains how long your asthma can meet the requirements of the listing. It does not refer to the date on which your disability began, only to the date on which we must reevaluate whether your asthma continues to meet a listing or is otherwise disabling.

b. We determine the onset of your disability based on the facts of your case, but it will be no later than the admission date of your first of three hospitalizations that satisfy the criteria of 103.03.

H. *What is CF and how do we evaluate it?*

1. *General*. We evaluate *CF*, a genetic disorder that results in abnormal salt and water transport across cell membranes in the lungs, pancreas, and other body organs, under 103.04. We need the evidence described in 103.00H2 to establish that you have *CF*.

2. *Documentation of CF*. We need a report signed by a physician (see § 416.913(a) of this chapter) showing both a *and b*:

a. One of the following:

(i) A positive newborn screen for *CF*; or

(ii) A history of *CF* in a sibling; or

(iii) Documentation of at least one specific *CF* phenotype or clinical criterion (for example, chronic sino-pulmonary disease with persistent colonization or infections with typical *CF* pathogens, pancreatic insufficiency, or salt-loss syndromes); *and*

b. One of the following definitive laboratory tests:

(i) An elevated sweat chloride concentration equal to or greater than 60 millimoles per L; or

(ii) The identification of two *CF* gene mutations affecting the *CFTR*; or

(iii) Characteristic abnormalities in ion transport across the nasal epithelium.

c. When we have the report showing a *and b*, but it is not signed by a physician, we also need a report from a physician stating that you have *CF*.

d. When we do not have the report showing a *and b*, we need a report from a physician that is persuasive that a positive diagnosis of *CF* was confirmed by an appropriate definitive laboratory test. To be persuasive, this report must include a statement by the physician that you had the appropriate definitive laboratory test for diagnosing *CF*. The report must provide the test results or explain how your diagnosis was established that is consistent with the prevailing state of medical knowledge and clinical practice.

3. *CF pulmonary exacerbations*. Examples of *CF* pulmonary exacerbations include increased cough and sputum production, hemoptysis, increased shortness of breath, increased fatigue, and reduction in pulmonary function. Treatment usually includes intravenous antibiotics and intensified airway clearance therapy (for example, increased frequencies of chest percussion or increased use of inhaled nebulized therapies, such as bronchodilators or mucolytics).

4. For 103.04G, we require any two exacerbations or complications from the list in 103.04G1 through 103.04G4 within a 12-month period. You may have two of the same exacerbation or complication or two different ones.

a. If you have two of the acute exacerbations or complications we describe in 103.04G1 and 103.04G2, there must be at least 30 days between the two.

b. If you have one of the acute exacerbations or complications we describe in 103.04G1 and 103.04G2 and one of the chronic complications we describe in 103.04G3 and 103.04G4, the two can occur during the same time. For example, your *CF* meets 103.04G if you have the pulmonary hemorrhage we describe in 103.04G2 and the weight loss we describe in 103.04G3 even if the pulmonary hemorrhage occurs during the 90-day period in 103.04G3.

c. Your *CF* also meets 103.04G if you have both of the chronic complications in 103.04G3 and 103.04G4.

5. *CF* may also affect other body systems such as digestive or endocrine. If your *CF*, including pulmonary exacerbations and nonpulmonary complications, does not meet or medically equal a respiratory disorders listing, we may evaluate your *CF*-related impairments under the listings in the affected body system.

I. *How do we evaluate lung transplantation?* If you receive a lung transplant (or a lung transplant simultaneously with other organs, such as the heart), we will consider you to be disabled under 103.11 for 3 years from the date of the transplant. After that, we evaluate your residual impairment(s) by considering the adequacy of your post-transplant function, the frequency and severity of any rejection episodes you have, complications in other body systems, and adverse treatment effects. Children who receive organ transplants generally have impairments that meet our definition of disability before they undergo transplantation. The phrase “consider under a disability for 3 years” in 103.11 does not refer to the date on which your disability began, only to the date on which we must reevaluate whether your impairment(s) continues to meet a listing or is otherwise disabling. We determine the onset of your disability based on the facts of your case.

J. *What is respiratory failure and how do we evaluate it?* Respiratory failure is the inability of the lungs to perform their basic function of gas exchange. We evaluate respiratory failure under 103.04E if you have *CF*-related respiratory failure, or under 103.14 if you have respiratory failure due to any other *chronic* respiratory disorder. Continuous positive airway pressure does not satisfy the criterion in 103.04E or 103.14, and cannot be substituted as an equivalent finding, for invasive mechanical ventilation or noninvasive ventilation with BiPAP.

K. *How do we evaluate growth failure due to any chronic respiratory disorder?*

1. To evaluate growth failure due to any chronic respiratory disorder, we require documentation of the oxygen supplementation described in 103.06A and the growth measurements in 103.06B within

the same consecutive 12-month period. The dates of oxygen supplementation may be different from the dates of growth measurements.

2. Under 103.06B, we use the appropriate table(s) under 105.08B in the digestive system to determine whether a child's growth is less than the third percentile.

a. For children from birth to attainment of age 2, we use the weight-for-length table corresponding to the child's gender (Table I or Table II).

b. For children age 2 to attainment of age 18, we use the body mass index (BMI)-for-age table corresponding to the child's gender (Table III or Table IV).

c. BMI is the ratio of a child's weight to the square of his or her height. We calculate BMI using the formulas in 105.00G2c.

L. *How do we evaluate respiratory disorders that do not meet one of these listings?*

1. These listings are only examples of common respiratory disorders that we consider severe enough to result in marked and severe functional limitations. If your impairment(s) does not meet the criteria of any of these listings, we must also consider whether you have an impairment(s) that meets the criteria of a listing in another body system. For example, if your CF has resulted in chronic pancreatic or hepatobiliary disease, we evaluate your impairment under the listings in 105.00.

2. If you have a severe medically determinable impairment(s) that does not meet a listing, we will determine whether your impairment(s) medically equals a listing. See § 416.926 of this chapter. Respiratory disorders may be associated with

disorders in other body systems, and we consider the combined effects of multiple impairments when we determine whether they medically equal a listing. If your impairment(s) does not meet or medically equal a listing, we will also consider whether it functionally equals the listings. See § 416.926a of this chapter. We use the rules in § 416.994a of this chapter when we decide whether you continue to be disabled.

103.01 Category of Impairments, Respiratory Disorders

103.02 *Chronic respiratory disorders* due to any cause except CF (for CF, see 103.04), with A, B, C, D, or E:

A. FEV<sub>1</sub> (see 103.00E) less than or equal to the value in Table I–A or I–B for your age, gender, and height without shoes (see 103.00E3a).

TABLE I—FEV<sub>1</sub> CRITERIA FOR 103.02A

Table I–A			Table I–B			
Age 6 to attainment of age 13 (for both females and males)			Age 13 to attainment of age 18			
Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)
<123.0 .....	<48.50 .....	0.80	<153.0 .....	<60.25 .....	1.35	1.40
123.0 to <129.0 .....	48.50 to <50.75 .....	0.90	153.0 to <159.0 .....	60.25 to <62.50 .....	1.45	1.50
129.0 to <134.0 .....	50.75 to <52.75 .....	1.00	159.0 to <164.0 .....	62.50 to <64.50 .....	1.55	1.60
134.0 to <139.0 .....	52.75 to <54.75 .....	1.10	164.0 to <169.0 .....	64.50 to <66.50 .....	1.65	1.70
139.0 to <144.0 .....	54.75 to <56.75 .....	1.20	169.0 to <174.0 .....	66.50 to <68.50 .....	1.75	1.85
144.0 to <149.0 .....	56.75 to <58.75 .....	1.30	174.0 to <180.0 .....	68.50 to <70.75 .....	1.85	2.00
149.0 or more .....	58.75 or more .....	1.40	180.0 or more .....	70.75 or more .....	1.95	2.10

OR

B. FVC (see 103.00E) less than or equal to the value in Table II–A or II–B for your age,

gender, and height without shoes (see 103.00E3a).

TABLE II—FVC CRITERIA FOR 103.02B

Table II–A			Table II–B			
Age 6 to attainment of age 13 (for both females and males)			Age 13 to attainment of age 18			
Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	FVC less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	Females FVC less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FVC less than or equal to (L, BTPS)
<123.0 .....	<48.50 .....	0.85	<153.0 .....	<60.25 .....	1.65	1.65
123.0 to <129.0 .....	48.50 to <50.75 .....	1.00	153.0 to <159.0 .....	60.25 to <62.50 .....	1.70	1.80
129.0 to <134.0 .....	50.75 to <52.75 .....	1.10	159.0 to <164.0 .....	62.50 to <64.50 .....	1.80	1.95
134.0 to <139.0 .....	52.75 to <54.75 .....	1.30	164.0 to <169.0 .....	64.50 to <66.50 .....	1.95	2.10
139.0 to <144.0 .....	54.75 to <56.75 .....	1.40	169.0 to <174.0 .....	66.50 to <68.50 .....	2.05	2.25
144.0 to <149.0 .....	56.75 to <58.75 .....	1.55	174.0 to <180.0 .....	68.50 to <70.75 .....	2.20	2.45
149.0 or more .....	58.75 or more .....	1.70	180.0 or more .....	70.75 or more .....	2.30	2.55

OR

C. Hypoxemia with the need for at least 1.0 L per minute of continuous (24 hours per day) oxygen supplementation for at least 90 consecutive days.

OR

D. The presence of a tracheostomy.

1. Consider under a disability until the attainment of age 3; or

2. Upon the attainment of age 3, documented need for mechanical ventilation via a tracheostomy for at least 4 hours per day and for at least 90 consecutive days.

OR

E. For children who have not attained age 2, CLD (see 103.00F) with exacerbations or complications requiring three hospitalizations within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review).

Each hospitalization must last at least 48 hours, including hours in a hospital emergency department immediately before the hospitalization. (A child's initial birth hospitalization when CLD is first diagnosed counts as one hospitalization.) Consider under a disability for 1 year from the discharge date of the last hospitalization or until the attainment of age 2, whichever is later. After that, evaluate the impairment(s) under 103.03 or another appropriate listing.

103.03 *Asthma* (see 103.00G) with exacerbations or complications requiring three hospitalizations within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review). Each hospitalization must last at least 48 hours, including hours in a hospital emergency department immediately before the hospitalization. Consider under a

disability for 1 year from the discharge date of the last hospitalization; after that, evaluate the residual impairment(s) under 103.03 or another appropriate listing.

103.04 *Cystic fibrosis* (documented as described in 103.00H), with A, B, C, D, E, F, or G:

A. FEV<sub>1</sub> (see 103.00E) less than or equal to the value in Table III–A or Table III–B for your age, gender, and height without shoes (see 103.00E3a).

TABLE III—FEV<sub>1</sub> CRITERIA FOR 103.04A

Table III–A			Table III–B			
Age 6 to attainment of age 13 (for both females and males)			Age 13 to attainment of age 18			
Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Height without shoes (centimeters) < means <i>less than</i>	Height without shoes (inches) < means <i>less than</i>	Females FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)	Males FEV <sub>1</sub> less than or equal to (L, BTPS)
<123.0 .....	<48.50 .....	1.00	<153.0 .....	<60.25 .....	1.75	1.85
123.0 to <129.0 .....	48.50 to <50.75 .....	1.15	153.0 to <159.0 .....	60.25 to <62.50 .....	1.85	2.05
129.0 to <134.0 .....	50.75 to <52.75 .....	1.25	159.0 to <164.0 .....	62.50 to <64.50 .....	1.95	2.15
134.0 to <139.0 .....	52.75 to <54.75 .....	1.40	164.0 to <169.0 .....	64.50 to <66.50 .....	2.10	2.30
139.0 to <144.0 .....	54.75 to <56.75 .....	1.50	169.0 to <174.0 .....	66.50 to <68.50 .....	2.25	2.45
144.0 to <149.0 .....	56.75 to <58.75 .....	1.70	174.0 to <180.0 .....	68.50 to <70.75 .....	2.35	2.60
149.0 or more .....	58.75 or more .....	1.80	180.0 or more .....	70.75 or more .....	2.50	2.70

OR

B. For children who have not attained age 6, findings on imaging (see 103.00D3) of thickening of the proximal bronchial airways, nodular-cystic lesions, segmental or lobular atelectasis, or consolidation, and documentation of one of the following:

1. Shortness of breath with activity; or
2. Accumulation of secretions as manifested by repetitive coughing; or
3. Bilateral rales or rhonchi, or reduction of breath sounds.

OR

C. Exacerbations or complications (see 103.00H3) requiring three hospitalizations of any length within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review).

OR

D. Spontaneous pneumothorax, secondary to CF, requiring chest tube placement.

OR

E. Respiratory failure (see 103.00J) requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, noninvasive ventilation with BiPAP, or a combination of both treatments, for a continuous period of at least 48 hours, or for a continuous period of at least 72 hours if postoperatively.

OR

F. Pulmonary hemorrhage requiring vascular embolization to control bleeding.

OR

G. Two of the following exacerbations or complications (either two of the same or two different, see 103.00H3 and 103.00H4) within a 12-month period (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review):

1. Pulmonary exacerbation requiring 10 consecutive days of intravenous antibiotic treatment.

2. Pulmonary hemorrhage (hemoptysis with more than blood-streaked sputum but not requiring vascular embolization) requiring hospitalization of any length.

3. Weight loss requiring daily supplemental enteral nutrition via a gastrostomy for at least 90 consecutive days or parenteral nutrition via a central venous catheter for at least 90 consecutive days.

4. CFRD requiring daily insulin therapy for at least 90 consecutive days.

103.05 [Reserved]

103.06 *Growth failure due to any chronic respiratory disorder* (see 103.00K), documented by:

A. Hypoxemia with the need for at least 1.0 L per min of oxygen supplementation for at least 4 hours per day and for at least 90 consecutive days.

AND

B. Growth failure as required in 1 or 2:

1. For children from birth to attainment of age 2, three weight-for-length measurements that are:

- a. Within a consecutive 12-month period; and
- b. At least 60 days apart; and
- c. Less than the third percentile on the appropriate weight-for-length table under 105.08B1; or

2. For children age 2 to attainment of age 18, three BMI-for-age measurements that are:

- a. Within a consecutive 12-month period; and
- b. At least 60 days apart; and
- c. Less than the third percentile on the appropriate BMI-for-age table under 105.08B2.

103.07 [Reserved]

103.08 [Reserved]

103.09 [Reserved]

103.10 [Reserved]

103.11 *Lung transplantation* (see 103.00I). Consider under a disability for 3 years from the date of the transplant; after that, evaluate the residual impairment(s).

103.12 [Reserved]

103.13 [Reserved]

103.14 *Respiratory failure* (see 103.00J) resulting from any underlying chronic respiratory disorder except CF (for CF, see 103.04E), requiring invasive mechanical ventilation, noninvasive ventilation with BiPAP, or a combination of both treatments, for a continuous period of at least 48 hours, or for a continuous period of at least 72 hours if postoperatively, twice within a 12-month period and at least 30 days apart (the 12-month period must occur within the period we are considering in connection with your application or continuing disability review).

\* \* \* \* \*

**PART 416—SUPPLEMENTAL SECURITY INCOME FOR THE AGED, BLIND, AND DISABLED**

**Subpart I—Determining Disability and Blindness**

■ 3. The authority citation for subpart I of part 416 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 221(m), 702(a)(5), 1611, 1614, 1619, 1631(a), (c), (d)(1), and (p), and 1633 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 421(m), 902(a)(5), 1382, 1382c, 1382h, 1383(a), (c), (d)(1), and (p), and 1383b); secs. 4(c) and 5, 6(c)-(e), 14(a), and 15, Pub. L. 98-460, 98 Stat. 1794, 1801, 1802, and 1808 (42 U.S.C. 421 note, 423 note, and 1382h note).

**§ 416.926a [Amended]**

■ 4. Amend § 416.926a by removing paragraph (m)(1) and redesignating paragraphs (m)(2) through (6) as (m)(1) through (5).

[FR Doc. 2016-13275 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

---

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**
**Food and Drug Administration**
**21 CFR Part 14**

[Docket No. FDA-2016-N-0001]

**Advisory Committee; Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies Advisory Committee; Termination**

**AGENCY:** Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is announcing the termination of the Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies Advisory Committee. This document removes the Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies Advisory Committee from the Agency's list of standing advisory committees.

**DATES:** This rule is effective June 9, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bryan Emery, Division of Scientific Advisors and Consultants, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Ave., Bldg. 71, Rm. 6132, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, 240-402-8054, FAX: 301-595-1307, or [bryan.emery@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:bryan.emery@fda.hhs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies Advisory Committee (the Committee) was established on June 9, 1995 (60 FR 31311, June 14, 1995; 21 CFR 14.100 erroneously lists the date of establishment as June 21, 1995). The Committee reviews and evaluates available scientific data concerning the safety of products that may be a risk for transmission of spongiform encephalopathies having an impact on the public health as determined by the Commissioner of Food and Drugs. The Committee makes recommendations to the Commissioner regarding the regulation of such products. In recent years, the number of issues requiring Committee advice has declined, and the Committee has met very infrequently. Therefore, the effort and expense of maintaining this advisory committee is no longer justified. Any relevant

Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathy issues in the future could be addressed by the Agency's other advisory committees, such as the Agency's Blood Products Advisory Committee, with additional augmentation of expertise by appropriate subject matter experts serving as temporary members on the committee.

The Committee is no longer needed and will be terminated on June 9, 2016.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B) and (d) and 21 CFR 10.40 (d) and (e), the Agency finds good cause to dispense with notice and public comment procedures and to proceed to an immediate effective date on this rule. Notice and public comment and a delayed effective date are unnecessary and are not in the public interest as this final rule merely removes the name of the Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies Advisory Committee from the list of standing advisory committees in 21 CFR 14.100.

Therefore, the Agency is amending 21 CFR 14.100(b) as set forth in the regulatory text of this document.

**List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 14**

Administrative practice and procedure, Advisory committees, Color additives, Drugs, Radiation protection.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs, 21 CFR part 14 is amended as follows:

**PART 14—PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE A PUBLIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 14 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. App. 2; 15 U.S.C. 1451-1461, 21 U.S.C. 41-50, 141-149, 321-394, 467f, 679, 821, 1034; 28 U.S.C. 2112; 42 U.S.C. 201, 262, 263b, 264; Pub. L. 107-109; Pub. L. 108-155.

**§ 14.100 [Amended]**

■ 2. In § 14.100, redesignate paragraph (b)(5) as (b)(4) and remove paragraph (b)(6).

Dated: June 6, 2016.

**Jill Hartzler Warner,**

*Associate Commissioner for Special Medical Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13705 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4164-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**
**Office of Natural Resources Revenue**
**30 CFR Part 1241**

[Docket No. ONRR-2016-0002; DS63644000 DR2PS0000.CH7000167D0102R2]

RIN 1012-AA17

**Civil Monetary Penalties Inflation Adjustment**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Office of Natural Resources Revenue, Interior.

**ACTION:** Interim final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of Natural Resources Revenue (ONRR) publishes this interim final rule to adjust the amount of our civil monetary penalties (CMPs) for inflation with an initial "catch-up" adjustment under the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015 and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidance.

**DATES:** This rule is effective July 11, 2016. Comments will be accepted until August 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments to ONRR by one of the following three methods. (Please reference the Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) 1012-AA17 in your comments.). See also Public Availability of Comments under Procedural Requirements.

1. Electronically, go to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). In the entry titled "Enter Keyword or ID," enter "ONRR-2016-0002," and then click "Search." Follow the instructions to submit public comments. ONRR will post all comments.

2. Mail comments to Luis Aguilar, Regulatory Specialist, ONRR, P.O. Box 25165, MS 64400B, Denver, Colorado 80225.

3. Hand-carry comments, or use an overnight courier service to the Office of Natural Resources Revenue, Building 53, Entrance E-20, Denver Federal Center, West 6th Ave. and Kipling St., Denver, Colorado 80225.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For comments or questions on procedural issues, contact Luis Aguilar, Regulatory Specialist, by telephone at (303) 231-3418 or email to [luis.aguilar@onrr.gov](mailto:luis.aguilar@onrr.gov). For questions on technical issues, contact Geary Keeton, Chief of Enforcement, by telephone at (303) 231-3096 or email to [geary.keeton@onrr.gov](mailto:geary.keeton@onrr.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

- I. Background
- II. Method of Calculation
- III. Summary of Final Rule
- IV. Procedural Requirements

**I. Background**

On November 2, 2015, the President signed into law the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015 (Sec. 701 of Pub. L. 114–74) (the Act). The Act requires Federal agencies to adjust each CMP amount with an initial catch-up adjustment through rulemaking and then make subsequent annual inflationary adjustments. The new CMP amounts must be published by July 1, 2016, and take effect no later than August 1, 2016. The purpose of these adjustments is to maintain the deterrent effect of civil penalties and to foster compliance with the law.

The Act provides that any increase in a CMP due to the calculated inflation adjustments shall apply only to a violation that occurs after the date when the increase takes effect and states that the initial inflation adjustment may not exceed 150 percent of the amount of the CMP on November 2, 2015.

**II. Method of Calculation**

OMB issued guidance on calculating the catch-up adjustments. See February 24, 2016, Memorandum for the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies, from Shaun Donovan, Director, Office of Management and Budget, re: *Implementation of the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015*. Under this guidance, the Department of the Interior (Department) has identified applicable CMPs and calculated the catch-up adjustments. A CMP is any assessment with a dollar amount that is levied for

a violation of a Federal civil statute or regulation and is assessed or enforceable through a civil action in Federal court or an administrative proceeding. A CMP does not include a penalty levied for violation of a criminal statute, fees for services, licenses, permits, or other regulatory review.

Under the Act, the inflation adjustment for each applicable CMP is determined by increasing the maximum CMP amount per violation by the cost-of-living adjustment. The cost-of-living adjustment is defined as the percent by which the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for the month of October of the calendar year preceding the adjustment exceeds the CPI-U for the month of October of the year in which the amount of such CMP was last set or adjusted pursuant to law.

The maximum CMP amounts for ONRR penalties under 30 U.S.C. 1719(a)–(d) were established in 1983 in the Federal Oil and Gas Management Act (FOGRMA). Since we have not updated the maximum CMP amounts for inflation since their establishment, we must calculate a new maximum CMP with an initial catch-up adjustment. The inflation adjustment amount for each maximum CMP amount is calculated by multiplying the 1983 maximum CMP amount by the 2016 CMP catch-up adjustment multiplier for 1983, which is 2.35483. In accordance with the Act, the new maximum CMP amount is rounded to the nearest dollar. For example:

- The maximum CMP amount under 30 U.S.C. 1719(a) established in 1983 is \$500.

- The 2016 CMP catch-up adjustment multiplier for 1983 is 2.35483.

- Therefore,  $\$500 \times 2.35483 = \$1,177.415$ , which rounds to \$1,177.

- The new maximum CMP amount is \$1,177.

Pursuant to the Act, in the event that a violation took place prior to the effective date of the new penalty amount—and we assess a penalty after the effective date—the new penalty amount is assessed in a manner consistent with the new maximum CMP calculation. As the Act applies to penalties assessed after the effective date of the applicable adjustment, the Act adjusts penalties prospectively. The Act does not retrospectively change previously assessed or enforced penalties that we are actively collecting or have collected. The Act does not alter our statutory authority to assess penalties below the maximum amount.

**III. Summary of Final Rule**

This final rule adjusts the maximum CMP amount within each of the four established civil penalty tiers specified in 30 U.S.C. 1719(a)–(d). The following list summarizes the existing ONRR regulations containing CMPs, as well as the penalties before and after adjustment. The increases in maximum CMP amounts contained in this final rule may not necessarily affect the amount of any CMP that we may seek for a particular violation; we will calculate each CMP on a case-by-case basis.

ONRR Regulation containing CMPs	Current maximum CMP amount	Catchup adjustment multiplier	Adjusted maximum CMP amount
30 CFR 1241.53(a) .....	500	2.35483	1,177
30 CFR 1241.53(b) .....	5,000	2.35483	11,774
30 CFR 1241.60(a) .....	10,000	2.35483	23,548
30 CFR 1241.60(b) .....	25,000	2.35483	58,871

**Note:** The CMP amounts under 30 CFR 1241 are authorized by 30 U.S.C. 1719(a)–(d).

**IV. Procedural Requirements**

*1. Regulatory Planning and Review (Executive Orders 12866 and 13563)*

Executive Order (E.O.) 12866 provides that the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) in OMB will review all significant rules. OIRA has determined that this rule is not significant.

Executive Order 13563 reaffirms the principles of E.O. 12866, while calling for improvements in the Nation’s regulatory system to promote predictability, to reduce uncertainty,

and to use the best, most innovative, and least burdensome tools for achieving regulatory ends. Executive Order 13563 directs agencies to consider regulatory approaches that reduce burdens and maintain flexibility and freedom of choice for the public, where these approaches are relevant, feasible, and consistent with regulatory objectives. E.O. 13563 emphasizes further that regulations must be based on the best available science and that the rulemaking process must allow for public participation and an open

exchange of ideas. We developed this rule in a manner consistent with these requirements.

*2. Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) requires an agency to prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis for all rules unless the agency certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The RFA applies only to rules for which an agency is required to first publish a proposed rule. See 5 U.S.C. 603(a) and

604(a). The Federal Civil Penalties Adjustment Act of 2015 requires agencies to adjust civil penalties with an initial catch-up adjustment through an interim final rule. An interim final rule does not include first publishing a proposed rule. Thus, the RFA does not apply to this rulemaking.

### 3. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act

This rule is not a major rule under 5 U.S.C. 804(2), the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. This rule:

- a. Does not have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more.
- b. Will not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers; individual industries; Federal, State, local government agencies; or geographic regions.
- c. Does not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises.

### 4. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

This rule does not impose an unfunded mandate on State, local, or Tribal governments or the private sector of more than \$100 million per year. This rule does not have a significant or unique effect on State, local, or Tribal governments or the private sector. Therefore, we are not required to provide a statement containing the information that the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) requires because this rule is not an unfunded mandate.

### 5. Takings (E.O. 12630)

Under the criteria in section 2 of E.O. 12630, this rule does not have any significant takings implications. This rule will not impose conditions or limitations on the use of any private property. Therefore, this rule does not require a takings implication assessment.

### 6. Federalism (E.O. 13132)

Under the criteria in section 1 of E.O. 13132, this rule does not have sufficient Federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism summary impact statement. Therefore, this rule does not require a Federalism summary impact statement.

### 7. Civil Justice Reform (E.O. 12988)

This rule complies with the requirements of E.O. 12988. Specifically, this rule:

- a. Meets the criteria of section 3(a), which requires that we review all

regulations to eliminate errors and ambiguity and to write them to minimize litigation.

- b. Meets the criteria of section 3(b)(2), which requires that we write all regulations in clear language using clear legal standards.

### 8. Consultation With Indian Tribal Governments (E.O. 13175)

The Department strives to strengthen its government-to-government relationship with the Indian Tribes through a commitment to consultation with the Indian Tribes and recognition of their right to self-governance and Tribal sovereignty. Under the Department's consultation policy and the criteria in E.O. 13175, we evaluated this rule and determined that it will have no substantial direct effects on Federally-recognized Indian Tribes and does not require consultation.

### 9. Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule:

- (a) Does not contain any new information collection requirements.
- (b) Does not require a submission to OMB under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*). See 5 CFR 1320.4(a)(2).

### 10. National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)

This rule does not constitute a major Federal action, significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. We are not required to provide a detailed statement under NEPA because this rule qualifies for categorical exclusion under 43 CFR 46.210(i) in that this rule is “. . . of an administrative, financial, legal, technical, or procedural nature. . . .” We also have determined that this rule is not involved in any of the extraordinary circumstances listed in 43 CFR 46.215 that would require further analysis under NEPA.

### 11. Effects on the Energy Supply (E.O. 13211)

This rule is not a significant energy action under the definition in E.O. 13211 and, therefore, does not require a Statement of Energy Effects.

### 12. Clarity of This Regulation

We are required by E.O. 12866 (section 1(b)(12)), E.O. 12988 (section 3(b)(1)(B)), and E.O. 13563 (section 1(a)), and by the Presidential Memorandum of June 1, 1998, to write all rules in plain language. This means that each rule we publish must:

- (a) Be logically organized.
- (b) Use the active voice to address readers directly.
- (c) Use common, everyday words and clear language rather than jargon.

(d) Be divided into short sections and sentences.

(e) Use lists and tables wherever possible.

If you feel that we have not met these requirements, send us comments by one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. To better help us revise the rule, your comments should be as specific as possible. For example, you should tell us the numbers of the sections or paragraphs that you find unclear, which sections or sentences are too long, the sections where you feel lists or tables would be useful, etc.

### 13. Public Availability of Comments

ONRR will post all comments, including the name and address of a respondent, at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Before including Personally Identifiable Information (PII), such as your address, phone number, email address, or other personal information in your comments, you should be aware that your entire comment (including PII) may be made available to the public at any time. While you may ask us, in your comment, to withhold PII from public view, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

### 14. Administrative Procedure Act (APA)

In accordance with § 553(b), ONRR generally publishes a rule in a proposed form and solicits public comment on it before issuing the final rule. However, § 553(b)(3)(B) provides an exception to the public comment requirement if the agency finds good cause to omit advance notice and public participation. Good cause is shown when public comment is “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.”

ONRR finds that there is good cause to promulgate this rule without first providing for public comment. We are promulgating this final rule to implement the statutory directive in the Act, which requires agencies to publish an interim final rule and to update the CMP amounts by applying a specified formula. ONRR has no discretion to vary the amount of the adjustment to reflect any views or suggestions provided by commenters. Accordingly, it would serve no purpose to provide an opportunity for pre-promulgation public comment on this rule. Also, it would not be possible to meet the deadlines imposed by the Act if we were to first publish a proposed rule, allow the public sufficient time to submit comments, analyze the comments, and publish a final rule. Thus, pre-promulgation notice and public comment is unnecessary and impracticable. These technical changes,

required by law, do not substantively alter the existing regulatory framework nor in any way effect the terms under which ONRR assesses civil penalties.

#### List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 1241

Administrative practice and procedure, Civil penalties, Coal, Geothermal, Inflation, Mineral resources, Natural gas, Notices of non-compliance, oil.

Dated: June 1, 2016.

**Kristen J. Sarri,**

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy, Management and Budget.*

#### Authority and Issuance

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, ONRR amends 30 CFR part 1241 as set forth below:

#### PART 1241—PENALTIES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1241 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** 25 U.S.C. 396 *et seq.*, 396a *et seq.*, 2101 *et seq.*; 30 U.S.C. 181 *et seq.*, 351 *et seq.*, 1001 *et seq.*, 1701 *et seq.*; 43 U.S.C. 1301 *et seq.*, 1331 *et seq.*, 1801 *et seq.* and Sec. 107, Pub. L. 114–74, 129 Stat. 599, unless otherwise noted.

#### § 1241.53 [Amended]

■ 2. Amend § 1241.53 by:

- A. In paragraph (a), remove “\$500” and add in its place “\$1,177.”
- B. In paragraph (b), remove “\$5,000” and add in its place “\$11,774.”

#### § 1241.60 [Amended]

■ 3. Amend § 1241.60 by:

- A. In paragraph (a), remove “\$10,000” and add in its place “\$23,548.”
- B. In paragraph (b), remove “\$25,000” and add in its place “\$58,871.”

[FR Doc. 2016–13462 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4335–30–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 100

[Docket No. USCG–2015–0854]

#### Special Local Regulations and Safety Zones; Recurring Marine Events and Fireworks Displays Within the Fifth Coast Guard District

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of enforcement of regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard will enforce special local regulations for a marine event taking place in the Tred Avon

River, between Bellevue, MD and Oxford, MD on June 12, 2016. The date of this enforcement action has changed because the event was postponed by the sponsor due to inclement weather. This action is necessary to ensure safety of life on navigable waters during this event. Our regulation for Recurring Marine Events within the Fifth Coast Guard District identifies the regulated area for this marine event. During the enforcement period, the Coast Guard Patrol Commander or designated Marine Event Patrol may forbid and control the movement of all vessels in the regulated area.

**DATES:** The regulations in 33 CFR 100.501, listed as event (b)14 in the Table to 33 CFR 100.501 will be enforced from 9 a.m. to 11 a.m. on June 12, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this notice of enforcement, call or email Mr. Ron Houck, U.S. Coast Guard Sector Maryland-National Capital Region (WWM); telephone 410–576–26742, email [Ronald.L.Houck@uscg.mil](mailto:Ronald.L.Houck@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Coast Guard will enforce the regulated area in 33 CFR 100.501 from 9 a.m. until 11 a.m. on June 12, 2016, for the Oxford-Bellevue Sharkfest Swim. The date of this enforcement action has changed because the event was postponed by the sponsor due to inclement weather. This action is being taken to provide for the safety of life on navigable waterways during this event. Our regulation for Recurring Marine Events within the Fifth Coast Guard District, § 100.501, specifies the location of the regulated area for this event that includes all waters of the Tred Avon River from shoreline to shoreline, within an area bounded on the east by a line drawn from latitude 38°42′25″ N., longitude 076°10′45″ W., thence south to latitude 38°41′37″ N., longitude 076°10′26″ W., and bounded on the west by a line drawn from latitude 38°41′58″ N., longitude 076°11′04″ W., thence south to latitude 38°41′25″ N., longitude 076°10′49″ W., thence east to latitude 38°41′25″ N., longitude 076°10′30″ W., located at Oxford, MD. Only designated marine event participants and their vessels and official patrol vessels are authorized to enter the regulated area. As specified in § 100.501(c), during the enforcement period, the Coast Guard Patrol Commander or designated Marine Event Patrol may forbid and control the movement of all vessels in the regulated area. When hailed or signaled by an official patrol vessel, a vessel in these areas shall immediately comply with the directions given. Failure to do so

may result in expulsion from the area, citation for failure to comply, or both. The operator of any vessel in the regulated area shall: (i) Stop the vessel immediately when directed to do so by any Official Patrol and then proceed only as directed; (ii) All persons and vessels shall comply with the instructions of the Official Patrol; (iii) When authorized to transit the regulated area, all vessels shall proceed at the minimum speed necessary to maintain a safe course that minimizes wake near the race course. The Coast Guard may be assisted by other Federal, state or local law enforcement agencies in enforcing this regulation. If the Captain of the Port or his designated on-scene Patrol Commander determines that the regulated area need not be enforced for the full duration stated in this notice, he or she may use a Broadcast Notice to Mariners to grant general permission to enter the regulated area.

This notice of enforcement is issued under authority of 33 CFR 100.501(f), Special Local Regulations and Safety Zones; Recurring Marine Events and Fireworks Displays Within the Fifth Coast Guard District and 5 U.S.C. 552(a). In addition to this notification in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard will provide the maritime community with advance notification of this enforcement period via Broadcast Notice to Mariners and the Local Notice to Mariners. The Captain of the Port, Sector Maryland-National Capital Region, or a designated on-scene representative may be contacted via Channel 16, VHF–FM.

Dated: May 23, 2016.

**Michael W. Batchelder**

*Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Captain of the Port, Maryland-National Capital Region.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13707 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG–2016–0402]

#### Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Saugatuck River, Saugatuck, CT

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the Metro-North “SAGA” Bridge across the Saugatuck River, mile 1.1, at Saugatuck,

Connecticut. This deviation is necessary to allow the bridge owner to perform timber tie and headblock replacements at the bridge.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective from 8 a.m. on July 18, 2016 to 8 a.m. on August 29, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this deviation, [USCG–2016–0402] is available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”. Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Judy Leung-Yee, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, telephone (212) 514–4330, email [judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil](mailto:judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The bridge owner, Connecticut Department of Transportation, requested a temporary deviation from the normal operating schedule to perform timber ties and headblocks replacement at the bridge.

The Metro-North Saga Bridge, mile 1.1, across the Saugatuck River, has a vertical clearance in the closed position of 13 feet at mean high water and 20 feet at mean low water.

The existing bridge operating regulations are found at 33 CFR 117.221(b).

The waterway is transited by seasonal recreational vessels.

Under this temporary deviation, the Metro-North Saga Bridge will operate according to the schedule below:

a. From 8 a.m. on July 18, 2016 through 4 a.m. on July 22, 2016, the bridge will not open to marine traffic.

b. From 4 a.m. on July 22, 2016 through 8 a.m. on July 25, 2016, the bridge will open fully on signal upon 24 hr advance notice.

c. From 8 a.m. on July 25, 2016 through 4 a.m. on July 29, 2016, the bridge will not open to marine traffic.

d. From 4 a.m. on July 29, 2016 through 8 a.m. on August 1, 2016, the bridge will open fully on signal upon 24 hr advance notice.

e. From 8 a.m. on August 1, 2016 through 4 a.m. on August 5, 2016, the bridge will not open to marine traffic.

f. From 4 a.m. on August 5, 2016 through 8 a.m. on August 8, 2016, the bridge will open fully on signal upon 24 hr advance notice.

g. From 8 a.m. on August 8, 2016 through 4 a.m. on August 12, 2016, the bridge will not open to marine traffic.

h. From 4 a.m. on August 12, 2016 through 8 a.m. on August 15, 2016, the bridge will open fully on signal upon 24 hr advance notice.

i. From 8 a.m. on August 15, 2016 through 4 a.m. on August 19, 2016, the bridge will not open to marine traffic.

j. From 4 a.m. on August 19, 2016 through 8 a.m. on August 22, 2016, the bridge will

open fully on signal upon 24 hr advance notice.

k. From 8 a.m. on August 22, 2016 through 4 a.m. on August 26, 2016, the bridge will not open to marine traffic.

l. From 4 a.m. on August 26, 2016 through 8 a.m. on August 29, 2016, the bridge will open fully on signal upon 24 hr advance notice.

Vessels able to pass under the bridge in the closed position may do so at anytime. The bridge will not be able to open for emergencies and there is no immediate alternate route for vessels to pass. The Coast Guard will inform the users of the waterways through our Local Notice and Broadcast to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessel operations can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**C.J. Bisignano,**  
*Supervisory Bridge Management Specialist,*  
*First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13653 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG–2016–0404]

#### Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Reynolds Channel, Nassau, NY

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the Long Beach Bridge, mile 4.7, across Reynolds Channel, at Nassau, New York. This temporary deviation is necessary to facility public safety during a public event, the Annual Salute to Veterans and Fireworks Display.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective from 9:30 p.m. on June 25, 2016 to 11:59 p.m. on June 26, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this deviation, [USCG–2016–0404] is available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”.

Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Ms. Judy K. Leung-Yee, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, telephone (212) 514–4330, email [judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil](mailto:judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Town of Hempstead Department of Public Safety requested and the bridge owner, Nassau County Department of Public Works, concurred with this temporary deviation from the normal operating schedule to facilitate a public event, the Annual Salute to Veterans and Fireworks Display.

The Long Beach Bridge, mile 4.7, across Reynolds Channel has a vertical clearance in the closed position of 22 feet at mean high water and 24 feet at mean low water. The existing bridge operating regulations are found at 33 CFR 117.799(g).

Reynolds Channel is transited by commercial and recreational traffic.

Under this temporary deviation, the Long Beach Bridges may remain in the closed position between 9:30 p.m. and 11:59 p.m. on June 25, 2016 (rain date: June 26, 2016 between 9:30 p.m. and 11:59 p.m.).

Vessels able to pass under the bridge in the closed position may do so at anytime. The bridges will not be able to open for emergencies and there are no immediate alternate routes for vessels to pass.

The Coast Guard will also inform the users of the waterways through our Local and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessels can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**C.J. Bisignano,**  
*Supervisory Bridge Management Specialist,*  
*First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13654 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 117**

[Docket No. USCG–2016–0427]

**Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Narrow Bay, Suffolk County, NY****AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.**ACTION:** Notice of deviation from drawbridge regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard has issued a temporary deviation from the operating schedule that governs the Smith Point Bridge, mile 6.1, across Narrow Bay, at Suffolk County, New York. This temporary deviation is necessary to facility public safety during a public event, the annual 5K Run for Literacy.

**DATES:** This deviation is effective on September 10, 2016 between 9:00 a.m. and 10 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this deviation, [USCG–2016–0427] is available at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”. Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this deviation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this temporary deviation, call or email Ms. Judy K. Leung-Yee, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, telephone (212) 514–4330, email [judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil](mailto:judy.k.leung-ye@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Community Family Literacy Project, Inc. requested and Suffolk County Department of Public Works, the bridge owner concurred with this temporary deviation from the normal operating schedule to facilitate a public event, the annual 5K Run for Literacy.

The Smith Point Bridge, mile 6.1, across Narrow Bay has a vertical clearance in the closed position of 18 feet at mean high water and 19 feet at mean low water. The existing bridge operating regulations are found at 33 CFR 117.799(d).

Narrow Bay is transited by seasonal recreational vessels of various sizes.

Under this temporary deviation, the Smith Point Bridges may remain in the closed position on Saturday September 10, 2016 between 9:00 a.m. and 10 a.m.

Vessels able to pass under the bridge in the closed position may do so at anytime. The bridges will not be able to open for emergencies and there are no immediate alternate routes for vessels to pass.

The Coast Guard will also inform the users of the waterways through our

Local and Broadcast Notices to Mariners of the change in operating schedule for the bridge so that vessels can arrange their transits to minimize any impact caused by the temporary deviation.

In accordance with 33 CFR 117.35(e), the drawbridge must return to its regular operating schedule immediately at the end of the effective period of this temporary deviation. This deviation from the operating regulations is authorized under 33 CFR 117.35.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**C.J. Bisignano,***Supervisory Bridge Management Specialist, First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13664 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P****DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 165**

[Docket Number USCG–2015–0786]

**RIN 1625–AA11****Regulated Navigation Area; Holiday Events; Biscayne Bay, Miami, FL****AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is amending the Columbus Day Weekend regulated navigation area on Biscayne Bay in Miami, Florida. The proposed amended regulation extends the Biscayne Bay regulated navigation enforcement period to New Year’s Eve and Fourth of July events. It also expands the boundaries of the regulated navigation area south to Turkey Point, east to Elliott Key, west to the shoreline, and north to the Julia Tuttle Causeway. These regulations are necessary to protect the public during these events, which are periods that have historically had a significant concentration of persons and vessels on the waters of Biscayne Bay. To ensure the public’s safety, all vessels within the regulated navigation area are: Required to transit the regulated navigation area at no more than 15 knots; subject to control by the Coast Guard; and required to follow the instructions of all law enforcement vessels in the area.

**DATES:** This rule is effective July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <http://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2015–0786 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket

Folder on the line associated with this rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email Petty Officer Benjamin Colbert, Sector Miami Waterways Management Branch, U.S. Coast Guard; telephone 305–535–4317, email [Benjamin.R.Colbert@uscg.mil](mailto:Benjamin.R.Colbert@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
E.O. Executive order  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
Pub. L. Public Law  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

**II. Background Information and Regulatory History**

Recreational boating traffic on the waters of Biscayne Bay increases significantly during New Year’s Eve, Fourth of July, and Columbus Day Weekend events. In recent years, recreational vessel speed, especially in crossing navigational channels, contributed to incidents that resulted in severe injury and death. This regulation seeks to increase public safety on the waters of Biscayne Bay during New Year’s Eve, Fourth of July, and Columbus Day Weekend, holidays known for increased vessel traffic, by requiring vessels to travel at a maximum speed of 15 knots. It also subjects recreational vessels to the control by Coast Guard and local law enforcement authorities. On November 20, 2015 the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) titled Regulated Navigation Area; Columbus Day Weekend, New Year’s Eve Events, and Fourth of July Events; Biscayne Bay, Miami, FL (80 FR 72663). There we stated why we issued the NPRM, and invited comments on our proposed regulatory action related to this fireworks display. During the comment period that ended December 21, 2015, we received no comments.

**III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule**

The legal basis for this rule is the Coast Guard’s authority to establish regulated navigation areas and other limited access areas: 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1. The District Seven Commander has determined that potential hazards associated with New Year’s Eve, Fourth of July, and Columbus Day Weekend events pose a safety concern for anyone on the waters of Biscayne Bay. The

purpose of this rule is to ensure safety of vessels and the navigable waters in Biscayne Bay before, during, and after the New Year's Eve, Fourth of July, and Columbus Day Weekend events.

#### IV. Discussion of Comments, Changes, and the Rule

As noted above, we received no comments on our NPRM published November 20, 2015. There are no significant changes in the regulatory text of this rule from the proposed rule in the NPRM. This rule establishes a regulated navigation area Columbus Day weekend, starting at noon on the Saturday before Columbus Day through 2 a.m. on Monday (the Columbus Day holiday); from 9 p.m. December 31st until 2 a.m. January 1st; and from 7 p.m. until 2 a.m. on the night Fourth of July fireworks are scheduled in Downtown Miami and Key Biscayne.

This regulated navigation area will encompass waters of Biscayne Bay between Julia Tuttle Causeway Bridge and Turkey Point in Homestead, Florida. The duration of the zone is intended to ensure the safety of vessels and these navigable waters before, during, and after New Year's Eve, Fourth of July, and Columbus Day Weekend Holidays.

All vessels within the proposed regulated navigation area are: (1) Required to transit the regulated navigation area at no more than 15 knots; (2) subject to control by the Coast Guard; and (3) required to follow the instructions of all law enforcement vessels in the area.

#### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive Orders (E.O.s) related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and E.O.s, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

##### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

E.O.s 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. E.O. 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility. This rule has not been designated a "significant regulatory action," under E.O. 12866. Accordingly, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget.

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration, and time-of-day of the safety zone.

Although the regulated navigation area covers most of Biscayne Bay, it is only enforced for a maximum of 38 hours during three holiday weekends. Moreover, the Coast Guard will issue Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF-FM marine channel 16 to provide notice of the zone and the regulations that allow vessels to enter the regulated navigation area.

##### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires Federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard received no comments from the Small Business Administration on this rulemaking. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the regulated navigation area may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A above, this rule will not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency's responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

##### C. Collection of Information

This rule will not call for a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

##### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Governments

A rule has implications for federalism under E.O. 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in E.O. 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under E.O. 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes. If you believe this rule has implications for federalism or Indian tribes, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section above.

##### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

##### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f), and have determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule is categorically excluded from further review under paragraph 34(g) of Figure 2–1 of the

Commandant Instruction. An environmental analysis checklist supporting this determination and a Categorical Exclusion Determination are available in the docket where indicated under **ADDRESSES**. We seek any comments or information that may lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact from this rule.

#### G. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

### PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191; 33 CFR 1.05–1, 6.04–1, 6.04–6, and 160.5; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

■ 2. Revise § 165.779 to read as follows:

#### § 165.779 Regulated Navigation Area; Holiday Events; Biscayne Bay, Miami, FL.

(a) *Regulated area.* The regulated navigation area encompasses all waters of Biscayne Bay between Julia Tuttle and Turkey Point contained within the following points: Beginning at Point 1 in position 25°48'43" N, 80°08'29" W; thence south to Point 2 in position 25°29'07" N, 80°10'44" W; thence southwest to Point 3 in position 25°25'51" N, 80°12'00" W; thence west to Point 4 in position 25°25'51" N, 80°19'42" W; thence north to Point 5 in position 25°29'10" N, 80°20'58" W; thence north to Point 6 in position 25°37'35" N, 80°18'28" W; thence northeast to Point 7 in position 25°48'44" N, 80°11'17" W; thence back to origin. All coordinates are North American Datum 1983.

(b) *Definitions.* (1) The term “designated representative” means Coast Guard Patrol Commanders, including Coast Guard coxswains, petty officers, and other officers operating Coast Guard vessels, and Federal, state, and local officers designated by or

assisting the Captain of the Port Miami in the enforcement of the regulated area.

(2) The term “Columbus Day” means the federally recognized holiday occurring annually on the second Monday in October.

(c) *Regulations.* All vessels within the regulated area are required to transit at no more than 15 knots, are subject to control by the Coast Guard, and must follow the instructions of designated representatives.

(d) *Enforcement period.* This section will be in enforced annually on Columbus Day weekend, starting at noon on the Saturday before Columbus Day through 2 a.m. on Monday (the Columbus Day holiday); from 9 p.m. December 31st until 2 a.m. January 1st; and from 7 p.m. until 2 a.m. on the night Fourth of July fireworks are scheduled in Downtown Miami and Key Biscayne.

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**S.A. Buschman,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13656 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA–R05–OAR–2015–0315; FRL–9947–39–Region 5]

#### Air Plan Approval; Indiana; Removal of Gasoline Vapor Recovery Requirements

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving, as a revision to the Indiana state implementation plan (SIP), submittals from the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) dated April 27, and September 10, 2015. The submittal concerns the state’s Stage II vapor recovery (Stage II) program for the Indiana portion of the Chicago (Lake and Porter counties) and the Louisville, Kentucky (Clark and Floyd counties) ozone nonattainment areas. The submittal removes Stage II requirements from both nonattainment areas, as a component of the Indiana ozone SIP. The submittal also includes a demonstration under the Clean Air Act (CAA) that addresses emission impacts associated with the removal of the Stage II program. EPA proposed to approve the state’s submittal on February 25, 2016, and received no comments.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective on July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA–R05–OAR–2015–0315. All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either through <http://www.regulations.gov>, or please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section for additional availability information.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Francisco J. Acevedo, Mobile Source Program Manager, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6061, [acevedo.francisco@epa.gov](mailto:acevedo.francisco@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, we mean EPA.

#### I. What is being addressed by this document?

On February 25, 2016, at 81 FR 9391, EPA proposed to approve amendments to 326 IAC 8–4–6 and 326 IAC 8–4–1 of the Indiana Administrative Code, removing Stage II requirements from the Indiana’s Federally-approved ozone SIP. The revision included copies of 326 IAC 8–4–1 and 326 IAC 8–4–6, as published in the Indiana Register on March 4, 2015 (Document ID Number: 20150304–IR–326120636FRA); a summary of state-specific calculations based on EPA guidance used to calculate program benefits and demonstrate widespread use of onboard refueling vapor recovery (ORVR) in Indiana; and a section 110(l) demonstration that includes offset emission documentation that addresses the 2013–2015 period, when Stage II requirements were waived in Indiana but widespread use of ORVR had not yet occurred.

#### II. What comments did we receive on the proposed SIP revision?

EPA provided a 30-day review and comment period on the proposed action. The comment period closed on March 28, 2016. EPA received no comments.

#### III. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving revisions to the Indiana ozone SIP submitted dated

April 27, and September 10, 2015, concerning the state's Stage II program in Indiana. EPA finds that the revisions will not interfere with any applicable requirement concerning attainment, reasonable further progress or any other applicable CAA requirement.

#### IV. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Indiana Regulations described in the proposed amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. Therefore, these materials have been approved by EPA for inclusion in the SIP, have been incorporated by reference by EPA into that plan, are fully federally enforceable under sections 110 and 113 of the CAA as of the effective date of the final rulemaking of EPA's approval, and will be incorporated by reference by the Director of the Federal Register in the next update to the SIP compilation.<sup>1</sup> EPA has made, and will continue to make, these materials generally available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and/or at the EPA Region 5 Office (please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

#### V. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993);

- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);

- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and

- does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a

report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 8, 2016. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Nitrogen oxides, Ozone, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Robert A. Kaplan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

■ 2. In § 52.770 the table in paragraph (c) is amended under "Article 8: Volatile Organic Compound Rules", "Rule 4: Petroleum Sources" by revising the entries for 8-4-1 "Applicability" and 8-4-6 "Gasoline dispensing facilities" to read as follows:

#### § 52.770 Identification of plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

<sup>1</sup> 62 FR 27968 (May 22, 1997).

EPA-APPROVED INDIANA REGULATIONS

Indiana citation	Subject	Indiana effective date	EPA approval date	Notes
*	*	*	*	*
<b>Article 8: Volatile Organic Compound Rules</b>				
<b>Rule 4: Petroleum Sources</b>				
8-4-1	Applicability	3/5/2015	6/9/2016, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	
*	*	*	*	*
8-4-6	Gasoline dispensing facilities	3/5/2015	6/9/2016, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	
*	*	*	*	*

\* \* \* \* \*  
 [FR Doc. 2016-13605 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0136; FRL-9947-48-Region 5]

**Air Plan Approval; Minnesota; Sulfur Dioxide**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a revision to the Minnesota sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ELT Minneapolis, LLC's (ELT) River Road Industrial Center located in Fridley, Anoka County, Minnesota. The revision, submitted by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency on February 24, 2016, updates information to reflect both administrative and equipment changes at the facility. The name of the facility has changed to BAE Technology Center (BAE). The revision will result in a significant decrease in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and will support the continued attainment and maintenance of the SO<sub>2</sub> national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS) in the Twin Cities area.

**DATES:** This rule is effective on August 8, 2016, unless EPA receives adverse written comments by July 11, 2016. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will publish a timely withdrawal of the rule in the **Federal Register** and inform the public that the rule will not take effect.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2016-0136 at [http://](http://www.regulations.gov)

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or via email to [blakley.pamela@epa.gov](mailto:blakley.pamela@epa.gov). For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Hatten, Environmental Engineer, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312)886-6031, [hatten.charles@epa.gov](mailto:hatten.charles@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA. This supplementary information section is arranged as follows:

I. Background Information

- II. How is the SIP being revised?
- III. What is EPA's analysis of the state's submission?
- IV. What action is EPA taking?
- V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

**I. Background Information**

In the SIP, the ELT River Road Industrial Center is subject to specific restrictions as part of Minnesota's SIP for SO<sub>2</sub> in the Twin Cities Seven County SO<sub>2</sub> area (Twin Cities area).<sup>1</sup> The SIP for ELT's River Road Industrial Center was most recently approved by EPA on August 3, 2010, (75 FR 148).

Currently, four fossil fuel-fired boilers (#1, #2, #3, and #4) and four emergency generators (#5, #6, #7, and #8) are the primary emission units at the facility. Boilers #1, #2, and #3 use natural gas as their primary fuel with distillate oil as a backup fuel. Boiler #4 uses natural gas for fuel. All the emergency generators use low sulfur diesel fuel. In addition, the facility is subject to fuel usage limitations to restrict the total facility SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

**II. How is the SIP being revised?**

On February 24, 2016, the MPCA submitted a revision to Minnesota's SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for the ELT River Road Industrial Center. The revision, most specifically, reflects changes as a result of new ownership.

In 2015, as part of a purchase agreement, corporate ownership transferred from ELT to the Gramercy Property Trust Fridley Owner LLC (GPT Fridley). GPT Fridley changed the name of the facility from River Road Industrial Center to BAE.

Under new ownership, BAE will be used for office and warehouse space. The emergency generators are used for stand-by power, for both life-safety, and

<sup>1</sup> The area was officially designated attainment of the SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS on July 31, 1995 (60 FR 28339).

communications in the event of electrical power is lost.

The revised SIP identifies the boilers and emergency generators as both emission units (EU) and numbered equipment (EQUI). For example, boiler #4 (EU 004) is now identified as boiler #4 (EU 004/EQUI 1).

Because part of the BAE facility had been demolished, boilers #1, #2, and #3, and emergency generators #7 and #8 were decommissioned and removed from the facility. Boiler #4, and emergency generators #5 and #6 were relocated within the facility.

As part of the recent changes to the facility, boiler #4, which burns natural gas, has been modified to burn fuel oil as a backup fuel. Boiler #4 has a design capacity rated at 10.46 million British thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr). BAE has imposed limits on boiler #4 to restrict its fuels to natural gas and distillate fuel oil, with a sulfur content limit on the fuel oil of less than or equal to 0.05 percent by weight.

Boilers #1, #2, and #3, which had design capacities rated at 69.8, 69.8, and 35.1 MMBtu/hr, respectively, have been replaced with a newer, more efficient boiler. The new boiler #5 has a design capacity rated at 19.674 MMBtu/hr. Boiler #5 is restricted to combusting natural gas and distillate fuel oil with a sulfur content limit on the fuel oil of less than or equal to 0.05 percent by weight as a backup fuel.

### III. What is EPA's analysis of the state's submission?

The SO<sub>2</sub> emission units operating at the BAE facility are boilers #4 and #5 and two emergency generators (#5 and #6). Boilers #1, #2, and #3, and emergency generators #7 and #8 have been removed from the facility.

Boiler #4's potential SO<sub>2</sub> emissions increase by 2.33 tons per year.<sup>2</sup> Boiler #5's potential SO<sub>2</sub> emissions using distillate fuel as a backup fuel are 4.37 tons per year.

Overall, the emissions change from replacing the three older boilers (#1, #2, and #3) with a new, more efficient boiler #5, coupled with modifications to boiler #4 to burn fuel oil as a backup fuel, result in a significant decrease in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the BAE facility. This action reduces the facility's total SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from 39.76 tons per year to 7.25 tons per year. The net emissions change is a reduction of 32.51 tons of SO<sub>2</sub> per year for the BAE facility.

SO<sub>2</sub> monitors near the BAE facility are currently measuring values less than 10

parts per billion (ppb), well below the 1-hour SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS of 75 ppb. EPA expects the air quality in the Twin Cities area to remain protected with the revisions being approved.

The revised SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for the BAE facility provides for reductions in allowable emissions, and therefore, strengthens the SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for the Twin Cities area. Thus, EPA believes the BAE facility revision request is approvable.

### IV. What action is EPA taking?

EPA is approving the request by Minnesota to revise the SO<sub>2</sub> SIP as it applies to the BAE Technology Center. Specifically, EPA is approving into the SIP those portions of the BAE Technology Center facility Joint Title I/ Title V document, permit No. 00300245-003, cited as “[Title I Condition: 40 CFR 50.4(SO<sub>2</sub> SIP), Title I Condition: 40 CFR 51, Title I Condition: 40 CFR pt. 52, subp. Y].” This replaces the current SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for ELT Minneapolis, LLC.

This revision will result in an overall reduction of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions at the facility, which supports the continued attainment and maintenance of the SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS in the Twin Cities area.

We are publishing this action without prior proposal because we view this as a noncontroversial amendment and anticipate no adverse comments. However, in the proposed rules section of this **Federal Register** publication, we are publishing a separate document that will serve as the proposal to approve the state plan if relevant adverse written comments are filed. This rule will be effective August 8, 2016 without further notice unless we receive relevant adverse written comments by July 11, 2016. If we receive such comments, we will withdraw this action before the effective date by publishing a subsequent document that will withdraw the final action. All public comments received will then be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed action. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If we do not receive any comments, this action will be effective August 8, 2016.

### V. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, EPA is finalizing regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, EPA is finalizing the incorporation by reference of the Minnesota regulations described in the amendments to 40 CFR part 52 set forth below. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents

generally available through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) and/or at the appropriate EPA office (see the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this preamble for more information).

### VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the Clean Air Act (CAA), the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable Federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a “significant regulatory action” subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-4);
- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);
- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);
- Is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because application of those requirements would be inconsistent with the CAA; and
- Does not provide EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an

<sup>2</sup> Section 3 of Minnesota's technical support document provides a full analysis of the emission calculations and the results of the emission changes.

Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, generally provides that before a rule may take effect, the agency promulgating the rule must submit a rule report, which includes a copy of the rule, to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. EPA will submit a report containing this action and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the United States prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. A major rule cannot take effect until 60 days after it is published in the **Federal Register**. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by August 8, 2016. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this action for the purposes of judicial review nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed, and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. Parties with objections to this direct final rule are encouraged to file a comment in response to the parallel notice of proposed rulemaking for this action published in the proposed rules section of today’s **Federal Register**, rather than file an immediate petition for judicial review of this direct final rule, so that EPA can withdraw this direct final rule and address the comment in the proposed rulemaking. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. (See section 307(b)(2).)

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Dated: May 31, 2016.

**Robert A. Kaplan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

40 CFR part 52 is amended as follows:

**PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

■ 2. In § 52.1220, the table in paragraph (d) is amended by removing the entry for “ELT Minneapolis, LLC” and adding in alphabetical order an entry for “BAE Technology Center” to read as follows:

**§ 52.1220 Identification of plan.**

*	*	*	*	*
(d)	*	*	*	*

**EPA-APPROVED MINNESOTA SOURCE-SPECIFIC PERMITS**

Name of source	Permit No.	State effective date	EPA approval date	Comments
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *
BAE Technology Center ..	00300245–003	01/20/16	6/9/16, [Insert <b>Federal Register</b> citation].	Only conditions cited as “[Title I Condition: 40 CFR 50.4(SO <sub>2</sub> SIP), Title I Condition: 40 CFR 51, Title I Condition: 40 CFR pt. 52, subp. Y]”.
* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *	* * * * *

\* \* \* \* \*  
[FR Doc. 2016–13604 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**50 CFR Part 622**

[Docket No. 0907271173–0629–03]

RIN 0648–XE666

**Fisheries of the Caribbean, Gulf of Mexico, and South Atlantic; 2015 Commercial Accountability Measure and Closure for South Atlantic Snowy Grouper**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Temporary rule; closure.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS implements accountability measures (AMs) for commercial snowy grouper in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of the South Atlantic. NMFS projects commercial landings for snowy grouper will reach the commercial annual catch limit (ACL) by June 14, 2016. Therefore, NMFS closes the commercial sector for snowy grouper in the South Atlantic EEZ on June 14, 2016, and it will remain closed until the start of the next fishing season on January 1, 2017. This closure is necessary to protect the snowy grouper resource.

**DATES:** This rule is effective 12:01 a.m., local time, June 14, 2016, until 12:01 a.m., local time, January 1, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mary Vara, NMFS Southeast Regional Office, telephone: 727–824–5305, email: *mary.vara@noaa.gov*.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The snapper-grouper fishery of the South Atlantic includes snowy grouper and is

managed under the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region (FMP). The FMP was prepared by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council and is implemented by NMFS under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) by regulations at 50 CFR part 622.

The commercial ACL (commercial quota) for snowy grouper in the South Atlantic is 125,760 lb (57,044 kg), gutted weight, 148,397 lb (67,312 kg), round weight, for the current fishing year, January 1 through December 31, 2016, as specified in 50 CFR 622.190(a)(1)(ii).

Under 50 CFR 622.193(b)(1), NMFS is required to close the commercial sector for snowy grouper when the commercial quota is reached, or is projected to be reached, by filing a notification to that effect with the Office of the **Federal Register**. NMFS projects that commercial landings of South Atlantic

snowy grouper, as estimated by the Science and Research Director, will reach the commercial ACL by June 14, 2016. Accordingly, the commercial sector for South Atlantic snowy grouper is closed effective 12:01 a.m., local time, June 14, 2016, until 12:01 a.m., local time, January 1, 2017.

The operator of a vessel with a valid commercial vessel permit for South Atlantic snapper-grouper having snowy grouper on board must have landed and bartered, traded, or sold such snowy grouper prior to 12:01 a.m., local time, June 14, 2016. During the commercial closure, harvest and possession of snowy grouper in or from the South Atlantic EEZ is limited to the bag and possession limits, as specified in § 622.187(b)(2)(ii) and (c)(1). Also during the commercial closure, the sale or purchase of snowy grouper taken from the EEZ is prohibited. The prohibition on sale or purchase does not apply to the sale or purchase of snowy grouper that were harvested, landed ashore, and sold prior to 12:01 a.m., local time, June 14, 2016, and were held in cold storage by a dealer or processor.

For a person on board a vessel for which a Federal commercial or charter vessel/headboat permit for the South

Atlantic snapper-grouper fishery has been issued, the bag and possession limits and the sale and purchase provisions of the commercial closure for snowy grouper would apply regardless of whether the fish are harvested in state or Federal waters, as specified in 50 CFR 622.190(c)(1)(ii).

#### **Classification**

The Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, NMFS, has determined this temporary rule is necessary for the conservation and management of snowy grouper and the South Atlantic snapper-grouper fishery and is consistent with the Magnuson-Stevens Act and other applicable laws.

This action is taken under 50 CFR 622.193(b)(1) and is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

These measures are exempt from the procedures of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because the temporary rule is issued without opportunity for prior notice and comment.

This action responds to the best scientific information available. The Assistant Administrator for NOAA Fisheries (AA), finds that the need to immediately implement this action to close the commercial sector for snowy grouper constitutes good cause to waive

the requirements to provide prior notice and opportunity for public comment pursuant to the authority set forth in 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), as such procedures would be unnecessary and contrary to the public interest. Such procedures are unnecessary because the rule itself has been subject to notice and comment, and all that remains is to notify the public of the closure. Such procedures are contrary to the public interest because of the need to immediately implement this action to protect snowy grouper since the capacity of the fishing fleet allows for rapid harvest of the commercial quota. Prior notice and opportunity for public comment would require time and would potentially result in a harvest well in excess of the established commercial quota.

For the aforementioned reasons, the AA also finds good cause to waive the 30-day delay in the effectiveness of this action under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3).

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: June 6, 2016.

**Alan D. Risenhoover,**

*Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries,  
National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13667 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

# Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 81, No. 111

Thursday, June 9, 2016

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2016-7026; Directorate Identifier 2016-CE-016-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

#### Airworthiness Directives; PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** We propose to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. Model PC-7 airplanes. This proposed AD results from mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as stress corrosion cracking on the main frame on frame 11 left and right fittings. We are issuing this proposed AD to require actions to address the unsafe condition on these products.

**DATES:** We must receive comments on this proposed AD by July 25, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may send comments by any of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.
- **Fax:** (202) 493-2251.
- **Mail:** U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.
- **Hand Delivery:** U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

For service information identified in this proposed AD, contact PILATUS Aircraft Ltd., Customer Technical Support (MCC), P.O. Box 992, CH-6371 Stans, Switzerland; phone: +41 (0)41 619 67 74; fax: +41 (0)41 619 67 73; email: [techsupport@pilatus-aircraft.com](mailto:techsupport@pilatus-aircraft.com); internet: <http://www.pilatus-aircraft.com>. You may review this referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148.

#### Examining the AD Docket

You may examine the AD docket on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-7026; or in person at the Docket Management Facility between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The AD docket contains this proposed AD, the regulatory evaluation, any comments received, and other information. The street address for the Docket Office (telephone (800) 647-5527) is in the **ADDRESSES** section. Comments will be available in the AD docket shortly after receipt.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: [doug.rudolph@faa.gov](mailto:doug.rudolph@faa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Comments Invited

We invite you to send any written relevant data, views, or arguments about this proposed AD. Send your comments to an address listed under the **ADDRESSES** section. Include "Docket No. FAA-2016-7026; Directorate Identifier 2016-CE-016-AD" at the beginning of your comments. We specifically invite comments on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of this proposed AD. We will consider all comments received by the closing date and may amend this proposed AD because of those comments.

We will post all comments we receive, without change, to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information you provide. We will also

post a report summarizing each substantive verbal contact we receive about this proposed AD.

#### Discussion

The Federal Office of Civil Aviation (FOCA), which is the aviation authority for Switzerland, has issued AD HB-2016-001, dated May 17, 2016 (referred to after this as "the MCAI"), to correct an unsafe condition for PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. Model PC-7 airplanes and was based on mandatory continuing airworthiness information originated by an aviation authority of another country. The MCAI states:

This Airworthiness Directive (AD) is prompted due to a report of Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) on the Main Frame on Frame (FR) 11 left fitting Part Number (P/N) 112.35.07.489 and right fitting P/N 112.35.07.490.

Such a condition, if left uncorrected, could lead to potential loss of the horizontal stabilizer.

In order to correct and control the situation, this AD requires a one-time check to identify the material specification and inspect the affected areas of the airframe that are made of aluminum alloy AA2024-T351. Any structural parts of the aircraft structure found to be cracked must be reported to Pilatus prior to further flight

You may examine the MCAI on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-7026.

#### Related Service Information Under 14 CFR Part 51

PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. has issued PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-013; and PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-014, both dated February 25, 2016. PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-013, dated February 25, 2016, describes procedures for initial and repetitive inspection of the main frame FR11 left and right fittings for stress corrosion cracking; and PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-014, dated February 25, 2016, describes procedures for replacement of the main frame FR11 left and right fittings when necessary. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the **ADDRESSES** section of this NPRM.

### FAA's Determination and Requirements of the Proposed AD

This product has been approved by the aviation authority of another country, and is approved for operation in the United States. Pursuant to our bilateral agreement with this State of Design Authority, they have notified us of the unsafe condition described in the MCAI and service information referenced above. We are proposing this AD because we evaluated all information and determined the unsafe condition exists and is likely to exist or develop on other products of the same type design.

### Differences Between This Proposed AD and the Service Information

The service bulletin requires repetitive inspections by reference in a note, which the FAA cannot mandate, so the intent of the note has been incorporated into a required action for this proposed AD.

### Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this proposed AD will affect 19 products of U.S. registry. We also estimate that it would take about 3 work-hours per product to check the material specification of the fittings and 11 work-hours per product to inspect the 2014-T351 fittings as required in order to comply with the basic requirements of this proposed AD. The average labor rate is \$85 per work-hour.

Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of the proposed AD on U.S. operators to be \$17,765, or \$935 per product.

In addition, we estimate that any necessary follow-on actions would take about 19 work-hours and require parts costing \$5,000 for a cost of \$1,615 per product. We have no way of determining the number of products that may need these actions.

According to the manufacturer, some of the costs of this proposed AD may be covered under warranty, thereby reducing the cost impact on affected individuals. We do not control warranty coverage for affected individuals. As a result, we have included all costs in our cost estimate.

### Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA's authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. "Subtitle VII: Aviation Programs," describes in more detail the scope of the Agency's authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in "Subtitle VII,

Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701: General requirements." Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

### Regulatory Findings

We determined that this proposed AD would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This proposed AD would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify this proposed regulation:

- (1) Is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866,
- (2) Is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
- (3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska, and
- (4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 as follows:

### PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

- 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13 [Amended]

- 2. The FAA amends § 39.13 by adding the following new AD:

**Pilatus Aircraft Limited:** Docket No. FAA-2016-7026; Directorate Identifier 2016-CE-016-AD.

#### (a) Comments Due Date

We must receive comments by July 25, 2016.

#### (b) Affected ADs

None.

#### (c) Applicability

This AD applies to Pilatus Aircraft Limited Model PC-7 airplanes, manufacturer serial numbers (MSN) 101 through 618, certificated in any category.

#### (d) Subject

Air Transport Association of America (ATA) Code 53: Fuselage.

#### (e) Reason

This AD was prompted by mandatory continuing airworthiness information (MCAI) originated by an aviation authority of another country to identify and correct an unsafe condition on an aviation product. The MCAI describes the unsafe condition as stress corrosion cracking on the main frame on frame 11 left and right fittings, which can cause potential loss of the horizontal stabilizer. We are issuing this proposed AD to detect and correct stress corrosion cracking on the frame 11 left and right fittings and replace if necessary.

#### (f) Actions and Compliance

Unless already done, do the actions in paragraphs (f)(1) through (f)(4) of this AD:

(1) Within the next 120 days after the effective date of this AD, check the material specification of the Frame (FR) 11 left fitting part number (P/N) 112.35.07.489 and the FR 11 right fitting P/N 112.35.07.490 following the Accomplishment Instructions in paragraph 3.B. of PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-013, dated February 25, 2016.

(2) If fittings made of aluminum alloy AA2124-T851 are found during the inspection required by paragraph (f)(1) of this AD, within 30 days after the inspection or within the next 30 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, report the inspection results following the reporting requirements in paragraph 3.D. of PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-013, dated February 25, 2016.

(3) If fittings made of aluminum alloy AA2024-T351 are found during the inspection required by paragraph (f)(1) of this AD, before further flight, and repetitively thereafter at intervals not to exceed 12 months, inspect FR 11 left fitting, P/N 112.35.07.489 and the FR 11 right fitting, P/N 112.35.07.490, for cracks following the Accomplishment Instructions in paragraph 3.C. of PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-013, dated February 25, 2016.

(4) If cracks are found during any inspection required in paragraph (f)(3) of this AD, before further flight, replace the fittings following the Accomplishment Instructions in paragraph 3 of PILATUS Aircraft Ltd. PC-7 Service Bulletin No: 53-014, dated February 25, 2016.

#### (g) Other FAA AD Provisions

The following provisions also apply to this AD:

(1) *Alternative Methods of Compliance (AMOCs):* The Manager, Standards Office, FAA, has the authority to approve AMOCs

for this AD, if requested using the procedures found in 14 CFR 39.19. Send information to ATTN: Doug Rudolph, Aerospace Engineer, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; telephone: (816) 329-4059; fax: (816) 329-4090; email: [doug.rudolph@faa.gov](mailto:doug.rudolph@faa.gov). Before using any approved AMOC on any airplane to which the AMOC applies, notify your appropriate principal inspector (PI) in the FAA Flight Standards District Office (FSDO), or lacking a PI, your local FSDO.

(2) *Airworthy Product*: For any requirement in this AD to obtain corrective actions from a manufacturer or other source, use these actions if they are FAA-approved. Corrective actions are considered FAA-approved if they are approved by the State of Design Authority (or their delegated agent). You are required to assure the product is airworthy before it is returned to service.

(3) *Reporting Requirements*: For any reporting requirement in this AD, a federal agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, nor shall a person be subject to a penalty for failure to comply with a collection of information subject to the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act unless that collection of information displays a current valid OMB Control Number. The OMB Control Number for this information collection is 2120-0056. Public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to be approximately 5 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, completing and reviewing the collection of information. All responses to this collection of information are mandatory. Comments concerning the accuracy of this burden and suggestions for reducing the burden should be directed to the FAA at: 800 Independence Ave. SW., Washington, DC 20591, Attn: Information Collection Clearance Officer, AES-200.

#### (h) Related Information

Refer to Federal Office of Civil Aviation (FOCA) AD HB-2016-001, dated May 17, 2016, for related information. You may examine the MCAI on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> by searching for and locating Docket No. FAA-2016-7026. For service information related to this AD, contact PILATUS Aircraft Ltd., Customer Technical Support (MCC), P.O. Box 992, CH-6371 Stans, Switzerland; phone: +41 (0)41 619 67 74; fax: +41 (0)41 619 67 73; email: [techsupport@pilatus-aircraft.com](mailto:techsupport@pilatus-aircraft.com); internet: <http://www.pilatus-aircraft.com>. You may review this referenced service information at the FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, 901 Locust, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. For information on the availability of this material at the FAA, call (816) 329-4148.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on June 2, 2016.

#### Melvin Johnson,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2016-13544 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 110

[Docket Number USCG-2016-0132]

RIN 1625-AA01

#### Anchorage Grounds, Hudson River; Yonkers, NY to Kingston, NY

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is considering establishing new anchorage grounds in the Hudson River from Yonkers, NY, to Kingston, NY. We are considering this action after receiving requests suggesting that anchorage grounds may improve navigation safety along an extended portion of the Hudson River, which currently has no anchorage grounds, allowing for a safer and more efficient flow of vessel traffic. The Coast Guard is seeking comments and information about the operational need for new anchorage grounds and what form possible regulations should take.

**DATES:** Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before September 7, 2016.

Requests for public meetings must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 30, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2016-0132 using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for further instructions on submitting comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this document, call or email Mr. Craig Lapiejko, Waterways Management Branch at Coast Guard First District, telephone 617-223-8351, email [craig.d.lapiejko@uscg.mil](mailto:craig.d.lapiejko@uscg.mil).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Acronyms

ANPRM Advance notice of proposed rulemaking  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NAD 83 North American Datum of 1983

#### A. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We view public participation as essential to effective rulemaking, and will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. Your comments can help shape the outcome of this possible rulemaking. If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this rulemaking, indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation.

We encourage you to submit comments through the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. If your material cannot be submitted using <http://www.regulations.gov>, contact the person in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

We accept anonymous comments. All comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov> and will include any personal information you have provided. For more about privacy and the docket, you may review a Privacy Act notice regarding the Federal Docket Management System in the March 24, 2005, issue of the **Federal Register** (70 FR 15086).

Documents mentioned in this ANPRM as being available in the docket, and all public comments, will be in our online docket at <http://www.regulations.gov> and can be viewed by following that Web site's instructions. Additionally, if you go to the online docket and sign up for email alerts, you will be notified when comments are posted and if we publish rulemaking documents related to this ANPRM.

#### B. Regulatory History and Information

Under title 33 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) 109.05, U.S. Coast Guard District Commanders are delegated the authority to establish anchorage grounds by the Commandant of the U.S. Coast Guard. The Coast Guard establishes Anchorage Grounds under the authority in Section 7 of the act of March 4, 1915, as amended (38 Stat. 1053; 33 U.S.C. 471), and places these regulations in title 33 CFR part 110, subpart B. Hudson River Anchorage Ground regulations were last amended by rules published on March 31, 2016, January 15, 2015, and on July 20, 1999; these are 81 FR 18494, 80 FR 2011, and 64 FR 38828, respectively. The Coast Guard is now considering a proposed rulemaking to establish new anchorage grounds in the Hudson River.

### C. Basis and Purpose

The legal basis and authorities for this ANPRM are found in 33 U.S.C. 471, 1221 through 1236, and 2071, as well as 33 CFR 1.05-1 and Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1, which collectively authorize the Coast Guard to propose, establish, and define regulatory anchorages. The Coast Guard is considering establishing new anchorage grounds.

The Coast Guard received requests from the Maritime Association of the Port of NY/NJ Tug and Barge Committee, the Hudson River Port Pilot's Association, and the American Waterways Operators to consider establishing new anchorage grounds on the Hudson River. The purpose of this ANPRM is to solicit comments on potential proposed rulemakings to increase the available anchorage grounds on the Hudson River in areas which currently have no anchorages.

### D. Discussion of Possible Proposed Rule

The Coast Guard is considering proposing to establish new anchorage grounds on the Hudson River. The anticipated users of the proposed anchorage grounds are commercial vessels and their attending tug, tow, or pushboats.

The approximate depths of the proposed anchorage grounds range from 21 feet to 65 feet, which would accommodate a variety of vessel types and configurations, and would not interfere with the areas where vessels have historically transited the Hudson River. Preliminary details describing these contemplated anchorage grounds are provided below using coordinates based on North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83). Illustrations showing the locations of these anchorage grounds are available in the docket.

#### *Contemplated Kingston Flats South Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Kingston Flats South Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 279 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 22 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,300 feet for one vessel and of approximately 1,800 feet for two vessels. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-56.79' N., 073-57.24' W.; thence to 41-56.78' N., 073-56.85' W.; thence to 41-55.81' N., 073-56.95' W.; thence to 41-55.81' N., 073-57.42' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Port Ewen Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Port Ewen Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 47 acres for one vessel with a draft of less than 30 feet for short term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-54.85' N., 073-57.85' W.; thence to 41-54.79' N., 073-57.59' W.; thence to 41-54.58' N., 073-57.64' W.; thence to 41-54.57' N., 073-57.95' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Big Rock Point Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Big Rock Point Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 208 acres for up to four vessels with a draft of less than 35 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-54.25' N., 073-58.04' W.; thence to 41-54.31' N., 073-57.76' W.; thence to 41-53.79' N., 073-57.55' W.; thence to 41-53.40' N., 073-57.25' W.; thence to 41-53.21' N., 073-57.45' W.; thence to 41-53.68' N., 073-57.80' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Roseton Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Roseton Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 305 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 40 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,700 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-33.46' N., 073-58.71' W.; thence to 41-33.41' N., 073-58.27' W.; thence to 41-32.92' N., 073-58.77' W.; thence to 41-32.41' N., 073-59.21' W.; thence to 41-32.65' N., 073-59.47' W.; thence to 41-33.12' N., 073-59.11' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Milton Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Milton Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 74 acres for up to two vessels with a draft of less than 40 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-

38.56' N., 073-57.02' W.; thence to 41-38.64' N., 073-56.72' W.; thence to 41-38.12' N., 073-56.79' W.; thence to 41-37.93' N., 073-56.88' W.; thence to 41-38.19' N., 073-57.05' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Marlboro Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Marlboro Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 154 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 35 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,800 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-36.68' N., 073-57.12' W.; thence to 41-38.82' N., 073-57.76' W.; thence to 41-35.88' N., 073-57.21' W.; thence to 41-35.87' N., 073-56.92' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Newburgh Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Newburgh Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 445 acres for up to five vessels with a draft of less than 32 feet toward the northern end and less than 22 feet toward the southern end for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,800 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-29.75' N., 073-59.98' W.; thence to 41-29.96' N., 073-59.48' W.; thence to 41-28.38' N., 073-59.94' W.; thence to 41-28.29' N., 074-00.20' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Tompkins Cove Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Tompkins Cove Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 98 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of less than 40 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41-15.91' N., 073-58.51' W.; thence to 41-15.91' N., 073-58.21' W.; thence to 41-15.27' N., 073-58.38' W.; thence to 41-15.28' N., 073-58.65' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Montrose Point Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Montrose Point Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 127 acres for up to three vessels with a draft of

less than 26 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,400 feet for each vessel. The contemplated ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–14.02' N., 073–57.45' W.; thence to 41–14.09' N., 073–57.15' W.; thence to 41–31.10' N., 073–57.00' W.; thence to 41–13.18' N., 073–56.60' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### *Contemplated Yonkers Extension Anchorage Ground*

We are considering proposing that a Yonkers Extension Anchorage Ground would cover approximately 715 acres for up to 16 vessels with a draft of less than 35 feet for long term usage. It would provide a vessel swing radius of approximately 1,200 feet for each vessel. The contemplated anchorage ground would encompass waters within lines connecting the following points: 41–00.60' N., 073–53.61' W.; thence to 41–00.60' N., 073–53.31' W.; thence to 40–58.05' N., 073–53.96' W.; thence to 40–56.96' N., 073–54.39' W.; thence to 40–57.02' N., 073–54.71' W.; thence to 40–58.11' N., 073–54.25' W.; thence to the point of origin (NAD 83).

#### **E. Information Requested**

Public participation is requested to assist in determining the best way forward with respect to establishing new anchorage grounds on the Hudson River between Yonkers, NY, to Kingston, NY. To aid us in developing a possible proposed rule, we seek any comments, whether positive or negative, including but not limited to the impacts anchorage grounds may have on navigation safety and current vessel traffic in this area, the proposed number and size of vessels anchoring in each proposed anchorage ground, and the authorized duration for each vessel in each proposed anchorage ground. We are also seeking comments on any additional locations where anchorage grounds may be helpful on the Hudson River or any recommended alterations to the specific locations considered in this notice. Please submit any comments or concerns you may have in accordance with the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” section above.

#### **L.L. Fagan,**

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander First Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13701 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110–04–P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### **40 CFR Part 52**

[EPA–R10–OAR–2015–0397; FRL–9947–53–Region 10]

#### **Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Idaho: Stationary Source Permitting Revisions**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to approve, and incorporate by reference, revisions to the Idaho State Implementation Plan submitted on May 21, 2015. In the submission, Idaho revised stationary source permitting rules, including the addition of facility-wide emission limits and nonmetallic mineral processing plant regulations. Idaho also added an alternative method for stationary sources to comply with sulfur content of fuels limits, and updated provisions to account for changes to federal air quality regulations. The EPA proposes to approve the submitted revisions as consistent with the Clean Air Act and the EPA’s implementing regulations.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R10–OAR–2015–0397, at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from <http://www.regulations.gov>. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

*Docket:* All documents in the electronic docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov>

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, CBI or other information, the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kristin Hall at (206) 553–6357, or [hall.kristin@epa.gov](mailto:hall.kristin@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document wherever “we,” “us,” or “our” is used, it is intended to refer to the EPA.

#### **Table of Contents**

- I. Background
- II. State Submission
- III. Analysis of Submitted Revisions
  - A. Facility-Wide Emissions Cap Rules
  - B. Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant Rules
  - C. Sulfur Content of Fuels Provision
  - D. Definitions and Baselines for Fine Particulate Matter
  - E. Incorporation by Reference Updates
  - F. Effect of Court Decisions Vacating and Remanding Certain Federal Rules
- IV. Proposed Action
- V. Incorporation by Reference
- VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

#### **I. Background**

Section 110 of the Clean Air Act (CAA) governs the process by which a state submits air quality protection requirements to the EPA for approval into the State Implementation Plan (SIP). The SIP is the state’s plan to implement, maintain and enforce the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) set by the EPA. Idaho regularly updates the Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho (IDAPA 58.01.01) to reflect changes to the NAAQS and to improve implementation, maintenance and enforcement of those standards. We note that Idaho incorporates by reference portions of certain federal regulations directly into the SIP. The state generally submits an annual update to the EPA to keep rules consistent with federal requirements.

#### **II. State Submission**

On May 21, 2015, Idaho submitted revisions to state air quality rules at IDAPA 58.01.01 to the EPA for approval into the SIP. Idaho adopted these rule changes on November 19 and November

21, 2014. The state provided notice and an opportunity for public comment and hearing on the changes. Notices were published in the *Idaho Administrative Bulletin* and public hearings were held on September 9 and October 7, 2014. We have evaluated Idaho's submission and propose to find the state has met the requirements for reasonable notice and public hearing under section 110 of the CAA.

### III. Analysis of Submitted Revisions

#### A. Facility-Wide Emissions Cap Rules

In the submission, Idaho revised the rules that permit construction and operation of stationary sources. Idaho's changes give certain minor sources the option to apply for facility-wide emission limitations. These limitations, or caps, when incorporated into a minor source permit to construct or Tier II operating permit, are intended to allow minor sources to operate more flexibly, without having to request permit modifications for certain process changes.

For example, semiconductor manufacturing facilities make many equipment and process changes as they develop new products and technologies. However, many equipment and process changes do not warrant extensive review as a permit modification. The intent of the facility-wide emissions cap is to set a cap on emissions from a facility, while allowing process changes under certain conditions that may increase emissions. As long as facility emissions stay below the cap and the process changes do not trigger new requirements, the source may be permitted to construct and operate.

The new Idaho rules for limiting emissions from minor sources are called the facility-wide emissions cap rules, or "FEC" rules, codified at IDAPA 58.01.01.175 through 181. These rules lay out the requirements a minor source must meet to request a FEC limit, and the method for determining the limit. A FEC limit is expressed as tons per year, on a 12-month rolling basis, and may be applied to any criteria pollutant or hazardous air pollutant. The FEC rules do not provide for issuance of a stand-alone permit. Rather, owners or operators of eligible facilities may request a FEC limit be incorporated into a new or existing permit to construct or Tier II operating permit. As stated above, only minor sources are eligible. These include sources that request an emission limit to avoid major source permitting, otherwise known as synthetic minor sources.

In our review, we have evaluated the addition of the FEC option to determine

if the revised minor source permit to construct and Tier II operating permit programs continue to comply with the CAA and the EPA's implementing regulations. We propose to find that they do, and that the FEC rules are approvable for the reasons stated below.

First, the FEC rules contain adequate provisions to prevent sources operating under a FEC limit from causing or contributing to a violation of the NAAQS. CAA section 110(a)(2)(C) requires ". . . regulation of the modification and construction of any stationary source . . . as necessary to assure that the [NAAQS] are achieved." The EPA's implementing regulations for minor sources, set forth in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 40 CFR 51.160 through 164, require a state to have procedures to prevent construction or modification of a source if it will result in a violation of a pollution control strategy, or if it will interfere with the attainment or maintenance of a NAAQS.

The FEC rules ensure maintenance of the NAAQS by limiting the option to obtain a FEC limit to minor sources and requiring the applicant to demonstrate that operating under the FEC limit will not cause or contribute to a violation of a NAAQS. As stated in IDAPA 58.01.01.176.02.a, major sources, or sources undergoing a major modification, cannot obtain a FEC limit. Moreover, by its terms, the FEC limit is set below major source thresholds. The FEC rules at IDAPA 58.01.01.178.03 through .04 also require recordkeeping and reporting, including an annual report, demonstrating compliance with the FEC limit(s) and maintenance of the NAAQS.

Second, the addition of the FEC option does not alleviate any of the application requirements for either the minor source permit to construct program or the Tier II operating permit program. The EPA has already approved Idaho's application procedures for both programs. The EPA approved revisions to Idaho's minor source permit to construct application procedures most recently on January 16, 2003 (68 FR 2217).<sup>1</sup> Similarly, the EPA approved revisions to Idaho's Tier II operating permit program most recently on November 26, 2010 (75 FR 72719).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> EPA did not approve section .03 of IDAPA 58.01.01.201 because it is related to toxic air pollutants and not the criteria pollutants or other requirements of CAA section 110 (January 16, 2003; 68 FR 2217, at page 2221).

<sup>2</sup> The EPA did not approve section .01.a and section .04 of IDAPA 58.01.01.401, related to alternative emission limits and compliance date extensions (November 26, 2010; 75 FR 72719, at page 72723).

In sum, we are proposing to approve and incorporate by reference the FEC rules at IDAPA 58.01.01.175 through 181 into the Idaho SIP, except as the rules relate to hazardous air pollutants. Hazardous air pollutants are regulated under CAA section 112, and are not appropriate for approval into the SIP. The SIP includes provisions related to attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS, and other specific requirements of CAA section 110. We are also proposing to approve and incorporate by reference the revisions to IDAPA 58.01.01.201 *Permit to Construct Required* and IDAPA 58.01.01.401 *Tier II Operating Permit* to appropriately cross-reference the FEC rules. However, consistent with our previous action on November 26, 2010, we are not approving section .01.a and section .04 of IDAPA 58.01.01.401 because the provisions allow for unbounded director's discretion (75 FR 72719).

#### B. Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant Rules

In the submission, Idaho made changes to streamline the permit process for rock crushers, asphalt plants, and other portable equipment used to process nonmetallic minerals. Instead of continuing to require that a regulated rock crusher obtain a permit to construct before starting operation, Idaho created a permit by rule that establishes controls and other operating parameters that apply to an eligible source upon registration with the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality.

These requirements are codified at IDAPA 58.01.01.790 through 799 *Rules for the Control of Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants*. Sources that register and operate in compliance with the rules are considered to have a "permit by rule." Only minor sources that operate for less than twelve consecutive months at a single location are eligible for the permit by rule. Sources covered by the Federal New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) at 40 CFR part 60, subpart OOO are not eligible, nor are new and modified major sources. By extension, rock crushers that are part of a new major source or proposed major modification are not eligible for the permit by rule.

The requirements for eligible nonmetallic mineral processing plants specify that obtaining a permit by rule does not relieve the owner or operator of an eligible source from the responsibility of complying with other federal, state and local applicable laws, regulations, and requirements. The rules make clear that sources subject to the NSPS for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, or the NSPS for

Portland Cement Plants or Hot Mix Asphalt Plants, must continue to comply with the NSPS limits and controls, as applicable. Provisions in the rules related to NSPS and title V source operating permits (IDAPA 58.01.01.792 and IDAPA 58.01.01.794.04) are generally not appropriate for SIP approval because they are not intended to implement the requirements of CAA section 110. Moreover, the NSPS for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants, codified at 40 CFR part 60, subpart OOO, applies to affected facilities by its terms regardless of Idaho's rule. See 40 CFR 60.670.

The nonmetallic mineral processing plant rules set out the registration process and operating parameters for rock crushers and other eligible sources, including limits on the hours of operation, fuel consumption rates, best management practices, and general controls designed to ensure compliance with the NAAQS. The registration procedures for the permit by rule are contained in IDAPA 58.01.01.795 through 799. Owners and operators may choose to operate an eligible plant under the permit by rule by registering the new or modified processing plant fifteen days prior to commencing operation or modification. As part of the registration, the owner or operator must supply information, such as manufacturer, model, and throughput capacity, on the rock crushers, screen decks, and electric generators proposed to be part of the processing plant.

Owners and operators who register their nonmetallic mineral processing plants are deemed to have a permit by rule if they operate the plants in accordance with the applicable substantive requirements. In general, the rules prohibit emissions that would be injurious to human health or welfare, animal or plant life, or property, or that would interfere unreasonably with the enjoyment of life or property. In addition, owners and operators of eligible sources must take all reasonable precautions to prevent the generation of fugitive dust, in addition to meeting specific opacity standards spelled out for categories of activities at areas of operation.

Specific requirements sources must meet include fuel restrictions, limits on operating hours, and monitoring and recordkeeping requirements for electrical generators at a source. For example, electrical generators must run on American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) Grade 1 or 2 fuel oil and must also meet specific sulfur content in fuel restrictions. Sources also must restrict visible emissions from various activities to 20% opacity or less,

aggregating more than three minutes in any sixty minute period. NSPS-regulated processing plants are held to stricter opacity limits.

In addition to meeting opacity limits, sources must use best management practices to limit fugitive dust from the operation, including controls on paved public roads, unpaved haul roads, transfer points, screening operations, stacks and vents, crushers and grinding mills, and stockpiles. These best management practices are triggered during the course of operations, for instance when observed visible emissions from vehicle traffic approaches the opacity limit, or when citizen complaints come in that have merit. Sources must maintain a daily record of observing the operation, including when events trigger required control strategies and the corrective actions taken.

Idaho also amended IDAPA 58.01.01.011 to include new terms supporting the nonmetallic mineral processing plant rules. The new definitions include: "Best Management Practice," "Control Strategy Trigger," "Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plant," "NSPS Regulated Facility or Plant," "Permit by Rule," "Progressive Control Strategy," and "Site of Operations."

The EPA proposes to determine that the permit by rule provisions for rock crushers and other nonmetallic mineral processing plants are consistent with the types of permit terms and conditions that are generally used when issuing source-specific permits to sources in this category, and may in fact be more prescriptive. We also propose to conclude that the addition of the nonmetallic mineral processing rules are consistent with the CAA and the EPA's implementing regulations at 40 CFR 51.160 through 164. We are therefore proposing to approve IDAPA 58.01.01.011 and IDAPA 58.01.01.790 through 799 into the Idaho SIP, except IDAPA 58.01.01.792, and IDAPA 58.01.01.794.04 because they are not related to the requirements of CAA section 110 and are inappropriate for SIP approval.

#### C. Sulfur Content of Fuels Provision

The Idaho sulfur content of fuels provision regulates the sulfur dioxide emissions from stationary sources by setting limits on the sulfur content of residual fuel oil, distillate fuel oil, and coal that is sold, distributed, used, or made available in Idaho. The provision is located in IDAPA 58.01.01.725 *Rules for Sulfur Content of Fuels*. In the submission, Idaho revised the rule provision to allow a stationary source—

when applying for a permit to construct or operate—to request an alternative method to comply with sulfur in fuel limits. The revision specifies that the alternative may only be allowed if the applicant demonstrates that sulfur dioxide emissions would be equal to or less than emissions would be under the prescribed sulfur content of fuel limits. In other words, to get approval to use a fuel with higher sulfur content, a stationary source must show that, by installing a control device, the source can reduce hourly controlled emissions to less than the maximum hourly emissions from combusting complying fuels.

If a demonstration meets the rule requirements, the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality may approve the alternative compliance method into a stationary source permit to construct or operating permit. Any permit issued must contain the appropriate source monitoring, record-keeping and reporting requirements, for ensuring compliance, in accordance with Idaho's federally-approved permit to construct and operating permit programs.

We note that this rule revision alone does not allow the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality to relax any existing permit limits or conditions without also ensuring compliance with existing permit rules. In addition, any modification required for a stationary source to combust higher sulfur fuels, even without increasing allowable emissions, may be subject to preconstruction permitting rules.

Based on the information above, we conclude that the rule change is designed to protect the NAAQS, and we propose to approve and incorporate by reference the revision to IDAPA 58.01.01.725 *Rules for Sulfur Content of Fuels*.

#### D. Definitions and Baselines for Fine Particulate Matter

In the submission, Idaho revised IDAPA 58.01.01.006 *General Definitions* to clarify that the definition of "Criteria Air Pollutant" includes fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), and added specific definitions for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> emissions. Idaho also updated the *Baselines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration* rule section to add major and minor source baseline dates for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. We propose to approve these revisions as consistent with the CAA, the EPA's fine particulate matter standards set forth at 40 CFR 50.18, and major and minor source baseline dates and area requirements detailed at 40 CFR 51.166(b)(14) and (15). We note that, consistent with our previous action on March 3, 2014, we are not approving

the terms defined in sections .49, .50, .51, .66, .67, .68.b, .114, and .116 because these terms relate to toxic air pollutants, not the criteria pollutants and the requirements of CAA section 110 (79 FR 11711).

#### E. Incorporation by Reference Updates

Idaho revised section .03 of IDAPA 58.01.01.107 *Incorporations by Reference* by updating the citation dates that incorporate federal provisions effective as of that date. Paragraph .a incorporates by reference the Requirements for Preparation, Adoption, and Submittal of Implementation Plans, 40 CFR part 51, with the exception of certain visibility-related provisions, effective July 1, 2014. We note that Idaho did not submit updates to the incorporation of federal provisions relied on as part of the State's nonattainment area major stationary source preconstruction permitting program.

Paragraphs .b, .d, and .e of the same section incorporate the following provisions effective July 1, 2014: .b National Primary and Secondary Ambient Air Quality Standards, 40 CFR part 50; .d Ambient Air Monitoring Reference and Equivalent Methods, 40 CFR part 53; and .e Ambient Air Quality Surveillance, 40 CFR part 58. We propose to find that paragraphs .b, .d, and .e are consistent with CAA requirements. Idaho did not submit paragraphs .f through .n for approval because the provisions are not related to CAA section 110 and the criteria pollutants, and are inappropriate for SIP approval.

Paragraph .c incorporates the Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans, 40 CFR part 52 subparts A and N, and appendices D and E. This includes the Federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permitting rules at 40 CFR 52.21, effective July 1, 2014. We propose to find that paragraph .c is consistent with CAA requirements. We note that specific federal PSD permitting rules have been vacated and remanded by the courts to the EPA. Idaho has responded by submitting rule changes to align the Idaho SIP with the court decisions. Please see Section III. F. below.

#### F. Effect of Court Decisions Vacating and Remanding Certain Federal Rules

##### 1. PM<sub>2.5</sub> PSD Provisions

As discussed above, Idaho incorporates by reference federal PSD permitting requirements. The current Idaho SIP incorporates these rules, codified at 40 CFR 52.21, as of July 1, 2012, except revisions to 40 CFR

52.21(i) (relating to the significant monitoring concentration (SMC)) and 40 CFR 52.21(k) (relating to the significant impact level (SIL)) that added a SMC and SIL for PM<sub>2.5</sub> as part of the 2010 PSD PM<sub>2.5</sub> Implementation Rule (October 20, 2010, 75 FR 64864). We partially disapproved Idaho's previous submittal incorporating these provisions because they were vacated by a court after Idaho had already adopted and submitted them to the EPA (April 7, 2015, 80 FR 18526).

On January 22, 2013, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, in *Sierra Club v. EPA*,<sup>3</sup> issued, with respect to the SMC, a judgment that, among other things, vacated the provisions adding the PM<sub>2.5</sub> SMC to the federal regulations at 40 CFR 51.166(i)(5)(i)(c) and 52.21(i)(5)(i)(c). In its decision, the Court held that the EPA did not have the authority to use SMCs to exempt permit applicants from the statutory requirement in section 165(e)(2) of the CAA that ambient monitoring data for PM<sub>2.5</sub> be included in all PSD permit applications. Thus, although the PM<sub>2.5</sub> SMC was not a required element of a state's PSD program, where a state PSD program contains such a provision and allows issuance of new permits without requiring ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring data, such application of the vacated SMC would be inconsistent with the Court's opinion and the requirements of section 165(e)(2) of the CAA.

At the EPA's request, the decision also vacated and remanded the portions of the 2010 PSD PM<sub>2.5</sub> Implementation Rule that revised 40 CFR 51.166 and 40 CFR 52.21 related to SILs for PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The EPA requested this vacatur and remand of two of the three provisions in the EPA regulations that contain SILs for PM<sub>2.5</sub> because the wording of these two SIL provisions (40 CFR 51.166(k)(2) and 40 CFR 52.21(k)(2)) is inconsistent with the explanation of when and how SILs should be used by permitting authorities that we provided in the preamble to the **Federal Register** publication when we promulgated these provisions. The third SIL provision (40 CFR 51.165(b)(2)) was not vacated and remains in effect. We also note that the Court's decision does not affect the PSD increments for PM<sub>2.5</sub> promulgated as part of the 2010 PSD PM<sub>2.5</sub> Implementation Rule.

On December 9, 2013, the EPA amended its regulations to remove the vacated PM<sub>2.5</sub> SILs and SMC provisions from the federal PSD regulations (78 FR 73698). In response, Idaho updated the incorporation by reference of federal PSD regulations to July 1, 2014,

capturing the EPA's removal of the vacated provisions. Idaho also revised the ambient air quality analysis requirements for major sources seeking PSD permits (IDAPA 58.01.01.202 *Permit to Construct*, at section .01) to clarify the appropriate use of a SIL and reference the federal PSD regulation listing SILs. We propose to find that these revisions are consistent with the Court's opinion and current EPA PSD regulations.

##### 2. PSD Deferral of Certain Emissions From Biogenic Sources

In 2011, the EPA revised the definition of "subject to regulation" at 40 CFR 52.21(b)(49)(ii)(a). The intent was to defer for three years (until July 21, 2014) PSD permitting for carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions from bioenergy and other biogenic stationary sources (Deferral for CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions from Bioenergy and Other Biogenic Sources under the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) and Title V Programs; Final Rule (July 20, 2011, 76 FR 43490) (Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> Deferral Rule)). Idaho's SIP incorporates by reference federal PSD permitting rules and includes this deferral provision.

On July 12, 2013, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, in *Center for Biological Diversity v. EPA*,<sup>4</sup> vacated the Biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> Deferral Rule. The deferral expired on July 21, 2014, and by its terms is no longer in effect.

##### 3. PSD Greenhouse Gas Tailoring Rule

On June 23, 2014, the United States Supreme Court, in *Utility Air Regulatory Group v. Environmental Protection Agency*,<sup>5</sup> issued a decision addressing the application of PSD permitting to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. The Supreme Court said that the EPA may not treat GHGs as an air pollutant for purposes of determining whether a source is a major source (or modification thereof) required to obtain a PSD permit. The Court also said that the EPA could continue to require that PSD permits, otherwise required based on emissions of pollutants other than GHGs, contain limits on GHG emissions based on the application of Best Available Control Technology (BACT).

In order to act consistently with its understanding of the Court's decision, pending further judicial action before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia to effectuate the decision, the EPA is not continuing to apply the EPA regulations that would require SIPs to include permitting requirements that the Supreme Court found

<sup>4</sup> 722 F.3d 401 (D.C. Cir. 2013).

<sup>5</sup> 134 S.Ct. 2427 (2014).

<sup>3</sup> 703 F.3d 458 (D.C. Cir. 2013).

impermissible. Specifically, the EPA is not applying the requirement that a state's SIP-approved PSD program require that sources obtain PSD permits when GHGs are the only pollutant (i) that the source emits or has the potential to emit above the major source thresholds, or (ii) for which there is a significant emissions increase and a significant net emissions increase from a modification (e.g., 40 CFR 51.166(b)(48)(v)).

The EPA recently revised federal PSD rules in light of the Supreme Court decision (May 7, 2015, 80 FR 26183). In addition, we anticipate that many states will revise their existing SIP-approved PSD programs in light of the Supreme Court's decision. We do not expect that all states have revised their existing PSD program regulations yet, however, we are evaluating submitted PSD program revision to ensure that the state's program correctly addresses GHGs, consistent with the Court's decision.

Idaho's current SIP contains the GHG permitting requirements reflected in 40 CFR 52.21, as amended in the Tailoring Rule. As a result, the PSD permitting program in Idaho, previously approved into the SIP, continues to require that PSD permits (otherwise required based on emissions of pollutants other than GHGs) contain limits on GHG emissions, based on the application of BACT, when sources emit or increase GHGs in the amount of 75,000 tons per year (measured as carbon dioxide equivalent).

Although the approved Idaho PSD permitting program may also currently contain provisions that are no longer necessary in light of the Supreme Court decision, this does not prevent the EPA from approving this SIP submission. Idaho's submission does not add any GHG permitting requirements that are inconsistent with the Supreme Court decision. While Idaho's submission incorporates all of 40 CFR 52.21 for completeness, the submission reincorporates PSD requirements for GHGs already in the Idaho SIP.

#### IV. Proposed Action

We propose to approve, and incorporate by reference into the Idaho SIP, changes to the following provisions submitted on May 21, 2015:

- IDAPA 58.01.01.006 *General Definitions*, except .49, .50, .51, .66, .67, .68.b, .114, and .116 (State effective 4/11/2014);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.011 *Definitions for the Purposes of Sections 790 through 799* (State effective 3/15/2002);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.107 *Incorporations by Reference*, except .03.f through .n, and with respect to .a, the incorporation

by reference of 40 CFR 51.165 (State effective 4/11/2015);

- IDAPA 58.01.01.157 *Test Methods and Procedures* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.175 *Procedures and Requirements for Permits Establishing a Facility Emissions Cap* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.176 *Facility Emissions Cap*, except for provisions relating to hazardous air pollutants (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.177 *Application Procedures* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.178 *Standard Contents of Permits Establishing a Facility Emissions Cap* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.179 *Procedures for Issuing Permits Establishing a Facility Emissions Cap* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.180 *Revisions to Permits Establishing a Facility Emissions Cap* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.181 *Notice and Record-Keeping of Estimates of Ambient Concentrations* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.201 *Permit to Construct Required* (State effective 4/11/2006);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.202 *Application Procedures* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.401 *Tier II Operating Permit*, except .01.a and .04, (State effective 4/11/2006);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.579 *Baselines for Prevention of Significant Deterioration* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.725 *Rules for Sulfur Content of Fuels* (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.790 *Rules for the Control of Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants* (State effective 3/15/2002);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.791 *General Control Requirements*, (State effective 3/15/2002);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.793 *Emissions Standards for Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plants not Subject to 40 CFR 60, Subpart OOO* (State effective 3/15/2002);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.794 *Permit Requirements*, except .04 (State effective 4/11/2015);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.795 *Permit by Rule Requirements* (State effective 3/15/2002);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.796 *Applicability* (State effective 3/15/2002);
- IDAPA 58.01.01.797 *Registration for Permit by Rule* (State effective 3/15/2002);

- IDAPA 58.01.01.798 *Electrical Generators* (State effective 3/15/2002); and

- IDAPA 58.01.01.799 *Nonmetallic Mineral Processing Plan Fugitive Dust Best Management Practice* (State effective 3/15/2002).

#### V. Incorporation by Reference

In this rule, we are proposing to include in a final rule regulatory text that includes incorporation by reference. In accordance with requirements of 1 CFR 51.5, we are proposing to incorporate by reference the provisions described above in Section IV. Proposed Action. The EPA has made, and will continue to make, these documents generally available electronically through <http://www.regulations.gov> and/or in hard copy at the appropriate EPA office (see the **ADDRESSES** section of this preamble for more information).

#### VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Under the CAA, the Administrator is required to approve a SIP submission that complies with the provisions of the CAA and applicable federal regulations. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k); 40 CFR 52.02(a). Thus, in reviewing SIP submissions, the EPA's role is to approve state choices, provided that they meet the criteria of the CAA. Accordingly, this proposed action merely approves state law as meeting federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this proposed action:

- Is not a "significant regulatory action" subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);
- does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);
- is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);
- does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-4);
- does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);
- is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- is not subject to requirements of Section 12(d) of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. 272 note) because it does not involve technical standards; and

- does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

In addition, the SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where the EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), nor will it impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Particulate matter, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur oxides.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 26, 2016.

**Dennis J. McLerran,**

*Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13693 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2015-0136; FRL-9947-49-Region 5]

#### Air Plan Approval; Minnesota; Sulfur Dioxide

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve a revision to the Minnesota sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ELT Minneapolis, LLC's River Road Industrial Center located in Fridley, Anoka County, Minnesota. The revision, submitted by the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency on February 24, 2016, updates information updates information to reflect both

administrative and equipment changes at the facility. The name of the facility has changed to BAE Technology Center. The revision will result in a significant decrease in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions and will support the continued attainment and maintenance of the SO<sub>2</sub> national ambient air quality standard in the Twin Cities area.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2016-0136 at <http://www.regulations.gov> or via email to [blakley.pamela@epa.gov](mailto:blakley.pamela@epa.gov). For comments submitted at [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from [Regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). For either manner of submission, EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, please contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section. For the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Hatten, Environmental Engineer, Control Strategies Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18)), Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6031, [hatten.charles@epa.gov](mailto:hatten.charles@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving Minnesota's SO<sub>2</sub> SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If EPA does not receive adverse comments in response to this rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, EPA will

withdraw the direct final rule and will address all public comments received in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule, and if that provision can be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 31, 2016.

**Robert A. Kaplan**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 5.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13603 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services

#### 42 CFR Parts 405, 412, 413, and 485

[CMS-1655-CN]

RIN 0938-AS77

#### Medicare Program; Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment Systems for Acute Care Hospitals and the Long-Term Care Hospital Prospective Payment System and Proposed Policy Changes and Fiscal Year 2017 Rates; Quality Reporting Requirements for Specific Providers; Graduate Medical Education; Hospital Notification Procedures Applicable to Beneficiaries Receiving Observation Services; and Technical Changes Relating to Costs to Organizations and Medicare Cost Reports; Correction

**AGENCY:** Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), HHS.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects technical and typographical errors in the proposed rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** on April 27, 2016 titled "Medicare Program; Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment Systems for Acute Care Hospitals and the Long-Term Care Hospital Prospective Payment System and Proposed Policy Changes and Fiscal Year 2017 Rates; Quality Reporting Requirements for Specific Providers; Graduate Medical Education; Hospital Notification Procedures Applicable to Beneficiaries Receiving Observation

Services; and Technical Changes Relating to Costs to Organizations and Medicare Cost Reports.”

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Padgett, (410) 786–2811.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Background**

In FR Doc. 2016–09120 of April 27, 2016 (81 FR 24946), there were a number of technical errors that are identified and corrected in the Correction of Errors section of this correcting document.

**II. Summary of Errors**

*A. Summary of Errors in the Preamble*

On pages 24958, 24959, and 25255 we made an inadvertent technical and typographical errors in referencing several quality measure titles.

On page 25121, we erroneously referenced the incorrect date for the end of the FY 2019 Hospital-acquired condition (HAC) Reduction Program performance period.

On page 25173, we made an error in referencing the Long-Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting Program (LTCH QRP).

On page 25223, we made an error in specifying the units for the dollar amount in reference to expenditures. We also inadvertently omitted full reference to “Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality: *Prevention Quality Indicators Overview. 2008*” in the footnote.

On page 25247, we erroneously referenced incorrect year regarding the Spring version of the Clinical Quality Measure (CQM) electronic specifications.

*B. Summary of Errors in the Addendum*

On page 25307, in table titled “Estimated Proportion of Hospitals in the Worst-Performing Quartile (75th Percentile) of the Total HAC Score for the FY 2017 HAC Reduction Program”, we made technical errors in the entries for the “By Teaching Status” hospital characteristic.

On page 25319, we made an inadvertent technical and typographical errors in referencing several quality measure titles.

On pages 25322, we made an error in referencing the Long-Term Care Hospital Quality Reporting Program (LTCH QRP).

**IV. Correction of Errors**

In FR Doc. 2016–09120 of April 27, 2016 (81 FR 24946), we are making the following corrections:

*A. Corrections of Errors in the Preamble*

1. On page 24958, first column, last paragraph, line 11, the phrase “PAC LTCH QRP.” is corrected to read “LTCH QRP.”.

2. On page 24959, third column, last paragraph, line 8, the phrase “Issues-PAC” is corrected to read “Issues-PAC LTCH QRP”.

3. On page 25121, third column, first full paragraph, line 18, the phrase “September 30” is corrected to read “June 30”.

4. On page 25173, third column, fifth bulleted paragraph, lines 3 and 4, the phrase “(LTCH QRP) (also referred to as the LTCHQR Program);” is corrected to read as “(LTCH QRP);”.

5. On page 25223:  
a. Second column, first full paragraph, line 29, the figure “\$4.3B” is corrected as “\$4.3 billion”.

b. Third column, third footnote (footnote 232), line 1, the phrase “National Quality Forum:” is corrected to read “Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality:”.

6. On page 25247, first column, sixth paragraph, line 19, the phrase “Spring 2017” is corrected to read “Spring 2016”.

7. On page 25255, first column, first partial paragraph, lines 7 through 14, the sentence “We refer readers to section VIII.C.9.d. of the preamble of this this proposed rule for further details on the proposed expansion of data collection for this measures (NQF #0680), including data collection timeframes and associated submission deadlines.” is corrected to read “We refer readers to section VIII.C.9.d. of the preamble of this proposed rule for further details on the proposed expansion of data collection for this measure, Percent of Residents or Patients Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680), including data collection timeframes and associated submission deadlines.”.

*B. Corrections of Errors in the Addendum*

1. On page 25307, in the table titled “ESTIMATED PROPORTION OF HOSPITAL IN THE WORST-PERFORMING QUARTILE (75TH PERCENTILE) OF THE TOTAL HAC SCORE FOR THE FY 2017 HAC REDUCTION PROGRAM,” the entries for the hospital characteristic “By Teaching Status” are corrected to read as follows:

Hospital characteristic	Number of hospitals <sup>a</sup>	Number of hospitals in the worst-performing quartile <sup>b</sup>	Percent of hospitals in the worst-performing quartile <sup>c</sup>
By Teaching Status: <sup>f</sup>			
Non-teaching .....	2,189	398	18.2
Fewer than 100 residents .....	777	230	29.6
100 or more residents .....	245	136	55.5

2. On page 25319, first column, first partial paragraph, line 13, the phrase “this measures (NQF #0680),” is corrected to read “this measure, Percent of Residents or Patients Who Were Assessed and Appropriately Given the

Seasonal Influenza Vaccine (Short Stay) (NQF #0680),”.

3. On 25322, second column, second full paragraph, line 12, the phrase “LTCHQR Program” is corrected to read “LTCH QRP”.

Dated: June 6, 2016.

**Madhura Valverde,**  
*Executive Secretary to the Department, Department of Health and Human Services.*  
[FR Doc. 2016–13685 Filed 6–6–16; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4120-01-P**

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 81, No. 111

Thursday, June 9, 2016

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Forest Service

#### Lincoln National Forest; New Mexico; Integrated Non-Native Invasive Plant Management

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** The Lincoln National Forest will prepare an environmental impact statement to document and disclose projected effects of its management strategy for treating non-native invasive plants (NNIP) across the Forest. This strategy utilizes several management tools, including registered herbicides, biological treatments (biological controls and controlled grazing), and manual and/or mechanical methods. The strategy is adaptive, allowing for the treatment of new NNIP infestations and use of new treatment options, including new herbicides.

**DATES:** Comments concerning the scope of the analysis must be received by July 11, 2016. The draft environmental impact statement is expected February 2017 and the final environmental impact statement is expected August 2017.

**ADDRESSES:** Send written comments to the Aurora Roemmich, Integrated Non-Native Invasive Plant Management Project, Lincoln National Forest, 3462 La Palomas Road, Alamogordo, NM 88310. Comments may also be sent via email to <http://www.fs.usda.gov/project/?project=31150>, or via facsimile to (575) 434-7218. For email comments, go to the right-hand side "Get Connected", click "Comment on Project" to submit comments on this project.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jennie O'Connor Card, Interdisciplinary Team Leader at (406) 522-2537 or by email at [jennieoconnorcard@fs.fed.us](mailto:jennieoconnorcard@fs.fed.us) or Aurora Roemmich, Forest Botanist,

Lincoln National Forest at (575) 434-7266 or [aurorarroemmich@fs.fed.us](mailto:aurorarroemmich@fs.fed.us).

Individuals who use telecommunication devices for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Purpose and Need for Action

Executive Order 13112, Forest Service Manual 2900, and Lincoln National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan), provide direction related to the management of invasive species. Executive Order 13112 directs Federal agencies to prevent and control invasive species and to minimize their economic, ecological, and human health impacts. The order provides for restoration of native species and habitat conditions in ecosystems that have been invaded by non-native invasive species.

A non-native invasive plant species is defined as any terrestrial or aquatic plant species occurring outside its natural range that is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human health. If a native plant species is deemed a noxious weed by the New Mexico Department of Agriculture or another agency because it is likely to cause economic or environmental harm or harm to human health, then the species would also be considered for treatment under this analysis and decision.

The overall purpose of this project is to implement a management strategy that uses an integrated selection of techniques designed to prevent the introduction of and control the spread of non-native invasive plants (NNIP). A second purpose is to ensure that the strategy is adaptive, allowing for the treatment of new NNIP infestations and use of new treatment options, including new herbicides, because future NNIP management needs may be different. As such, there are underlying needs to:

1. Utilize the most effective and economical strategies to treat NNIP while protecting valued resources to the greatest practical extent; and,
2. Adapt management techniques to accommodate new NNIP infestations and treatment options, including new herbicides, within the scope of this analysis and resulting decision.

#### Proposed Action

The proposed action presents a forest-wide integrated weed management (IWM) strategy, for the prevention, eradication, suppression, and reduction of existing and future non-native invasive plant infestations. The IWM strategy is based on ecological factors and includes consideration of site conditions, other resource values, resource uses, NNIP characteristics, and potential effectiveness of control measures for specific circumstances.

The proposed action includes a wide range of treatment methods including options to use a combination of methods on the same site. It also was developed to minimize the risk of adverse impacts through resource protection measures. These resource protection measures are designed to minimize, avoid or mitigate adverse effects which could occur as a result of implementing proposed NNIP treatments on the Forest. The resource protection measures are based on Forest Plan direction and policy, best available science, and site-specific evaluations.

Selection of the most appropriate treatment practice, or combination of treatments, depends on numerous factors, including the size of the infestation, risk of NNIP expansion, species biology, environmental setting, potential impacts to other resources, and management objectives. Treatment practices available for use would include manual, mechanical, biological, and chemical treatments. Chemical treatments include hand/selective and broadcast herbicide applications (including aerial application). Aerial herbicide application by helicopter could be used in selected locations of the Forest including designated wilderness areas. Aerial application provides a means to effectively treat infestations in isolated areas rapidly and efficiently, dramatically reducing the threat of further establishment or expansion.

The project also includes an adaptive management strategy to determine treatment of identified and future NNIP infestations. This adaptive management strategy consists of two principle components: The ability to effectively treat new infestations as they are detected; and, the ability to incorporate new technology as it becomes available.

### Forest Plan Amendment

This project would require an amendment to the Lincoln National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (Forest Plan). The project proposes use of herbicides in places and under conditions that were not foreseen when the existing Forest Plan standards and guidelines were developed in 1986. To meet the purpose and need for this project, it may be necessary to apply herbicide treatments to areas infested with non-native invasive plant species.

This amendment would change forestwide standards and guidelines applicable to all areas for wildlife (pages 31–34), grazing management (page 35 and replacement page 35B), soil and water (pages 40–41), fire and protection (replacement page 55), all species (pages 205–206), Mexican spotted owl (replacement page 206A), peregrine falcon (page 207), and northern goshawk (replacement page 208A and 208E). The amendment also would change standards and guidelines related to protection in management area 1C Capitan Mountains Wilderness (replacement page 62), management area 1F White Mountain Wilderness (replacement page 70), management area 1H RNA William G. Telfer Research Natural Area (page 77), and management area 3A RNA Upper McKittrick RNA (page 115). If adopted, this would be the eighteenth amendment to the Forest Plan since its inception in 1986.

### Responsible Official

The Responsible Official for this project is the Lincoln National Forest, Forest Supervisor.

### Nature of Decision To Be Made

The Responsible Official will decide whether to adopt and implement the proposed action, an alternative to the proposed action, or take no action. The Responsible Official also will decide whether or not to amend the Forest Plan.

### Scoping Process

This notice of intent initiates the scoping process, which guides the development of the environmental impact statement. It is important that reviewers provide their comments at such times and in such a manner that they are useful to the agency's preparation of the environmental impact statement. Therefore, comments should be provided prior to the close of the comment period and should clearly articulate the reviewer's concerns and contentions.

This proposed project is an activity implementing a land management plan

and is subject to the objection process described in 36 CFR 218 Subparts A and B. As such, individuals and organizations wishing to be eligible to file a predecisional objection must meet the information requirements in 36 CFR 218.25(a)(3). Names and contact information submitted with comments will become part of the public record and may be released under the Freedom of Information Act. Comments submitted anonymously will be accepted and considered, however.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Barry L. Imler,**

*Acting Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13669 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3411–15–P**

---

### COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

#### Sunshine Act Meeting Notice

**AGENCY:** United States Commission on Civil Rights.

**ACTION:** Notice of Commission Business Meeting.

**DATES:** Friday, June 17, 2016, at 12:30 p.m. EST.

**ADDRESSES:** *Place:* National Place Building, 1331 Pennsylvania Ave. NW., 11th Floor, Suite 1150, Washington, DC 20245 (Entrance on F Street NW.).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Gerson Gomez, Media Advisor at telephone: (202) 376–8371 or email: [publicaffairs@usccr.gov](mailto:publicaffairs@usccr.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This business meeting is open to the public. If you would like to listen to the business meeting, please contact the above for the call-in information. Persons with hearing impairments, please contact the above for how to access the Federal Relay Service for the meeting.

Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the briefing and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact Pamela Dunston at (202) 376–8105 or at [signlanguage@usccr.gov](mailto:signlanguage@usccr.gov) at least seven business days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

#### Meeting Agenda

##### I. Business Meeting

###### A. Approval of Agenda

###### B. Program Planning

- Discussion of proposed Concept Papers for 2017 Statutory Enforcement Report
- Discussion and vote on Commission Letter regarding guidance issued by the Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights on transgender students and their

protections under title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972

##### C. State Advisory Committees

- Status of State Advisory Committees by the Chief of the Regional Programs Unit
- Vote on Administrative Instruction (5–9) governing the appointments of State Advisory Committee members
- Appointment of members to Advisory Committees
- Nevada
- Delaware
- Vermont
- New York
- Connecticut
- Minnesota
- Presentation by the Chair of the Illinois Advisory Committee on Environmental Justice
- a. Management and Operations
  - Staff Director's Report
- b. Status of USCCR Web site Transition

##### D. Other

#### II. Adjourn Meeting

Dated: June 7, 2016.

**David Mussatt,**

*Regional Programs Unit Chief, U.S. Commission on Civil Rights.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13802 Filed 6–7–16; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 6335–01–P**

---

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms Program; Form ED–840P Petition by a Firm for Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms

The Department of Commerce will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* Economic Development Administration (EDA).

*Title:* Form ED–840P Petition by a Firm for Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance for Firms.

*OMB Control Number:* 0610–0091.

*Form Number(s):* ED–840P.

*Type of Request:* Regular submission.  
*Number of Respondents:* 800 (500 petitions for certification and 300 adjustment proposals).

*Average Hours per Response:* 128.2 hours (8.2 for petitions for certification and 120 for adjustment proposals).

*Burden Hours:* 40,100 (4,100 for petitions for certification and 36,000 for adjustment proposals).

*Needs and Uses:* The information contained in Form ED-840P is necessary for EDA to evaluate whether proposed projects satisfy eligibility and programmatic requirements contained in chapters 3 and 5 of title II of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (U.S.C. 2341 *et seq.*) and the Trade Adjustment Assistance Extension Act of 2011 (Pub. L. 112-40) which reauthorized the program.

*Affected Public:* Businesses or other for-profit organizations.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Mandatory.

The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on March 17, 2016.

This information collection request may be viewed at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov). Follow the instructions to view the Department of Commerce collections currently under review by OMB.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to OIRA *Submission@omb.eop.gov* or fax to (202) 975-5806.

Dated: June 6, 2016.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13666 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Delivery Verification Procedure for Imports

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Industry and Security.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before August 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [Jjessup@doc.gov](mailto:Jjessup@doc.gov)).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Mark Crace, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482-8093, [Mark.Crace@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Mark.Crace@bis.doc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

Foreign governments, on occasions, require U.S. importers of strategic commodities to furnish their foreign supplier with a U.S. Delivery Verification Certificate validating that the commodities shipped to the U.S. were in fact received. This procedure increases the effectiveness of controls on the international trade of strategic commodities.

##### II. Method of Collection

Submitted electronically or on paper.

##### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0694-0016.

*Form Number(s):* BIS-647P.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 20.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 30 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden*

*Hours:* 11.4 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$342.00

##### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13607 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Bureau of Industry and Security

#### Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; International Import Certificate

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before August 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [Jjessup@doc.gov](mailto:Jjessup@doc.gov)).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Mark Crace, BIS ICB Liaison, (202) 482-8093, [Mark.Crace@bis.doc.gov](mailto:Mark.Crace@bis.doc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

The United States and several other countries have increased the effectiveness of their respective controls over international trade in strategic commodities by means of an Import Certificate procedure. For the U.S. importer, this procedure provides that, where required by the exporting country, the importer submits an international import certificate to the U.S. Government to certify that he/she will import commodities into the United States and will not reexport such commodities, except in accordance with the export control regulations of the United States. The U.S. Government, in turn, certifies that such representations have been made.

##### II. Method of Collection

Submitted electronically or on paper.

##### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0694-0017.

*Form Number(s):* BIS-645P.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Business or other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 250.

*Estimated Time per Response:* 15 minutes.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 67.2.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$2,016.

#### IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13608 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-921; C-570-931; C-570-936; C-570-938; C-570-940; C-570-942; C-570-944; C-570-946; C-570-955; C-570-957; C-570-959; C-570-966; C-570-968; C-570-978; C-570-980]

### Implementation of Determinations Pursuant to Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On April 1, 2016 and May 26, 2016, the U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) directed the Department of Commerce (the Department) to implement its determinations under section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA), regarding 15 countervailing duty (CVD) investigations, which render them not inconsistent with the World Trade Organization (WTO) dispute settlement findings in *United States—*

*Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from China, WT/DS437* (December 18, 2014) (DS437). See Attachment for a listing of the 15 CVD investigations at issue in DS437. The Department issued its final determinations in these section 129 proceedings on March 31, 2016, April 26, 2016, and May 19, 2016. The Department is now implementing these final determinations.

**DATES:** The effective date for the determination covering the *Group One Investigations* and the *Wire Strand* investigation with respect to the public body and input specificity analyses is April 1, 2016, and the effective date for the determinations covering the *Group Two Investigations* and *Wire Strand* with respect to the land specificity analysis is May 26, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Eric B. Greynolds or Kristen Johnson, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-6071 or (202) 482-4793, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On April 27, 2015, the Department informed interested parties that it was initiating proceedings under section 129 of the URAA to implement the recommendations and rulings of the WTO Dispute Settlement Body (DSB) in DS437.<sup>1</sup> Given the number of CVD investigations and complexity of the issues involved in this dispute, the Department addressed each of the issues and conclusions of the panel and Appellate Body in DS437 through separate preliminary determination memoranda. Specifically, the Department issued preliminary determinations regarding: (1) Export Restraints;<sup>2</sup> (2) Land;<sup>3</sup> (3) Public Bodies

<sup>1</sup> See *Notice of Commencement of Compliance Proceedings Pursuant to Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act*, 80 FR 23254 (April 27, 2015).

<sup>2</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceeding: United States—Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO/DS437): Preliminary Determination Regarding Export Restraints," (February 23, 2016).

<sup>3</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceeding: United States—Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO/DS437): Preliminary Determination Regarding Land Specificity," (February 24, 2016).

and Input Specificity;<sup>4</sup> and (4) Benefit (Market Distortion).<sup>5</sup>

The Department invited interested parties to comment on each of the section 129 preliminary determinations.<sup>6</sup> After receiving comments and rebuttal comments from the interested parties, the Department issued final determinations on March 31, 2016,<sup>7</sup> April 26, 2016,<sup>8</sup> and May 19, 2016.<sup>9</sup>

<sup>4</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Preliminary Determination of Public Bodies and Input Specificity," (February 25, 2016); see also Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Input Specificity: Preliminary Analysis of the Diversification of Economic Activities and Length of Time," (December 31, 2015).

<sup>5</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Benefit (Market Distortion) Memorandum," (March 7, 2016); see also Memorandum to Brendan Quinn, Acting Director, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, "Supporting Memorandum to Preliminary Benefit (Market Distortion) Memorandum," (March 7, 2016).

<sup>6</sup> See Department Memorandum to the File, "Section 129 Proceedings: United States—Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO/DS437): Schedule for rebuttal factual information, written argument, and a hearing," (March 11, 2016).

<sup>7</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceedings: United States—Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO DS437): Final Determination of Public Bodies and Input Specificity," (March 31, 2016); Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceedings: United States—Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO DS437): No Comment Final Determinations," (March 31, 2016); and Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceedings: United States—Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO DS437): Final Determination for Countervailing Duty Investigation on Drill Pipe from the People's Republic of China," (March 31, 2016).

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceedings: United States—Countervailing Duty (CVD) Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO DS437): Final Determination on the Initiation of Allegations of Export Restraints in Magnesia Bricks," (April 26, 2016) (Final Determination for Export Restraints); see also Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceedings: United States—Countervailing Duty (CVD) Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO DS437): Final Determination for Certain Seamless Carbon Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China (*Seamless Pipe* from the PRC)," (April 26, 2016) (Final Determination for *Seamless Pipe*).

<sup>9</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Section 129 Proceedings: United States—Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People's Republic of China (WTO DS437): Final Determination for *Pressure Pipe, Line Pipe, OCTG, Wire Strand, and Solar Panels*," (May 19, 2016) (Final Determination for *Pressure Pipe, Line Pipe, OCTG, Wire Strand, and Solar Panels*).

On April 1, 2016, USTR notified the Department that, consistent with section 129(b)(3) of the URAA, consultations with the Department and the appropriate congressional committees with respect to the March 31, 2016, determinations, had been completed and USTR directed the Department to implement those determinations in accordance with section 129(b)(4) of the URAA. As explained below, those determinations applied to *Lawn Groomers, Kitchen Shelving, Steel Cylinders, Print Graphics, Aluminum Extrusions, Thermal Paper, and Citric Acid* (“Group One Investigations”), as well as *Wire Strand* with respect to the Department’s public body and input specificity analyses.

On May 26, 2016, USTR notified the Department that, consistent with section 129(b)(3) of the URAA, consultations with the Department and the appropriate congressional committees with respect to the April 26, 2016, and May 19, 2016, determinations had been completed and USTR directed the Department to implement those determinations in accordance with section 129(b)(4) of the URAA. Those determinations apply to *Pressure Pipe, Line Pipe, OCTG, Solar Panels, Seamless Pipe, and Magnesia Bricks* (“Group Two Investigations”) and *Wire Strand* with respect to the Department’s land specificity analysis. Also on May 26, 2016, in accordance with section 129(b)(4) of the URAA, USTR directed the Department to implement those determinations as well.

**Nature of the Proceedings**

Section 129 of the URAA governs the nature and effect of determinations issued by the Department to implement findings by WTO dispute settlement panels and the Appellate Body. Specifically, section 129(b)(2) of the URAA provides that “notwithstanding any provision of the Tariff Act of 1930,”

upon a written request from USTR, the Department shall issue a determination that would render its actions not inconsistent with an adverse finding of a WTO panel or the Appellate Body.<sup>10</sup> The Statement of Administrative Action, U.R.A.A., H. Doc. 316, Vol. 1, 103d Cong. (1994) (SAA), variously refers to such a determination by the Department as a “new,” “second,” and “different” determination.<sup>11</sup> After consulting with the Department and the appropriate congressional committees, USTR may direct the Department to implement, in whole or in part, the new determination made under section 129 of the URAA.<sup>12</sup> Pursuant to section 129(c) of the URAA, the new determination shall apply with respect to unliquidated entries of the subject merchandise that are entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after the date on which USTR directs the Department to implement the new determination.<sup>13</sup> The new determination is subject to judicial review, separate and apart from judicial review of the Department’s original determination.<sup>14</sup>

**Final Determinations: Analysis of Comments Received**

The issues raised in the comments and rebuttal comments submitted by interested parties to these proceedings are addressed in the respective final determinations. The issues included in the respective final determinations are as follows: (1) Export Restraints (*Magnesia Bricks and Seamless Pipe*); (2) Land (*Thermal Paper, Line Pipe, Citric Acid, OCTG, Wire Strand, and Seamless Pipe*); (3) Public Bodies and Input Specificity (*Pressure Pipe, Line Pipe, Lawn Groomers, Kitchen Shelving, OCTG, Wire Strand, Seamless Pipe, Print Graphics, Aluminum Extrusions, Steel Cylinders, and Solar Panels*); and (4) Benefit (Market Distortion) (*Pressure*

*Pipe, Line Pipe, OCTG, and Solar Panels*). Separately, the Department issued a memorandum regarding *Drill Pipe*, concluding that because the order for *Drill Pipe* had been revoked pursuant to a final and conclusive decision from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, there is no longer a need for the Department to issue a determination in connection with this proceeding to render it not inconsistent with the findings in WTO DS437.<sup>15</sup> The final determinations are public documents and are on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, complete versions of the final determinations can be accessed directly on the Internet at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed versions of the final determinations and the electronic versions of the final determinations are identical in content.

**Final Determinations: Recalculated Countervailing Duty Rates**

The recalculated CVD rates, as included in the final determinations and which remain unchanged from the preliminary determinations for each company, are listed below. As indicated, we made changes to the net subsidy rates in certain proceedings (*i.e., Line Pipe, OCTG, Magnesia Bricks, and Seamless Pipe*).<sup>16</sup> As noted above, the CVD order for *Drill Pipe* including the corresponding CVD rates have been revoked independently from this Section 129 proceeding.<sup>17</sup> The net subsidy rates for the remaining CVD proceedings in DS437 are unchanged.

Exporter/producer	CVD rate (investigation)	Revised CVD rate
<b>Amended Countervailable Subsidy Rates Ad Valorem (Percent): Line Pipe</b>		
Huludao Seven-Star Steel Pipe Group Co., Ltd. (Huludao Seven Star Group), Huludao Steel Pipe Industrial Co. Ltd. (Huludao Steel Pipe), and Huludao Bohai Oil Pipe Industrial Co. Ltd. (Huludao Bohai Oil Pipe) (collectively, the Huludao Companies) .....	33.43	32.65
Liaoning Northern Steel Pipe Co., Ltd. (Northern Steel) .....	40.05	40.05

<sup>10</sup> See 19 U.S.C. 3538(b)(2).

<sup>11</sup> See SAA at 1025, 1027.

<sup>12</sup> See 19 U.S.C. 3538(b)(4).

<sup>13</sup> See 19 U.S.C. 3538(c).

<sup>14</sup> See 19 U.S.C. 1516(a)(2)(B)(vii).

<sup>15</sup> See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Section 129 Proceeding: United States—

Countervailing Duty Measures on Certain Products from the People’s Republic of China (WTO/DS437): Final Determination for Countervailing Duty Investigation on Drill Pipe from the People’s Republic of China,” (March 31, 2016); see also *Drill Pipe from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With International Trade Commission’s Injury Determination, Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing*

*Duty Orders Pursuant to Court Decision, and Discontinuation of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 79 FR 78037 (December 29, 2014) (*Drill Pipe Revocation*).

<sup>16</sup> See Final Determination for Export Restraints, Final Determination for *Seamless Pipe*, and Final Determination for *Pressure Pipe, Line Pipe, OCTG, Wire Strand, and Solar Panels*.

<sup>17</sup> See *Drill Pipe Revocation*.

Exporter/producer	CVD rate (investigation)	Revised CVD rate
All Others .....	36.74	36.35
<b>Amended Countervailable Subsidy Rates Ad Valorem (Percent): OCTG</b>		
Tianjin Pipe (Group) Co., Tianjin Pipe Iron Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Tianguan Yuantong Pipe Product Co., Ltd., Tianjin Pipe International Economic and Trading Co., Ltd., and TPCO Charging Development Co., Ltd. (collectively, TPCO) .....	10.49	7.71
Jiangsu Changbao Steel Tube Co. and Jiangsu Changbao Precision Steel Tube Co., Ltd. (collectively, Changbao) .....	12.46	12.46
Wuxi Seamless Pipe Co, Ltd., Jiangsu Fanli Steel Pipe Co, Ltd., Tuoketuo County Mengfeng Special Steel Co., Ltd. (collectively, Wuxi) .....	14.95	14.95
Zhejiang Jianli Enterprise Co., Ltd., Zhejiang Jianli Steel Tube Co., Ltd., Zhuji Jiansheng Machinery Co., Ltd., and Zhejiang Jianli Industry Group Co., Ltd. (collectively, Zhejiang Jinali) .....	15.78	15.78
All Others .....	13.41	12.26
<b>Amended Countervailable Subsidy Rates Ad Valorem (Percent): Magnesite Bricks</b>		
RHI Refractories Liaoning Co., Ltd., RHI Refractories (Dalian) Co., Ltd., and Liaoning RHI Jinding Magnesite Co., Ltd. (RHIJ) (collectively, RHI) .....	24.24	3.00
Liaoning Mayerton Refractories and Dalian Mayerton Refractories Co. Ltd. (collectively, Mayerton) .....	253.87	232.63
All Others .....	24.24	3.00
<b>Amended Countervailable Subsidy Rates Ad Valorem (Percent): Seamless Pipe</b>		
Tianjin Pipe (Group) Co., Tianjin Pipe Iron Manufacturing Co., Ltd., Tianguan Yuantong Pipe Product Co., Ltd., Tianjin Pipe International Economic and Trading Co., Ltd., and TPCO Charging Development Co., Ltd. (collectively, TPCO) .....	13.66	8.24
Hengyang Steel Tube Group Int'l Trading, Inc., Hengyang Valin Steel Tube Co., Ltd., Hengyang Valin MPM Tube Co., Ltd., Xigang Seamless Steel Tube Co., Ltd., Wuxi Seamless Special Pipe Co., Ltd., Wuxi Resources Steel Making Co., Ltd., Jiangsu Xigang Group Co., Ltd., Hunan Valin Xiangtan Iron & Steel Co., Ltd., Wuxi Sifang Steel Tube Co., Ltd., Hunan Valin Steel Co., Ltd., Hunan Valin Iron & Steel Group Co., Ltd. (collectively, Hengyang) .....	56.67	49.56
All Others .....	35.17	28.90

**Implementation of the Revised Cash Deposit Requirements**

As noted above, on April 1, 2016 and May 26, 2016, in accordance with sections 129(b)(4) and 129(c)(1)(B) of the URAA, USTR directed the Department to implement these final determinations. With respect to all of the investigations except for *Magnesite Bricks* and *Seamless Pipe*, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to require a cash deposit for estimated countervailing duties at the appropriate rate for each exporter/producer specified above, for

entries of subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after April 1, 2016, and May 26, 2016, respectively unless the applicable cash deposit rates have been superseded by intervening segments or revised based on a redetermination of the investigation as a result of domestic litigation. For *Magnesite Bricks* and *Seamless Pipe*, the Department's determinations are that there are insufficient bases on which to initiate investigations into the export restraint programs. As a result, the Department intends to reduce all cash

deposit rates in these two proceedings applicable as of May 26, 2016. As noted above, the order on *Drill Pipe* and corresponding cash deposits instructions have been revoked independently from these Section 129 proceedings.<sup>18</sup> This notice of implementation of these section 129 final determinations is published in accordance with section 129(c)(2)(A) of the URAA.

Dated: June 3, 2016.  
**Paul Piquado,**  
*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

**ATTACHMENT: CVD INVESTIGATIONS EXAMINED IN THE SECTION 129 PROCEEDINGS FOR WTO DS437**

Case No.	Case short cite	Final determination and order
C-570-921 .....	<i>Thermal Paper</i> .....	<i>Lightweight Thermal Paper from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 73 FR 57323 (October 2, 2008) ( <i>Thermal Paper</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Thermal Paper Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Lightweight Thermal Paper from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 72 FR 70958 (November 24, 2008).
C-570-931 .....	<i>Pressure Pipe</i> .....	<i>Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 74 FR 4936 (January 28, 2009) ( <i>Pressure Pipe</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Pressure Pipe Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Circular Welded Austenitic Stainless Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 74 FR 11712 (March 19, 2009).

<sup>18</sup> See *Drill Pipe Revocation*.

## ATTACHMENT: CVD INVESTIGATIONS EXAMINED IN THE SECTION 129 PROCEEDINGS FOR WTO DS437—Continued

Case No.	Case short cite	Final determination and order
C-570-936 .....	<i>Line Pipe</i> .....	<i>Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 73 FR 70961 (November 24, 2008) ( <i>Line Pipe</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Line Pipe Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Line Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 74 FR 4136 (January 23, 2009).
C-570-938 .....	<i>Citric Acid</i> .....	<i>Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 74 FR 16836 (April 13, 2009) ( <i>Citric Acid</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Citric Acid Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 74 FR 25705 (May 29, 2009).
C-570-940 .....	<i>Lawn Groomers</i> .....	<i>Certain Tow-Behind Lawn Groomers and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 74 FR 29180 (June 19, 2009) ( <i>Lawn Groomers</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Lawn Groomers Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Certain Tow-Behind Lawn Groomers and Certain Parts Thereof from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 74 FR 38399 (August 3, 2009).
C-570-942 .....	<i>Kitchen Shelving</i> .....	<i>Certain Kitchen Shelving and Racks from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 74 FR 37012 (July 27, 2009) ( <i>Kitchen Shelving</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Kitchen Shelving Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Certain Kitchen Shelving and Racks from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 74 FR 46973 (September 14, 2009).
C-570-944 .....	<i>OCTG</i> .....	<i>Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, Final Negative Critical Circumstances Determination</i> , 74 FR 64045 (December 7, 2009) ( <i>OCTG</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>OCTG Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Certain Oil Country Tubular Goods from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 75 FR 3203 (January 20, 2010).
C-570-946 .....	<i>Wire Strand</i> .....	<i>Pre-Stressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 75 FR 28557 (May 21, 2010) ( <i>Wire Strand</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Wire Strand Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Pre-Stressed Concrete Steel Wire Strand from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Notice of Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 75 FR 38977 (July 7, 2010).
C-570-955 .....	<i>Magnesia Bricks</i> .....	<i>Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 75 FR 45472 (August 2, 2010) ( <i>Magnesia Bricks</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Magnesia Bricks Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 75 FR 57442 (September 21, 2010).
C-570-957 .....	<i>Seamless Pipe</i> .....	<i>Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination</i> , 75 FR 57444 (September 21, 2010) ( <i>Seamless Pipe</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Seamless Pipe Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 75 FR 69050 (November 10, 2010).
C-570-959 .....	<i>Print Graphics</i> .....	<i>Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 75 FR 59212 (September 27, 2010) ( <i>Print Graphics</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Print Graphics Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Certain Coated Paper Suitable for High-Quality Print Graphics Using Sheet-Fed Presses from the People's Republic of China: Amended Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 75 FR 70201 (November 17, 2010).
C-570-966 .....	<i>Drill Pipe</i> .....	<i>Drill Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination, Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination</i> , 76 FR 1971 (January 11, 2011) ( <i>Drill Pipe</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Drill Pipe Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Drill Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 76 FR 11758 (March 3, 2011). (Note: The CVD order on drill pipe was revoked. However, the litigation is not yet final and complete. <i>Drill Pipe from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With International Trade Commission's Injury Determination, Revocation of Anti-dumping and Countervailing Duty Orders Pursuant to Court Decision, and Discontinuation of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review</i> , 79 FR 78037 (December 29, 2014)).
C-570-968 .....	<i>Aluminum Extrusions</i> .....	<i>Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 76 FR 18521 (April 4, 2011) ( <i>Aluminum Extrusions</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Aluminum Extrusions Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011).
C-570-978 .....	<i>Steel Cylinders</i> .....	<i>High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination</i> , 77 FR 26738 (May 7, 2012) ( <i>Steel Cylinders</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Steel Cylinders Decision Memorandum</i> ). <i>High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 77 FR 37384 (June 21, 2012).

## ATTACHMENT: CVD INVESTIGATIONS EXAMINED IN THE SECTION 129 PROCEEDINGS FOR WTO DS437—Continued

Case No.	Case short cite	Final determination and order
C-570-980 .....	<i>Solar Panels</i> .....	<i>Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination and Final Affirmative Critical Circumstances Determination</i> , 77 FR 63788 (October 17, 2012) ( <i>Solar Panels</i> ), and accompanying Decision Memorandum ( <i>Solar Panels</i> Decision Memorandum). <i>Crystalline Silicon Photovoltaic Cells, Whether or Not Assembled Into Modules, from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order</i> , 77 FR 73017 (December 7, 2012).

[FR Doc. 2016-13691 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

RIN 0648-XE658

**Permits; Foreign Fishing**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of application for permit; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS publishes for public review and comment information regarding a permit application for transshipment of Atlantic herring by Canadian vessels, submitted under provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act). This action is necessary for NMFS to make a determination that the permit application can be approved.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by June 23, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments on this document, identified by docket NOAA-HQ-2016-0071, by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Submission:* Submit all electronic public comments via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal. Go to [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov)#!/docketDetail;D=NOAA-HQ-2016-0071, click the "Comment Now!" icon, complete the required fields, and enter or attach your comments.

*Mail:* Mark Wildman, International Fisheries Division, Office for International Affairs and Seafood Inspection, NOAA Fisheries, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

*Instructions:* Comments sent by any other method, to any other address or individual, or received after the end of the comment period, may not be considered by NMFS. All comments received are a part of the public record and will generally be posted for public

viewing on [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) without change. All personal identifying information (e.g. name, address, etc.), confidential business information, or otherwise sensitive information submitted voluntarily by the sender will be publicly accessible. NMFS will accept anonymous comments (enter "N/A" in the required fields if you wish to remain anonymous).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Wildman at (301) 427-8386 or by email at [mark.wildman@noaa.gov](mailto:mark.wildman@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

Section 204(d) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act (16 U.S.C. 1824(d)) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) to issue a transshipment permit authorizing a vessel other than a vessel of the United States to engage in fishing consisting solely of transporting fish or fish products at sea from a point within the United States Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) or, with the concurrence of a state, within the boundaries of that state, to a point outside the United States. In addition, Public Law 104-297, section 105(e), directs the Secretary to issue section 204(d) permits for up to 14 Canadian transport vessels to receive Atlantic herring harvested by United States fishermen and to be used in sardine processing. Transshipment must occur from within the boundaries of the State of Maine or within the portion of the EEZ east of the line 69 degrees 30 minutes west and within 12 nautical miles from Maine's seaward boundary.

Section 204(d)(3)(D) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act provides that an application may not be approved until the Secretary determines that "no owner or operator of a vessel of the United States which has adequate capacity to perform the transportation for which the application is submitted has indicated . . . an interest in performing the transportation at fair and reasonable rates." NMFS is publishing this notice as part of its effort to make such a determination with respect to the application described below.

**Summary of Application**

NMFS received an application requesting authorization for four Canadian transport vessels to receive transfers of herring from United States purse seine vessels, stop seines, and weirs for the purpose of transporting the herring to Canada for processing. The transshipment operations will occur within the boundaries of the State of Maine or within the portion of the EEZ east of the line 69°30' W. longitude and within 12 nautical miles from Maine's seaward boundary.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**John Henderschedt,**

*Director, Office for International Affairs and Seafood Inspection, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13619 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

RIN 0648-XE613

**Schedules for Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops and Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of public workshops.

**SUMMARY:** Free Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops and Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops will be held in July, August, and September of 2016. Certain fishermen and shark dealers are required to attend a workshop to meet regulatory requirements and to maintain valid permits. Specifically, the Atlantic Shark Identification Workshop is mandatory for all federally permitted Atlantic shark dealers. The Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshop is mandatory for vessel owners and operators who use bottom longline, pelagic longline, or gillnet gear, and who have also been

issued shark or swordfish limited access permits. Additional free workshops will be conducted during 2016 and will be announced in a future notice.

**DATES:** The Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops will be held on July 28, August 25, and September 29, 2016.

The Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops will be held on July 8, July 13, August 2, August 5, September 1, and September 6, 2016.

See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for further details.

**ADDRESSES:** The Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops will be held in Fort Lauderdale, FL; Rosenberg, TX; and Panama City, FL.

The Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops will be held in Galveston, TX; Ronkonkoma, NY; Warwick, RI; Kenner, LA; Largo, FL; and Palm Coast, FL.

See **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for further details on workshop locations.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Rick Pearson by phone: (727) 824-5399, or by fax: (727) 824-5398.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The workshop schedules, registration information, and a list of frequently asked questions regarding these workshops are posted on the Internet at: <http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/sfa/hms/compliance/workshops/index.html>.

### Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops

Since January 1, 2008, Atlantic shark dealers have been prohibited from receiving, purchasing, trading, or bartering for Atlantic sharks unless a valid Atlantic Shark Identification Workshop certificate is on the premises of each business listed under the shark dealer permit that first receives Atlantic sharks (71 FR 58057; October 2, 2006). Dealers who attend and successfully complete a workshop are issued a certificate for each place of business that is permitted to receive sharks. These certificate(s) are valid for 3 years. Approximately 121 free Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops have been conducted since January 2007.

Currently, permitted dealers may send a proxy to an Atlantic Shark Identification Workshop. However, if a dealer opts to send a proxy, the dealer must designate a proxy for each place of business covered by the dealer's permit which first receives Atlantic sharks. Only one certificate will be issued to each proxy. A proxy must be a person who is currently employed by a place of business covered by the dealer's permit; is a primary participant in the identification, weighing, and/or first

receipt of fish as they are offloaded from a vessel; and who fills out dealer reports. Atlantic shark dealers are prohibited from renewing a Federal shark dealer permit unless a valid Atlantic Shark Identification Workshop certificate for each business location that first receives Atlantic sharks has been submitted with the permit renewal application. Additionally, trucks or other conveyances that are extensions of a dealer's place of business must possess a copy of a valid dealer or proxy Atlantic Shark Identification Workshop certificate.

### Workshop Dates, Times, and Locations

1. July 28, 2016, 12 p.m.–4 p.m., LaQuinta Inn, 999 West Cypress Creek Road, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309.

2. August 25, 2016, 12 p.m.–4 p.m., Hampton Inn, 3312 Vista Drive, Rosenberg, TX 77471.

3. September 29, 2016, 12 p.m.–4 p.m., LaQuinta Inn, 7115 Coastal Palms Boulevard, Panama City, FL 32408.

### Registration

To register for a scheduled Atlantic Shark Identification Workshop, please contact Eric Sander at [ericssharkguide@yahoo.com](mailto:ericssharkguide@yahoo.com) or at (386) 852-8588.

### Registration Materials

To ensure that workshop certificates are linked to the correct permits, participants will need to bring the following specific items to the workshop:

- Atlantic shark dealer permit holders must bring proof that the attendee is an owner or agent of the business (such as articles of incorporation), a copy of the applicable permit, and proof of identification.
- Atlantic shark dealer proxies must bring documentation from the permitted dealer acknowledging that the proxy is attending the workshop on behalf of the permitted Atlantic shark dealer for a specific business location, a copy of the appropriate valid permit, and proof of identification.

### Workshop Objectives

The Atlantic Shark Identification Workshops are designed to reduce the number of unknown and improperly identified sharks reported in the dealer reporting form and increase the accuracy of species-specific dealer-reported information. Reducing the number of unknown and improperly identified sharks will improve quota monitoring and the data used in stock assessments. These workshops will train shark dealer permit holders or their proxies to properly identify Atlantic shark carcasses.

### Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops

Since January 1, 2007, shark limited-access and swordfish limited-access permit holders who fish with longline or gillnet gear have been required to submit a copy of their Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshop certificate in order to renew either permit (71 FR 58057; October 2, 2006). These certificate(s) are valid for 3 years. As such, vessel owners who have not already attended a workshop and received a NMFS certificate, or vessel owners whose certificate(s) will expire prior to the next permit renewal, must attend a workshop to fish with, or renew, their swordfish and shark limited-access permits. Additionally, new shark and swordfish limited-access permit applicants who intend to fish with longline or gillnet gear must attend a Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshop and submit a copy of their workshop certificate before either of the permits will be issued. Approximately 232 free Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops have been conducted since 2006.

In addition to certifying vessel owners, at least one operator on board vessels issued a limited-access swordfish or shark permit that uses longline or gillnet gear is required to attend a Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshop and receive a certificate. Vessels that have been issued a limited-access swordfish or shark permit and that use longline or gillnet gear may not fish unless both the vessel owner and operator have valid workshop certificates onboard at all times. Vessel operators who have not already attended a workshop and received a NMFS certificate, or vessel operators whose certificate(s) will expire prior to their next fishing trip, must attend a workshop to operate a vessel with swordfish and shark limited-access permits that uses longline or gillnet gear.

### Workshop Dates, Times, and Locations

1. July 8, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m., Doubletree Hotel, 1702 Seawall Boulevard, Galveston, TX 77550.

2. July 13, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m., Hilton Garden Inn, 3485 Veterans Memorial Highway, Ronkonkoma, NY 11779.

3. August 2, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m., Hilton Garden Inn, 1 Thurber Street, Warwick, RI 02886.

4. August 5, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m., Hilton Hotel, 901 Airline Drive, Kenner, LA 70062.

5. September 1, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m., Holiday Inn Express, 210 Seminole Boulevard, Largo FL 33770.

6. September 6, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m., Hilton Garden Inn, 55 Town Center Boulevard, Palm Coast, FL 32164.

#### Registration

To register for a scheduled Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshop, please contact Angler Conservation Education at (386) 682-0158.

#### Registration Materials

To ensure that workshop certificates are linked to the correct permits, participants will need to bring the following specific items with them to the workshop:

- Individual vessel owners must bring a copy of the appropriate swordfish and/or shark permit(s), a copy of the vessel registration or documentation, and proof of identification.
- Representatives of a business-owned or co-owned vessel must bring proof that the individual is an agent of the business (such as articles of incorporation), a copy of the applicable swordfish and/or shark permit(s), and proof of identification.
- Vessel operators must bring proof of identification.

#### Workshop Objectives

The Protected Species Safe Handling, Release, and Identification Workshops are designed to teach longline and gillnet fishermen the required techniques for the safe handling and release of entangled and/or hooked protected species, such as sea turtles, marine mammals, and smalltooth sawfish. In an effort to improve reporting, the proper identification of protected species will also be taught at these workshops. Additionally, individuals attending these workshops will gain a better understanding of the requirements for participating in these fisheries. The overall goal of these workshops is to provide participants with the skills needed to reduce the mortality of protected species, which may prevent additional regulations on these fisheries in the future.

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**Alan D. Risenhoover,**

*Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13641 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

**RIN 0648-XE652**

#### Caribbean Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of a public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Caribbean Fishery Management Council (Council) will hold its 156th meeting.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on June 28–29, 2016. The Council will convene on Tuesday, June 28, 2016, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., and will reconvene on Wednesday, June 29, 2016, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The Buccaneer Hotel, 5007 Shoys, Christiansted, USVI 00820.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Caribbean Fishery Management Council, 270 Muñoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 401, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918, telephone (787) 766-5926.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Council will hold its 156th regular Council Meeting to discuss the items contained in the following agenda:

*June 28, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m.*

- Call to Order
- Adoption of Agenda
- Consideration of 155th Council Meeting Verbatim Transcriptions
- Executive Director's Report
- SSC Report—Dr. Richard Appeldoorn
- Island Based FMP Developments Status and Next Steps Council DRAFT goals and objectives
  - Action 1—Species to include for federal management
  - Action 2—Assigning species to complexes
    - Working group report
    - Council guidance on alternative groupings
  - Action 3—Developing reference points, including ABCs and ACLs
    - ABC Control Rule
      - Working group report
      - Council guidance on ABC Control Rule structure and alternatives
    - Action 4—Framework measures
  - Timing of Accountability Measures New Action 5
    - Final selection of preferred alternatives
    - Council direction to staff on next steps, including scheduling public hearings
  - Developing permits for fishing activities in federal waters Puerto Rico Snapper Unit 2 DRAFT

scoping document

St. Thomas USVI spiny lobster white paper

- AM-based closures—2016 species/species complexes and closure dates
- PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD—  
(5-minutes presentations)

*June 28, 2016, 5:15 p.m.–6 p.m.*

- Administrative Matters
  - Budget Update FY 16
  - Other Administrative Business
  - Closed Session

*June 29, 2016, 9 a.m.–5 p.m.*

- Standing Committee or AP for Recreational Sampling Plan Development
  - Exempting Fishing Permit Application-Puerto Rico Department of Natural and Environmental Resources
  - Presentations:
    - Spiny Lobster Project—Carlos Velazquez
    - SEFSC: Caribbean Regional Action Plan for the National Climate Science Strategy
  - Outreach and Education Report—Dr. Alida Ortíz
  - MREP Update—Helena Antoun
  - Enforcement Issues:
    - Puerto Rico-DNER
    - U.S. Virgin Islands-DPNR
    - U.S. Coast Guard
    - NMFS/NOAA
  - Meetings Attended by Council Members and Staff
- PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD—  
(5-minute presentations)
- Other Business

The established times for addressing items on the agenda may be adjusted as necessary to accommodate the timely completion of discussion relevant to the agenda items. To further accommodate discussion and completion of all items on the agenda, the meeting may be extended from, or completed prior to the date established in this notice.

The meeting is open to the public, and will be conducted in English. Fishers and other interested persons are invited to attend and participate with oral or written statements regarding agenda issues.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before this group for discussion, those issues may not be subjects for formal action during this meeting. Actions will be restricted to those issues specifically identified in this notice, and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management

Act, provided that the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

### Special Accommodations

The meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. For more information or request for sign language interpretation and/or other auxiliary aids, please contact Mr. Miguel A. Rolón, Executive Director, Caribbean Fishery Management Council, 270 Muñoz Rivera Avenue, Suite 401, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00918, telephone (787) 766-5926, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: June 6, 2016.

**Tracey L. Thompson,**

*Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13652 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-22-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### Harmful Algal Bloom Programs Termination of Regional Rotations

**AGENCY:** National Ocean Service (NOS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Department of Commerce (DOC).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** NOAA publishes this notice to amend 74 *Federal Register* 84 (May 4, 2009) pp. 20465-20469 titled, "Notice of implementation of new competitive Prevention, Control, and Mitigation of Harmful Algal Blooms Program and regional rotation of the existing and new national competitive HAB Programs." This notice announces the completion of the regional rotation. In addition, further information about competitive objectives, procedures, and guidance will be posted in announcements on the OMB-designated government wide Web site for finding and applying for Federal financial assistance, currently [www.Grants.gov](http://www.Grants.gov). All other aspects of the original *Federal Register* Notice remain the same.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Quay Dortch, ECOHAB Program Coordinator and PCMHAB Program Manager, 301/713-3338 ext 157, [Quay.Dortch@noaa.gov](mailto:Quay.Dortch@noaa.gov) or Marc Suddleson, MERHAB Program Manager, 301/713-3338 ext 162, [Marc.Suddleson@noaa.gov](mailto:Marc.Suddleson@noaa.gov), Center for Sponsored Coastal Ocean Research, National Centers for Coastal Ocean Science, National Ocean Service,

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 1998 Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Research Control Act (HABHRCA), as amended, codified at 33 U.S.C. 4001-4009, authorized the establishment of three national competitive programs on Harmful Algal Blooms (HABs) and the Harmful Algal Bloom and Hypoxia Research and Control Amendments Act of 2014, Public Law 113-124; authorized the continuation of these programs. NOAA implements HABHRCA through the Ecology and Oceanography of Harmful Algal Blooms (ECOHAB) Program, the Monitoring and Event Response for Harmful Algal Bloom (MERHAB) Program and the Prevention, Control and Mitigation of Harmful Algal Blooms (PCMHAB) Program. ECOHAB provides coastal managers with the understanding, tools, and models to predict the development, extent, and toxicity of HABs and their impacts, leading to early warning and new prevention and mitigation strategies. MERHAB builds capacity and enhances partnerships between managers, researchers, and private industry to improve monitoring for HAB cells and toxins and responding to HAB events. The PCMHAB program transitions promising technologies and strategies for preventing, controlling, or mitigating HABs and their impacts from development through demonstration and technology transfer for field application by end-users. A regional rotation for the three Harmful Algal Blooms Programs was implemented in Fiscal Year 2009. All three regions have been rotated once and a rotation cycle is now complete. Beginning in 2016, the regional rotation will not be used to define the geographic scope of future competitions. Hereafter, the necessary objectives, procedures, and guidance for Harmful Algal Bloom funding competitions will be posted in announcements on the OMB-designated government wide Web site for finding and applying for Federal financial assistance, currently [www.Grants.gov](http://www.Grants.gov).

#### Other Information

*Administrative Procedure Act:* Notice and comment are not required under the Administrative Procedure Act, (5 U.S.C. 553), or any other law, for notices relating to public property, loans, grants, benefits or contracts (5 U.S.C. 553(a)). Because notice and comment is not required, a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required and has not been prepared for this notice, (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**Christopher C. Cartwright,**

*Chief Financial Officer/Chief Administrative Officer, Ocean Service and Coastal Zone Management.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13670 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-JE-P**

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### Market Risk Advisory Committee

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) announces that on June 27, 2016, from 10:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m., the Market Risk Advisory Committee (MRAC) will hold a public meeting at the CFTC's Washington, DC, headquarters. The MRAC will discuss: (1) The CCP Risk Management Subcommittee's draft recommendations on how Central Counterparties (CCPs) can better coordinate their efforts in preparing for the default of a significant clearing member, and (2) the role of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and CFTC in the resolution of both banks and CCPs.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on June 27, 2016 from 10:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. Members of the public who wish to submit written statements in connection with the meeting should submit them by June 27, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will take place in the Conference Center at the CFTC's headquarters, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581. Written statements should be submitted by mail to: Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581, attention: Secretary of the Commission, or by electronic mail to: [secretary@cftc.gov](mailto:secretary@cftc.gov). Please use the title "Market Risk Advisory Committee" in any written statement you submit. Any statements submitted in connection with the committee meeting will be made available to the public, including publication on the CFTC Web site, <http://www.cftc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Petal Walker, MRAC Designated Federal Officer, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581; (202) 418-5010.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public with seating on a first-come, first-served

basis. Members of the public may also listen to the meeting by telephone by calling a domestic toll-free telephone or international toll or toll-free number to connect to a live, listen-only audio feed. Call-in participants should be prepared to provide their first name, last name, and affiliation.

*Domestic Toll Free:* 1-866-844-9416.

*International Toll and Toll Free:* Will be posted on the CFTC's Web site, <http://www.cftc.gov>, on the page for the meeting, under Related Documents.

*Pass Code/Pin Code:* 1519074.

After the meeting, a transcript of the meeting will be published through a link on the CFTC's Web site, <http://www.cftc.gov>. All written submissions provided to the CFTC in any form will also be published on the CFTC's Web site. Persons requiring special accommodations to attend the meeting because of a disability should notify the contact person above.

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. app. 2 10(a)(2).

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Robert N. Sidman,**

*Deputy Secretary of the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13622 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-P**

## COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection

#### Activities: Notice Requesting Approval of New Collection, CFTC SmartCheck Annual Campaign Impact Tracking Survey, 3038—NEW

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC" or "Commission") is announcing an opportunity for public comment on a proposed collection of information by the agency. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"), Federal agencies are required to publish notice in the **Federal Register** concerning each proposed collection of information and to allow 60 days for public comment. The CFTC's Office of Customer Education and Outreach (OCEO) develops campaigns to change customer behaviors, so that customers can better avoid fraud as defined under the Commodities Exchange Act. The OCEO intends to survey the public by identifying customers and determining if the CFTC's SmartCheck<sup>SM</sup> campaign is helping them to identify, avoid, and report financial fraud.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before August 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, regarding the burden estimated or any other aspect of the information collection, including suggestions for reducing the burden. Please refer to this notice in any correspondence. Comments, identified by "CFTC SmartCheck Annual Campaign Impact Tracking Survey," and Collection Number 3038—NEW may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- The Agency Web site, via its Comments Online process: <http://comments.cftc.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments through the Web site.

- *Mail:* Christopher Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581.

- *Hand delivery/Courier:* Same as Mail above.

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov/>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

Please submit your comments using only one method, and identify that it is for the "SmartCheck Campaign Annual Tracking Survey."

All comments must be submitted in English, or if not, accompanied by an English translation. Comments will be posted as received to <http://www.cftc.gov>. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission's regulations.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission reserves the right, but shall have no obligation, to review, pre-screen, filter, redact, refuse or remove any or all of your submission from <http://www.cftc.gov> that it may deem to be inappropriate for publication, such as obscene language. All submissions that have been redacted or removed that contain comments on the merits of the rulemaking will be retained in the public comment file and will be considered as required under the Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, and may be accessible under the Freedom of Information Act.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nisha Smalls, Lead Customer Outreach Specialist, 202-418-5000, [consumers@cftc.gov](mailto:consumers@cftc.gov), Office of Customer Education and Outreach, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette

Centre, 1151 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under the PRA, federal agencies must obtain approval from the Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") for each collection of information they collect or sponsor. "Collection of information" is defined in 44 U.S.C. 3502(3) as "the obtaining, causing to be obtained, soliciting . . . facts or opinions by or for an agency, regardless of form or format [from] ten or more persons." An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 44 U.S.C. 3506(c). The Commission is submitting this collection of information to OMB for approval and assigning a collection number, pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.10.

Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, 44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A), requires federal agencies to provide a 60-day notice in the **Federal Register** for each proposed collection of information before submitting the collection to OMB for approval. Under OMB regulations, which implement provisions of the PRA, certain "facts or opinions submitted in response to general solicitations of comments from the public, published in the **Federal Register** or other publications," 5 CFR 1320.3(h)(4), or "facts or opinions obtained or solicited at or in connection with public hearings or meetings," 5 CFR 1320.3(h)(8), are excluded from the OMB approval process.

*Title:* CFTC SmartCheck Annual Campaign Impact Tracking Survey (OMB Control No. 3038—NEW). This is a request for approval of a new collection.

*Abstract:* In 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act<sup>2</sup> expanded the Commission's authority to, among other matters related to regulatory oversight, establish funding of consumer education initiatives under its new Whistleblower authority.<sup>3</sup> Under this new authority, the Commission established an Office of Customer Education and Outreach ("OCEO") to, among other efforts, survey the public regarding consumer education initiatives.<sup>4</sup> This notice announces a public survey. This survey will include screening questions to identify the correct respondents and questions to determine if the CFTC's SmartCheck<sup>SM</sup> campaign is helping

<sup>2</sup> See Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Public Law 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010). The text of the Dodd-Frank Act may be accessed at <http://www.cftc.gov/LawRegulation/DoddFrankAct/index.htm>.

<sup>3</sup> See 7 U.S.C. 26.

<sup>4</sup> See 17 CFR 165.12.

<sup>1</sup> 17 CFR 145.9.

customers identify, avoid, and report financial fraud.

The OCEO will use the information collected in the survey to refine the methods used to inform the public about how to best detect and report financial fraud. This will be done by creating a final summary report that includes key findings from the survey.

Findings from the summary report will be used to directionally inform the outreach efforts that the CFTC undertakes concerning helping customers avoid financial fraud.

The survey will be administered using an online survey tool. The online modality approach will allow presentation of test material to participants in a more convenient and time-efficient manner than other collection methods such as mail intercepts. The online method also allows for a quicker turnaround for data collection. No other collection methods will be used.

With respect to the collection of information, the CFTC invites comments on:

- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information will have a practical use;
- The accuracy of the Commission's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology; e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

You should submit only information that you wish to make available

publicly. If you wish the Commission to consider information that you believe is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, a petition for confidential treatment of the exempt information may be submitted according to the procedures established in § 145.9 of the Commission's regulations.<sup>5</sup>

*Burden Statement:* The screening questions will take about 1 minute to complete. It is anticipated that 4,000 people will be screened. The survey will take about 15 minutes. The cost of the screener survey will be approximately \$3,125, which equates to \$46.85 per burden hour. 2,000 people will take the 15 minute survey. The cost of the full survey will be approximately \$46,875, which equates to \$93.75 per burden hour. Based on these assumptions, the total burden hours will be 566.7 hours. The Commission estimates the average burden of this collection of information as follows:

ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN HOURS

	Annual reporting	Frequency	Hours per report	Total	
17 CFR 165.12 .....	4,000	1 response per respondent.	1 minute per response	4,000	66.7 hours, total burden.
17 CFR 165.12 .....	2,000	1 response per respondent.	15 minutes per response.	2,000	500 hours, burden hour.

The proposed survey questions appear below:

**CFTC SmartCheck Annual Campaign Impact Tracking Survey**

CFTC TARGET = Age 50–65; HH income 60k+; Answers 1 or 2 for question 1; Invests in 2 or more products in question 2

*Screener*

1. When it comes to family and personal investments like stocks, mutual funds, or other trading products, how likely are you to be involved in making decisions for your household?

1 .....	Very likely.
2 .....	Somewhat likely.
3 .....	Not too likely.
4 .....	Not at all likely.

2. Below is a list of financial products. Please select all that you currently are invested in or have invested in.

3 .....	Have you read, seen, or heard anything about the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC)?
4 .....	Have you read, seen, or heard anything about CFTC SmartCheck, a campaign that promotes expert tools and resources to check the background of financial professionals, learn how to avoid investment fraud, and report suspicious activity?

1 .....	Stocks or shares.
2 .....	Precious metals like gold or silver.
3 .....	Foreign currency trading (FOREX).
4 .....	Any type of futures or options.
5 .....	None of these [Single Punch (SP)].
6 .....	Don't know [SP].

*Survey*

[GRID, SP ACROSS]

Please answer yes or no to each of the following questions.

Yes	No
1	2

<sup>5</sup> 17 CFR 145.9.

5 .....	Have you read, seen, or heard anything about SmartCheck.gov, a Web site that links to databases which allow investors to check the background of financial professionals?
6 .....	Have you read, seen, or heard anything about Investor.gov, a Web site that allows you to check the background of investment adviser representatives and firms?
7 .....	Have you read, seen, or heard anything about BrokerCheck.org, a Web site that allows you to check the background of brokers who sell stocks, bonds, mutual funds and other securities?

[GRID, SP ACROSS. RANDOMIZE GRID ROWS]

Below are a number of actions that you may or may not be likely to

complete. Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to complete the actions using the scale below.

If you were considering investing with someone you had not invested with before, how likely are you to:

Very likely	Somewhat likely	Not likely or unlikely	Not too likely	Not at all likely
1	2	3	4	5

8 .....	Review performance history.
9 .....	Talk to references and/or past clients.
10 .....	Confirm certifications and/or education.
11 .....	Perform a general Internet search.
12 .....	Check disciplinary history with an official financial regulator.
13 .....	Check licensing and/or registration status with an official financial regulator.
14 .....	Personally interview.
15 .....	Check job affiliations with an official financial regulator.
16 .....	None of these/don't know [SP].

likely would you be to report it to a government financial agency such as the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) or the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)?

1 .....	Very likely.
2 .....	Somewhat likely.
3 .....	Not too likely.
4 .....	Not at all likely.

[MP; RANDOMIZE LEAVING LAST 1 AT THE END]

20. Which, if any, of the following do you think are potential signs of investment fraud? If the person selling the investment . . .

1 .....	said the investment has a guaranteed rate of return.
2 .....	said s/he has a special credential, so they can be trusted.
3 .....	said that many of your friends have already invested in the opportunity.
4 .....	was willing to charge you half of the regular commission.
5 .....	said the opportunity was closing soon, so it was important to act quickly.
6 .....	None of these [SP].

[SP]

17. Generally speaking, how concerned are you about unknowingly being part of a fraudulent investment?

1 .....	Very concerned.
2 .....	Somewhat concerned.
3 .....	Not too concerned.
4 .....	Not at all concerned.

19. If you became aware that an investment you were *already a part of* was fraudulent, how likely would you be to report it to a government financial agency such as the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) or the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)?

1 .....	Very likely.
2 .....	Somewhat likely.
3 .....	Not too likely.
4 .....	Not at all likely.

[GRID, SP ACROSS. RANDOMIZE GRID ROWS]

Below are a number of statements with which you may or may not agree. Please indicate how much you agree or disagree with each statement.

[SP]

18. If you *suspected* an investment you were aware of was fraudulent, how

Strongly disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Strongly agree
1	2	3	4	5

21 .....	It is important to know the common signs of fraud when investing.
22 .....	The government reviews and investigates all alleged reports of investment fraud.
23 .....	I know where to go to report suspected or known incidents of investment fraud.
24 .....	I know where to go to check the background of a financial professional.
25 .....	<i>Before I invest</i> , it is important to check the registration and/or licensing status of a financial professional.
26 .....	<i>Each year</i> , it is important to check the registration and/or licensing of a financial professional with whom I invest.
27 .....	<i>Before I invest</i> , it is important to check the disciplinary history of a financial professional.
28 .....	<i>Each year</i> , it is important to check the disciplinary history of a financial professional.

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Project No. 14782-000]

**Energy Resources USA Inc.; Notice of Preliminary Permit Application Accepted for Filing and Soliciting Comments, Motions To Intervene, and Competing Applications**

On May 4, 2016, Energy Resources USA Inc. filed an application for a preliminary permit, pursuant to section 4(f) of the Federal Power Act (FPA), proposing to study the feasibility of the R.D. Bailey Dam Hydroelectric Project (R.D. Bailey Project or project) to be located at the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' R.D. Bailey Dam on the Guyandotte River in Mingo and Wyoming Counties, West Virginia. The sole purpose of a preliminary permit, if issued, is to grant the permit holder priority to file a license application during the permit term. A preliminary permit does not authorize the permit holder to perform any land-disturbing activities or otherwise enter upon lands or waters owned by others without the owners' express permission.

The proposed project would consist of the following: (1) A new 90-foot-long by 45-foot-wide reinforced concrete powerhouse to be located downstream on the tailrace side of R.D. Bailey Dam; (2) a new 12-foot by 9-foot by 250-foot-long concrete conduit connecting the existing outlet tunnel with the powerhouse; (3) two 5-megawatt (MW) vertical Kaplan turbine-generator units with a total generating capacity of 10 MW; (4) a new 120-foot-long by 80-foot-wide tailrace; (5) a new 60-foot-long by 50-foot-wide substation with a 10-mega-volt-ampere 4.16/69-kilovolt (kV) three-phase step-up transformer; (6) a new 0.6-mile-long, 69-kV transmission line; and (7) appurtenant facilities. The R.D. Bailey Project would have an estimated annual generation of 38.5 gigawatt-hours.

*Applicant Contact:* Mr. Ander Gonzalez, Energy Resources USA Inc., 350 Lincoln Road, 2nd Floor, Miami, FL 33139; telephone (954) 248-8425.

*FERC Contact:* Monir Chowdhury; phone: (202) 502-6736.

*Deadline for filing comments, motions to intervene, competing applications (without notices of intent), or notices of intent to file competing applications:* 60 days from the issuance of this notice. Competing applications and notices of intent must meet the requirements of 18 CFR 4.36.

The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file comments, motions to intervene, notices of intent, and competing applications using the Commission's eFiling system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. Commenters can submit brief comments up to 6,000 characters, without prior registration, using the eComment system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/ecomment.asp>. You must include your name and contact information at the end of your comments. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), (866) 208-3676 (toll free), or (202) 502-8659 (TTY). In lieu of electronic filing, please send a paper copy to: Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. The first page of any filing should include docket number P-14782-000.

More information about this project, including a copy of the application, can be viewed or printed on the "eLibrary" link of the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/elibrary.asp>. Enter the docket number (P-14782) in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-13648 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Project No. 13757-002; Project No. 13761-002; Project No. 13768-002]

**FFP Missouri 5, LLC; FFP Missouri 6, LLC; Solia 6 Hydroelectric, LLC; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment**

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission or FERC) regulations, 18 Code of Federal Regulations Part 380, Office of Energy Projects staff has reviewed applications for original licenses for the Emsworth Locks and Dam Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 13757-002), Emsworth Back Channel Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 13761-002), and Montgomery Locks and Dam Hydroelectric Project (FERC No. 13768-002) on the Ohio River. These projects are referred to collectively as the Ohio River Projects.

The projects would all be located at existing locks and dams owned by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The Emsworth Locks and Dam Hydroelectric Project would be located on the Ohio River near Emsworth, Pennsylvania, in Allegheny County at river mile (RM) 6.2. The Emsworth Back Channel Hydroelectric Project would be located on the Ohio River near Coraopolis, Pennsylvania, in Allegheny County at RM 6.8. The Montgomery Locks and Dam Hydroelectric Project would be located on the Ohio River downstream of Monaca, Pennsylvania, in Beaver County at RM 31.7. The projects would collectively occupy 17.1 acres of federal land.

Staff has prepared a multi-project environmental assessment (EA) that analyzes the potential environmental effects of the three projects and concludes that constructing and operating the projects, with appropriate environmental protection measures, would not constitute a major federal action that would significantly affect the quality of the human environment.

A copy of the EA is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at [www.ferc.gov](http://www.ferc.gov) using the "eLibrary" link. Enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits, in the docket number field to access the document. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, (202) 502-8659.

You may also register online at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp> to be notified via email of new filings and issuances related to these or other pending projects. For assistance, contact FERC Online Support.

Any comments should be filed within 30 days from the date of this notice. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filing. Please file comments using the Commission's eFiling system at <http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/efiling.asp>. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at 1-866-208-3676, or for TTY, 202-502-8659. In lieu of electronic filing, please send a paper copy to: Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. The first page of any filing should include: "Emsworth Locks and Dam Hydroelectric Project No. 13757-002,

Emsworth Back Channel Hydroelectric Project No. 13761-002, and/or Montgomery Locks and Dam Hydroelectric Project No. 13768-002," as appropriate.

For further information, contact Nicholas Ettema at (202) 502-6565 or by email at [nicholas.ettema@ferc.gov](mailto:nicholas.ettema@ferc.gov).

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13647 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RM16-12-000; Docket No. RM15-21-000]

#### Review of Generator Interconnection Agreements and Procedures; American Wind Energy Association; Notice Inviting Post-Technical Conference Comments

On May 13, 2016, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) staff conducted a technical conference to discuss select issues related to the petition for rulemaking submitted by the American Wind Energy Association in Docket No. RM15-21-000 and other interconnection-related issues, including the interconnection of electric storage.

All interested persons are invited to file post-technical conference comments on any or all of the questions listed in the attachment to this Notice. We emphasize that commenters need not answer all of the questions. We encourage commenters to submit new or additional information in response to these questions rather than information that was previously submitted in Docket Nos. RM16-12-000 and/or RM15-21-000. Commenters should organize responses consistent with the numbering of the attached questions and identify to what extent their responses are generally applicable or pertain to a particular RTO/ISO. Commenters are also invited to reference material previously filed in this docket, including technical conference transcripts. These comments must be filed with the Commission no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on June 20, 2016.

For more information about this Notice, please contact:

Tony Dobbins (Technical Information),  
Office of Energy Policy and  
Information, Federal Energy  
Regulatory Commission, 888 First

Street NE., Washington, DC 20426,  
(202) 502-6630, [tony.dobbins@ferc.gov](mailto:tony.dobbins@ferc.gov).

Adam Pan (Legal Information), Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502-6023, [adam.pan@ferc.gov](mailto:adam.pan@ferc.gov).

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13650 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL16-77-000]

#### Michigan South Central Power Agency v. Michigan Electric Transmission Company, LLC; Notice of Complaint

Take notice that on June 1, 2016, pursuant to sections 206 and 306 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 824e and 825e and Rule 206 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.206, Michigan South Central Power Agency (Complainant) filed a formal complaint against Michigan Electric Transmission Company (Respondent) alleging that Respondent has failed to comply with Section 19.1(i) of the Project I Transmission Ownership and Operating Agreement between Complainant and Respondent, as more fully explained in the complaint.

Complainant certifies that copies of the complaint were served on the contacts for Respondent as listed on the Commission's list of Corporate Officials.

Any person desiring to intervene or to protest this filing must file in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a notice of intervention or motion to intervene, as appropriate. The Respondent's answer and all interventions, or protests must be filed on or before the comment date. The Respondent's answer, motions to intervene, and protests must be served on the Complainant.

The Commission encourages electronic submission of protests and interventions in lieu of paper using the "eFiling" link at <http://www.ferc.gov>. Persons unable to file electronically

should submit an original and 5 copies of the protest or intervention to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426.

This filing is accessible on-line at <http://www.ferc.gov>, using the "eLibrary" link and is available for review in the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, DC. There is an "eSubscription" link on the Web site that enables subscribers to receive email notification when a document is added to a subscribed docket(s). For assistance with any FERC Online service, please email [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov), or call (866) 208-3676 (toll free). For TTY, call (202) 502-8659.

*Comment Date:* 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on June 21, 2016.

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13645 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP16-361-000]

#### Columbia Gulf Transmission, LLC; Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Gulf Xpress Project, Request for Comments on Environmental Issues, and Notice of Public Scoping Meeting

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the Gulf Xpress Project (GXP) involving construction and operation of facilities by Columbia Gulf Transmission, LLC (Columbia Gulf) in Kentucky, Tennessee, and Mississippi. The Commission will use this EIS in its decision-making process to determine whether the project is in the public convenience and necessity.

This notice announces the opening of the scoping process the Commission will use to gather input from the public and interested agencies on the project. You can make a difference by providing us with your specific comments or concerns about the project. Your comments should focus on the potential environmental effects, reasonable alternatives, and measures to avoid or lessen environmental impacts. Your input will help the Commission staff

determine what issues they need to evaluate in the EIS. To ensure that your comments are timely and properly recorded, please send your comments so that the Commission receives them in Washington, DC, on or before July 5, 2016.

If you sent comments on this project to the Commission before the opening of this docket on April 29, 2016, you will need to file those comments in Docket No. CP16–361–000 to ensure they are considered as part of this proceeding.

This notice is being sent to the Commission's current environmental mailing list for this project. State and local government representatives should notify their constituents of this proposed project and encourage them to comment on their areas of concern.

If you are a landowner receiving this notice, a pipeline company representative may contact you about the acquisition of an easement to construct, operate, and maintain the proposed facilities. The company would seek to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement. However, if the Commission approves the project, that approval conveys with it the right of eminent domain. Therefore, if easement negotiations fail to produce an agreement, the pipeline company could initiate condemnation proceedings where compensation would be determined in accordance with state law.

A fact sheet prepared by the FERC entitled "An Interstate Natural Gas Facility On My Land? What Do I Need To Know?" is available for viewing on the FERC Web site ([www.ferc.gov](http://www.ferc.gov)). This fact sheet addresses a number of typically asked questions, including the use of eminent domain and how to participate in the Commission's proceedings.

### Public Participation

For your convenience, there are four methods you can use to submit your comments to the Commission. The Commission will provide equal consideration to all comments received, whether filed in written form or provided verbally. The Commission encourages electronic filing of comments and has expert staff available to assist you at (202) 502–8258 or [efiling@ferc.gov](mailto:efiling@ferc.gov). Please carefully follow these instructions so that your comments are properly recorded.

(1) You can file your comments electronically using the *eComment* feature on the Commission's Web site ([www.ferc.gov](http://www.ferc.gov)) under the link to *Documents and Filings*. This is an easy method for submitting brief, text-only comments on a project;

(2) You can file your comments electronically by using the *eFiling* feature on the Commission's Web site ([www.ferc.gov](http://www.ferc.gov)) under the link to *Documents and Filings*. With *eFiling*, you can provide comments in a variety of formats by attaching them as a file with your submission. New *eFiling* users must first create an account by clicking on "*eRegister*." If you are filing a comment on a particular project, please select "Comment on a Filing" as the filing type; or

(3) You can file a paper copy of your comments by mailing them to the following address. Be sure to reference the project docket number (CP16–361–000) with your submission: Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426.

(4) In lieu of sending written or electronic comments, the Commission invites you to attend the public scoping meeting its staff will conduct in the project area, scheduled as follows: FERC Public Scoping Meeting, Gulf Xpress Project, Tuesday, June 21, 2016 at 6:00 p.m., Cane Ridge High School, 12848 Old Hickory Boulevard, Antioch, TN 37013.

The doors will open at 5 p.m. at which time we will begin our sign up of speakers for the meetings. For the hour prior to the start of the meeting, Columbia Gulf representatives will be present with maps depicting the project area and to answer questions.

The scoping meeting will begin at 6 p.m. with a description of our environmental review process by Commission staff, after which speakers will be called. The meeting will end once all speakers have provided their comments or at 10 p.m., whichever comes first. Please note that depending on the number of people signed up to speak, there may be a time limit of 3 minutes to present comments, and speakers should structure their comments accordingly. If time limits are implemented, they will be strictly enforced to ensure that as many individuals as possible are given an opportunity to comment. The meeting will be recorded by a court reporter to ensure comments are accurately recorded. The transcript of the meeting will be entered into the formal record of the Commission proceeding.

Please note this is not your only opportunity to provide public input; refer to the review process flow chart in appendix 1.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The appendices referenced in this notice will not appear in the **Federal Register**. Copies of the appendices were sent to all those receiving this notice in the mail and are available at [www.ferc.gov](http://www.ferc.gov)

### Summary of the Proposed Project

The GXP would increase the existing transportation capacity of Columbia Gulf's system by about 860,000 dekatherms per day of natural gas. According to Columbia Gulf, its project is necessary to provide additional pipeline capacity to meet contracted-for firm transportation demand. The proposed facilities would enable shippers the opportunity to transport natural gas to Gulf Coast high-demand markets, markets in Mississippi and Louisiana that are accessible through delivery points along Columbia Gulf's system, and markets accessible through other interstate pipeline connected to Columbia Gulf's system. Columbia Gulf has entered into binding precedent agreements for 100 percent of the GXP capacity.

For the GXP, Columbia Gulf proposes to construct, operate, and maintain seven new natural gas-fired turbine-driven compressor stations:

- The Morehead Compressor Station, a 44,800-horsepower (hp) compressor station in Rowan County, Kentucky;
- the Paint Lick Compressor Station, a 41,000-hp compressor station in Garrard County, Kentucky;
- the Goodluck Compressor Station, a 31,800-hp compressor station in Metcalfe County, Kentucky;
- the Cane Ridge Compressor Station, a 41,000-hp compressor station in Davidson County, Tennessee;
- the Clifton Junction Compressor Station, a 31,800-hp compressor station in Wayne County, Tennessee;
- the New Albany Compressor Station, a 31,800-hp compressor station in Union County, Mississippi; and
- the Holcomb Compressor Station, a 31,800-hp compressor station in Grenada County, Mississippi.

The GXP would also involve:

- Installation of an additional 15,900 hp of compression at the anticipated Grayson Compressor Station<sup>2</sup> in Carter County, Kentucky; and
- demolition and construction of a new flow control building to upgrade

using the link called "eLibrary" or from the Commission's Public Reference Room, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, or call (202) 502–8371. For instructions on connecting to eLibrary, refer to the "Additional Information" section of this notice.

<sup>2</sup>The Grayson Compressor Station is proposed for construction in Docket No. CP15–539–000 as part of Columbia Gulf's Rayne XPress Certificated Capacity Increase Project. On April 6, 2016, FERC staff issued the Draft EIS for the Columbia Gas Transmission Leach XPress Pipeline Project and the Columbia Gulf Transmission Rayne XPress Expansion Project, which includes the proposed Grayson Compressor Station. As of this date, the Commission has not authorized construction of these facilities.

flow control capabilities at the existing Leach C Meter Station in Boyd County, Kentucky.

All new compressor stations would include a building to house the compressors; filter/separator and gas cooling equipment; suction and discharge piping; and appurtenant facilities. All stations would be fenced and include a permanent access road.

The general location of the project facilities is shown in appendix 2.

#### Land Requirements for Construction

The GXP would temporarily disturb about 198 acres during construction with approximately 82 acres converted to permanent use for station operations. At the Grayson Compressor Station site, no additional land would be disturbed during construction beyond that used for the original facility. At the Leach C Meter Station, approximately 1.4 acres of land outside the existing station fence line would be temporarily disturbed during construction. All permanent modifications at the Leach C Meter Station would occur within the existing facility resulting in no new permanent impacts from station operations.

#### The EIS Process

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires the Commission to take into account the environmental impacts that could result from an action whenever it considers the issuance of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. NEPA also requires us<sup>3</sup> to discover and address concerns the public may have about proposals. This process is referred to as scoping. The main goal of the scoping process is to focus the analysis in the EIS on the important environmental issues. By this notice, the Commission requests public comments on the scope of the issues to address in the EIS. We will consider all filed comments during the preparation of the EIS.

In the EIS, we will discuss impacts that could occur as a result of the construction and operation of the proposed project under these general headings:

- Geology and soils;
- water resources, fisheries, and wetlands;
- vegetation and wildlife;
- endangered and threatened species;
- cultural resources;
- socioeconomics;
- land use;
- air quality and noise;
- public safety; and

<sup>3</sup>“We,” “us,” and “our” refer to the environmental staff of the Commission’s Office of Energy Projects.

- cumulative impacts.

We will also evaluate possible alternatives to the proposed project or portions of the project, and make recommendations on how to lessen or avoid impacts on the various resource areas.

The EIS will present our independent analysis of the issues. We will publish and distribute the draft EIS for public comment. After the comment period, we will consider all timely comments and revise the document, as necessary, before issuing a final EIS. To ensure we have the opportunity to consider and address your comments, please carefully follow the instructions in the Public Participation section, beginning on page 2.

With this notice, we are asking agencies with jurisdiction by law and/or special expertise with respect to the environmental issues related to this project to formally cooperate with us in the preparation of the EIS.<sup>4</sup> Agencies that would like to request cooperating agency status should follow the instructions for filing comments provided under the Public Participation section of this notice.

#### Consultations Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

In accordance with the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation’s implementing regulations for section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, we are using this notice to initiate consultation with the applicable State Historic Preservation Office(s), and to solicit their views and those of other government agencies, interested Indian tribes, and the public on the project’s potential effects on historic properties.<sup>5</sup> We will define the project-specific Area of Potential Effects (APE) in consultation with the SHPO(s) as the project develops. On natural gas facility projects, the APE at a minimum encompasses all areas subject to ground disturbance (examples include construction right-of-way, contractor/pipe storage yards, compressor stations, and access roads). Our EIS for this project will document our findings on the impacts on historic properties and summarize the status of consultations under section 106.

<sup>4</sup>The Council on Environmental Quality regulations addressing cooperating agency responsibilities are at Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1501.6.

<sup>5</sup>The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation regulations are at Title 36, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 800. Those regulations define historic properties as any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

#### Currently Identified Environmental Issues

We have already identified several issues that we think deserve attention based on a preliminary review of the proposed facilities and the environmental information provided by Columbia Gulf. This preliminary list of issues may change based on your comments and our analysis.

- air quality and noise impacts;
- wildlife impacts;
- alternative compressor station locations; and
- health and safety of nearby residences during the operation of the proposed facilities.

#### Environmental Mailing List

The environmental mailing list includes federal, state, and local government representatives and agencies; elected officials; environmental and public interest groups; Native American Tribes; other interested parties; and local libraries and newspapers. This list also includes all who own homes within certain distances of the compressor and meter station facilities and anyone who submits comments on the project. We will update the environmental mailing list as the analysis proceeds to ensure that we send the information related to this environmental review to all individuals, organizations, and government entities interested in and/or potentially affected by the proposed project.

Copies of the completed draft EIS will be sent to the environmental mailing list for public review and comment. If you would prefer to receive a paper copy of the document instead of the CD version or would like to remove your name from the mailing list, please return the attached Information Request (appendix 3).

#### Becoming an Intervenor

You may want to become an “intervenor,” which is an official party to the Commission’s proceeding. Intervenor play a more formal role in the process and are able to file briefs, appear at hearings, and be heard by the courts if they choose to appeal the Commission’s final ruling. An intervenor formally participates in the proceeding by filing a request to intervene. Motions to intervene are more fully described at <http://www.ferc.gov/resources/guides/how-to-intervene.asp>. Instructions for becoming an intervenor are in the “Document-less Intervention Guide” under the “e-filing” link on the Commission’s Web site.

**Additional Information**

Additional information about the project is available from the Commission's Office of External Affairs at (866) 208-FERC or on the FERC Web site ([www.ferc.gov](http://www.ferc.gov)) using the eLibrary link. Click on the eLibrary link, click on "General Search" and enter the docket number, excluding the last three digits in the Docket Number field (*i.e.*, CP16-361-000). Be sure you have selected an appropriate date range. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FercOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FercOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The eLibrary link also provides access to the texts of formal documents issued by the Commission, such as orders, notices, and rulemakings.

In addition, the Commission offers a free service called eSubscription, which allows you to keep track of all formal issuances and submittals in specific dockets. This can reduce the amount of time you spend researching proceedings by automatically providing you with notification of these filings, document summaries, and direct links to the documents. Go to [www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp](http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/esubscription.asp).

Finally, public meetings or site visits will be posted on the Commission's calendar located at [www.ferc.gov/EventCalendar/EventsList.aspx](http://www.ferc.gov/EventCalendar/EventsList.aspx) along with other related information.

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-13649 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****Federal Energy Regulatory Commission****Notice of Conference**

	Docket Nos.
Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.	ER12-1265-005 ER12-1265-006
Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.	ER12-1266-005

On June 15, 2016, Commission staff will hold a conference call with Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (MISO) beginning at 10:00 a.m. (Eastern Time). The purpose of the conference call is to discuss tariff administration issues related to MISO's pending compliance filings in the above-captioned proceedings to ensure

that the versions of the tariff sheets filed are accurate and complete.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c), or any participant as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b), is invited to listen to the conference call. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 385.214).

The conference call will not be webcast or transcribed. However, an audio listen-only line will be provided. Those wishing to access the listen-only line must email Sarah McKinley ([Sarah.McKinley@ferc.gov](mailto:Sarah.McKinley@ferc.gov)) by 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on June 9, 2016, providing name, email, and phone number, in order to receive the call-in information the day before the conference call. Please use the following text for the subject line: "ER12-1266-005 listen-only line registration."

Commission conferences are accessible under section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. For accessibility accommodations, please send an email to [accessibility@ferc.gov](mailto:accessibility@ferc.gov) or call toll free 1 (866) 208-3372 (voice) or (202) 208-1659 (TTY), or send a FAX to (202) 208-2106 with the required accommodations.

For additional information, please contact Christopher Gore at (202) 502-8507, [christopher.gore@ferc.gov](mailto:christopher.gore@ferc.gov).

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**Kimberly D. Bose,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-13646 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

[DA 16-584]

**Media Bureau Announces Date by Which LPTV and TV Translator Stations Must Be "Operating" in Order To Participate in Post-Incentive Auction Special Displacement Window**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Media Bureau of the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) announces that, in order to participate in the post-Incentive Auction special displacement window, low power television (LPTV) and TV translator stations must be operating on the date that the Channel Reassignment Public Notice is released following the completion of the reverse auction.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Shaun Maher, Video Division, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, [barbara.kreisman@fcc.gov](mailto:barbara.kreisman@fcc.gov), (202) 418-2324.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the Incentive Auction R&O, the Commission delegated authority to the Media Bureau to announce, after release of the Channel Reassignment Public Notice and after eligible full power and Class A television stations have an opportunity to file construction permit applications for their new facilities, including an alternative channel or expanded facility, a limited window for operating LPTV and TV translator stations to submit displacement applications. The Commission's rules limit eligibility to file in the displacement window to "operating low power TV and TV translator stations that are displaced . . . as a result of the broadcast television spectrum incentive auction." The Commission delegated authority to the Media Bureau to announce the terms of the limited displacement window consistent with the approach outlined in the Incentive Auction R&O.

For these purposes, the Media announces that it interprets an "operating" LPTV or TV translator station that is displaced as a result of the incentive auction to mean one that is operating on the date of release of the Channel Reassignment Public Notice. Moreover, the Media Bureau clarifies that for these purposes a station is "operating" if it has licensed its authorized construction permit facilities or has an application for a license to cover on file with the Commission on that date. LPTV stations will not be required to actually cease operations on their current channels until well after the Channel Reassignment Public Notice is released. But the new full power and Class A channel assignments announced in the Channel Reassignment Public Notice and the new 600 MHz band plan announced contemporaneously will enable LPTV stations to determine whether they will eventually be required to move from their current channel to accommodate a new primary licensee and thus whether they would wish to consider filing for a displacement channel during the special displacement window. Determining the universe of displaced operating LPTV stations as of the release of the Channel Reassignment Public Notice will also assist the Media Bureau in identifying channels that can be proposed by displaced stations based on repacking and optimization software and issuing a public notice listing potential channel

assignments in advance of the displacement window.

By announcing the deadline for identifying operating LPTV stations now, well in advance of the release of the Channel Reassignment Public Notice, the Media Bureau seeks to provide LPTV and TV translator station permittees with "sufficient warning of this crucial deadline to allow them to complete construction and license permitted facilities." Permittees of digital LPTV and TV translators that are not operating on the date of release of the Channel Reassignment Public Notice will have to wait until the completion of the special displacement window for operating LPTV and TV translator stations before being able to file a displacement application and propose a channel from the smaller universe of unused television channels.

The Media Bureau also reminds LPTV and TV translator permittees that the Commission has extended the construction deadline for new digital LPTV and TV translator stations to the new digital transition date for the LPTV and TV translator service, which is 51 months after the release of the Channel Reassignment Public Notice. Thus, any construction efforts they may make between now and release of the Channel Reassignment Public Notice are completely voluntary.

This action is taken by the Chief, Media Bureau pursuant to authority delegated by 47 CFR 0.283 of the Commission's rules.

Federal Communications Commission.

**Barbara Kreisman**

*Chief, Video Division, Media Bureau.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13624 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

---

## FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

**AGENCY:** Federal Election Commission.

**DATE AND TIME:** Tuesday, June 14, 2016 at 10:00 a.m.

**PLACE:** 999 E Street NW., Washington, DC.

**STATUS:** This meeting will be closed to the public.

**ITEMS TO BE DISCUSSED:** Compliance matters pursuant to 52 U.S.C. 30109.

Matters concerning participation in civil actions or proceeding, or arbitration.

\* \* \* \* \*

**PERSON TO CONTACT FOR INFORMATION:** Judith Ingram, Press Officer, Telephone: (202) 694-1220.

**Shelley E. Garr,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13760 Filed 6-7-16; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 6715-01-P

---

## FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

[BAC 6735-01]

### Sunshine Act Notice

June 6, 2016.

**FEDERAL REGISTER CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT:** Document 2016-12966, June 1, 2016.

**PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING:** 10:00 a.m., Wednesday, June 8, 2016.

**PLACE:** The Richard V. Backley Hearing Room, Room 511N, 1331 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004 (enter from F Street entrance).

**STATUS:** Open.

**CHANGES IN MEETING:** The Commission has cancelled the meeting previously scheduled in *Secretary of Labor v. American Coal Company*, Docket No.

LAKE 2011-13.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFO:** Emogene Johnson (202) 434-9935/(202) 708-9300 for TDD Relay/1-800-877-8339 for toll free.

**Sarah L. Stewart,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13711 Filed 6-7-16; 11:15 am]

BILLING CODE P

---

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The applications will also be

available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than July 5, 2016.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Jacquelyn K. Brunmeier, Assistant Vice President) 90 Hennepin Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-0291:

1. *Northern Interstate Financial, Inc.*, Norway, Michigan; to merge with C.F.C. Bancorp, Inc., and thereby indirectly acquire First National Bank of Crystal Falls, both in Crystal Falls, Michigan.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 6, 2016.

**Michele Taylor Fennell,**

*Assistant Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13639 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-P

---

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Administration for Children and Families

#### Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request Title: Evaluation of the Child Welfare Capacity Building Collaborative: Part Two

*OMB No.:* New Collection.

#### Description

The purpose of this evaluation is to respond to a set of cross-cutting evaluation questions posed by the Children's Bureau. This new data collection is the second part of a larger data collection effort being conducted for the evaluation of the Child Welfare Capacity Building Collaborative. The first group of instruments for this evaluation has already been submitted, and a request for clearance has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (see **Federal Register** Volume 80, No. 211, November 2, 2015 and **Federal Register** Volume 81, No. 41, March 2, 2016). This notice details the second group of instruments that

will be used for data collection as part of this evaluation. The Evaluation of the Child Welfare Capacity Building Collaborative is sponsored by the Children’s Bureau, Administration for Children and Families of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The Capacity Building Collaborative includes three centers (Center for States, Center for Tribes, Center for Courts) funded by the Children’s Bureau to provide national child welfare expertise and evidence-informed training and technical assistance services to State, Tribal and Territorial public child welfare agencies and Court Improvement Programs (CIP). The Centers offer a wide array of services including, but not limited to: Web-based content and resources, product development and dissemination, self-directed and group-based training, virtual learning and peer networking events, and tailored consultation and coaching. During the project period the Centers’ services will be evaluated by both Center-specific evaluations and a Cross-Center Evaluation. The Center-specific evaluations are designed to collect data on Center-specific processes and outcomes.

The Cross-Center Evaluation will examine: The extent to which key partners across and within the Centers are collaborating; whether the capacity building service interventions offered by

the Centers are evaluable; the degree to which Centers follow common protocols; whether service interventions are delivered or performed as designed; how satisfied recipients are with the services received; how effective the service interventions were; which service approaches were most effective and under what conditions; and the costs of services. The Cross-Center Evaluation is utilizing a longitudinal mixed methods approach to evaluate the Centers’ services as they develop and mature over the course of the study period.

Multiple data collection strategies will be used to efficiently capture quantitative and qualitative data to enable analyses that address each evaluation question. The first set of Cross-Center and Center-specific instruments submitted as part of the larger information collection included: Satisfaction surveys to assess recipients’ satisfaction; a leadership interview, administered to all State child welfare directors, Tribal child welfare directors, and CIP coordinators that receive services; a Web-based collaboration survey, administered to the directors and staff of the three Centers; assessment tools; and service-specific feedback forms.

This second group of data sources proposed for the Cross-Center Evaluation in this notice include: (1) A capacity survey to capture perceived changes in organizational capacity after

receiving Center services; (2) a foundational assessment to capture contextual data regarding the organizational health and functioning of child welfare agencies and courts; (3) a follow-up survey that will examine short-term and intermediate outcomes among CIPs that receive different levels of tailored services following continuous quality improvement (CQI) workshops; and (4) a key informant survey and interview to examine how capacity building services are incorporated into state and tribal activities to support implementation of Public Law 113–183. Additional Center-specific data sources proposed in this notice include (1) registration forms such as webinar and CapLEARN (learning management system) registration forms and (2) service-specific feedback forms and interviews, such as the Center for States Tailored Services interviews and the Center for Courts Universal and Constituency Services survey.

**Respondents**

Respondents of this second set of data collection instruments will include (1) child welfare agency staff and stakeholders who directly receive services that have been tailored to the needs of their jurisdiction and (2) CIP coordinators, CIP Directors, and other project staff. The proposed data collection will span three years.

**ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES**

Instrument	Annual number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total annual burden hours
Capacity Survey .....	462	1	.39	180.18
Foundational Assessment Survey .....	277	1	.1	27.7
CQI Workshop Follow-Up Survey .....	48	2	.12	11.52
P.L.113–183 Key Informant Survey .....	52	1	.26	13.52
P.L. 113–183 Key Informant Interview .....	5	1	1	5
Center for Courts: Universal and Constituency Services .....	104	1	.41	42.64
Webinar Registration .....	4,650	1	.03	139.5
Center for States: Tailored Services Interviews .....	60	1	1	60
Center for States: Assessment and Work Planning Survey .....	150	1	.25	37.5
CapLearn Registration .....	600	1	.084	50.4

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 567.96.

In compliance with the requirements of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and

Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 370 L’Enfant Promenade SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: OPRE Reports Clearance Officer. Email address: *infocollection@acf.hhs.gov*. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including

whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to

comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

**Robert Sargis,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13610 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4184-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Administration for Children and Families**

**Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request**

**Proposed Projects**

*Title:* Ethnic Community Self-Help Program Data Indicators.

*OMB No.:* 0970-NEW.

*Description:* The ACF Office of Refugee Resettlement proposes to collect information from Ethnic Community-Based Organizations (ECBOs) awarded federal funds under HHS-2016-ACF-ORR-1129. The information, collected through a questionnaire, is expected to provide information on Program objectives semi-annually in order for program staff to gauge the Program's progress for reporting and evaluation purposes.

*Respondents:* ECBOs awarded under HHS-2016-ACF-ORR-1129.

**ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES**

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
ECSH Data Indicators .....	10	2	1	20

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 20.

In compliance with the requirements of Section 506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Planning, Research and Evaluation, 330 C Street SW., Washington DC 20201. Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. Email address: [infocollection@acf.hhs.gov](mailto:infocollection@acf.hhs.gov). All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to

comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

**Robert Sargis,**

*Reports Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13662 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4184-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Office of the Secretary**

**[Document Identifier: HHS-OS-0937-0025-60D]**

**Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Collection; Public Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of the Secretary (OS), Department of Health and Human Services, announces plans to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR), described below, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The ICR is for extending the use of the approved information collection assigned OMB control number OMB No. 0937-0025, which expires on November 30, 2016. Prior to submitting the ICR to OMB, OS seeks comments from the

public regarding the burden estimate, below, or any other aspect of the ICR.

**DATES:** Comments on the ICR must be received on or before August 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments to [Information.CollectionClearance@hhs.gov](mailto:Information.CollectionClearance@hhs.gov) or by calling (202) 690-6162.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Information Collection Clearance staff, [Information.CollectionClearance@hhs.gov](mailto:Information.CollectionClearance@hhs.gov) or (202) 690-6162.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** When submitting comments or requesting information, please include the document identifier HHS-OS-60D for reference.

*Information Collection Request Title:* The Commissioned Corps of the U.S. Public Health Service application.

*Abstract:* The principal purpose for collecting the information is to permit HHS to determine eligibility for appointment of applicants into the Commissioned Corps of the U.S. Public Health Service (Corps). The Corps is one of the seven Uniformed Services of the United States (37 U.S.C. 101(3)), and appointments in the Corps are made pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 204 *et seq.* and 42 CFR 21.58. The application consists of forms PHS-50, PHS-1813, and the Commissioned Corps Personal Statement.

*Likely Respondents:* Candidates/Applicants to the Commissioned Corps of the U.S. Public Health Service.

**TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN—HOURS**

Form name	Type of respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden hours
Prequalification Questionnaire .....	Interested Health Professionals .....	6,000	1	15/60	1,500

## TOTAL ESTIMATED ANNUALIZED BURDEN—HOURS—Continued

Form name	Type of respondents	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden per response (in hours)	Total burden hours
Form PHS-50 .....	Health Professionals .....	1,000	1	1.0	1,000
Form PHS-1813 .....	References (college professors/ teachers).	4,000	1	15/60	1,000
Addendum: Commissioned Corps Personal Statement.	Health Professionals .....	1,000	.....	45/60	750
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,250

OS specifically requests comments on (1) the necessity and utility of the proposed information collection for the proper performance of the agency's functions, (2) the accuracy of the estimated burden, (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected, and (4) the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology to minimize the information collection burden.

**Terry S. Clark,**

*Asst. Information Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13602 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-49-P

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

### Indian Health Service

#### Request for Public Comment: 30 Day Information Collection: Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Contracts

**AGENCY:** Indian Health Service, HHS.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments. Request for extension of approval.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Indian Health Service (IHS) is submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request for an extension of a previously approved collection of information titled, "Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Contracts," OMB Control Number 0917-0037. IHS is requesting OMB to approve an extension for this collection, which expires on July 31, 2016.

**DATES:** *Comment Due Date:* July 11, 2016. Your comments regarding this information collection are best assured of having full effect if received within 30 days of the date of this publication.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your written comments and suggestions regarding the

information collection contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time to: Office of Management and Budget, Office of Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, Attention: Desk Officer for IHS.

To request more information on the collection, or to obtain a copy of the data collection instrument and instruction(s), contact Mr. Chris Buchanan by one of the following methods:

- *Mail:* Mr. Chris Buchanan, Director, IHS Office of Direct Services and Contracting Tribes (ODSCT), Indian Health Service, 5600 Fishers Lane, Mail Stop O8E17C, Rockville, MD 20857.
- *Phone:* 301-443-1104.
- *Email:* [Chris.Buchanan@ihs.gov](mailto:Chris.Buchanan@ihs.gov).
- *Fax:* 301-480-3192.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This previously approved information collection project was last published in the *Federal Register* (81 FR 24108), on April 25, 2016 and allowed 60 days for public comment. No public comment was received in response to the notice. The purpose of this notice is to allow 30 days for public comment to be submitted directly to OMB. A copy of the supporting statement is available at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) (see Docket ID IHS-2016-0003).

#### I. Abstract

Representatives of the IHS seek renewal of the approval for information collections conducted under 25 CFR part 900, implementing the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (ISDEAA), as amended (25 U.S.C. 450 *et seq.*), which describes how contracts are awarded to Indian Tribes. The rule at 25 CFR part 900 was developed through negotiated rulemaking with Tribes in 1996 and governs, among other things, what must be included in a Tribe's initial ISDEAA contract proposal to IHS. A response is required to obtain and retain a benefit.

The information requirements for this rule represent significant differences

from other agencies in several respects. Under the Act, the Secretary of Department of Health and Human Services is directed to enter into self-determination contracts with Tribes upon request, unless specific declination criteria apply, and, generally, Tribes may renew these contracts annually, whereas other agencies provide grants on a discretionary or competitive basis. Additionally, IHS awards contracts for multiple programs whereas other agencies usually award single grants to Tribes.

The IHS uses the information collected to determine applicant eligibility, evaluate applicant capabilities, protect the service population, safeguard Federal funds and other resources, and permit the Federal agency to administer and evaluate contract programs. Tribal governments or Tribal organizations provide the information by submitting contract proposals, and related information, to the IHS, as required under Public Law 93-638. No third party notification or public disclosure burden is associated with this collection.

#### II. Request for Comments

The IHS requests your comments on this collection concerning: (a) The necessity of this information collection for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (hours and cost) of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways we could enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways we could minimize the burden of the collection of the information on the respondents.

Please note that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and an individual need not respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number.

It is IHS policy to make all comments available to the public for review at the location listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. Before including your address, phone number, email address or other personally identifiable information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

### III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0917-0037.

*Title:* Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act Contracts, 25 CFR part 900.

*Brief Description of Collection:* An Indian Tribe or Tribal organization is required to submit this information each time that it proposes to contract with the IHS under the ISDEAA. Each response may vary in its length. In addition, each Subpart of 25 CFR part 900 concerns different parts of the contracting process. For example, Subpart C relates to provisions of the contents for the initial contract proposal. The respondents do not incur the burden associated with Subpart C when contracts are renewed. Subpart F describes minimum standards for management systems used by Indian Tribes or Tribal organizations under these contracts. Subpart G addresses the negotiability of all reporting and data requirements in the contracts. Responses are required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Type of Review:* Revision of currently approved collection.

*Respondents:* Federally recognized Indian Tribes and Tribal organizations.

*Number of Respondents:* 566.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 1,510.

*Estimated Time per Response:* Varies from 1 to 1,040 hours, with an average of 15.968 hours per response.

*Frequency of Response:* Each time programs, functions, services or activities are contracted from the IHS under the ISDEAA.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 24,112.

Dated: June 1, 2016.

**Elizabeth A. Fowler,**  
*Deputy Director for Management Operation,*  
*Indian Health Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13679 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4165-16-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-5946-N-01]

### Notice of Regulatory Waiver Requests Granted for the First Quarter of Calendar Year 2016

**AGENCY:** Office of the General Counsel, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Section 106 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 (the HUD Reform Act) requires HUD to publish quarterly **Federal Register** notices of all regulatory waivers that HUD has approved. Each notice covers the quarterly period since the previous **Federal Register** notice. The purpose of this notice is to comply with the requirements of section 106 of the HUD Reform Act. This notice contains a list of regulatory waivers granted by HUD during the period beginning on January 1, 2016, and ending on March 31, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For general information about this notice, contact Aaron Santa Anna, Assistant General Counsel for Regulations, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410-0500, telephone 202-708-3055 (this is not a toll-free number). Persons with hearing- or speech-impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at 800-877-8339.

For information concerning a particular waiver that was granted and for which public notice is provided in this document, contact the person whose name and address follow the description of the waiver granted in the accompanying list of waivers that have been granted in the first quarter of calendar year 2016.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 106 of the HUD Reform Act added a new section 7(q) to the Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(q)), which provides that:

1. Any waiver of a regulation must be in writing and must specify the grounds for approving the waiver;

2. Authority to approve a waiver of a regulation may be delegated by the Secretary only to an individual of Assistant Secretary or equivalent rank, and the person to whom authority to waive is delegated must also have authority to issue the particular regulation to be waived;

3. Not less than quarterly, the Secretary must notify the public of all

waivers of regulations that HUD has approved, by publishing a notice in the **Federal Register**. These notices (each covering the period since the most recent previous notification) shall:

- a. Identify the project, activity, or undertaking involved;
- b. Describe the nature of the provision waived and the designation of the provision;
- c. Indicate the name and title of the person who granted the waiver request;
- d. Describe briefly the grounds for approval of the request; and
- e. State how additional information about a particular waiver may be obtained.

Section 106 of the HUD Reform Act also contains requirements applicable to waivers of HUD handbook provisions that are not relevant to the purpose of this notice.

This notice follows procedures provided in HUD's Statement of Policy on Waiver of Regulations and Directives issued on April 22, 1991 (56 FR 16337). In accordance with those procedures and with the requirements of section 106 of the HUD Reform Act, waivers of regulations are granted by the Assistant Secretary with jurisdiction over the regulations for which a waiver was requested. In those cases in which a General Deputy Assistant Secretary granted the waiver, the General Deputy Assistant Secretary was serving in the absence of the Assistant Secretary in accordance with the office's Order of Succession.

This notice covers waivers of regulations granted by HUD from January 1, 2016 through March 31, 2016. For ease of reference, the waivers granted by HUD are listed by HUD program office (for example, the Office of Community Planning and Development, the Office of Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity, the Office of Housing, and the Office of Public and Indian Housing, etc.). Within each program office grouping, the waivers are listed sequentially by the regulatory section of title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) that is being waived. For example, a waiver of a provision in 24 CFR part 58 would be listed before a waiver of a provision in 24 CFR part 570.

Where more than one regulatory provision is involved in the grant of a particular waiver request, the action is listed under the section number of the first regulatory requirement that appears in 24 CFR and that is being waived. For example, a waiver of both § 58.73 and § 58.74 would appear sequentially in the listing under § 58.73.

Waiver of regulations that involve the same initial regulatory citation are in

time sequence beginning with the earliest-dated regulatory waiver.

Should HUD receive additional information about waivers granted during the period covered by this report (the first quarter of calendar year 2016) before the next report is published (the second quarter of calendar year 2016), HUD will include any additional waivers granted for the first quarter in the next report.

Accordingly, information about approved waiver requests pertaining to HUD regulations is provided in the Appendix that follows this notice.

Dated: June 2, 2016.

**Helen R. Kanovsky,**  
General Counsel.

## Appendix

### Listing of Waivers of Regulatory Requirements Granted by Offices of the Department of Housing and Urban Development January 1, 2016 Through March 31, 2016

**Note to Reader:** More information about the granting of these waivers, including a copy of the waiver request and approval, may be obtained by contacting the person whose name is listed as the contact person directly after each set of regulatory waivers granted.

The regulatory waivers granted appear in the following order:

- I. Regulatory waivers granted by the Office of Community Planning and Development.
- II. Regulatory waivers granted by the Office of Housing.
- III. Regulatory waivers granted by the Office of Public and Indian Housing.

#### I. Regulatory Waivers Granted by the Office of Community Planning and Development

For further information about the following regulatory waivers, please see the name of the contact person that immediately follows the description of the waiver granted.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 91.105(c)(2).

Project/Activity: Clackamas County, OR requested a waiver of 24 CFR 91.105(c)(2) in order to shorten its citizen comment period for a Consolidated Plan amendment in order to provide emergency relocation assistance to qualified displaced low- and moderate-income residents of two apartment complexes that required an emergency evacuation in the storm affected area that experienced prolonged periods of heavy rainfall resulting in flooding, electrical outages, and significant landslides.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 91.105(c)(2) requires that citizens be provided with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on substantial amendments to its consolidated plan. The citizen participation plan requires that citizens be given no less than 30 days to comment on substantial amendments before they are implemented. The city asked to shorten its citizen comment period to seven days so that it may quickly reallocate Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds on the effects of the extreme winter storms.

Granted By: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Date Granted: January 11, 2016.

Reason Waived: The county was allowed to shorten its comment period from 30 days to 7 days so it could provide emergency relocation assistance more quickly to qualified displaced low- and moderate-income residents displaced by an evacuation order.

Contact: Steve Johnson, Director, Entitlement Communities Division, Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 7282, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-4548.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 92.214(a)(6).

Project/Activity: The City of Salem, OR, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 92.214(a)(6), which prohibits additional assistance under HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) to a project previously assisted with HOME funds during the period of affordability. The City requested this waiver in order to invest \$210,330 of HOME funds into three HOME rental projects—Chemawa Village, Marilyn Townhomes, and Renaissance Place.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 92.214(a)(6) prohibits, except for one year after project completion, HOME assistance from being provided to a project that was previously assisted with HOME funds during the period of affordability.

Granted By: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Date Granted: March 11, 2016.

Reason Waived: In 2013, HUD was notified that Salem Kaiser Community Development Corporation (SKCDC), responsible for 148 HOME-assisted rental units in 10 properties, was experiencing financial and operational issues. HUD provided extensive technical assistance and in 2014 ownership of SKCDC's portfolio was transferred to Catholic Community Service Foundation (CCSF). A portfolio analysis indicated that each of the three projects—Chemawa Village, Marilyn Townhomes, and Renaissance Place—had negative net operating income, was unable to service debt flow, had significant deferred maintenance, and had no replacement reserve. The City sought this waiver to assist CCSF in preserving the affordable HOME-assisted units, by investing \$210,330 of HOME funds, and an additional \$251,808 of CDBG funds, to rehabilitate 21 HOME units. The investment of additional HOME funds is still within the HOME maximum per-unit subsidy limits at 24 CFR 92.205(a). In addition, as a condition of the waiver, HUD is requiring that the City extend the periods of affordability for each of the three projects for an additional five years.

Contact: Virginia Sardone, Director, Office of Affordable Housing Programs, Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 7164, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-2684.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 570.200(g).

Project/Activity: In September 2014, Snohomish County, WA received a \$1.5 million supplemental CDBG award that was

reallocated under section 106(c)(4) of the Housing and Community Development Act. The funds were to be used as a portion of the requisite match for Hazard Mitigation Program Grant funds from the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) for voluntary buyouts of properties impacted by the State Road 530 Flooding and Mudslide disaster.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 570.200(g) requires that recipients limit the amount of CDBG funds obligated for planning and administration during each program year to an amount no greater than 20 percent of the sum of its grant(s) made for that program year plus the program income received by the recipient and its subrecipients during that program year.

Granted By: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Date Granted: January 29, 2016.

Reason Waived: The county did not receive its supplemental funds until midway through its 2014 program year and anticipated the need for additional administrative and planning obligations associated with the buyout activities, as it may take several years to complete the activities and expend the supplemental CDBG funds.

The waiver of the provisions of 24 CFR 570.200(g) allows the obligation of up to 20 percent of its supplemental award over the life of the grant, rather than solely during program year 2014. Absent a waiver, the county would effectively be prevented from using the statutorily-allowed percentage of funds for administrative and planning purposes needed to carry out activities under its supplemental award.

Contact: Steve Johnson, Director of Entitlement Communities Division, Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 7282, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-4548.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 570.200(h).

Project/Activity: On January 28, 2016, HUD issued a CPD Notice CPD-16-01<sup>1</sup> implementing procedures to govern the submission and review of consolidated plans and action plans for FY 2016 funding prior to the enactment of a FY 2016 HUD appropriation bill. These procedures apply to any Entitlement, Insular or Hawaii nonentitlement grantee with a program year start date prior to, or up to 60 days after, HUD's announcement of the FY 2016 formula program funding allocations for CDBG, ESG, HOME and HOPWA formula funding. Any grantee with an FY 2016 program year start date during the period starting October 1, 2015, and ending August 16, 2016 or 60 days after HUD announcement of FY 2016 allocation amounts (whichever comes first), is advised not to submit its consolidated plan/action plan until the FY 2016 formula allocations have been announced.

Nature of Requirement: The Entitlement CDBG program regulations provide for

<sup>1</sup> See <https://www.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/Notice-CPD-16-01-Guidance-on-Submitting-Consolidated-Plans-and-Annual-Action-Plans-for-FY-2016.pdf>.

situations in which a grantee may incur costs against its CDBG grant prior to the award of its grant from HUD. Under the regulations at 24 CFR 570.200(h), the effective date of a grantee's grant agreement is either the grantee's program year start date or the date that the grantee's annual action plan is received by HUD, whichever is later. This waiver would allow grantees to treat the effective date of the FY 2016 program year as the grantee's program year start date or date or the date that the grantee's annual action plan is received by HUD, whichever is earlier.

Granted By: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Date Granted: January 6, 2016, for effect on October 21, 2015.

Reason Waived: Under the provisions of the Notice, a grantee's action plan may not be submitted to (and thus received by) HUD until several months after the grantee's program year start date. Lengthy delays in the receipt of annual appropriations by HUD, and implementation of the policy to delay submission of FY 2016 Action Plans, may have negative consequences for CDBG grantees that intend to incur eligible costs prior to the award of FY 2016 funding. Some activities might otherwise be interrupted while implementing these revised procedures. In addition, grantees might not otherwise be able to use CDBG funds for planning and administrative costs of administering their programs. In order to address communities' needs and to ensure that programs can continue without disturbance, this waiver will allow grantees to incur pre-award costs on a timetable comparable to that under which grantees have operated in past years. This waiver is available for use by any applicable CDBG grantee whose action plan submission is delayed past the normal submission date because of delayed enactment of FY 2016 appropriations for the Department. This waiver authority is only in effect until August 16, 2016.

Contact: Steve Johnson, Director, Entitlement Communities Division, Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 7282, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-4548.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 882.806(a)(2)(ii).

Project/Activity: The Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles requested a waiver of 24 CFR 882.806(a)(2)(ii) to allow more time to complete the rehabilitation of the Single Room Occupancy Marion Hotel located at 642 Crocker Street.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 882.806(a)(2)(ii) provides that the owner must complete the rehabilitation of the Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy (SRO) project and the contract executed within 12 months of the execution of the Annual Contributions Contract.

Granted By: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistance Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Date Granted: February 19, 2016.

Reason Waived: The Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles had two potential

developers that backed out of the project for financial and other reasons. HUD determined that the new developer has a financially feasible project that would require at least 7 months to complete the project, which is beyond the time limitation of the execution of the Annual Contributions Contract.

Contact: Norman Suchar, Director, Office of Special Needs Assistance Programs, Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 7262, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-5015.

- Regulation: Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3 Notice published on October 19, 2010, at 75 FR 64322 (II.H.3.F) in accordance with Title XII of Division A under the heading Community Planning and Development: Community Development Fund of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Project/Activity: Richland County, OH requested a waiver of the 10 percent demolition cap under the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) which restricts grantees from spending more than 10 percent of total grant funds on demolition activities. The demolition waiver request combined Richland County's program income (\$50,062.41) with an earlier approved demolition waiver of \$420,050, that total \$470,112.41.

These funds will be used to demolish blighted and vacant structures that are becoming prevalent in Richland County, specifically in the City of Mansfield. The use of these funds in target areas will allow the county to remove hazards and the destabilizing influence of blighted properties, while adding value to the neighborhood stabilization strategy the county has undertaken. The ability to use program income for demolition activities will allow the county to close-out their NSP3 grant once these funds are exhausted.

Nature of Requirement: Section II.H.3.F of the NSP3 Notice provides that a grantee may not use more than ten percent of its grant for demolition activities.

Granted By: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Date Granted: March 25, 2016.

Reason Waived: The use of these funds in target areas will allow Richland County to remove hazards and the destabilizing influence of blighted properties, while adding value to the neighborhood stabilization strategy the county has undertaken. The county's neighborhood stabilization strategy is in response to a depressed housing market that has seen Richland County incur 771 foreclosures in 2014, a twelve percent increase from the 684 foreclosures the county suffered in 2013. The ability to use program income for demolition activities will allow the county to close-out their NSP3 grant once these funds are exhausted.

Contact: Jessie Handforth Kome, Deputy Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Office of Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 7286, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-5539.

- Regulation: Neighborhood Stabilization Program 3 Notice published on October 19, 2010, at 75 FR 64322 (II.H.3.F) in accordance with Title XII of Division A under the heading Community Planning and Development: Community Development Fund of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009.

Project/Activity: Saginaw, MI requested a waiver of the 10 percent demolition cap under the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) which restricts grantees from spending more than 10 percent of total grant funds on demolition activities. The demolition waiver request submitted was for \$97,614 or eight percent of its NSP3 allocation, and was for the continued demolition and removal of hazards and blighted properties.

Nature of Requirement: Section II.H.3.F of the NSP3 Notice provides that a grantee may not use more than 10 percent of its grant for demolition activities.

Granted By: Harriet Tregoning, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

Date Granted: February 24, 2016.

Reason Waived: The market conditions in the Saginaw metro area require a mix of demolition of unsafe structures coupled with the preservation of housing units to stabilize communities that have suffered from foreclosures and abandonment. The housing vacancy rate in the NSP target area fluctuates between eighteen to 35 percent as of early 2016, despite the fact that the unemployment rate in the immediate area has steadily improved. The use of the final \$97,614 is the most effective means to meet the needs of the Saginaw community.

Contact: Jessie Handforth Kome, Deputy Director, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 7286, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-5539.

## II. Regulatory Waivers Granted by the Office of Housing—Federal Housing Administration (FHA)

For further information about the following regulatory waivers, please see the name of the contact person that immediately follows the description of the waiver granted.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 219.220(b).

Project/Activity: Woodland Christian Towers, FHA Project Number 114-44801T, Houston, Texas. Woodland Christian Towers, Incorporated (Owner) seeks approval to defer repayment of the Flexible Subsidy Operating Assistance Loan on the subject project.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 219.220(b) (1995), which governs the repayment of operating assistance provided under the Flexible Subsidy Program for Troubled Properties, states "Assistance that has been paid to a project owner under this subpart must be repaid at the earlier of the expiration of the term of the mortgage, termination of mortgage insurance, prepayment of the mortgage, or a sale of the project."

Granted by: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: February 1, 2016.

Reason Waived: The owner requested and was granted waiver of the requirement to repay the Flexible Subsidy Operating Assistance Loan in full when it became due. Deferring the loan payment will preserve this affordable housing resource for an additional 30 years through the execution and recordation of a Rental Use Agreement.

Contact: James Wyatt, Account Executive, Field Asset Management and Program Administration Division, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 6172, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-2519.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 203.41 and 24 CFR 206.45.

Project/Activity: Properties eligible for FHA-insured mortgages.

Nature of Requirement: The Amended and Restated Condominium Bylaws of the Waterway Pines condominium project contains restrictions on conveyance rendering this project, as provided in 24 CFR 203.41, ineligible for FHA approval.

Additionally, any Home Equity Conversion Mortgage (HECM) secured by a dwelling subject to the covenants is ineligible, as provided in 24 CFR 206.45, for FHA insurance as HECM properties are required to be freely marketable and only permits a property to have a restriction on conveyance when permitted. The waiver is applicable to issuance of a case number for the property located at 367 Timberlake Drive E, Unit #125, Holland, Michigan 49424 only.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: February 29, 2016.

Reason Waived: Due to extenuating circumstances, this waiver was issued so that the purchaser did not lose the opportunity to purchase an affordable housing unit based on the Association Board's reluctance to amend the legal documents to obtain FHA condominium project approval.

Contact: Elissa O. Saunders, Director, Office of Single Family Program Development, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 9278, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-2121.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 232.7.

Project/Activity: Les Mason is a memory care facility. The facility does not meet the requirements of 24 CFR 232.7 "Bathroom" of FHA's regulations. The project is located in Crever Coeur, MO.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 232.7 mandates in a board and care home or assisted living facility that not less than one full bathroom must be provided for every four residents. Also, the bathroom cannot be accessed from a public corridor or area.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: February 29, 2016.

Reason Waived: The project is for memory care, all rooms have half-bathrooms and the resident to full bathroom ratio is 11: 1. The project meets the State of Missouri's licensing requirements for bathing and toileting facilities.

Contact: Vance T. Morris, Operations Manager, Office of Healthcare Programs,

Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 2337, Washington, DC 20401, telephone (202) 402-2419.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 266.638(b) and (d).

Project/Activity: Louisiana Housing Corporation (LHC), New Orleans, Louisiana Project, St. Martin Manor Project Number: 064-98014, Project: Villa Additions, Project Number: 064-98017.

Nature of Requirement: HUD's regulation at CFR 266.638(b) and (d) for debenture maturity and interest rate requirement is that the HFA Debenture shall, during the extended period, continue to bear interest as described below at HUD's published debenture rate at the earlier of initial endorsement or final endorsement. The HFA debenture extension shall bear interest at HUD's published debenture rate at the earlier of initial endorsement or final endorsement.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: March 30, 2016.

Reason Waived: The waiver will ensure that LHC is able to complete the re-development of the two properties and replace needed affordable housing in New Orleans. The waiver is an extension of a previously granted waiver for the debenture interest accruals, and the Katrina related claims were related to an extraordinary natural disaster.

Contact: Theodore K. Toon, Director, Office of Multifamily Housing Development, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 6134, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-8386.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 266.100(a)(5).

Project/Activity: Utah Housing Corporation (UHC), West Valley City, Utah.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 266.100(a)(5) requires housing finance agencies seeking participation in the Section 542(c) HFA Risk Sharing program to have at least 5 years of experience in multifamily underwriting.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: March 30, 2016.

Reason Waived: HUD determined that UHC is a qualified and experienced agency and meets the basic program qualifications and documented significant financial capacity to participate in the Risk Sharing Program. UHC's waiver approval and participating in the Risk Sharing Program are subject to the following conditions: (1) UHC participation will be limited to Level I (50/50) risk share only (UHC has the option to apply for level II risk share status once five years of successful underwriting has been achieved.); (2) UHC will confirm that it continues to hold an issuer rating of "A" or better from a national credit rating agency; (3) UHC will operate under a probationary period, until such time as it has obtained two Firm Commitments for two risk share transactions; and (4) UHC will work with the Denver Hub to complete a quality assurance review to ensure that UHC has complied with its own procedures for project underwriting.

Contact: Theodore K. Toon, Director, Office of Multifamily Housing Development, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and

Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 6134, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-8386.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 891.165.

Project/Activity: Campbell Ridge Apartments, King, NC, Project Number: 053-HD255/NC19-Q101-004.

Nature of Requirement: Section 891.165 provides that the duration of the fund reservation of the capital advance is 18-months from the date of issuance with limited exceptions up to 36 months, as approved by HUD on a case-by-case basis.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: January 7, 2016.

Reason Waived: Additional time was needed for the office to update the firm commitment package.

Contact: Alicia Anderson, Branch Chief, Grants and New Funding, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 6138, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-5787.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 891.165.

Project/Activity: VOA Living Center of Lake City, Lake City, FL, Project Number: 063-HD030/FL29-Q101-004.

Nature of Requirement: Section 891.165 provides that the duration of the fund reservation of the capital advance is 18-months from the date of issuance with limited exceptions up to 36 months, as approved by HUD on a case-by-case basis.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: February 28, 2016.

Reason Waived: Additional time was needed for the office to review the initial closing package.

Contact: Alicia Anderson, Branch Chief, Grants and New Funding, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 6138, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-5787.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 891.165.

Project/Activity: Victoria at COMM22, San Diego, CA, Project Number: 129-EE036/CA33-S101-001.

Nature of Requirement: Section 891.165 provides that the duration of the fund reservation of the capital advance is 18-months from the date of issuance with limited exceptions up to 36 months, as approved by HUD on a case-by-case basis.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: March 31, 2016.

Reason Waived: Additional time was needed to meet other requirements of the State of California and the tax credit investor for receipt of their loans and capital contributions.

Contact: Alicia Anderson, Branch Chief, Grants and New Funding, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 6138, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 402-5787.

- Regulation: Waiver of Requirements of Mortgagee Letter 2011-22, Condominium Project Approval and Processing Guide, Insurance Requirements.

Project/Activity: Properties eligible for FHA-insured mortgages.

Nature of Requirement: FHA's current insurance requirement is that a HOA maintain master master/blanket hazard and liability property insurance for the replacement cost of the entire project, including the structures.

Granted By: Edward L. Golding, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing.

Date Granted: January 5, 2016.

Reason Waived: In some circumstances, HOA legal governing documents assign the responsibility to the individual unit owner to obtain and maintain insurance coverage for certain condominium project types; Manufactured Housing Condominium Project (MHCP), Detached Condominium Housing Project (DCHP), and Common Interest Housing Development (CIHD). To assist in ensuring the continued availability of affordable housing, a waiver of the current condominium unit insurance requirements that allow the individual unit owner to obtain and maintain their own insurance coverage is required. The issuance of the waiver is consistent with the Department's objectives to expand access to mortgage credit, while providing appropriate safeguards to waive the insurance requirements.

Contact: Elissa O. Saunders, Director, Office of Single Family Program Development, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 9278, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-2121.

### III. Regulatory Waivers Granted by the Office of Public and Indian Housing

For further information about the following regulatory waivers, please see the name of the contact person that immediately follows the description of the waiver granted.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 5.801(c)(1) and (d)(1).

Project/Activity: Haverhill Housing Authority (MA087).

Nature of Requirement: The regulations establish certain reporting compliance dates. The audited financial statements are required to be submitted to the Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC) no later than nine months after the housing authority's (HA) fiscal year end (FYE), in accordance with the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 23, 2016.

Reason Waived: The HA is a Section 8 only entity with the Housing Choice Program, requesting additional time to submit its audited financial data for fiscal year end (FYE) March 31, 2015. The agency's fee accountant was unable to complete a scheduled merger with an auditing firm in time enough to perform and submit the audited information. The HA has until March 31, 2016, to complete and submit its audited financial data to the Department. The additional time would allow the auditor necessary time to compile and complete the agency's audited financial data report.

This FASS audited financial submission waiver (extension) does not apply to Single Audit submissions to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse and the HA is required to meet the Single Audit due dates.

Contact: Dee Ann R. Walker, Acting Program Manager, NASS, Real Estate Assessment Center, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 550 12th Street SW., Room 100, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 475-7908.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 5.801(c)(1) and (d)(1).

Project/Activity: Mohave County Housing Authority (AZ043).

Nature of Requirement: The regulation establishes certain reporting compliance dates. The audited financial statements are required to be submitted to the Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC) no later than nine months after the housing authority's (HA) fiscal year end (FYE), in accordance with the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: March 7, 2016.

Reason Waived: The (HA is a Section 8 only entity requesting additional time to submit its audited financial data for its fiscal year end (FYE) of June 30, 2015. The County-wide report for the state of Arizona's Office of the Auditor General had been delayed due to incomplete information of pension financial liability; the HA is requesting a 60-day extension to align with the state's audited financial report. The HA has until May 31, 2016, to complete and submit its audited financial data to HUD. The additional time would allow the auditor necessary time to compile and complete the agency's audited financial data report.

This FASS audited financial submission waiver (extension) does not apply to Single Audit submissions to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse and the HA is required to meet the Single Audit due dates.

Contact: Dee Ann R. Walker, Acting Program Manager, NASS, Real Estate Assessment Center, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 550 12th Street SW., Room 100, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 475-7908.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 5.801(c)(1) and (d)(1).

Project/Activity: Tallahassee Housing Authority (FL073).

Nature of Requirement: The regulation establishes certain reporting compliance dates. The audited financial statements are required to be submitted to the Real Estate Assessment Center (REAC) no later than nine months after the housing authority's (HA) fiscal year end (FYE), in accordance with the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: March 25, 2016.

Reason Waived: The HA is requesting an additional time to submit its audited financial data for its fiscal year end (FYE) of June 30, 2015. The HAs cash balances for FY 2014 and FY 2015 were not reconciled as a result of converting to a new accounting system and a new software system. Also, the cash transactions were posted to incorrect funds and charged to incorrect bank accounts which rendered the HA's records unreliable

resulting in the HA's auditor issuing a Disclaimer of opinion for FYE June 30, 2014, audited financial statements, and issued an adverse opinion on the Major Federal Program Compliance for the Housing Choice Vouchers program and the Mainstream Vouchers program. In addition, the Finance Supervisor was fired as a result of fraud allegations and the Finance Director resigned. The HA has until May 31, 2016, to complete and submit its audited financial data to HUD. The additional time would allow the auditor necessary time to compile and complete the agency's audited financial data report. This FASS audited financial submission waiver (extension) does not apply to Single Audit submissions to the Federal Audit Clearinghouse and the HA is required to meet the Single Audit due dates.

Contact: Dee Ann R. Walker, Acting Program Manager, NASS, Real Estate Assessment Center, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 550 12th Street SW., Room 100, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 475-7908.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) and (c)(2).

Project/Activity: Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles (HACLA) in Los Angeles, California, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) and 982.503(c)(2) so that it could establish different payment standard amounts for its HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) participants.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) states that the public housing agency's (PHA) voucher payment standard schedule shall establish a single payment standard amount for each unit size. For each unit size, the PHA may establish a single payment standard amount for the whole fair market rent (FMR) area, or may establish a separate payment standard amount for each designated part of the FMR area. The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(c)(2) states that the HUD Field Office may approve an exception payment standard amount from 110 percent of the published FMR to 120 percent of the published FMR if the Field Office determines that approval is justified by either the median rent method or the 40th or 50th percentile rent method and that such approval is also supported by an appropriate program justification.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: January 28, 2016.

Reason Waived: HACLA wished to establish a different payment standard schedule for participants in its HUD-VASH program because these families are traditionally more difficult to house when affordable housing is in short supply.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) and (c)(2).

Project/Activity: Housing Authority of the County of Los Angeles (HACoLA) in

Alhambra, California, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) and 982.503(c)(2) so that it could establish different payment standard amounts for its HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) participants.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) states that the public housing agency's (PHA) voucher payment standard schedule shall establish a single payment standard amount for each unit size. For each unit size, the PHA may establish a single payment standard amount for the whole fair market rent (FMR) area, or may establish a separate payment standard amount for each designated part of the FMR area. The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(c)(2) states that the HUD Field Office may approve an exception payment standard amount from 110 percent of the published FMR to 120 percent of the published FMR if the Field Office determines that approval is justified by either the median rent method or the 40th or 50th percentile rent method and that such approval is also supported by an appropriate program justification.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 5, 2016.

Reason Waived: HACoLA wished to establish a different payment standard schedule for participants in its HUD-VASH program because these families are traditionally more difficult to house when affordable housing is in short supply.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) and (c)(2).

Project/Activity: Housing Authority of the County of the City of Santa Rosa in Santa Rosa (HACSR), California, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) and 982.503(c)(2) so that it could establish different payment standard amounts for its HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (VASH) participants.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(a)(3) states that the public housing agency's (PHA) voucher payment standard schedule shall establish a single payment standard amount for each unit size. For each unit size, the PHA may establish a single payment standard amount for the whole fair market rent (FMR) area, or may establish a separate payment standard amount for each designated part of the FMR area. The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(c)(2) states that the HUD Field Office may approve an exception payment standard amount from 110 percent of the published FMR to 120 percent of the published FMR if the Field Office determines that approval is justified by either the median rent method or the 40th or 50th percentile rent method and that such approval is also supported by an appropriate program justification.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 10, 2016.

Reason Waived: HACSR wished to establish a different payment standard schedule for participants in its HUD-VASH program because these families are traditionally more difficult to house when affordable housing is in short supply.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.503(c), (c)(4)(ii) and (c)(5).

Project/Activity: Burleigh County Housing Authority (BCHA) in Bismarck, North Dakota, requested waivers of 24 CFR 982.503(c), (c)(4)(ii) and (c)(5) so that it could establish exception payment standards at 120 percent of the FMRs due to oil exploration's effect on housing.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(c) establishes the methodology for establishing exception payment standards for an area. The regulation at 24 CFR 503(c)(4)(ii) states that HUD will only approve an exception payment standard amount after six months from the date of HUD approval of an exception payment standard amount above 110 percent to 120 percent of the published fair market rent (FMR). The regulation at 24 CFR 982.503(c)(5) states that the total population of a HUD-approved exception areas in an FMR area may not include more than 50 percent of the population of the FMR area.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 26, 2016.

Reason Waived: These waivers were granted because of increased economic activity and lack of affordable housing due to natural resource exploration.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Director, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: Housing Authority of the County of Alameda (HACA) in Hayward California, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: January 7, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to

allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40 percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: New York Homes and Community Renewal (NYHCR), in New York, New York requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: January 7, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40 percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: Peninsula Housing Authority (PHA) in Port Angeles, Washington, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 3, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40 percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW.,

Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: Howard County Housing (HCH) in Columbia, Maryland, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 5, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40 percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: Boston Housing Authority (BHA) in Boston, Massachusetts, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 26, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40 percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: Dedham Housing Authority (DHA) in Dedham, Massachusetts, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher

payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 26, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40 percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: Medford Housing Authority (MHA) in Medford, Massachusetts, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: March 23, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40 percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 982.505(d).

Project/Activity: Colorado Division of Housing (CDH) in Denver, Colorado, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 982.505(d) so that it could approve an exception payment standard amount above 120 percent of the FMR as a reasonable accommodation.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 982.505(d) states that a public housing agency may only approve a higher payment standard for a family as a reasonable accommodation if the higher payment standard is no more than 120 percent of the fair market rent (FMR) for the unit size.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: March 30, 2016.

Reason Waived: This regulation was waived as a reasonable accommodation to allow a disabled participant to receive housing assistance and pay no more than 40

percent of its adjusted income toward the family share.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 985.101(a).

Project/Activity: Deerfield Beach Housing Authority (DBHA) in Deerfield Beach, Florida, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 985.101(a) so that it could submit its Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) certification after the deadline.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 985.101(a) states a PHA must submit the HUD-required SEMAP certification form within 60 calendar days after the end of its fiscal year.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: February 24, 2016.

Reason Waived: This waiver was granted because for the DBHA's fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. The waiver was approved because of circumstances beyond the PHA's control and to prevent additional administrative burdens for the PHA and field office.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 985.101(a).

Project/Activity: Lake County Housing Authority (LCHA) in Grayslake, Illinois, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 985.101(a) so that it could submit its Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) certification after the deadline.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 985.101(a) states a PHA must submit the HUD-required SEMAP certification form within 60 calendar days after the end of its fiscal year.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: March 3, 2016.

Reason Waived: This waiver was granted because for the LCHA's fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. The waiver was approved because of circumstances beyond the PHA's control and to prevent additional administrative burdens for the PHA and field office.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 985.101(a).

Project/Activity: Housing and Community Services Agency of Lane County (HCSALC) in Eugene, Oregon, requested a waiver of 24

CFR 985.101(a) so that it could submit its Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) certification after the deadline.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 985.101(a) states a PHA must submit the HUD-required SEMAP certification form within 60 calendar days after the end of its fiscal year.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing

Date Granted: March 7, 2016.

Reason Waived: This waiver was granted for the HCSALC's fiscal year ending September 30, 2015. The waiver was approved because of circumstances beyond the PHA's control and to prevent additional administrative burdens for the PHA and field office.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 985.101(a).

Project/Activity: Sedalia Housing Authority (SHA) in Sedalia, Missouri, requested a waiver of 24 CFR 985.101(a) so that it could submit its Section Eight Management Assessment Program (SEMAP) certification after the deadline.

Nature of Requirement: The regulation at 24 CFR 985.101(a) states a PHA must submit the HUD-required SEMAP certification form within 60 calendar days after the end of its fiscal year.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: March 29, 2016.

Reason Waived: This waiver was granted because for the SHA's fiscal year ending December 31, 2015. The waiver was approved because of circumstances beyond the PHA's control and to prevent additional administrative burdens for the PHA and field office.

Contact: Becky Primeaux, Housing Voucher Management and Operations Division, Office of Public Housing and Voucher Programs, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4216, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0477.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 905.314.

Project/Activity: The Chester Housing Authority (CHA) requested a good cause waiver to transfer 33 percent of its 2016 Capital Fund Formula Grant into BLI 1406-Operations, in part to fund certain anticrime measures.

Nature of Requirement: In accordance with 24 CFR 905.314, PHAs may use Operating Funds for anticrime and antidrug activities, including costs of providing adequate security for public housing residents, including above-baseline service agreements.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: May 22, 2016.

Reason Waived: CHA's letter of March 2016 included all the information provided by the Capital Fund Processing Guidance to make a good cause determination. Specifically, CHA requested \$628,435.00 to be transferred to Budget Line Item 1406 for Operations. CHA provided recent crime data at the developments and indicated the specific activities that it plans to use the funds for.

Contact: Dominique Blom, Deputy Assistant Secretary for the Office of Public Housing Investments, Office of Public and Indian Housing, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4130, Washington, DC 20140, telephone (202) 402-4181.

- Regulation: 24 CFR 905.314.

Project/Activity: The Westmoreland County Housing Authority (WCHA) requested a good cause waiver to transfer 27 percent of its 2016 Capital Fund Formula Grant into BLI 1406-Operations, in part to fund certain anticrime measures.

Nature of Requirement: In accordance 24 CFR 905.314, PHAs may use Operating Funds for anticrime and antidrug activities, including costs of providing adequate security for public housing residents, including above-baseline service agreements.

Granted By: Lourdes Castro Ramírez, Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

Date Granted: May 29, 2016.

Reason Waived: WCHA's letter of March 2016 included all the information provided by the Capital Fund Processing Guidance to make a good cause determination. Specifically, WCHA requested \$550,086 to be transferred to Budget Line Item 1406 for Operations. WCHA provided recent crime data at the developments and indicated the specific activities that it plans to use the funds for.

Contact: Dominique Blom, Deputy Assistant Secretary for the Office of Public Housing Investments, Office of Public and Indian Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 4130, Washington, DC 20140, telephone (202) 402-4181.

[FR Doc. 2016-13699 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FW-HQ-IA-2016-N098; FXIA1671090000-167-FF09A30000]

#### Proposed Information Collection; Import of Sport-Hunted African Elephant Trophies

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service) will ask the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the information collection (IC) described below. As required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and

as part of our continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we invite the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on this IC. This IC is scheduled to expire on November 30, 2016. We may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

**DATES:** To ensure that we are able to consider your comments on this IC, we must receive them by August 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your comments on the IC to the Information Collection Clearance Officer, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, MS BPHC, 5275 Leesburg Pike, Falls Church, VA 22041-3803 (mail); or [hope\\_grey@fws.gov](mailto:hope_grey@fws.gov) (email). Please include "1018-0164" in the subject line of your comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** To request additional information about this IC, contact Hope Grey at [hope\\_grey@fws.gov](mailto:hope_grey@fws.gov) (email) or 703-358-2482 (telephone).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Abstract

On June 6, 2016, we published a final rule (81 FR 36388), Revision of the Section 4(d) Rule for the African Elephant (*Loxodonta africana*) (4(d) rule). The rule will be effective on July 6, 2016. After that date, permits will be required to import all African elephant trophies (*i.e.*, from both Appendix-I and Appendix-II populations).

When a species is listed as threatened, section 4(d) of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) gives discretion to the Secretary of the Interior to issue regulations that he or she "deems necessary and advisable to provide for the conservation of such species." In response to an unprecedented increase in poaching of elephants across Africa and the escalation of the illegal trade in ivory, we reevaluated the provisions of the existing ESA 4(d) rule for the African elephant. We revised the 4(d) rule by adopting measures that are necessary and advisable for the current conservation needs of the species, based on our evaluation of the current threats to the African elephant and the comments received from the public. The poaching crisis is driven by demand for elephant ivory. The final rule allows us to more strictly regulate trade in African elephant ivory and to help ensure that the U.S. ivory market is not contributing to the poaching of elephants in Africa.

Currently, import of sport-hunted African elephant trophies from Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and

Flora (CITES) Appendix-II populations does not require an ESA threatened species import permit. Applications for permits required under current regulations (for import of African elephant sport-hunted trophies from CITES Appendix-I populations) are approved under OMB Control Number 1018-0093, which expires May 31, 2017. Under the revised rule, permits will be required to import all African elephant sport-hunted trophies from both Appendix-I and Appendix-II populations. As a result of the revised 4(d) rule, we expect to receive an additional 300 applications for permits. The burden associated with these

additional applications is the basis of this information collection.

We requested that OMB approve, on an emergency basis, our request to collect information associated with permits to import African elephant sport-hunted trophies from Appendix-II populations. We asked for emergency approval because of the potential negative effects of delaying publication of the final 4(d) rule. OMB approved our request and assigned OMB Control No. 1018-0164, which expires November 30, 2016.

We will ask OMB to grant regular approval (3 years) for this information collection. If OMB grants regular approval, we will include the burden

associated with the expected 300 additional applications in OMB Control Number 1018-0093 when we renew the approval in May 2017.

**II. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* 1018-0164.

*Title:* Import of Sport-Hunted African Elephant Trophies, 50 CFR 17.

*Service Form Number:* 3-200-19.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Description of Respondents:* Individuals.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

*Frequency of Collection:* On occasion.

Activity	Number of respondents	Number of responses	Completion time per response (minutes)	Total annual burden hours
3-200-9—application to import African elephant trophy from Appendix-II populations .....	300	300	20	100
Totals .....	300	300	.....	100

*Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:* \$30,000, primarily associated with application fees. Application fee is \$100 per application.

**III. Comments**

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

- Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this IC. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: June 6, 2016.

**Tina A. Campbell,**

*Chief, Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13678 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333-15-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Indian Affairs**

[167 A2100DD/AAKC001030/AOA501010.999900]

**Request for Nominations of Members To Serve on the Bureau of Indian Education Advisory Board for Exceptional Children**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 (IDEA), the Bureau of Indian Education (BIE) requests nominations of individuals to serve on the Advisory Board for Exceptional Children (Advisory Board). There are three positions available. The BIE will consider nominations received in response to this request for nominations, as well as other sources.

**DATES:** Please submit nominations by July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Please submit nominations to Ms. Sue Bement, Designated Federal

Officer (DFO), Bureau of Indian Education, Division of Performance and Accountability, 1011 Indian School Road NW., Suite 332, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87104, telephone 505-563-5274, or fax to 505-563-5281.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Contact Ms. Sue Bement, DFO, at the above listed address and telephone number.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Advisory Board was established in accordance with FACA, 5 U.S.C. App. 2, section 10(a)(b). The following provides information about the Committee, the membership, and the nomination process.

**1. Objective and Duties**

(a) Members of the Advisory Board will provide guidance, advice, and recommendations with respect to special education and related services for children with disabilities in BIE-funded schools in accordance with the requirements of IDEA.

(b) The Advisory Board will:

- (1) Provide advice and recommendations for the coordination of services within the BIE and with other local, State, and Federal agencies;
- (2) Provide advice and recommendations on a broad range of policy issues dealing with the provision of educational services to American Indian children with disabilities;
- (3) Serve as advocates for American Indian students with special education needs by providing advice and

recommendations regarding best practices, effective program coordination strategies, and recommendations for improved educational programming;

(4) Provide advice and recommendations for the preparation of information required to be submitted to the Secretary of Education under 20 U.S.C. 1411(h)(2);

(5) Provide advice and recommend policies concerning effective inter- and intra- agency collaboration, including modifications to regulations, and the elimination of barriers to inter- and intra- agency programs and activities; and

(6) Report and direct all correspondence to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs through the Director, BIE with a courtesy copy to the DFO.

## 2. Membership

(a) Under 20 U.S.C. 1411(h)(6), the Advisory Board will be composed of up to 15 individuals involved in or concerned with the education and provision of services to Indian infants, toddlers, children, and youth with disabilities. The Advisory Board composition will reflect a broad range of viewpoints and will include at least one member representing each of the following interests: Indians with disabilities; teachers of children with disabilities; Indian parents or guardians of children with disabilities; service providers; State education officials; local education officials; State interagency coordinating councils (for States having Indian reservations); Tribal representatives or Tribal organization representatives; and other members representing the various divisions and entities of the BIE.

(b) The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs may provide the Secretary of the Interior recommendations for the chairperson; however, the chairperson and other Advisory Board members will be appointed by the Secretary of the Interior. Advisory Board members shall serve staggered terms of two years or three years from the date of their appointment.

## 3. Miscellaneous

(a) Members of the Advisory Board will not receive compensation, but will be reimbursed for travel, including subsistence, and other necessary expenses incurred in the performance of their duties in the same manner as persons employed intermittently in Government Service under 5 U.S.C. 5703.

(b) A member may not participate in matters that will directly affect, or

appear to affect, the financial interests of the member or the member's spouse or minor children, unless authorized by the appropriate ethics official.

Compensation from employment does not constitute a financial interest of the member so long as the matter before the committee will not have a special or distinct effect on the member or the member's employer, other than as part of a class. The provisions of this paragraph do not affect any other statutory or regulatory ethical obligations to which a member may be subject.

(c) The Advisory Board meets at least twice per year, budget permitting, but additional meetings may be held as deemed necessary by the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs or the DFO.

(d) All Advisory Board meetings are open to the public in accordance with FACA regulations.

## 4. Nomination Information

(a) Nominations are requested from individuals, organizations, and federally recognized Tribes, as well as from State Directors of Special Education (within the 23 states in which BIE-funded schools are located) concerned with the education of Indian children with disabilities as described above.

(b) Nominees should have expertise and knowledge of the issues and/or needs of American Indian children with disabilities. Such knowledge and expertise are needed to provide advice and recommendations to the BIE regarding the needs of American Indian children with disabilities.

(c) Nominees must have the ability to attend Advisory Board meetings, carry out Advisory Board assignments, participate in teleconference calls, and work in groups.

(d) The Department of the Interior is committed to equal opportunities in the workplace and seeks diverse Committee membership, which is bound by the Indian Preference Act of 1990 (25 U.S.C. 472).

## 5. Basis for Nominations

If you wish to nominate someone for appointment to the Advisory Board, please do not make the nomination until the person has agreed to have his or her name submitted to the BIE for this purpose.

## 6. Nomination Application

Nominations should include a resume providing an adequate description of the nominee's qualifications, including information that would enable the Department of the Interior to make an informed decision regarding meeting the membership requirements of the

Committee and permit the Department of the Interior to contact a potential member. The nomination application, which can be found on the BIE Web site at <http://www.bie.edu/Programs/SpecialEd/AdvisoryBoard/index.htm>, must also be included.

## 7. Information Collection

This collection of information is authorized by OMB Control Number 1076-0179, "Solicitation of Nominations for the Advisory Board for Exceptional Children."

Dated: May 24, 2016.

**Lawrence S. Roberts,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13694 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4337-15-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[LLCO956000 L14400000.BJ0000 16X]

### Notice of Filing of Plats of Survey; Colorado

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of filing of plats of survey; Colorado.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Colorado State Office is publishing this notice to inform the public of the intent to officially file the survey plats listed below and afford a proper period of time to protest this action prior to the plat filing. During this time, the plats will be available for review in the BLM Colorado State Office.

**DATES:** Unless there are protests of this action, the filing of the plats described in this notice will happen on July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** BLM Colorado State Office, Cadastral Survey, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, CO 80215-7093.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Randy Bloom, Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado, (303) 239-3856.

Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The plat and field notes of the dependent resurvey and survey in Township 40

North, Range 11 East, New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on March 30, 2016.

The plat and field notes of the dependent resurvey and survey in Township 41 North, Range 11 East, New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on March 30, 2016.

The plat and field notes of the dependent resurvey and survey in Township 18 South, Range 70 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on April 18, 2016.

The plat, in 2 sheets, incorporating the field notes of the dependent resurvey and subdivision of section 16 in Township 4 North, Range 71 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted on May 13, 2016.

The plat and field notes of the dependent resurvey and survey in Township 36 North, Range 17 West, New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on May 23, 2016.

The field notes of the remonumentation of certain original corners in Township 27 South, Range 47 West, Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, were accepted on May 27, 2016.

**Randy Bloom,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13660 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management**

[LLC0956000 L14400000.BJ0000 16X]

**Notice of Filing of Plats of Survey; Colorado**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Filing of Plats of Survey; Colorado.

**SUMMARY:** On Tuesday, July 14, 2009, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Colorado State Office, published a Notice of Stay of Filing of Plat, in the *Federal Register* (74 FR 34035) to inform the public of a stay on the proposed filing of the dependent resurvey of the east boundary and a portion of the subdivisional lines in Township 42 North, Range 13 West, New Mexico Principal Meridian, Colorado, accepted on December 22, 2008, pending consideration of the protest and/or appeal that was filed. On May 27, 2016, the Interior Board of Land Appeals affirmed the BLM's decision to dismiss the protest. The BLM Colorado State Office is publishing this notice to

inform the public of the intent to officially file the survey plat listed above and afford a proper period of time to protest this action prior to the plat filing. During this time, the plat will be available for review in the BLM Colorado State Office.

**DATES:** Unless there are protests of this action, the filing of the plat described in this notice will happen on July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** BLM Colorado State Office, Cadastral Survey, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, CO 80215-7093.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Randy Bloom, Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado, (303) 239-3856.

Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**Randy Bloom,**

*Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13659 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Land Management**

[LLCON06000-L16100000-DQ0000 16X]

**Notice of Resource Advisory Council Meetings for the Dominguez-Escalante National Conservation Area Advisory Council**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Public Meetings.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Dominguez-Escalante National Conservation Area (NCA) Advisory Council (Council) will meet as indicated below.

**DATES:** The Council will meet July 13, 2016, and July 27, 2016. Any adjustments to these meetings will be advertised on the Dominguez-Escalante NCA Resource Management Plan (RMP) Web site: [http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/nca/denca/denca\\_rmp.html](http://www.blm.gov/co/st/en/nca/denca/denca_rmp.html).

**ADDRESSES:** The July 13 meeting will be held at the Mesa County Old Courthouse, 544 Rood Ave. Grand Junction, CO 81501. The July 27

meeting will be held at the Bill Heddles Recreation Center, 530 Gunnison River Drive, Delta, CO 81416.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Collin Ewing, Dominguez-Escalante NCA Advisory Council Designated Federal Official, 2815 H Road, Grand Junction, CO 81506. Phone: (970) 244-3049. Email: [cewing@blm.gov](mailto:cewing@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 10-member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with the RMP process for the Dominguez-Escalante NCA and Dominguez Canyon Wilderness.

Topics of discussion during the meetings may include presentations from BLM staff on management actions contained in the RMP, particularly public comments on alternatives in the Draft RMP.

These meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the Council. Time will be allocated at the middle and end of each meeting to hear public comments. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited at the discretion of the chair.

**Ruth Welch,**

*BLM Colorado State Director.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13658 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1004]

**Certain Mobile and Portable Electronic Devices Incorporating Haptics (Including Smartphones and Laptops) and Components Thereof; Institution of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on May 5, 2016, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C.

§ 1337, on behalf of Immersion Corporation of San Jose, California. Supplements to the complaint were filed on May 9, May 16, and May 24, 2016. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile and portable electronic devices incorporating haptics (including smartphones and laptops) and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,749,507 (“the ‘507 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,808,488 (“the ‘488 patent”); U.S. Patent No. 7,336,260 (“the ‘260 patent”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,581,710 (“the ‘710 patent”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

**ADDRESSES:** The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

**Authority:** The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR § 210.10 (2016).

*Scope of Investigation:* Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 3, 2016, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain mobile and portable electronic devices incorporating haptics (including smartphones and laptops) and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1–5, 9–12, and 14–17 of the ‘507 patent; claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 17, 18, 25–27, and 29 of the ‘488 patent; claims 1 and 2 of the ‘260 patent; and claims 1, 7–10, and 12 of the ‘710 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR § 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. §§ 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Immersion Corporation, 50 Rio Robles, San Jose, CA 95134.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Apple Inc., 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014

AT&T Mobility LLC, 1025 Lenox Park Boulevard NE., Atlanta, GA 30319.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

The Chief Administrative Law Judge is authorized to consolidate Inv. No. 337-TA-990 and this investigation if he deems it appropriate.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the

Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR § 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR §§ 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 6, 2016.

**Lisa R. Barton,**  
*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13671 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—*fd.io Project, Inc.*

Notice is hereby given that, on May 4, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), *fd.io Project, Inc.* (“*fd.io*”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties to the venture and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances.

Pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture are: Intel Corporation, Hillsboro, OR; Brocade Communications Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA; Inocybe Technologies Inc., Gatineau, Quebec City, CANADA; Huawei Technologies Co., Ltd., Bantian,

Longgang District, Shenzhen, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Cisco Systems, Inc., Milpitas, CA; PLUMgrid, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; NXP Semiconductor Inc. (Freescale), Austin, TX; Mesosphere Inc., San Francisco, CA; Metaswitch Networks, San Francisco, CA; Cavium Networks, Inc., San Jose, CA; Ericsson, Kista, SWEDEN; Comcast, Philadelphia, PA; Red Hat, Inc., Raleigh, NC; and 6 WIND, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, FRANCE.

The general area of fd.io's planned activity are to: (a) Drive the evolution of IO services (IO, processing, and management agents for networking, storage, and other types of IO) through a neutral community delivering open source software that supports deployment models including cloud, NFV, container, bare metal networking, storage, and other types of IO, in order to create a high performance, modular, and extensible open source platform fostering innovation in IO services ("the Platform"); (b) host a collection of projects that form a cohesive code base for open community based development, enhanced component compatibility and interoperability, greater choice and flexibility for data plane developers, and an open environment for IO services development and technology adoption; (c) support and maintain the strategic framework of the Platform through the technologies made available by the organization to make the Platform a success; (d) support and maintain policies set by the Board of Directors of the Joint Venture; (e) promote such Platform worldwide; (f) create and maintain programs regarding the use of Joint Venture trademarks; and (g) undertake such other activities as may from time to time be appropriate to further the purposes and achieve the goals set forth above.

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13629 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Cooperative Research Group on Development and Validation of FlawPRO for Assessing Defect Tolerance of Welded Pipes Under Generalized High Strain Conditions**

Notice is hereby given that, on April 27, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the

National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Southwest Research Institute—Cooperative Research Group on Development and Validation of FlawPRO for Assessing Defect Tolerance of Welded Pipes Under Generalized High Strain Conditions ("FlawPRO—JIP") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ConocoPhillips Company, Houston, TX, has withdrawn as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and FlawPRO—JIP intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 17, 2011, FlawPRO—JIP filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 7, 2011 (76 FR 39901).

The last notification was filed with the Department on November 2, 2012. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 11, 2012 (77 FR 73676).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13633 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—UHD Alliance, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on May 11, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), UHD Alliance, Inc. ("UHD Alliance") filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages

under specified circumstances. Specifically, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA; Tongfang Global, Ltd. (Seiki), Diamond Bar, CA; Arcelik AS Electronics Plant, Istanbul, TURKEY; Dell Inc., Round Rock, TX; and Paramount Pictures Corporation, Hollywood, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and UHD Alliance intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 17, 2015, UHD Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 17, 2015 (80 FR 42537).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 12, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 17, 2016 (81 FR 14485).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13632 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### **Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Silicon Integration Initiative, Inc.**

Notice is hereby given that, on May 9, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Silicon Integration Initiative, Inc. ("Si2") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, MIE Fujitsu Semiconductor, Limited, Yokohama City, JAPAN; IC Manage, Inc., Campbell, CA; SA Magillem Design Services, Paris, FRANCE; Minalogic, Grenoble, FRANCE; Ricoh Electronic Devices Company, Limited, Osaka, JAPAN; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Guilford, CT; and Broadcom, Ltd., San Jose, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, AIST, Tokyo, JAPAN; ARM, Cambridge, MA; Berkeley Wireless Research Center, Berkeley, CA; Blackcomb Design Automation, Inc. Vancouver, CANADA; IMEC, Heverlee, BELGIUM, Qorvo, Richardson, TX, Sage Design Automation, Santa Clara, CA; SiConTech, Inc., Austin, TX; STARC, Tokohama, JAPAN; Tyndall National Institute, Cork City, IRELAND; United Microelectronics Corporation, Hsinchu City, TAIWAN; Altera, San Jose, CA; Broadcom Corporation, Irvine, CA; and Avago Technologies, Ltd., San Jose, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Si2 intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On December 30, 1988, Si2 filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 13, 1989 (54 FR 10456).

The last notification was filed with the Department on September 28, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on November 16, 2015 (80 FR 70837).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13634 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—AllSeen Alliance, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 9, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), AllSeen Alliance, Inc. (“AllSeen Alliance”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Microfactory, Inc. (FirstBuild), Louisville, KY; Smartlabs, Inc., Irvine, CA; M2Communication Inc., Hsinchu County, TAIWAN; MobilityLab LLC,

Moscow, RUSSIA; Organic Response Pty Ltd. (Organic Response), Richmond, Victoria, AUSTRALIA; dog hunter LLC, Boston, MA; Shenzhen Fenglian Technology Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Shenzhen H&T Home Online Network Technology Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Blackloud, Inc., Irvine, CA; wot.io, New York, NY; iiNet Limited, Subiaco, Perth, AUSTRALIA; Universal Devices, Inc., Encino, CA; Trend Micro Incorporated, Taipei, TAIWAN; CoCo Communications, Seattle, WA; Dropbeats Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; and Netbeast, Munchen, Deutschland, GERMANY, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and AllSeen Alliance intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On January 29, 2014, AllSeen Alliance filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 4, 2014 (79 FR 12223).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 23, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 21, 2016 (81 FR 15123).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13627 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative

Notice is hereby given that, on May 4, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), International Electronics Manufacturing Initiative (“iNEMI”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of

antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, St. Jude Medical, Sylmar, CA; CoreTech System Co., Ltd., Hsinchu, TAIWAN; INSIDIX, Seussins, FRANCE; Interflux Electronics nv, Gent-Desteldonk, Belgium; US Conec, Hickory, NC; Foresite, Inc., Kokomo, IN; SuZhou Eunow Co., Ltd., Suzhou, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Takaoka Toko Co., Ltd. Shizuoka, JAPAN; University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, CANADA; and Shinko Electric America, Inc., San Jose, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Hewlett Packard Enterprises, Palo Alto, CA; Griffith University, Nathan, AUSTRALIA; Cisco Systems Inc., San Jose, CA; Teradyne, Inc., North Reading, MA; and Speedline, Franklin, MA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and iNEMI intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 6, 1996, iNEMI filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 28, 1996 (61 FR 33774).

The last notification was filed with the Department on April 23, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on May 27, 2015 (80 FR 30269).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13626 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

---

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—TeleManagement Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on April 25, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), TeleManagement Forum (“The Forum”) filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of

antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the following parties have been added as members to this venture: TWI, Cambridge, UNITED KINGDOM; DataProbit, Stuart, FL; Hangzhou Eastcom Software Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Multinational Alliance for Collaborative Cyber Situational Awareness (MACCSA), Shrewton, UNITED KINGDOM; MÜNCHNER KREIS, München, GERMANY; triPica, Paris, FRANCE; Teltech Communications LLC, Dallas, TX; AZR L.L.C., Tripoli, LIBYA; Pervazive, Bengaluru, INDIA; Vodacom (Pty) Ltd., Midrand, SOUTH AFRICA; Fornax ICT Kft., Budapest, HUNGARY; Pryv, Lausanne, SWITZERLAND; Knowesis Pte Ltd., Singapore, SINGAPORE; Cloud Best Practices Network, London, UNITED KINGDOM; Active Minds, Belfast, UNITED KINGDOM; bit2win, Rome, ITALY; Cardinality, Ealing, UNITED KINGDOM; Readiness IT Systems Integration, S.A., Matosinhos, PORTUGAL; Guangzhou Highjet Technology Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; DataMi, Chelmsford, MA; Ebistrategy Software (Shanghai) Co., Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Elephant Talk Communications, New York, NY; Premavals, Noisy-le-Grand, FRANCE; Eir, Dublin, IRELAND; Coeos Assurances, Paris, FRANCE; SigScale Global Inc., Colombo, SRI LANKA; AVSystem, Kraków, POLAND; NetYCE, Amsterdam, NETHERLANDS; Hortonworks, Inc., Santa Clara, CANADA; Stream Technologies Ltd, Glasgow, UNITED KINGDOM; CRM.COM Software Ltd., Nicosia, CYPRUS; Elite Business, Tunis, TUNISIA; 3Consulting, Lagos, NIGERIA; VMware, Inc., Palo Alto, CA; Linkem Spa, Rome, ITALY; Zeotap GmbH, Berlin, GERMANY; Blueline, Antananarivo, MADAGASCAR; Sri Lanka Telecom PLC, Colombo, SRI LANKA; Innowave Technologies, Lisbon, PORTUGAL; Swiss Post Ltd, Berne, SWITZERLAND; Ooredoo Tunis, Tunis, TUNISIA; Indosat Ooredoo, Jakarta, INDONESIA; Wataniya Palestine, Al Bireh, PALESTINIAN TERRITORY; Ooredoo Qatar, Doha, QATAR; Ooredoo Oman, Muscat, OMAN; Ooredoo Maldives Pvt. Ltd., Hulhumale, MALDIVES; Ooredoo Myanmar, Jakarta, INDONESIA; Ooredoo Kuwait, Plot 1A, Sharq Area, KUWAIT; Ooredoo Algeria, Alger, ALGERIA; AsiaCell Communications LLC, Sulaimaniyah, IRAQ; Michi Creative City Designers Inc., Tokyo, JAPAN; ZAA Architects, Montréal,

CANADA; Canoe Ventures, Englewood, CO; Dorado Software, Folsom, CA; Waterfront Toronto, Toronto, CANADA; and Carlo Ratti Associati, Torino, ITALY.

Also, the following members have changed their names: PT Affia Andal Jasa Bismatamma (RSM AAJ ASSOCIATES) to PT RSM Indonesia Konsultant (RSM Indonesia), Jakarta, INDONESIA; NTS New Technology Systems GmbH to NTS Retail, Wilhering, AUSTRIA; AS Eesti Telekom to Telia Eesti AS, Tallinn, ESTONIA; Fornax Informatika to Fornax ICT Kft., Budapest, HUNGARY; Prodapt to Prodapt North America, Inc., Tualatin, OR; Knowesis Technology to Knowesis Pte Ltd, Singapore, SINGAPORE; and Citizen Telecom Services Company L.L.C. d/b/a Frontier Communications to Citizen Telecom Services Company L.L.C., Rochester, NY.

In addition, the following members have withdrawn as parties to this venture: AetherPal, South Plainfield, NJ; Almadar Aljadid, Tripoli, LIBYA; Applied Network Solutions, Inc., Columbia, MD; ARTIN Solutions, Bratislava, SLOVAKIA; BAE Systems Applied Intelligence, London, UNITED KINGDOM; Bank of America, New York, NY; beCloud, Minsk, BELARUS; Bobbil, Cork, IRELAND; BrandedIPTV, Hong Kong, HONG-KONG CHINA; Bright Computing BV, Amsterdam, NETHERLANDS; Broadband Infracore (SOC) Ltd, Johannesburg, SOUTH AFRICA; BTC Networks, Riyadh, SAUDI ARABIA; Business-intelligence of Oriental Nations Corporation Ltd., Beijing, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Calix, Inc., Petaluma, CA; Cignium Technologies, Fort Lee, NJ; Cleartech, Barueri, BRAZIL; Ernst & Young, S.A. Costa Rica, San José, COSTA RICA; Eyselbe Ltd., Malmesbury, UNITED KINGDOM; Factdelta, Swansea, UNITED KINGDOM; GENBAND, Frisco, TX; i2i Bilisim Ve Teknoloji Danismanlik Tic Ltd., Kocaeli, TURKEY; ICCE Systems, Cary, NC; iiNet Limited, Subiaco, AUSTRALIA; InfoCumulus, Zagreb, CROATIA; Infopact Netwerkdienst B.V., Hoogvliet, NETHERLANDS; Innovise ESM Ltd., Slough, UNITED KINGDOM; Instituto Costarricense de Electricidad ICE, San Jose, COSTA RICA; Iprotel Limited, Reading, UNITED KINGDOM; Kaiser Permanente, Pleasanton, CA; Kwezi Software Solutions, Johannesburg, SOUTH AFRICA; Makslen Consulting, S.A., Lisbon, PORTUGAL; metaWEAVE, Centurion, SOUTH AFRICA; Mformation Software Technologies, Edison, NJ; Mobius Wireless Solutions Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA;

moreCom AS, Halden, NORWAY; Mozambique Cellular SARL (mcel), Maputo, MOZAMBIQUE; Neotel (Proprietary) Ltd., Johannesburg, SOUTH AFRICA; NETvisor, Budapest, HUNGARY; Neural Technologies, Petersfield, UNITED KINGDOM; Neurocom SA, Athens, GREECE; NISCERT Corporation, Toronto, CANADA; N-Pulse GmbH, Heppenheim, GERMANY; Ogilvy, London, UNITED KINGDOM; one2tribe Sp. z o.o., Michalowice, POLAND; Onesto Services Oy, Jyväskylä, FINLAND; Openet, Dublin, IRELAND; ParStream, Redwood City, CA; Ranck Consulting, Chevy Chase, MD; Simply Execute, Uerikon, SWITZERLAND; Softera Oy, Helsinki, FINLAND; Svarog Technology Group Inc., Half Moon Bay, CA; Tarantula, Slough, UNITED KINGDOM; TE Data, Dokki, EGYPT; Telecom Egypt, Giza, EGYPT; Telefonica Global Technology SA, Buenos Aires, ARGENTINA; Vertek Corporation, Colchester, VT; Visa, San Francisco, CA; Vox Telecom, Waverley, SOUTH AFRICA; and Worldstream Systems & Services, Ebene Cybercity, MAURITIUS.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and the Forum intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On October 21, 1988, the Forum filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 8, 1988 (53 FR 49615).

The last notification was filed with the Department on January 29, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 9, 2016 (81 FR 12527).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13625 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—DVD Copy Control Association

Notice is hereby given that, on May 9, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301

*et seq.* (“the Act”), DVD Copy Control Association (“DVD CCA”) has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Dongguan Team Force Electronic Co., Ltd., Dongguan, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA, has been added as a party to this venture.

Also, 3A Media Co. Ltd., Geumcheon-gu, Seoul, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Apollo Electronics Group Limited, Kowloon Bay, Kowloon, HONG KONG—CHINA; Bestdisc Technology Corporation, Kee-Lung, TAIWAN; CMC Magnetics Corporation, Taipei, TAIWAN; CSR Technology, Inc., Sunnyville, CA; digiCon AG, Kornwestheim, GERMANY; Fuhrmeister Electronics Co., Ltd., Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, JAPAN; Guangdong OPPO Mobile Telecommunications, Dongguan, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Hitachi High-Technologies Taiwan Corporation, Taipei, TAIWAN; Malata Group (HK) Limited, North Point, Hong Kong, HONG KONG—CHINA; Nagravision SA., Cheseau-sur-Lausanne, SWITZERLAND; Quatius Limited TST East, Hong Kong, HONG KONG—CHINA; Shanghai United Optical Disc Co., Ltd., Shanghai, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; Starlight Video Limited, Hong Kong, HONG KONG—CHINA; Tamul Multimedia Co., Ltd., AnYang-City, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; The Video Duplicating Co. Ltd., Middlesex, UNITED KINGDOM; Yu Cha (Hong Kong) Electronics, Co., Ltd., Tsuen Wan N.T., Hong Kong, HONG KONG—CHINA; and Zhong Shan City Litai Electronic Industrial Co. Ltd., Zhongshan City, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and DVD CCA intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On April 11, 2001, DVD CCA filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 3, 2001 (66 FR 40727).

The last notification was filed with the Department on August 21, 2015. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the

Act on September 28, 2015 (80 FR 58297).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13631 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Node.js Foundation

Notice is hereby given that, on April 26, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Node.js Foundation has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, New Relic, Inc., San Francisco, CA; Opbeat, Inc., San Francisco, CA; Sphinx Co. Ltd., Hanoi, VIETNAM; Google Inc., Mountain View, CA; and Cars.com, Chicago, IL, have been added as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Node.js Foundation intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 17, 2015, Node.js Foundation filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on September 28, 2015 (80 FR 58297).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 10, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 9, 2016 (81 FR 12524).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13628 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Interchangeable Virtual Instruments Foundation, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on April 28, 2016, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* (“the Act”), Interchangeable Virtual Instruments Foundation, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act’s provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, ELCOM, a.s., Ostrava-Pustkovec, CZECH REPUBLIC, has been added as a party to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Interchangeable Virtual Instruments Foundation, Inc. intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On May 29, 2001, Interchangeable Virtual Instruments Foundation, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on July 30, 2001 (66 FR 39336).

The last notification was filed with the Department on

February 10, 2016. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 9, 2016 (81 FR 12526).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13630 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Summary Plan Description Requirements Under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as Amended

**AGENCY:** Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Labor (DOL) is submitting the Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) sponsored information collection request (ICR) titled, "Summary Plan Description Requirements Under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as Amended," to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval for continued use, without change, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA), 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* Public comments on the ICR are invited.

**DATES:** The OMB will consider all written comments that agency receives on or before July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of this ICR with applicable supporting documentation; including a description of the likely respondents, proposed frequency of response, and estimated total burden may be obtained free of charge from the RegInfo.gov Web site at [http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref\\_nbr=201605-1210-002](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201605-1210-002) (this link will only become active on the day following publication of this notice) or by contacting Michel Smyth by telephone at 202-693-4129, TTY 202-693-8064, (these are not toll-free numbers) or by email at [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

Submit comments about this request by mail or courier to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for DOL-EBSA, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503; by Fax: 202-395-5806 (this is not a toll-free number); or by email: [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov). Commenters are encouraged, but not required, to send a courtesy copy of any comments by mail or courier to the U.S. Department of Labor-OASAM, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Attn: Departmental Information Compliance Management Program, Room N1301, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210; or by email: [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michel Smyth by telephone at 202-693-4129, TTY 202-693-8064, (these are not toll-free numbers) or by email at [DOL\\_PRA\\_PUBLIC@dol.gov](mailto:DOL_PRA_PUBLIC@dol.gov).

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. 3507(a)(1)(D).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This ICR seeks to extend PRA authority for the Summary Plan Description Requirements Under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), as Amended information collection requirements codified in regulations 29 CFR 2520.102-2,

2520.102-3, 2520.104b-2, and 2520.104b3 that provide guidance on the content, frequency, and manner of certain disclosures the ERISA requires an employee benefit plan subject to the Act periodically to furnish plan participants and certain specified plan beneficiaries. A benefit plan uses summary plan descriptions, material modifications summaries, and material reductions summaries to make the disclosures. ERISA sections 102(b), 104(b)(1), and 109(c) authorize this information collection. See 29 U.S.C. 1022(b), 1024(b)(1), and 1029(c).

This information collection is subject to the PRA. A Federal agency generally cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information, and the public is generally not required to respond to an information collection, unless it is approved by the OMB under the PRA and displays a currently valid OMB Control Number. In addition, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall generally be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information that does not display a valid Control Number. See 5 CFR 1320.5(a) and 1320.6. The DOL obtains OMB approval for this information collection under Control Number 1210-0039.

OMB authorization for an ICR cannot be for more than three (3) years without renewal, and the current approval for this collection is scheduled to expire on June 30, 2016. The DOL seeks to extend PRA authorization for this information collection for three (3) more years, without any change to existing requirements. The DOL notes that existing information collection requirements submitted to the OMB receive a month-to-month extension while they undergo review. For additional substantive information about this ICR, see the related notice published in the **Federal Register** on November 23, 2016 (80 FR 72990).

Interested parties are encouraged to send comments to the OMB, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs at the address shown in the **ADDRESSES** section within thirty (30) days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. In order to help ensure appropriate consideration, comments should mention OMB Control Number 1210-0039. The OMB is particularly interested in comments that:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the

proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* DOL-EBSA.

*Title of Collection:* Summary Plan Description Requirements Under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as Amended.

*OMB Control Number:* 1210-0039.

*Affected Public:* Private Sector—businesses or other for-profits and not-for-profit institutions.

*Total Estimated Number of Respondents:* 2,981,000.

*Total Estimated Number of Responses:* 108,466,000.

*Total Estimated Annual Time Burden:* 279,000 hours.

*Total Estimated Annual Other Costs Burden:* \$172,736,000.

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Michel Smyth,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13642 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-29-P**

---

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2016-151 and CP2016-191; Order No. 3349]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Contract 225 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* June 13, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at  
202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30-35, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 225 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Request, Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

**II. Notice of Commission Action**

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-151 and CP2016-191 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 225 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than June 13, 2016. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Natalie R. Ward to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

**III. Ordering Paragraphs**

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-151 and CP2016-191 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie R. Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 225 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, June 3, 2016 (Request).

the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than June 13, 2016.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13675 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

**POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**[Docket Nos. MC2016-150 and CP2016-190; Order No. 3348]**

**New Postal Product**

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Contract 224 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* June 13, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at  
202-789-6820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

**I. Introduction**

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30-35, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 224 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 224 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, June 3, 2016 (Request).

product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Request, Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

**II. Notice of Commission Action**

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-150 and CP2016-190 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 224 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than June 13, 2016. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Natalie R. Ward to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

**III. Ordering Paragraphs**

*It is ordered:*

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-150 and CP2016-190 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Natalie R. Ward is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than June 13, 2016.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13674 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

**POSTAL SERVICE**

**Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement**

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal

Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* June 9, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth A. Reed, 202-268-3179.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on June 3, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 224 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2016-150, CP2016-190.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Attorney, Federal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13636 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## POSTAL SERVICE

### Product Change—Priority Mail Negotiated Service Agreement

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Postal Service gives notice of filing a request with the Postal Regulatory Commission to add a domestic shipping services contract to the list of Negotiated Service Agreements in the Mail Classification Schedule's Competitive Products List.

**DATES:** *Effective date:* June 9, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth A. Reed, 202-268-3179.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Postal Service® hereby gives notice that, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 3632(b)(3), on June 3, 2016, it filed with the Postal Regulatory Commission a *Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 225 to Competitive Product List*. Documents are available at [www.prc.gov](http://www.prc.gov), Docket Nos. MC2016-151, CP2016-191.

**Stanley F. Mires,**

*Attorney, Federal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13637 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-12-P**

## RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

### Privacy Act of 1974; Matching Program (Railroad Retirement Board—Office of Personnel Management)

**AGENCY:** Railroad Retirement Board (RRB).

**ACTION:** Notice of a renewal of an existing computer-matching program that expires on July 1, 2016.

**DATES:** This matching program will become effective July 19, 2016. The matching program will continue for 18 months after the effective date and may be extended for an additional 12 months, if the conditions specified in 5 U.S.C. 552a(o)(2)(D) have been met, with an expiration date of January 1, 2019.

**SUMMARY:** As required by the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, the RRB is issuing public notice of its renewal of an ongoing computer-matching program with the Office of Personnel Management (OPM). The purpose of this notice is to advise individuals applying for or receiving benefits under the Railroad Retirement Act of the use made by RRB of this information obtained from OPM by means of a computer match.

We will file a report of this computer-matching program with the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate; the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives; and the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

**ADDRESSES:** Interested parties may comment on this publication by writing to Ms. Martha P. Rico, Secretary to the Board, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Timothy Grant, Chief Privacy Officer, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092, telephone 312-751-4869 or email at [tim.grant@rrb.gov](mailto:tim.grant@rrb.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### A. General

The Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, (Pub. L. 100-503), amended by the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) as amended, requires a Federal agency participating in a computer matching program to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** for all matching programs.

The Privacy Act, as amended, regulates the use of computer matching by Federal agencies when records contained in a Privacy Act System of Records are matched with other Federal, State, or local government records. It requires Federal agencies involved in computer matching programs to:

(1) Negotiate written agreements with the other agency or agencies participating in the matching programs;

(2) Obtain the approval of the matching agreement by the Data Integrity Boards (DIB) of the participating Federal agencies;

(3) Publish notice of the computer matching program in the **Federal Register**;

(4) Furnish detailed reports about matching programs to Congress and OMB;

(5) Notify applicants and beneficiaries that their records are subject to matching; and

(6) Verify match findings before reducing, suspending, terminating, or denying a person's benefits or payments. The last published notice for this matching program was November 27, 2013 (78 FR 70971).

### B. RRB Computer Matches Subject to the Privacy Act

We have taken appropriate action to ensure that all of our computer matching programs comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act, as amended.

*Notice of Computer Matching Program, RRB With the Office of Personnel Management (OPM)*

#### A. Name of Participating Agencies

OPM and RRB.

#### B. Purpose of the Matching Program

The purpose of the match is to enable the RRB to (1) identify affected RRB annuitants who are in receipt of a Federal public pension benefit but who have not reported receipt of this benefit to the RRB, and (2) receive timely and accurate Federal public pension benefit information for affected RRB annuitants.

#### C. Authority for Conducting the Match

Sections 3(a)(1), 4(a)(1) and 4(f)(1) of the Railroad Retirement Act, as amended, 45 U.S.C. 231b(a)(1), 231c(a)(1) and 231c(f)(1) require that the RRB reduce the Railroad Retirement benefits of certain beneficiaries entitled to Railroad Retirement employee and/or spouse/widow benefits who are also entitled to a government pension based on their own non-covered earnings. We call this reduction a Public Service Pension (PSP) offset.

Section 224 of the Social Security Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 424a, provides for the reduction of disability benefits when the disabled worker is also entitled to a public disability benefit (PDB). We call this a PDB offset. A civil service disability benefit is considered a PDB. Section 224(h)(1) requires any Federal agency to provide RRB with

information in its possession that RRB may require for the purposes of making a timely determination of the amount of reduction under section 224 of the Social Security Act. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. Section 552a(b)(3) OPM has established routine uses to disclose the subject information to RRB.

#### D. Categories of Individuals Covered

Individuals receiving Federal public pensions or RRB annuities.

#### E. Categories of Records Covered

OPM will provide the RRB once a year via secure electronic file transfer, data extracted from its annuity and survivor master file of its Civil Service Retirement and Insurance Records. Normally on December of each year, OPM transmits to us approximately 2.5 million electronic records for matching. The records contain these data elements: Name, Social Security number, date of birth, civil service claim number, first potential month and year of eligibility for civil service benefits, first month, day, year of entitlement to civil service benefits, amount of current gross civil service benefits, and effective date (month, day, year) of civil service amount, and where applicable, civil service disability indicator, civil service FICA covered month indicator, and civil service total service months. The RRB will match the Social Security number, name, and date of birth contained in the OPM file against approximately the 1.2 million records in our files. For records that match, the RRB will extract the civil service payment information.

#### F. Systems of Records Covered

The Privacy Act System of Records designation is OPM/Central-1, (Civil Service Retirement and Insurance Records), Published in the **Federal Register** on June 7, 2011 (76 FR 32997). The RRB Privacy Act System of Records is RRB-22, Railroad Retirement, Survivor, and Pensioner Benefit System, published in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 2015 (80 FR 28018).

Dated: June 6, 2016.

By authority of the Board.

**Martha P. Rico,**

*Secretary to the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13643 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7905-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77989; File No. SR-MIAX-2016-13]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Miami International Securities Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fee Schedule

June 3, 2016.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) <sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on June 1, 2016, Miami International Securities Exchange LLC (“MIAX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend the MIAX Options Fee Schedule (the “Fee Schedule”).

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site at [http://www.miaxoptions.com/filter/wotitle/rule\\_filing](http://www.miaxoptions.com/filter/wotitle/rule_filing), at MIAX’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fee Schedule to offer a Technical

Support Request Fee to both Members and Non-Members.

The Exchange has an infrastructure comprised of low latency and ultra-low latency proximity solutions in several offsite data center locales offering universal access to all Exchange services via a single common connection across a variety of high speed network interfaces.

The Exchange offers connectivity in and between its data center facilities and supports direct attachment of all network equipment or direct attached host systems of both Member and Non-Member users of the Exchange. Member and Non-Member users of the Exchange are strongly encouraged to establish connectivity to at least two data centers to minimize the possibility of service disruption.

The Exchange proposes to add new Section (5)(f) to the Fee Schedule to establish a Member and Non-Member Technical Support Request Fee. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to charge Members and Non-Members an hourly fee in the event that such Member or Non-Member requests the Exchange to use the Exchange’s on-site data center personnel to provide technical support at any of the Exchange’s data centers. The Exchange proposes to assess Members and Non-Members that request MIAX technical support at any of the MIAX data centers a fee of \$200 per hour for such technical support.

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to make the Exchange’s on-site data center personnel available, for a fee, to Members and Non-Members when assisting with troubleshooting that requires a physical on-site presence.

The proposed Technical Support Request fee is scheduled to become effective June 1, 2016.

###### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Fee Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act <sup>3</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act <sup>4</sup> in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among Exchange members and issuers and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes that the proposed new service is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act <sup>5</sup> in that it is fair, equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory, because it is available to all Members and Non-Members for the

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>4</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

<sup>5</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

same fee. Moreover, the proposed fee is reasonable because other exchanges charge for similar services at their data centers.<sup>6</sup>

Additionally, Members and Non-Members are not required to use the service but instead it is offered as a convenience to all Members and Non-Members. The proposed fee is reasonably designed because it will permit both Members and Non-Members to request the use of the Exchange's on-site data center personnel as technical support and as a convenience that is equally available to them.

#### B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed enhancement of services by the Exchange provided to its Members and others using its facilities will not have an impact on competition. In fact, MIAx's proposed technical support services at a Member or Non-Member's request will benefit all who use such services. As stated above, other exchanges charge for similar services at their data centers.<sup>7</sup> The Exchange's hourly rate for such services is within the range of prices for similar services offered by other exchanges, and therefore the Exchange believes that the proposed hourly rate for technical support does not impose a burden on competition.<sup>8</sup>

The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and to attract order flow to the Exchange. The Exchange believes that the proposed

<sup>6</sup> See Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE") Fees Schedule, p. 9. CBOE charges \$100 per hour for technical support outside normal hours and for after-hours technician services with a four hour minimum required. See also NYSE Amex Options ("Amex") Fee Schedule, Section V(B) and NYSE Arca Options ("Arca") Fees and Charges, p. 18. Both Amex and Arca charge \$100 per half hour for "Hot Hands Services," which consists of allowing Amex and Arca Users to use Amex or Arca on-site data center personnel to maintain User equipment, support network troubleshooting, rack and stack, power recycling and install and document cable. See also NASDAQ PHLX LLC ("Phlx") Pricing Schedule, Section X(d). Phlx charges \$150 per hour for "Remote Hands Service" and \$250 per hour plus materials if necessary for "Power Consulting Services."

<sup>7</sup> *Id.*

<sup>8</sup> See *id.*

rule change reflects this competitive environment because the hourly rate is competitive with the rates offered by other exchanges for similar services.<sup>9</sup>

#### C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

#### III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,<sup>10</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)<sup>11</sup> thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-MIAx-2016-13 on the subject line.

##### Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAx-2016-13. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the

<sup>9</sup> See *supra* note 6.

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>11</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAx-2016-13, and should be submitted on or before June 30, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>12</sup>

**Brent J. Fields,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13612 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77988; File No. SR-FICC-2016-001]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Fixed Income Clearing Corporation; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to the GCF Repo<sup>®</sup> Service

June 3, 2016.

On April 19, 2016, the Fixed Income Clearing Corporation ("FICC" or the "Corporation") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") proposed rule change SR-FICC-2016-001 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder.<sup>2</sup> The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on April 27, 2016.<sup>3</sup> The Commission received no comments on the proposed rule change. For the

<sup>12</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-77675 (April 21, 2016), 81 FR 24922 (April 27, 2016) (SR-FICC-2016-001).

reasons discussed below, the Commission is approving the proposed rule change.

### I. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

FICC seeks the Commission's approval to amend the Government Securities Division ("GSD") Rulebook<sup>4</sup> ("GSD Rules") in order to: (1) Permanently adopt the pilot program (the "2015 Pilot Program")<sup>5</sup> that is currently in effect for the GCF Repo<sup>®6</sup> service and that is scheduled to expire on June 22, 2016; (2) add clarifying rule changes regarding a process that is currently in effect with respect to the GCF Repo service and that FICC refers to as the "net-of-net" settlement process; and (3) make technical changes to the GSD Rules. The proposed rule changes consist of changes to GSD Rule 1, GSD Rule 20, and the *Schedule of GCF Timeframes*.

#### A. The GCF Repo Service

The GCF Repo service allows dealer members of FICC's Government Services Division to trade general collateral finance repos ("GCF Repos")<sup>7</sup> throughout the day without requiring intraday, trade-for-trade settlement on a delivery-versus-payment<sup>8</sup> basis. The service allows dealers to trade GCF Repos, based on rate and term, with inter-dealer broker netting members on a blind basis. Standardized, generic CUSIP numbers have been established exclusively for GCF Repo processing, and are used to specify the type of underlying security that is eligible to serve as collateral for GCF Repos. Only Fedwire eligible, book-entry securities may serve as collateral for GCF Repos. Acceptable collateral for GCF Repos include most U.S. Treasury securities, non-mortgage-backed federal agency securities, fixed and adjustable rate mortgage-backed securities, Treasury Inflation-Protected Securities and

separate trading of registered interest and principal securities.<sup>9</sup>

#### B. Background of the Pilot Program

Because FICC's GCF Repo service operates as a tri-party mechanism, FICC states that it was asked to alter the service to align it with the recommendations of the Tri-Party Repo Infrastructure Reform Task Force ("TPR").<sup>10</sup> FICC consequently developed a pilot program ("2011 Pilot Program") to address the TPR's recommendations,<sup>11</sup> and sought Commission approval to institute that program.<sup>12</sup> The Commission approved the 2011 Pilot Program on August 29, 2011 for a period of one year.<sup>13</sup> When the expiration date for the 2011 Pilot Program approached, FICC sought Commission approval to implement the 2012 Pilot Program, which continued the 2011 Pilot Program in some aspects, and modified it in others.<sup>14</sup> The Commission approved the 2012 Pilot Program, as well as subsequent one-year extensions of the pilot program in 2013, 2014, and 2015 (respectively, the "2013 Pilot Program," "2014 Pilot Program," and "2015 Pilot Program").<sup>15</sup> The 2015

<sup>9</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-58696 (September 30, 2008), 73 FR 58698, 58699 (October 7, 2008) (SR-FICC-2008-04).

<sup>10</sup> The TPR was an industry group formed and sponsored in 2009 by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York to address weaknesses that emerged in the tri-party repo market during the financial crisis. The TPR's chief goal was to develop recommendations to address the risks presented by the reversal of tri-party repo transactions, and to develop procedures to ensure that tri-party repos would be collateralized throughout the day, rather than at the end of the day.

<sup>11</sup> The TPR issued preliminary and final reports setting forth its recommendations for the reform of the tri-party repo market. See Tri-Party Repo Infrastructure Reform Task Force Report of May 17, 2000, available at [http://www.newyorkfed.org/prc/files/report\\_100517.pdf](http://www.newyorkfed.org/prc/files/report_100517.pdf); see also Tri-Party Repo Infrastructure Reform Task Force Final Report (February 15, 2012), available at [http://www.newyorkfed.org/tripartyrepo/pdf/report\\_120215.pdf](http://www.newyorkfed.org/tripartyrepo/pdf/report_120215.pdf).

<sup>12</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-64955 (July 25, 2011), 76 FR 45638 (July 29, 2011) (SR-FICC-2011-05).

<sup>13</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-65213 (August 29, 2011), 76 FR 54824 (September 2, 2011) (SR-FICC-2011-05).

<sup>14</sup> The 2012 Pilot Program implemented several changes which, although described in the rule filing that accompanied the 2011 Pilot Program, were not implemented during the 2011 Pilot Program's period of effectiveness. They include: (i) Moving the time for unwinding repos from 7:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.; (ii) moving the net-free-equity process from morning to the evening; and (iii) establishing rules for intraday GCF Repo collateral substitutions. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-67227 (June 20, 2012), 77 FR 38108 (June 26, 2012) (SR-FICC-2012-05).

<sup>15</sup> Securities Exchange Release No. 34-67621 (August 8, 2012), 77 FR 48572 (August 14, 2012) (SR-FICC-2012-05); Securities Exchange Release No. 34-70068 (July 30, 2013), 78 FR 47453 (August 5, 2013) (SR-FICC-2013-06); Securities Exchange

Pilot Program, as well its predecessors, the 2014, 2013, and 2012 Pilot Programs, have been the subject of a number of notices and approval orders published by the Commission.<sup>16</sup> These notices and orders provide extensive detail on both the GCF Repo service and the pilot program itself.

In proposed rule change SR-FICC-2016-001, FICC seeks the Commission's approval to permanently adopt the GSD Rules associated with the 2015 Pilot Program, which expires on June 22, 2016. In addition, FICC also seeks to add a clarification to the GSD Rules to reflect the net-of-net settlement process in the GCF Repo service. According to FICC, the net-of-net settlement clarification is also a result of Tri-Party Reform and reflects current practice at the GSD. FICC seeks to permanently adopt these changes rather than continually file annual extensions of the pilot program. The rule changes associated with the pilot have been in place since 2011 with certain additional modifications made in 2012, and FICC's members are accustomed to them. FICC states that this is also the case regarding the net-of-net settlement changes, which came into effect when the clearing banks implemented this process in 2014 and 2015. According to FICC, changes to the GSD Rules regarding the net-of-net settlement process require no operational changes on the part of FICC. However, FICC seeks to update the GSD Rules in an effort to ensure that the GSD Rules reflect the current net-of-net settlement process. According to FICC, any future changes that arise as a result of Tri-Party Reform will constitute stand-alone rule changes, and are not expected to affect the rule changes covered in this present filing. Finally, in addition to the above, FICC seeks to amend the GSD Rules to include non-substantive, technical changes for clarity.

Act Release No. 34-72457 (June 24, 2014), 79 FR 36856 (June 30, 2014) (SR-FICC-2014-02); and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-75258 (June 22, 2015), 80 FR 36879 (June 26, 2015) (SR-FICC-2015-002).

<sup>16</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 34-67227 (June 20, 2012), 77 FR 38108 (June 26, 2012) (SR-FICC-2012-05); 34-67621 (August 8, 2012), 77 FR 48572 (August 14, 2012) (SR-FICC-2012-05); 34-69774 (June 17, 2013), 78 FR 37631 (June 21, 2013) (SR-FICC-2013-06); 34-70068 (July 30, 2013), 78 FR 47453 (August 5, 2013) (SR-FICC-2013-06); 34-72184 (May 19, 2014), 79 FR 29828 (May 23, 2014) (SR-FICC-2014-02); 34-72457 (June 24, 2014), 79 FR 36856 (June 30, 2014) (SR-FICC-2014-02); 34-74973 (May 15, 2015), 80 FR 29352 (May 21, 2015) (SR-FICC-2015-002); and 34-75258 (June 22, 2015), 80 FR 36879 (June 26, 2015) (SR-FICC-2015-002).

<sup>4</sup> The GSD Rulebook is available at DTCC's Web site, [www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures.aspx](http://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures.aspx).

<sup>5</sup> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 34-75258 (June 22, 2015), 80 FR 36879 (June 26, 2015) (SR-FICC-2015-002).

<sup>6</sup> GCF Repo is a registered trademark of FICC/DTCC.

<sup>7</sup> A GCF Repo is one in which the lender of funds is willing to accept any of a class of U.S. Treasuries, U.S. government agency securities, and certain mortgage-backed securities as collateral for the repurchase obligation. This is in contrast to a specific collateral repo.

<sup>8</sup> Delivery-versus-payment is a settlement procedure in which the buyer's cash payment for the securities it has purchased is due at the time the securities are delivered.

## II. Discussion

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act<sup>17</sup> directs the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that such proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act<sup>18</sup> requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to achieve several goals, including (i) promoting the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and, to the extent applicable, derivative agreements, contracts, and transactions, (ii) assuring the safeguarding of securities and funds that are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible, and (iii) protecting investors and the public interest.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A of the Act<sup>19</sup> and the rules thereunder applicable to FICC. The proposal will permanently adopt the rules in the 2015 Pilot Program, which were intended to advance the TPR's Tri-Party Reform recommendations by moving the morning unwind process to the afternoon to ensure that such transactions are collateralized all day and, therefore, limiting the amount of intraday credit that is extended by clearing banks during the day. Permanently adopting these rules will serve to minimize systemic risk and avoid the need for seeking future approvals of renewing the 2015 Pilot Program annually, thereby bringing certainty to market participants as to FICC's rules implementing the Tri-Party Reform recommendations. Accordingly, the permanent adoption of the 2015 Pilot Program rules should help to protect investors and promote the public interest, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act.

The proposal also eliminates obsolete language from the GSD Rules by codifying the net-of-net settlement process in the GSD Rules, and makes non-substantive clarifying corrections to the GSD Rules. Accordingly, the changes related to the net-of-net settlement process and the clarifying changes to the GSD Rules should provide for a more well-founded and transparent legal framework for FICC's activities, consistent with Act Rule 17Ad-22(d)(1).<sup>20</sup>

## III. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act, particularly those set forth in Section 17A,<sup>21</sup> and the rules and regulations thereunder.

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,<sup>22</sup> that the proposed rule change (SR-FICC-2016-001) be, and hereby is, *approved*.<sup>23</sup>

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>24</sup>

**Brent J. Fields,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13611 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-77992; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2016-79]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Listing and Trading of Shares of the Virtus Japan Alpha ETF Under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600

June 3, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act")<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on May 24, 2016, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of the Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to list and trade shares of the Virtus Japan Alpha ETF under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600 ("Managed Fund Shares"). The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com), at the principal office of the Exchange,

<sup>17</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

<sup>22</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>23</sup> In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>24</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

#### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

##### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

###### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to list and trade shares ("Shares") of the following under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600, which governs the listing and trading of Managed Fund Shares:<sup>4</sup> Virtus Japan Alpha ETF ("Fund").<sup>5</sup>

The Shares will be offered by Virtus ETF Trust II ("Trust"), which is registered with the Commission as an open-end management investment company.<sup>6</sup> Virtus ETF Advisers LLC

<sup>4</sup> A Managed Fund Share is a security that represents an interest in an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-1) ("1940 Act") organized as an open-end investment company or similar entity that invests in a portfolio of securities selected by its investment adviser consistent with its investment objectives and policies. In contrast, an open-end investment company that issues Investment Company Units, listed and traded on the Exchange under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 5.2(j)(3), seeks to provide investment results that correspond generally to the price and yield performance of a specific foreign or domestic stock index, fixed income securities index or combination thereof.

<sup>5</sup> The Commission has previously approved listing and trading on the Exchange of a number of actively managed funds under Rule 8.600. See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 57801 (May 8, 2008), 73 FR 27878 (May 14, 2008) (SR-NYSEArca-2008-31) (order approving Exchange listing and trading of twelve actively-managed funds of the WisdomTree Trust); 62502 (July 15, 2010), 75 FR 42471 (July 21, 2010) (SR-NYSEArca-2010-57) (order approving listing and trading of AdviserShares WCM/BNY Mellon Focused Growth ADR ETF); 63076 (October 12, 2010), 75 FR 63874 (October 18, 2010) (SR-NYSEArca-2010-79) (order approving listing and trading of Cambria Global Tactical ETF); 71540 (February 12, 2014), 79 FR 9515 (February 19, 2014) (SR-NYSEArca-2013-138) (order approving listing and trading of shares of the iShares Enhanced International Large-Cap ETF and iShares Enhanced International Small-Cap ETF).

<sup>6</sup> The Trust is registered under the 1940 Act. On February 26, 2016, the Trust filed with the Commission an amendment to its registration

<sup>17</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

<sup>18</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

<sup>19</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

<sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 240.17Ad-22(d)(1).

will serve as the investment adviser to the Fund (“Adviser”). Euclid Advisors LLC will serve as the Fund’s sub-adviser (“Sub-Adviser”). ETF Distributors LLC (“Distributor”) will be the principal underwriter and distributor of the Fund’s Shares. Virtus ETF Solutions LLC will serve as the administrator for the Fund. The Bank of New York Mellon (“Transfer Agent”) will serve as accounting services administrator, custodian and transfer agent for the Fund.

Commentary .06 to Rule 8.600 provides that, if the investment adviser to the investment company issuing Managed Fund Shares is affiliated with a broker-dealer, such investment adviser shall erect a “fire wall” between the investment adviser and the broker-dealer with respect to access to information concerning the composition and/or changes to such investment company portfolio. In addition, Commentary .06 further requires that personnel who make decisions on the open-end fund’s portfolio composition must be subject to procedures designed to prevent the use and dissemination of material nonpublic information regarding the open-end fund’s portfolio.<sup>7</sup> Commentary .06 to Rule 8.600 is similar to Commentary .03(a)(i) and (iii) to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 5.2(j)(3); however, Commentary .06 in

statement on Form N-1A under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a), and under the 1940 Act relating to the Fund (File Nos. 333-206600 and 811-23078) (“Registration Statement”). The description of the operation of the Trust and the Fund herein is based, in part, on the Registration Statement. In addition, the Commission has issued an order granting certain exemptive relief to the Trust under the 1940 Act. See Investment Company Act Release No. 30825 (December 11, 2013) (File No. 812-14212).

<sup>7</sup> An investment adviser to an open-end fund is required to be registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (“Advisers Act”). As a result, the Adviser and its related personnel are subject to the provisions of Rule 204A-1 under the Advisers Act relating to codes of ethics. This Rule requires investment advisers to adopt a code of ethics that reflects the fiduciary nature of the relationship to clients as well as compliance with other applicable securities laws. Accordingly, procedures designed to prevent the communication and misuse of non-public information by an investment adviser must be consistent with Rule 204A-1 under the Advisers Act. In addition, Rule 206(4)-7 under the Advisers Act makes it unlawful for an investment adviser to provide investment advice to clients unless such investment adviser has (i) adopted and implemented written policies and procedures reasonably designed to prevent violation, by the investment adviser and its supervised persons, of the Advisers Act and the Commission rules adopted thereunder; (ii) implemented, at a minimum, an annual review regarding the adequacy of the policies and procedures established pursuant to subparagraph (i) above and the effectiveness of their implementation; and (iii) designated an individual (who is a supervised person) responsible for administering the policies and procedures adopted under subparagraph (i) above.

connection with the establishment of a “fire wall” between the investment adviser and the broker-dealer reflects the applicable open-end fund’s portfolio, not an underlying benchmark index, as is the case with index-based funds. The Adviser and Sub-Adviser are not registered broker-dealers but are affiliated with a broker-dealer and each has implemented a “fire wall” with respect to such broker-dealer regarding access to information concerning the composition and/or changes to the Fund’s portfolio. In the event (a) the Adviser or Sub-Adviser becomes registered as a broker-dealer or newly affiliated with a broker-dealer or (b) any new adviser or sub-adviser is a registered broker-dealer or becomes affiliated with a broker-dealer, it will implement a fire wall with respect to its relevant personnel or broker-dealer affiliate regarding access to information concerning the composition and/or changes to the portfolio, and will be subject to procedures designed to prevent the use and dissemination of material non-public information regarding such portfolio.

#### Principal Investments

According to the Registration Statement, under normal circumstances,<sup>8</sup> the Fund will invest not less than 80% of its assets in the common stocks of certain Japanese companies listed in the JPX-Nikkei 400 Total Return Index (“Index”), a free-float adjusted market capitalization-weighted equity index composed of 400 Tokyo Stock Exchange-listed securities, and the financial instruments listed below.

According to the Registration Statement, the Fund will be actively-managed through the selection, at any given time, of approximately 80–100 common stocks from the Index based on quantitative and qualitative factors, including an assessment of the following characteristics: Cash flow return on invested capital; earnings quality and momentum; operational quality; corporate governance policies; and capital stewardship. The Fund may invest in such Index components by directly purchasing shares of common stock or investing in American

<sup>8</sup> The term “under normal circumstances” includes, but is not limited to, the absence of extreme volatility or trading halts in the securities markets or the financial markets generally; circumstances under which the Fund’s investments are made for temporary defensive purposes; operational issues causing dissemination of inaccurate market information; or force majeure type events such as systems failure, natural or man-made disaster, act of God, armed conflict, act of terrorism, riot or labor disruption or any similar intervening circumstance.

Depository Receipts (“ADRs”)<sup>9</sup> on the common stock of such Index components. Securities held by the Fund may be underweighted or overweighted relative to their positions in the Index.

Although the Fund will focus on investment in securities in the Index as described above, the Fund may also invest in common stocks of other Japanese companies with characteristics similar to those listed on the Index, as determined by the Sub-Adviser. With respect to such common stocks, the Fund will only invest in securities that are listed on the Tokyo Stock Exchange<sup>10</sup> and that have a market capitalization of \$250,000,000 U.S. dollars or greater. The Fund may also invest in ADRs on such common stocks.

Positions may be reduced or removed when the Sub-Adviser determines that a security has become overweighted within the Fund’s portfolio, that the security’s prospects have adversely changed, that the Fund should raise funds for new or other investments or that there are more attractive opportunities.

#### Other Investments

While the Fund, under normal circumstances, will invest at least 80% of its assets in common stock of Japanese companies listed in the Index, common stock of certain other Japanese companies and ADRs, as described above, the Fund will invest its remaining assets in the securities and financial instruments described below.

The Fund may invest in securities index futures contracts and foreign currency futures contracts.<sup>11</sup> According to the Registration Statement, in general, the Fund will not purchase or sell futures contracts unless either (i) the futures contracts are purchased for “bona fide hedging” purposes (as defined under applicable Commodity Futures Trading Commission regulations) or (ii) if purchased for other purposes, the sum of the amounts of initial margin deposits and premiums required to establish such positions on

<sup>9</sup> ADRs are bought and sold in the United States and are typically issued by a U.S. bank or trust company which evidence ownership of underlying securities by a foreign corporation. No more than 10% of the net assets of the Fund will be invested in ADRs that are not exchange-listed.

<sup>10</sup> Japan Exchange Regulation (“JPX-R”) is a member of the Intermarket Surveillance Group and information relating to transactions in Tokyo Stock Exchange listed securities is available through JPX-R.

<sup>11</sup> In instances involving the purchase of futures contracts, the Fund will deposit in a segregated account with its custodian an amount of cash, cash equivalents and/or appropriate securities equal to the cost of such futures contracts, to the extent that such deposits are required under the 1940 Act.

the Fund's existing futures would not exceed 5% of the liquidation value of the Fund's total assets.

The Fund may also invest in forward contracts and non-deliverable forward ("NDF") contracts on the foreign currency spot market.

The Fund may invest in when-issued and forward commitment securities, which means delivery and payment take place a number of days after the date of the commitment to purchase, if the Fund holds sufficient liquid assets to meet the purchase price.

The Fund may invest in the following equity securities (other than non-exchange traded investment company securities): Common stocks traded on U.S. or Japanese securities exchanges (other than the Tokyo Stock Exchange); common stocks traded on the over-the-counter market; U.S. and foreign exchange-traded preferred stocks; U.S. and foreign exchange-traded convertible preferred stocks; U.S. and foreign exchange-traded convertible bonds; U.S. and foreign exchange-traded warrants; and U.S. and foreign exchange-traded rights. The Fund will not invest in ADRs on any of these equity securities.

In addition, the Fund may invest in, to the extent permitted by Section 12(d)(1) of the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder,<sup>12</sup> other open-end investment companies, including other exchange-traded funds ("ETFs").<sup>13</sup>

The Fund may invest in Currency Trust Shares.<sup>14</sup>

The Fund may invest in real estate investment trusts ("REITs") traded on U.S. exchanges and Japanese exchanges.

The Fund may enter into short sales of securities. The Fund may also enter into short sales "against the box," *i.e.*, when the Fund sells a security short while owning a securities equivalent in kind and amount to the securities sold short (or securities convertible or exchangeable into such securities) and will hold such securities while the short sale is outstanding.

The Fund may invest in the following money market instruments: U.S. Government obligations; corporate debt obligations<sup>15</sup> (including, without

limitation, those subject to repurchase agreements); banker's acceptances (credit instruments evidencing the obligation of a bank to pay a draft drawn on it by a customer); certificates of deposit of domestic branches of banks (certificates representing the obligation of a bank to repay funds deposited with it for a specified period of time); commercial paper<sup>16</sup> (unsecured, short-term debt obligation of a bank, corporation or other borrower); and master notes (unsecured obligations which are redeemable upon demand of the holder and which permit the investment of fluctuating amounts at varying rates of interest).

The Fund may invest assets in shares of money market funds.

#### Investment Restrictions

The Fund may, from time to time, take temporary defensive positions that are inconsistent with its principal investment strategies in an attempt to respond to adverse market, economic, political or other conditions. In such circumstances, the Fund may also hold up to 100% of its portfolio in cash and cash equivalent positions.<sup>17</sup>

The Fund intends to maintain the required level of diversification and otherwise conduct its operations so as to qualify as a "regulated investment company" for purposes of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.<sup>18</sup>

The Fund may hold up to an aggregate amount of 15% of its net assets in illiquid assets, which are investments that cannot be sold or disposed of in the ordinary course of business within seven days at approximately the prices at which they are valued. Under the supervision of the Board of Trustees of the Trust ("Board"), the Fund will determine the liquidity of the Fund's investments, which will be monitored by the Board pursuant to reports. If through a change in values, net assets or

have at least \$100,000,000 par amount outstanding in developed countries or at least \$200,000,000 par amount outstanding in emerging market countries.

<sup>16</sup> According to the Registration Statement, the Fund will directly invest in commercial paper only if such commercial paper is rated in one of the two highest rating categories as rated by a major credit agency or, if unrated, will be of comparable quality as determined by the Sub-Adviser.

<sup>17</sup> Cash equivalents are short-term instruments with maturities of less than 3 months. Short-term instruments shall include the following: (i) U.S. Government securities, including bills, notes and bonds differing as to maturity and rates of interest, which are either issued or guaranteed by the U.S. Treasury or by U.S. Government agencies or instrumentalities; (ii) certificates of deposit issued against funds deposited in a bank or savings and loan association; (iii) bankers' acceptances; (iv) repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements; (v) bank time deposits; (vi) commercial paper; and (vii) money market funds.

<sup>18</sup> 26 U.S.C. 851.

other circumstances, the Fund were in a position where more than 15% of its net assets were invested in illiquid assets, it would seek to take appropriate steps to protect liquidity.

Illiquid assets include securities subject to contractual or other restrictions on resale and other instruments that lack readily available markets as determined in accordance with Commission staff guidance.<sup>19</sup>

The Fund's investments will be consistent with the Fund's investment objective and will not be used to enhance leverage. That is, while the Fund will be permitted to borrow as permitted under the 1940 Act, the Fund's investments will not be used to seek performance that is the multiple or inverse multiple (*i.e.*, 2Xs and 3Xs) of the Index.

#### Net Asset Value

According to the Registration Statement, a Share's net asset value ("NAV") will be determined as of the close of the regular trading session on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") (normally at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time ("E.T.")) on each day that the NYSE is open for trading. Any assets or liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar will be converted into U.S. dollars at the current market rates on the date of valuation as quoted by one or more sources.

The NAV of the Shares for the Fund is equal to the Fund's total assets minus the Fund's total liabilities divided by the total number of Shares outstanding. Interest and investment income on the Fund's assets accrue daily and are included in the Fund's total assets. Expenses and fees (including investment advisory, management, administration and distribution fees, if any) accrue daily and are included in the Fund's total liabilities. The NAV that is published is rounded to the nearest cent; however, for purposes of

<sup>19</sup> The Commission has stated that long-standing Commission guidelines have required open-end funds to hold no more than 15% of their net assets in illiquid securities and other illiquid assets. See Investment Company Act Release No. 28193 (March 11, 2008), 73 FR 14618 (March 18, 2008), footnote 34. See also, Investment Company Act Release No. 5847 (October 21, 1969), 35 FR 19989 (December 31, 1970) (Statement Regarding "Restricted Securities"); Investment Company Act Release No. 18612 (March 12, 1992), 57 FR 9828 (March 20, 1992) (Revisions of Guidelines to Form N-1A). A fund's portfolio security is illiquid if it cannot be disposed of in the ordinary course of business within seven days at approximately the value ascribed to it by the fund. See Investment Company Act Release No. 14983 (March 12, 1986), 51 FR 9773 (March 21, 1986) (adopting amendments to Rule 2a-7 under the 1940 Act); Investment Company Act Release No. 17452 (April 23, 1990), 55 FR 17933 (April 30, 1990) (adopting Rule 144A under the 1933 Act).

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 80a-12(d)(1).

<sup>13</sup> For purposes of this filing, ETFs consist of Investment Company Units (as described in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 5.2(j)(3)), Portfolio Depository Receipts (as described in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.100); and Managed Fund Shares (as described in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600). All ETFs will be listed and traded in the U.S. on a national securities exchange. The Fund will not invest in inverse ETFs or in leveraged (*e.g.*, 2X, -2X, 3X or -3X) ETFs.

<sup>14</sup> Currency Trust Shares are securities such as those described in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.202.

<sup>15</sup> The Adviser expects that under normal market conditions, the Fund will seek to invest at least 75% of its corporate bond assets in issuances that

determining the price of Creation Units, the NAV is calculated to five decimal places.

The pricing and valuation of portfolio securities is determined in good faith in accordance with procedures approved by, and under the direction of, the Board. In determining the value of the Fund's assets, equity securities (other than non-exchange traded investment company securities), including shares of preferred stocks, convertible preferred stocks, warrants, rights, ETFs, REITs, Currency Trust Shares and sponsored and unsponsored ADRs, generally will be valued at market value using quotations from the primary market on which they are traded. The Fund normally will use third party pricing services to obtain market quotations.

Money market instruments and cash equivalents will be valued on the basis of broker quotes or valuations provided by a third party pricing service, which in determining value utilizes information regarding recent sales, market transactions in comparable securities, quotations from dealers and various relationships between securities.

Futures contracts will generally be valued at the settlement price of the relevant exchange.

Investments in other open end investment companies (other than ETFs) that are registered under the 1940 Act, including money market funds, will be valued based upon the NAVs reported by such registered open end investment companies. The prospectuses for these companies explain the circumstances under which they will use fair value pricing and the effects of using fair value pricing.

NDFs and foreign forward currency contracts will be valued intraday using market quotes, or another proxy as determined to be appropriate by a third party market data provider.

Securities and assets for which market quotations are not readily available or which cannot be accurately valued using the Fund's normal pricing procedures will be valued by the Trust's Fair Value Pricing Committee at fair value as determined in good faith under policies approved by the Board. Fair value pricing may be used, for example, in situations where (i) portfolio securities, such as securities with small capitalizations, are so thinly traded that there have been no transactions for that security over an extended period of time; (ii) an event occurs after the close of the exchange on which a portfolio security is principally traded that is likely to change the value of the portfolio security prior to the Fund's NAV calculation; (iii) the exchange on

which the portfolio security is principally traded closes early; or (iv) trading of the particular portfolio security is halted during the day and does not resume prior to the Fund's NAV calculation. In addition, the Trust may fair value foreign equity portfolio securities each day the Trust calculates the Fund's NAV. Pursuant to policies adopted by the Board, the Adviser will consult with Bank of New York Mellon and the Sub-Adviser on a regular basis regarding the need for fair value pricing. The Fund's policies regarding fair value pricing are intended to result in a calculation of the Fund's NAV that fairly reflects portfolio security values as of the time of pricing. A portfolio security's "fair value" price may differ from the price next available for that portfolio security using the Fund's normal pricing procedures, and the fair value price may differ substantially from the price at which the security may ultimately be traded or sold. The Board will monitor and evaluate the Fund's use of fair value pricing, and will periodically review the results of any fair valuation under the Trust's policies.

#### Creation and Redemption of Shares

According to the Registration Statement, Shares of the Fund will be "created" at NAV by certain large institutions only in block-size "Creation Units" of 50,000 Shares or multiples thereof. The size of a Creation Unit is subject to change. Only an "Authorized Participant" may create or redeem Creation Units directly with the Fund. Each Authorized Participant will enter into an authorized participant agreement with the Trust, Distributor and Transfer Agent ("Participant Agreement"). An Authorized Participant must either be (i) a broker-dealer or other participant ("Participating Party") in the clearing process through the Continuous Net Settlement System ("Clearing Process") of the National Securities Clearing Corporation ("NSCC") or a clearing agency that is registered with the Commission or (ii) a participant of the Depository Trust Company ("DTC Participant").

A creation transaction generally takes place when an Authorized Participant deposits into the Fund a basket of equity securities included in the Fund's portfolio ("Deposit Securities") and a specified cash payment ("Cash Component").

Similarly, Shares can be redeemed only in Creation Units, generally in exchange for Deposit Securities and a Cash Component.

The prices at which creations and redemptions occur are based on the next calculation of NAV after a creation or

redemption order is received in an acceptable form under the Participant Agreement.

The consideration for purchase of Creation Units generally will consist of an in-kind deposit of Deposit Securities for each Creation Unit constituting a substantial replication, or a representation, of the securities included in the Fund's portfolio and a Cash Component (calculated as described in this section below). Together, the Deposit Securities and the Cash Component constitute the "Fund Deposit," which represents the minimum initial and subsequent investment amount for a Creation Unit of the Fund.

According to the Registration Statement, the function of the Cash Component will be to compensate for any differences between the NAV per Creation Unit and the market value of the Deposit Securities. The Cash Component would be an amount equal to the difference between the NAV of the Shares (per Creation Unit) and the market value of the Deposit Securities. If the Cash Component is a positive number (*i.e.*, the NAV per Creation Unit exceeds the market value of the Deposit Securities), the Cash Component will be such positive amount and the Authorized Participant will deliver the Cash Component. If the Cash Component is a negative number (*i.e.*, the NAV per Creation Unit is less than the market value of the Deposit Securities), the Cash Component will be such negative amount, and the Authorized Participant will be entitled to receive cash from the Fund in an amount equal to the Cash Component.

The Fund, through NSCC, will make available on each day on which the NYSE is open for business ("Business Day"), immediately prior to the opening of business on the NYSE (currently 9:30 a.m., E.T.), the list of the names and the required number of shares of each Deposit Security to be included in the current Fund Deposit (based on information at the end of the previous Business Day) for the Fund. The Fund, through NSCC, will also make available on each Business Day the estimated Cash Component, effective through and including the previous Business Day, per outstanding Creation Unit of the Fund.

According to the Registration Statement, the identity and number of shares of the Deposit Securities required for the Fund Deposit for the Fund may change as rebalancing adjustments and corporate action events are reflected from time to time by the Sub-Adviser with a view to the investment objective of the Fund. In addition, the Trust

reserves the right to permit or require the substitution of an amount of cash, *i.e.*, a “cash in lieu” amount, to be added to the Cash Component to replace any Deposit Security that may not be available in sufficient quantity for delivery, that may not be eligible for transfer through the Clearing Process or that may not be eligible for trading by an Authorized Participant or the investor for which it is acting.

All orders to create Creation Units, whether through the Clearing Process (through a Participating Party) or outside the Clearing Process (through a DTC Participant), must be received by the Distributor no later than 3:00 p.m., E.T., on the date such order is placed in order for the creation of Creation Units to be effected based on the NAV of Shares of the Fund as next determined on such date after receipt of the order in proper form.

Shares may be redeemed only in Creation Units at their NAV next determined after receipt of a redemption request in proper form on a Business Day and only through a Participating Party or DTC Participant who has executed a Participant Agreement.

With respect to the Fund, the Trust, through NSCC, will make available immediately prior to the opening of business on the NYSE (currently 9:30 a.m., E.T.) on each Business Day, the Deposit Securities that will be applicable (subject to possible amendment or correction) to redemption requests received in proper form on that day. Deposit Securities received on redemption may not be identical to Deposit Securities that are applicable to creations of Creation Units.

The redemption proceeds for a Creation Unit will generally consist of Deposit Securities, as announced by the Trust on the Business Day of the request for a redemption received in proper form, plus cash in an amount equal to the difference between the NAV of the Shares being redeemed, as next determined after receipt of the request, and the value of the Deposit Securities, less a redemption transaction fee. In the event that the Deposit Securities have a value greater than the NAV of the Shares, a compensating cash payment equal to the differential will be required to be made by or through an Authorized Participant by the redeeming shareholder.

If it is not possible to effect deliveries of the Deposit Securities, the Trust may in its sole discretion exercise its option to redeem such Shares in cash. In addition, an investor may request a redemption in cash which the Fund

may, in its sole discretion, permit.<sup>20</sup> The Fund may also, in its sole discretion, upon request of the shareholder, provide such redeemer a portfolio of securities which differs from the exact composition of the Deposit Securities but does not differ in NAV.

The right of redemption may be suspended or the date of payment postponed with respect to the Fund: (i) For any period during which the NYSE is closed (other than customary weekend and holiday closings); (ii) for any period during which trading on the NYSE is suspended or restricted; (iii) for any period during which an emergency exists as a result of which disposal of the Shares of the Fund or determination of the Shares' NAV is not reasonably practicable; or (iv) in such other circumstances as permitted by the Commission.

#### Availability of Information

The Fund's Web site ([www.virtus.com](http://www.virtus.com)), which will be publicly available prior to the public offering of Shares, will include quantitative information on a per-Share basis updated on a daily basis, including, for the Fund (i) the prior Business Day's NAV and mid-point of the bid-ask spread at the time of calculation of such NAV (“Bid-Ask Price”),<sup>21</sup> and a calculation of the premium and discount of the Bid-Ask Price against the NAV, and (ii) data in chart format displaying the frequency distribution of discounts and premiums of the daily Bid-Ask Price against the NAV, within appropriate ranges, for each of the four previous calendar quarters (or for the life of the Fund, if shorter).

On each Business Day, before commencement of trading in Shares in the Core Trading Session on the Exchange, the Adviser will disclose on the Fund's Web site the Disclosed Portfolio for the Fund (as defined in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600(c)(2)) that will form the basis of the Fund's calculation of the NAV on that Business Day.

On a daily basis, the Adviser, on behalf of the Fund, will disclose on the Fund's Web site the following information regarding each portfolio holding, as applicable to the type of holding: Ticker symbol, CUSIP number

<sup>20</sup> The Adviser represents that, to the extent the Trust effects the creation or redemption of Shares in cash, such transactions will be effected in the same manner for all Authorized Participants.

<sup>21</sup> The Bid-Ask Price of Shares of the Fund will be determined using the mid-point of the highest bid and the lowest offer on the Exchange as of the time of calculation of the Fund's NAV. The records relating to Bid-Ask Prices will be retained by the Fund and its service providers.

or other identifier, if any; a description of the holding (including the type of holding); the identity of the security, index, or other asset or instrument underlying the holding, if any; quantity held (as measured by, for example, par value, notional value or number of shares, contracts or units; maturity date, if any; coupon rate, if any; effective date, if any; market value of the holding; and the percentage weighting of the holding in the Fund's portfolio. The Web site information will be publicly available at no charge.

In addition, a basket composition file, which includes the security names and share quantities (as applicable) required to be delivered in exchange for Fund Shares, together with estimates and actual cash components, will be publicly disseminated daily prior to the opening of the NYSE via the NSCC. The basket will represent one Creation Unit of the Fund.

In order to provide additional information regarding the indicative value of Shares of the Fund, one or more market data vendors will disseminate every 15 seconds an updated Indicative Intra-Day Value (“IIV”) for the Fund as calculated by an information provider or market data vendor.

The Fund's IIV will be calculated based on the current market value of the Fund's portfolio holdings that will form the basis of the Fund's calculation of NAV at the end of the Business Day as disclosed on the Fund's Web site prior to the Business Day's commencement of trading.

Investors can also obtain the Trust's Statement of Additional Information (“SAI”), the Fund's Shareholder Reports, and the Trust's Form N-CSR and Form N-Q, filed twice a year. The Trust's SAI and Shareholder Reports will be available free upon request from the Trust, and those documents and the Form N-CSR and Form N-Q may be viewed on-screen or downloaded from the Commission's Web site at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). Information regarding market price and trading volume of the Shares will be continually available on a real-time basis throughout the day on brokers' computer screens and other electronic services. Information regarding the previous day's closing price and trading volume information for the Shares will be published daily in the financial section of newspapers. Quotation and last sale information for the Shares will be available via the Consolidated Tape Association (“CTA”) high-speed line. With respect to U.S. exchange-listed equity securities, the intra-day, closing and settlement prices of common stocks and exchange-traded equity securities (including shares of

preferred stocks, convertible preferred stocks, warrants, rights, ETFs, REITs, Currency Trust Shares and ADRs) will be readily available from the national securities exchanges trading such securities, automated quotation systems, published or other public sources, or on-line information services such as Bloomberg or Reuters. With respect to non-U.S. exchange-listed equity securities, intra-day, closing and settlement prices of common stocks and other equity securities (including REITs traded on Japanese exchanges, preferred stocks, convertible preferred stocks, warrants and rights), will be available from the foreign exchanges on which such securities trade as well as from major market data vendors. Intra-day and closing price information relating to securities regularly traded in an over-the-counter market will be available from major market data vendors. Price information from brokers and dealers or pricing services will be available for money market instruments, money market funds, cash equivalents, forwards and NDFs held by the Fund. Quotation and last sale information for futures will be available from the exchange on which they are listed. Price information regarding investment company securities (other than exchange-traded investment company securities) will be available from the applicable fund.

In addition, the IIV,<sup>22</sup> which is the Portfolio Indicative Value as defined in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600 (c)(3), will be widely disseminated at least every 15 seconds during the Exchange's Core Trading Session by one or more major market data vendors.<sup>23</sup> The dissemination of the IIV, together with the Disclosed Portfolio, will allow investors to determine the value of the underlying portfolio of the Fund on a daily basis and will provide a close estimate of that value throughout the trading day. The IIV should not be viewed as a "real-time" update of the NAV per Share of the Fund, which will be calculated once per day.

<sup>22</sup> The IIV calculation will be an estimate of the value of the Fund's NAV per Share using market data converted into U.S. dollars at the current currency rates. The IIV price will be based on quotes and closing prices from the securities' local market and may not reflect events that occur subsequent to the local market's close. Premiums and discounts between the IIV and the market price of the Shares may occur. This should not be viewed as a "real-time" update of the NAV per Share of the Fund, which will be calculated only once a day.

<sup>23</sup> Currently, it is the Exchange's understanding that several major market data vendors display and/or make widely available IIVs taken from CTA or other data feeds.

### Trading Halts

With respect to trading halts, the Exchange may consider all relevant factors in exercising its discretion to halt or suspend trading in the Shares of the Fund.<sup>24</sup> Trading in Shares of the Fund will be halted if the circuit breaker parameters in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.12 have been reached. Trading also may be halted because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable. These may include: (i) The extent to which trading is not occurring in the securities and/or the financial instruments comprising the Disclosed Portfolio of the Fund; or (ii) whether other unusual conditions or circumstances detrimental to the maintenance of a fair and orderly market are present. Trading in the Shares will be subject to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600(d)(2)(D), which sets forth circumstances under which Shares of the Fund may be halted.

### Trading Rules

The Exchange deems the Shares to be equity securities, thus rendering trading in the Shares subject to the Exchange's existing rules governing the trading of equity securities. Shares will trade on the Exchange from 4:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m., E.T., in accordance with NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.34 (Opening, Core and Late Trading Sessions). The Exchange has appropriate rules to facilitate transactions in the Shares during all trading sessions. As provided in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.6, Commentary .03, the minimum price variation ("MPV") for quoting and entry of orders in equity securities traded on the Exchange is \$0.01, with the exception of securities that are priced less than \$1.00 for which the MPV for order entry is \$0.0001.

The Shares will conform to the initial and continued listing criteria under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600. The Exchange represents that, for initial and/or continued listing, the Fund will be in compliance with Rule 10A-3<sup>25</sup> under the Act, as provided by NYSE Arca Equities Rule 5.3. A minimum of 100,000 Shares for the Fund will be outstanding at the commencement of trading on the Exchange. The Exchange will obtain a representation from the issuer of the Shares that the NAV per Share will be calculated daily and that the NAV and the Disclosed Portfolio will be made available to all market participants at the same time.

<sup>24</sup> See NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.12.

<sup>25</sup> 17 CFR 240.10A-3.

### Surveillance

The Exchange represents that trading in the Shares will be subject to the existing trading surveillances administered by the Exchange, as well as cross-market surveillances administered by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") on behalf of the Exchange, which are designed to detect violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws.<sup>26</sup> The Exchange represents that these procedures are adequate to properly monitor Exchange trading of the Shares in all trading sessions and to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and federal securities laws applicable to trading on the Exchange.

The surveillances referred to above generally focus on detecting securities trading outside their normal patterns, which could be indicative of manipulative or other violative activity. When such situations are detected, surveillance analysis follows and investigations are opened, where appropriate, to review the behavior of all relevant parties for all relevant trading violations.

The Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, will communicate as needed regarding trading in the Shares, ETFs and certain exchange-traded securities underlying the Shares with other markets and other entities that are members of the Intermarket Surveillance Group ("ISG"), and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information regarding trading in the Shares, ETFs and certain exchange-traded securities underlying the Shares from such markets and other entities. In addition, the Exchange may obtain information regarding trading in the Shares, ETFs and certain exchange-traded securities underlying the Shares from markets and other entities that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a comprehensive surveillance sharing agreement ("CSSA").<sup>27</sup> FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, is able to access, as needed, trade information for certain fixed income securities held by the Fund reported to FINRA's Trade Reporting and Compliance Engine ("TRACE").

<sup>26</sup> FINRA conducts cross-market surveillances on behalf of the Exchange pursuant to a regulatory services agreement. The Exchange is responsible for FINRA's performance under this regulatory services agreement.

<sup>27</sup> For a list of the current members of ISG, see [www.isgportal.org](http://www.isgportal.org). The Exchange notes that not all components of the Disclosed Portfolio for the Fund may trade on markets that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place a CSSA.

In addition, the Exchange also has a general policy prohibiting the distribution of material, non-public information by its employees.

Not more than 10% of the net assets of the Fund in the aggregate invested in equity securities (other than non-exchange-traded investment company securities) shall consist of equity securities whose principal market is not a member of the ISG or is a market with which the Exchange does not have a CSSA. Furthermore, not more than 10% of the net assets of the Fund in the aggregate invested in futures contracts shall consist of futures contracts whose principal market is not a member of ISG or is a market with which the Exchange does not have a CSSA.

All statements and representations made in this filing regarding (i) the description of the portfolio, (ii) limitations on portfolio holdings or reference assets or (iii) the applicability of Exchange rules and surveillance procedures shall constitute continued listing requirements for listing the Shares on the Exchange.

The issuer has represented to the Exchange that it will advise the Exchange of any failure by the Fund to comply with the continued listing requirements, and, pursuant to its obligations under Section 19(g)(1) of the Act, the Exchange will monitor for compliance with the continued listing requirements. If the Funds [sic] are not in compliance with the applicable listing requirements, the Exchange will commence delisting procedures under NYSE Arca Equities Rule 5.5(m).

#### Information Bulletin

Prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will inform its Equity Trading Permit Holders in an Information Bulletin ("Bulletin") of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares. Specifically, the Bulletin will discuss the following: (i) The procedures for purchases and redemptions of Shares in Creation Unit aggregations (and that Shares are not individually redeemable); (ii) NYSE Arca Equities Rule 9.2(a), which imposes a duty of due diligence on its Equity Trading Permit Holders to learn the essential facts relating to every customer prior to trading the Shares; (iii) the risks involved in trading the Shares during the Opening and Late Trading Sessions when an updated IIV will not be calculated or publicly disseminated; (iv) how information regarding the IIV and the Disclosed Portfolio is disseminated; (v) the requirement that Equity Trading Permit Holders deliver a prospectus to investors purchasing newly issued

Shares prior to or concurrently with the confirmation of a transaction; and (vi) trading information.

In addition, the Bulletin will reference that the Fund is subject to various fees and expenses described in the Registration Statement. The Bulletin will discuss any exemptive, no-action and interpretive relief granted by the Commission from any rules under the Act. The Bulletin will also disclose that the NAV for the Shares will be calculated after 4:00 p.m., E.T., each trading day.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The basis under the Act for this proposed rule change is the requirement under Section 6(b)(5)<sup>28</sup> that an exchange have rules that are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices in that the Shares will be listed and traded on the Exchange pursuant to the initial and continued listing criteria in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600. The Exchange represents that trading in the Shares will be subject to the existing trading surveillances administered by the Exchange, as well as cross-market surveillances administered by FINRA on behalf of the Exchange, which are designed to detect violations of Exchange rules and applicable federal securities laws. The Adviser has implemented a "fire wall" with respect to its affiliated broker-dealer regarding access to information concerning the composition and/or changes to the Fund's portfolio. The Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, will communicate as needed regarding trading in the Shares, ETFs and certain exchange-traded securities underlying the Shares with other markets and other entities that are members of the ISG, and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information regarding trading in the Shares, ETFs and certain exchange-traded securities underlying the Shares from such markets and other entities. In addition, the Exchange may obtain information regarding trading in the Shares, ETFs and certain exchange-traded securities underlying the Shares from markets and other entities that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has in place

a CSSA. FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, is able to access, as needed, trade information for certain fixed income securities held by the Fund reported to FINRA's TRACE. The Fund may hold up to an aggregate amount of 15% of its net assets in illiquid assets (calculated at the time of investment). The ETFs held by the Fund will be traded on U.S. national securities exchanges and will be subject to the rules of such exchanges, as approved by the Commission. The Fund's investments will be consistent with its investment objective and will not be used to enhance leverage.

The proposed rule change is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and to protect investors and the public interest in that the Exchange will obtain a representation from the issuer of the Shares that the NAV per Share will be calculated daily and that the NAV and the Disclosed Portfolio will be made available to all market participants at the same time. In addition, a large amount of information is publicly available regarding the Fund and the Shares, thereby promoting market transparency. The Fund's portfolio holdings will be disclosed on its Web site daily after the close of trading on the Exchange and prior to the opening of trading on the Exchange the following day. Moreover, the IIV will be widely disseminated by one or more major market data vendors at least every 15 seconds during the Exchange's Core Trading Session. These criteria are similar to certain "generic" listing criteria in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 5.2(j)(3), Commentary .01(a)(B), which relate to criteria applicable to an index or portfolio of U.S. and non-U.S. stocks underlying a series of Investment Company Units to be listed and traded on the Exchange pursuant to Rule 19b-4(e) under the Act. On each Business Day, before commencement of trading in Shares in the Core Trading Session on the Exchange, the Fund will disclose on its Web site the Disclosed Portfolio that will form the basis for the Fund's calculation of NAV at the end of the Business Day. Information regarding market price and trading volume of the Shares will be continually available on a real-time basis throughout the day on brokers' computer screens and other electronic services, and quotation and last sale information will be available via the CTA high-speed line. The Web site for the Fund will include additional data relating to NAV and other applicable quantitative information. Moreover, prior to the commencement of trading, the Exchange will inform its Equity Trading Permit Holders in an

<sup>28</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

[sic] Bulletin of the special characteristics and risks associated with trading the Shares. Trading in Shares of the Fund will be halted if the circuit breaker parameters in NYSE Arca Equities Rule 7.12 have been reached or because of market conditions or for reasons that, in the view of the Exchange, make trading in the Shares inadvisable, and trading in the Shares will be subject to NYSE Arca Equities Rule 8.600(d)(2)(D), which sets forth circumstances under which Shares of the Fund may be halted. The intra-day, closing and settlement prices of the portfolio securities are also readily available from the national securities exchanges trading such securities, automated quotation systems, published or other public sources, or on-line information services such as Bloomberg or Reuters. In addition, as noted above, investors will have ready access to information regarding the Fund's holdings, the IIV, the Disclosed Portfolio, and quotation and last sale information for the Shares.

The proposed rule change is designed to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest in that it will facilitate the listing and trading of an additional type of actively-managed exchange-traded product that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace. As noted above, the Exchange has in place surveillance procedures relating to trading in the Shares and may obtain information via ISG from other exchanges that are members of ISG or with which the Exchange has entered into a CSSA. In addition, as noted above, investors will have ready access to information regarding the Fund's holdings, the IIV, the Disclosed Portfolio, and quotation and last sale information for the Shares.

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change will facilitate the listing and trading of an actively-managed exchange-traded product that will principally hold non-U.S. equity securities and that will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

#### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

#### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) *By order approve or disapprove the proposed rule change, or*
- (B) *institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.*

#### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NYSEArca-2016-79 on the subject line.

##### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2016-79. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the

provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal offices of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEArca-2016-79, and should be submitted on or before June 30, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>29</sup>

**Brent J. Fields,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-13615 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

## **SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34-77990; File No. SR-NSCC-2016-001]

### **Self-Regulatory Organizations; National Securities Clearing Corporation; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change To Remove From the DTCC Limit Monitoring Tool the 50% Early Warning Limit Alert and Make Technical Revisions to the Rules**

June 3, 2016.

On April 18, 2016, National Securities Clearing Corporation ("NSCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") proposed rule change SR-NSCC-2016-001 pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> to amend NSCC's Rules and Procedures ("Rules")<sup>3</sup> in order to (i) remove from the DTCC Limit Monitoring tool the alert that is sent to Members when trading activity in any of their Risk Entities reaches 50% of the pre-set trading limits for that Risk Entity and (ii) to make related technical changes and corrections to the Rules, as more fully described below. The proposed rule change was published for comment

<sup>29</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> Available at [http://dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/legal/rules/nscc\\_rules.pdf](http://dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/legal/rules/nscc_rules.pdf). Terms not defined herein are defined in the Rules.

in the **Federal Register** on May 2, 2016.<sup>4</sup> The Commission did not receive any comment letters on the proposed rule change. For the reasons discussed below, the Commission is granting approval of the proposed rule change.

### I. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The following is a description of the proposed rule change, as provided by NSCC:

*Reasons for Adopting the Proposed Rule Change.* NSCC provides its Members with a risk management tool called DTCC Limit Monitoring, for which certain types of Members are required to register.<sup>5</sup> DTCC Limit Monitoring enables Members that use the tool to monitor post-trade activity and to be notified when pre-set trading limits are reached. To use the tool, Members must (1) define one or more "Risk Entities," which may include (i) the trading activity of a single trading desk within the firm; (ii) for Members that clear trades for other firms, *i.e.*, their correspondents, the trading activity of a correspondent firm; (iii) for Members acting as a Special Representative or a QSR, as such terms are defined in the Rules,<sup>6</sup> the trading activity of a firm with which it has a clearing relationship; (iv) the trading activity of a single clearing number within the Member's NSCC account structure; or (v) all trading activity of the Member submitted to NSCC for clearing; and (2) set a trading limit, at a net notional value, for each Risk Entity. DTCC Limit Monitoring then sets early warning limits at 50%, 75%, and 90% of those trading limits.<sup>7</sup> Members receive alerts when trading activity for their Risk Entities reaches each of these early warning limits, as well as the pre-set trading limits.

Since the implementation of DTCC Limit Monitoring in 2014, NSCC has periodically met with a working group of its Members to discuss the functioning of the tool and to confirm it provides Members with effective post-trade surveillance as intended. In response to Member feedback provided during these discussions, NSCC has proposed to remove the 50% early

warning alert for the reasons described below.

Additionally, NSCC has proposed to make technical revisions to Procedure XVII (DTCC Limit Monitoring Procedure) primarily to revise the verb tense and add clarity regarding use of the tool.

*Issues the Proposed Rule Change Is Intended to Address.* The proposed rule change will address concerns that (1) the 50% early warning alert is set too low and, thus, may not provide Members with useful information for purposes of effective post-trade monitoring; (2) the frequency of the 50% early warning alert could have a negative impact on Member responsiveness to more critical alerts; and (3) the verb tense and certain other language in the Rule may be unclear and/or technically inaccurate.

*Manner in which the Proposed Rule Change Will Operate to Resolve the Issues.* The proposed rule change will remove the 50% early warning alert from DTCC Limit Monitoring. DTCC Limit Monitoring will retain the 75% and 90% early warning alerts, which continue to provide Members with valuable notice of changes in their post-trade activity for purposes of effective risk management.

Additionally, the proposed rule change will make certain technical changes that will clarify the Rule, primarily by updating the verb tense from future tense to present tense to reflect the present applicability of the Rule and by making certain other technical clarifications to language used in the Rule.

*Manner in which the Proposed Rule Change Will Affect Various Persons.* Members that use DTCC Limit Monitoring will no longer receive the 50% early warning alert, but they will continue to receive alerts when their trading activity in each Risk Entity reaches 75% and 90% of their pre-set trading limits. No other changes are proposed with respect to the functioning of DTCC Limit Monitoring. The proposed technical changes are not anticipated to have any effect on Members that use DTCC Limit Monitoring.

*Significant Problems Known to the Self-Regulatory Organization that Persons Affected Are Likely to Have in Complying with the Proposed Rule Change.* Members that use DTCC Limit Monitoring will not have to take any action as a result of the proposed rule change, and NSCC is not aware of any problems that Members will have in continuing to comply with the Rules<sup>8</sup>

that address DTCC Limit Monitoring after the implementation of the proposed rule change.

As stated above, the proposed technical changes are not anticipated to have any effect on Members that use DTCC Limit Monitoring.

*Description of the Proposed Rule Change.* In order to implement this proposed rule change, NSCC will amend Section 4 of Procedure XVII (DTCC Limit Monitoring Procedure) of the Rules to remove reference to the 50% early warning alert and to make certain technical clarifications to language used in the Rule, primarily by updating the verb tense used therein. No other changes to the Rules are contemplated by this proposed rule change.

### II. Discussion and Commission Findings

Section 19(b)(2)(C) of the Act<sup>9</sup> directs the Commission to approve a proposed rule change of a self-regulatory organization if it finds that such proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and rules and regulations thereunder applicable to such organization. The Commission believes the proposal is consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act,<sup>10</sup> as described in detail below.

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act requires, among other things, that the rules of a clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions and to protect investors and the public interest.<sup>11</sup> As described above, the 50% early warning alert may not provide Members with information that is useful for purposes of post-trade monitoring, but, rather, may distract Members from such information. By removing the 50% alert, a distraction is removed, thus increasing the effectiveness of the DTCC Limit Monitoring tool for Members to monitor their post-trade activity. Therefore, the proposed rule change will enhance Members' ability to manage risks from their trades, facilitating the protection of investors and the public interest from such risks.

As the proposed rule change pertains to technical changes to the Rules, the Commission finds the technical changes also consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act<sup>12</sup> because technical updates to the Rules to make them more clear, consistent, and current for Members that rely on the Rules supports the prompt and accurate

<sup>4</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 77709 (April 26, 2016), 81 FR 26274 (May 2, 2016) (SR-NSCC-2016-001).

<sup>5</sup> Rule 54 (DTCC Limit Monitoring) and Procedure XVII (DTCC Limit Monitoring), *supra* note 3; see Securities Exchange Act Release No. 71637 (February 28, 2014), 79 FR 12708 (March 6, 2014) (SR-NSCC-2013-12).

<sup>6</sup> Rule 7 (Comparison and Trade Recording Operation) and Procedure IV (Special Representative Service), *supra* note 3.

<sup>7</sup> Rule 54 (DTCC Limit Monitoring) and Procedure XVII (DTCC Limit Monitoring), *supra* note 3.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(C).

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

<sup>11</sup> *Id.*

<sup>12</sup> *Id.*

clearance and settlement of securities transactions.

### III. Conclusion

On the basis of the foregoing, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the requirements of the Act and in particular with the requirements of Section 17A of the Act<sup>13</sup> and the rules and regulations thereunder.

*It is therefore ordered*, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that proposed rule change SR-NSCC-2016-001 be, and hereby is, *approved*.<sup>14</sup>

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>15</sup>

**Brent J. Fields,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13613 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

#### *Upon Written Request Copies Available*

*From:* Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-2736.

#### *Extension:*

Notice of Exempt Preliminary Roll-Up Communication, SEC File No. 270-396, OMB Control No. 3235-0452.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Exchange Act Rule 14a-6(n) (17 CFR 240.14a-6(n)) requires any person that engages in a proxy solicitation subject to Exchange Act Rule 14a-2(b)(4) [(17 CFR 240.14a-2(b)(4))] to file a Notice of Exempt Preliminary Roll-Up Communication ("Notice") [(17 CFR 240.14a-104)] with the Commission. The Notice provides information regarding ownership interest and any potential conflicts of interest to be included in statements submitted by or on behalf of a person engaging in the

solicitation. The Notice takes approximately 0.25 hours per response and is filed by approximately 4 respondents for a total of one annual burden hour (0.25 hours per response × 4 response).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden imposed by the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Please direct your written comments to Pamela Dyson, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Brent J. Fields,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13617 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

#### *Upon Written Request Copies Available*

*From:* Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-2736.

#### *Extension:*

Form S-8; SEC File No. 270-66, OMB Control No. 3235-0066.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of

Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Form S-8 (17 CFR 239.16b) under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*) is the primary registration statement used by eligible registrants to register securities to be issued in connection with an employee benefit plan. We estimate that Form S-8 takes approximately 24 hours per response to prepare and is filed by approximately 2,140 respondents. In addition, we estimate that 50% of the preparation time (12 hours) is completed in-house by the filer for a total annual reporting burden of 25,680 (12 hours per response × 2,140 responses).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden imposed by the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Please direct your written comments to Pamela Dyson, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Brent J. Fields,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13616 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

#### *Upon Written Request Copies Available*

*From:* Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of FOIA Services, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-2736.

#### *Extension:*

Rule 155; SEC File No. 270-492, OMB Control No. 3235-0549.

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1.

<sup>14</sup> In approving the proposed rule change, the Commission considered the proposal's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

<sup>15</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is soliciting comments on the collection of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit this existing collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Rule 155 (17 CFR 230.155) under the Securities Act of 1933 (15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*) provides safe harbors for a registered offering of securities following an abandoned private offering, or a private offering following an abandoned registered offering, without integrating the registered and private offerings in either case. In connection with a registered offering following an abandoned private offering, Rule 155 requires an issuer to include in any prospectus filed as a part of a registration statement disclosure regarding the abandoned the private offering. Similarly, the rule requires an issuer to provide each offeree in a private offering following an abandoned registered offering with: (1) Information concerning the withdrawal of the registration statement; (2) the fact that the private offering is unregistered; and (3) the legal implications of the offering’s unregistered status. We estimate Rule 155 takes approximately 4 hours per response to prepare and is filed by 600 respondents annually.

We estimate that 50% of the 4 hours per response (2 hours per response) is prepared by the filer for a total annual reporting burden of 1,200 hours (2 hours per response × 600 responses).

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether this proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden imposed by the collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted in writing within 60 days of this publication.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number.

Please direct your written comments to Pamela Dyson, Director/Chief

Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o Remi Pavlik-Simon, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 or send an email to: [PRA\\_Mailbox@sec.gov](mailto:PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov).

Dated: June 3, 2016.

**Brent J. Fields,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13618 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011–01–P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–77991; File No. SR–DTC–2016–003]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Pursuant to Which It Would Impose Deposit Chills and Global Locks and Provide Fair Procedures to Issuers

June 3, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on May 27, 2016, The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by DTC. DTC filed the proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)<sup>3</sup> of the Act thereunder. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

#### I. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change consists of amendments to the Rules, By-Laws and Organization Certificate of DTC (the “Rules”) in order to add a Rule which establishes: (i) The circumstances under which DTC would impose and release a restriction on Deposits of an Eligible Security (a “Deposit Chill”) or on book-entry services for an Eligible Security (a “Global Lock”); and (ii) the fair procedures for notice and an opportunity for the issuer of the Eligible Security (the “Issuer”) to challenge the Deposit Chill or Global Lock (each, a “Restriction”), as described below.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b–4.

<sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

<sup>4</sup> Each capitalized term not otherwise defined herein has its respective meaning as set forth in the Rules, available at <http://www.dtcc.com/legal/rules-and-procedures.aspx>.

#### II. Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the clearing agency included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The clearing agency has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) *Clearing Agency’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change*

##### 1. Purpose

The proposal would add new Rule 33 (Deposit Chills and Global Locks) to establish: (i) The circumstances under which DTC would impose and release a Deposit Chill or a Global Lock; and (ii) the fair procedures for notice and an opportunity for the Issuer to challenge the Restriction, as described below.

##### (i) Background

###### A. DTC

DTC is the nation’s central securities depository, registered as a clearing agency under Section 17A of the Act.<sup>5</sup> DTC’s deposit and book-entry transfer services help facilitate the operation of the nation’s securities markets. By serving as registered holder of trillions of dollars of Securities, DTC, on a daily basis, processes enormous volumes of securities transactions facilitated by book-entry movement of interests, without the need to transfer physical certificates.

DTC performs services and maintains Securities Accounts for its Participants, primarily banks and broker dealers, pursuant to its Rules and Procedures. Participants agree to be bound by the Rules and Procedures of DTC as a condition of their DTC membership.<sup>6</sup> DTC allows a Participant to present Securities to be made eligible for DTC’s depository and book-entry services. If a Security is accepted by DTC as meeting DTC’s eligibility requirements for services<sup>7</sup> and is deposited with DTC for credit to the Securities Account of a

<sup>5</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 20221 (September 23, 1983), 48 FR 45167 (October 3, 1983) (File No. 600–1).

<sup>6</sup> See *supra* note 5.

<sup>7</sup> See Rule 5, *supra* note 4; DTC Operational Arrangements (Necessary for Securities to Become and Remain Eligible for DTC Services), January 2012 (the “Operational Arrangements”), Section 1, available at <http://www.dtcc.com/~media/Files/Downloads/legal/issue-eligibility/eligibility/operational-arrangements.pdf>.

Participant, it becomes an Eligible Security. Thereafter, Participants may deposit shares of that Eligible Security into their respective DTC accounts. To facilitate book-entry transfers and other services that DTC provides for its Participants with respect to Deposited Securities, the Deposited Securities are generally registered on the books of the Issuer (typically, in a register maintained by a transfer agent) in DTC's nominee name, Cede & Co. Deposited Securities that are eligible for book-entry services are maintained in "fungible bulk," *i.e.*, each Participant whose Securities of an issue have been credited to its Securities Account has a *pro rata* (proportionate) interest in DTC's entire inventory of that issue, but none of the Securities on deposit are identifiable or "owned" by any particular Participant.<sup>8</sup>

The Commission has recognized that DTC plays a "critical function" in the National Clearance and Settlement system.<sup>9</sup> More recently, the federal Financial Stability Oversight Council, which was established pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act,<sup>10</sup> designated DTC as a Systemically Important Financial Market Utility (as defined therein).<sup>11</sup>

#### B. Deposit Chills and Global Locks: Prior Procedures

Previously, upon detecting suspiciously large deposits of a thinly traded Eligible Security, DTC imposed or proposed to impose a Deposit Chill as a measure to maintain the status quo while, pursuant to its Operational Arrangements,<sup>12</sup> DTC required the Issuer to confirm by legal opinion of independent counsel that the Eligible Security fulfilled the requirements for eligibility. The Deposit Chill would be maintained until the Issuer provided a satisfactory legal opinion. The Deposit Chill could remain in place for years,

<sup>8</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 19678 (April 15, 1983), 48 FR 17603, 17605, n.5 (April 25, 1983) (describing fungible bulk); see also N.Y. Uniform Commercial Code, § 8-503, Off. Cmt 1 ("... all entitlement holders have a pro rata interest in whatever positions in that financial asset the [financial] intermediary holds").

<sup>9</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 47978 (June 4, 2003), 68 FR 35037, 35041 (June 11, 2003) (File No. SR-DTC-2003-02).

<sup>10</sup> Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Pub. L. 111-203, 124 Stat. 1376 (2010).

<sup>11</sup> See Financial Stability Oversight Council, 2012 Annual Report, Appendix A, available at <https://www.treasury.gov/initiatives/fsoc/Documents/2012%20Appendix%20A%20Designation%20of%20Systemically%20Important%20Market%20Utilities.pdf>.

<sup>12</sup> See Operational Arrangements, Section I.A, *supra* note 7.

due to an Issuer's non-responsiveness, refusal, or inability to submit the required legal opinion.

With respect to Global Locks, DTC previously imposed a Global Lock on an Eligible Security when a governmental or regulatory authority commenced a proceeding or action alleging violations of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, with respect to such Eligible Security. A Global Lock could be released when the underlying enforcement action was withdrawn, dismissed on the merits with prejudice, or otherwise resolved in a final, non-appealable judgment in favor of the defendants allegedly responsible for the violations of federal securities laws. However, many enforcement actions are only resolved after several years<sup>13</sup> and commonly without any definitive determination of wrongdoing.<sup>14</sup>

The above describes, in part, the proposed procedures filed by DTC on December 5, 2013,<sup>15</sup> in response to the Commission's opinion and order in *In re International Power Group, Ltd.* ("IPWG") directing DTC to "adopt procedures that accord with the fairness requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(H)."<sup>16</sup> DTC withdrew the proposed rule change on August 18, 2014.<sup>17</sup>

As a result of DTC's experiences following the IPWG decision and in connection with the previous proposed rule change, DTC has determined that its proposed procedures for imposing Deposit Chills and Global Locks are more appropriately directed to current trading halts or suspensions imposed by the Commission, the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA"), or a court of competent jurisdiction, and therefore are more effective in targeting suspected securities fraud that is ongoing at the time the Restriction is imposed. In particular, with respect to Deposit Chills imposed pursuant to DTC's previous procedures, DTC believes that wrongdoers have seemingly taken into account DTC's Restriction process, and have been

<sup>13</sup> See, e.g., *SEC v. Kahlon*, 12-CV-517 (E.D. Tex., filed August 14, 2012); *SEC v. Bronson*, 12-cv-06421-KMK (S.D.N.Y., filed August 22, 2012). As of the date of this filing, neither case has been resolved.

<sup>14</sup> See, e.g., *SEC v. Reiss*, 13-cv-01537, dkt no. 10 (S.D.N.Y. 2014) (issuing a final judgment against the defendant in an enforcement action, without the defendant admitting or denying the allegations).

<sup>15</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 71132 (December 18, 2013); 78 FR 77755 (December 24, 2013) (File No. SR-DTC-2013-11).

<sup>16</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 66611 (March 15, 2012), 2012 SEC LEXIS 844 at \*32 (March 15, 2012) (Admin. Proc. File No. 3-13687).

<sup>17</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 72860 (August 18, 2014), 79 FR 49825 (August 22, 2014) (File No. SR-DTC-2013-11).

avoiding it by shortening the timeframe in which they complete their scheme, dump their shares into the market, and move on to another issue.

Additionally, Global Locks were typically being imposed on the basis of a Commission enforcement action alleging securities law violations that had occurred in the past, and so could not affect the violative behavior (unless the alleged securities law violations were ongoing). In fact, it is DTC's understanding that, by the time of an enforcement action, the wrongdoers had long since transferred the subject securities. In addition, although a Global Lock bars book-entry settlements within DTC, it does not affect the trading of the issue, which occurs outside of DTC.

#### (ii) Proposal

##### A. Proposed Basis for the Imposition of Deposit Chills and Global Locks

With this proposal, DTC would establish the basis for the imposition of Deposit Chills and Global Locks, premised on direct current judicial or regulatory intervention or the threat of imminent adverse consequences to DTC or its Participants. DTC believes that the proposed rule change would provide a basis for imposing and releasing Restrictions that is consistent with its obligations under applicable law.

Under subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1 of the proposed rule, if FINRA or the Commission halts or suspends trading of an Eligible Security, DTC would impose a Global Lock. Similarly, under subsection (c) of Section 1 of the proposed rule, DTC would impose a Restriction if ordered to do so by a court of competent jurisdiction. Consistent with its mandate "to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions,"<sup>18</sup> DTC's facilities should not be available to settle transactions otherwise prohibited by the Commission, FINRA, or a court of competent jurisdiction. The imposition of a Global Lock on an Eligible Security for which trading is halted or suspended would prevent settlement of trades that continue despite the halt or suspension, and prevent a bad actor from liquidating a position through DTC in order to obtain the proceeds of fraudulent activities.

Notwithstanding subsections (a) and (b) of Section 1 of the proposed Rule, DTC recognizes that FINRA and the Commission issue trading halts and suspensions for numerous reasons, and so there may be certain limited circumstances where a Global Lock

<sup>18</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

would not further the regulatory purpose of such trading halt or suspension. Therefore, if DTC reasonably determines that such is the case, DTC may decline to impose a Global Lock. Some examples of when DTC may decline to impose a Global Lock include, but are not limited to, if FINRA issues a trading halt in all OTC equity securities due to a technical glitch; or if FINRA issues a trading halt clearly based on financial uncertainty in a foreign jurisdiction that doesn't affect DTC's ability to settle transactions.

Finally, under subsection (d) of Section 1 of the proposed rule, DTC would impose a Restriction when it becomes aware of a need for immediate action to avert an imminent harm, injury, or other such material adverse consequence to DTC or its Participants that could arise from further Deposits of, or continued book-entry services with respect to, an Eligible Security. While it is impossible to anticipate all possible scenarios that may give rise to the need for action by DTC under this subsection (d) to avoid imminent harm, DTC does not anticipate that it would impose Restrictions pursuant to this formulation frequently. Some examples where this provision may be invoked include, but are not limited to, if DTC becomes aware that marketplace actors were about to deposit Securities at DTC in connection with an ongoing corporate hijacking, market manipulation, or in violation of other applicable laws; if an Issuer or its agent provides DTC with plausible information that Security certificates were stolen and were about to be deposited; or if an Issuer notifies DTC that shares of a Security had just been issued erroneously upon a conversion of previously satisfied notes.

The concept of taking immediate action to avoid imminent harm to DTC or its Participants was recognized in the Commission's opinion in *IPWG*. The Commission ruled that, when faced with justifiable circumstances, DTC may design fair procedures "in accordance with its own internal needs and circumstances,"<sup>19</sup> recognizing that:

If DTC believes that circumstances exist that justify imposing a suspension of services with respect to an issuer's securities in advance of being able to provide the issuer with notice and an opportunity to be heard on the suspension, it may do so. However, in such circumstances, these processes should balance the identifiable need for emergency action with the issuer's right to fair procedures under the Exchange Act. Under such procedures, DTC would be authorized to act to avert an imminent harm, but it could not maintain such a suspension indefinitely

without providing expedited fair process to the affected issuer.<sup>20</sup>

#### B. Proposed Basis for the Release of Deposit Chills and Global Locks

As part of DTC's process for imposing Restrictions premised on direct court or regulatory agency intervention or the prospect of imminent adverse consequences to DTC or its Participants, the proposed rule change provides corresponding criteria for releasing such Restrictions.

As an initial matter, pursuant to the proposed rule change, DTC would release a Restriction when DTC reasonably determines that its imposition of the Restriction was based on a clerical mistake.

In the case of a Global Lock imposed pursuant to subsections (a) or (b) of Section 1 of the proposed rule (FINRA trading halt or Commission trading suspension), under the proposed rule change, DTC would release the Global Lock when the halt or suspension of trading of the Eligible Security has been lifted. In the case of a Restriction imposed pursuant to subsection (c) of Section 1 of the proposed rule (order from a court of competent jurisdiction), under the proposed rule change, DTC would release the Restriction when a court of competent jurisdiction orders DTC to release the Restriction. Since trading would no longer be prohibited by FINRA, the Commission, or court order, respectively, there should not be any settlement restrictions, other than those otherwise provided in the Rules.

Finally, in the case of a Restriction imposed pursuant to subsection (d) of Section 1 of the proposed rule (imminent adverse consequences to DTC or its Participants), pursuant to the proposed rule change, DTC would release the Restriction when it reasonably determines that the release of the Restriction would not pose a threat of imminent adverse consequences to DTC or its Participants, obviating the original basis for the Restriction.

It is impossible to anticipate all possible scenarios that may give rise to a release of a Restriction under this basis. However, DTC anticipates that it would release such Restriction in a number of circumstances, including without limitation:

- When DTC determines that the perceived harm has passed or is significantly remote;

<sup>20</sup> *Id.* at \*29. See also *In re Atlantis Internet Group* ("Atlantis"), Securities Exchange Act Release. No. 75168 at 7-8, 2015 SEC LEXIS 2394 at \*18 (June 12, 2015) (Admin. Proc. File No. 3-15432) ("DTC's imposition of the Global Lock without advance notice was an appropriate exercise of its authority to act to prevent imminent harm . . .").

- when the basis for the Restriction no longer exists. For example, where DTC imposed a Deposit Chill on the basis of plausible information that certificates were stolen and about to be deposited, and DTC subsequently receives plausible information that the certificates have been recovered and will not be deposited, or where DTC imposed a Deposit Chill based on erroneously issued shares, and subsequently receives copies of a "Stop transfer"<sup>21</sup> directive and cancellation of such shares before they have been deposited; or

- when an Eligible Security had been previously Globally Locked based on a Commission enforcement action but there is no indication that illegally distributed Securities are about to be deposited.

#### C. Proposed Fair Procedures

DTC has developed the procedures in the proposed rule change to give the Issuer a timely notice of the Restriction, provide the Issuer an opportunity to submit a written challenge to the Restriction, provide a review and written determination by an independent officer, and maintain a complete record of the proceeding, consistent with Section 17A(b)(3)(H) of the Act<sup>22</sup> and the Commission's opinion and order in *IPWG*.

Pursuant to the proposed rule change, DTC would send written notice ("Restriction Notice") to the Issuer's last known business address and to the last known business address of the Issuer's transfer agent, if any, on record with DTC. The Restriction Notice would be sent within three Business Days of imposition of a Restriction and would set forth: (i) The basis for the Restriction; (ii) the date the Restriction was imposed; (iii) that the Issuer may submit a written response to DTC detailing the basis for release of the Restriction under proposed Rule 33 ("the Restriction Response"); and (iv) that the Restriction Response must be received by DTC within twenty Business Days of delivery of the Restriction Notice.

Once the Restriction Response is received by DTC, the proposed rule change provides that it would be reviewed by a DTC officer who did not have responsibility for the imposition of the Restriction. DTC may request additional information from the Issuer. After the officer's review is completed, DTC would provide a written decision (a "Restriction Decision") to the Issuer.

<sup>21</sup> A "stop transfer" is an order made to prevent the transfer of ownership of a security.

<sup>22</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(H).

<sup>19</sup> *IPWG*, 2012 SEC LEXIS at \*30, n.36.

Within ten Business Days of delivery of the Restriction Decision, the Issuer may submit a supplement (a "Supplement") for the sole purpose of establishing that DTC made a clerical mistake or mistake arising from an oversight or omission in reviewing the Restriction Response.

If the Issuer submits a Supplement, the officer would provide a supplement decision (a "Supplement Decision") within ten Business Days after the Supplement was delivered. The Restriction Notice, the Restriction Response, the Restriction Decision, the Supplement, the Supplement Decision, and any other documents submitted in connection with these procedures would constitute the record for purposes of any appeal to the Commission.

The proposed rule change would not affect DTC's ability (A) to lift or modify a Restriction; (B) to operationally restrict book-entry services, Deposits or other services in the ordinary course of business, as such restrictions do not constitute Deposit Chills or Global Locks for purposes of proposed Rule 33; (C) to communicate with the Issuer or its transfer agent or representative, if any, provided that substantive communications are memorialized in writing to be included in the record for purposes of any appeal to the Commission; or (D) to send out a Restriction Notice prior to the imposition of a Restriction.

DTC believes that these procedures comport with Section 17A(b)(3)(H) of the Act, which requires that a registered clearing agency that denies or limits access to the agency's services to a "person," it must "provide a fair procedure."<sup>23</sup> Such procedures require the clearing agency to give the person notice and an opportunity to address the specific grounds for denial or prohibition or limitation and to keep a record.<sup>24</sup> In its decision in *IPWG*, the Commission ruled, *inter alia*, that issuers are "persons" for the purposes of Section 17A(b)(3).<sup>25</sup>

Section 17A of the Act does not specify the nature of the fair procedures DTC must provide to "persons," including issuers. In *IPWG*, the Commission observed that:

Exchange Act Section 17A(b)(5)(B) states that, when a registered clearing agency determines that "a person shall be . . . prohibited or limited with respect to access to services offered by the clearing agency, the clearing agency shall notify such person of, and give him an opportunity to be heard upon, the specific grounds for . . .

prohibition or limitation under consideration and keep a record."<sup>26</sup>

As stated in *IPWG*, "DTC may design such [Section 17A procedures] in accordance with its own internal needs and circumstances."<sup>27</sup> The Commission further ruled in *IPWG* that DTC "should adopt procedures that accord with the fairness requirements of Section 17A(b)(3)(H), which may be applied uniformly" in the cases where DTC denies or limits services with respect to an Issuer's Securities.

In the Commission's more recent opinion in *Atlantis*, the Commission upheld the notice, opportunity to be heard, and recordkeeping that DTC provided to a Globally Locked issuer. Significantly, the Commission held that Section 17A of the Act does not require DTC to hold a formal hearing in order to satisfy its obligations under Section 17A to provide Issuers with an opportunity to be heard.<sup>28</sup>

DTC believes that the procedures in proposed Rule 33 for giving notice of the Restriction to the Issuer with an opportunity to be heard are consistent with the fair procedures upheld by the Commission in *Atlantis*. In addition, consistent with the Commission's broad directive in *IPWG*, DTC believes that the proposed rule would establish uniform standards for the imposition of Restrictions, as well as the fair procedures for Issuers whose Securities are subject to a Restriction.

#### Implementation Timeframe

DTC will announce the effective date via Important Notice upon the Commission's approval of the proposed rule change.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

DTC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act, and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to DTC, in particular Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act<sup>29</sup> and Section 17A(b)(3)(H) of the Act.<sup>30</sup>

Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act<sup>31</sup> requires, *inter alia*, that the rules of the clearing agency be designed to promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions, and to assure the safeguarding of securities and funds which are in the custody or control of the clearing agency or for which it is responsible. By establishing a framework for DTC to

impose and release Restrictions, the proposed rule change would provide a mechanism for DTC to act quickly and efficiently to screen out, prior to deposit, or restrict, after deposit, Securities for which trading has been prohibited by the Commission, FINRA, or a court of competent jurisdiction, or which pose a threat of imminent adverse consequences to DTC or its Participants, to assure the safeguarding of Securities deposited to and held by DTC, consistent with the requirements of the Act, in particular Section 17A(b)(3)(F) of the Act, cited above.

Section 17A(b)(3)(H) of the Act, requires, *inter alia*, that the rules of a clearing agency are in accordance with the provisions of Section 17A(b)(5)(B) of the Act,<sup>32</sup> and in general provide a fair procedure with respect to the prohibition or limitation by the clearing agency of any person with respect to access to services offered by the clearing agency. By establishing a procedure that would provide for: (A) Criteria for notice to an Issuer that a Deposit Chill or Global Lock has been imposed; (B) an explanation of the specific grounds upon which any Restriction has been imposed; (C) the actions that the Issuer may take to object to the Restriction; (D) the process DTC would undertake to review written submissions of the Issuer and to render a final decision concerning the Restriction; (E) the grounds upon which DTC may release the Restriction; and (F) the maintenance of a complete record for submission to the Commission in the event an Issuer appeals, the proposed rule change would provide Issuers with fair procedures with respect to Deposit Chills and Global Locks, consistent with the requirements of the Act, in particular Section 17A(b)(3)(H) of the Act, cited above.<sup>33</sup>

#### (B) Clearing Agency's Statement on Burden on Competition

DTC does not believe that the proposed rule change would have any impact on, or impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or

<sup>32</sup> Section 17A(b)(5)(B) of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(5)(B) provides: "In any proceeding by a registered clearing agency to determine whether a person shall be denied participation or prohibited or limited with respect to access to services offered by the clearing agency, the clearing agency shall notify such person of, and give him an opportunity to be heard upon, the specific grounds for denial or prohibition or limitation under consideration and keep a record. A determination by the clearing agency to deny participation or prohibit or limit a person with respect to access to services offered by the clearing agency shall be supported by a statement setting forth the specific grounds on which the denial or prohibition or limitation is based."

<sup>33</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(H).

<sup>23</sup> See *id.*

<sup>24</sup> See 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(5)(B).

<sup>25</sup> *IPWG*, 2012 SEC LEXIS at \*24.

<sup>26</sup> *Id.*

<sup>27</sup> *Id.* at \*30 n.36.

<sup>28</sup> *Id.* at \*19.

<sup>29</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

<sup>30</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(H).

<sup>31</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78q-1(b)(3)(F).

appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, because the proposed procedures as described above would apply to all Eligible Securities that may be subject to a Deposit Chill or Global Lock.

*(C) Clearing Agency's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

Written comments relating to the proposed rule change have not been solicited or received with respect to this filing. To the extent DTC receives written comments on the proposed rule change DTC will forward such comments to the Commission.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change, and Timing for Commission Action**

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

- (A) By order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- (B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-DTC-2016-003 on the subject line.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-DTC-2016-003. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the

submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of DTC and on DTCC's Web site (<http://dtcc.com/legal/sec-rule-filings.aspx>). All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-DTC-2016-003 and should be submitted on or before June 30, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>34</sup>

**Brent J. Fields,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13614 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

**[Public Notice: 9600]**

**International Security Advisory Board (ISAB) Meeting Notice Closed Meeting**

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App 10(a)(2), the Department of State announces a meeting of the International Security Advisory Board (ISAB) to take place on July 12, 2016, at the Department of State, Washington, DC.

Pursuant to section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App 10(d), and 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1), it has been determined that this Board meeting will be closed to the public because the Board will be reviewing and discussing matters properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 13526. The purpose of the ISAB is to provide the Department with a continuing source of independent advice on all aspects of

arms control, disarmament, nonproliferation, political-military affairs, international security, and related aspects of public diplomacy. The agenda for this meeting will include classified discussions related to the Board's studies on current U.S. policy and issues regarding arms control, international security, nuclear proliferation, and diplomacy.

For more information, contact Christopher Herrick, Acting Executive Director of the International Security Advisory Board, U. S. Department of State, Washington, DC 20520, telephone: (202) 647-9683.

Dated: May 20, 2016.

**Christopher Herrick,**  
*Acting Executive Director, International Security Advisory Board, U.S. Department of State.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13677 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4710-24-P**

**SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD**

**[Docket No. AB 55 (Sub-No. 760X)]**

**CSX Transportation, Inc.—  
Discontinuance of Service  
Exemption—in Boone County, W.Va.**

CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT) has filed a verified notice of exemption under 49 CFR pt. 1152 subpart F—*Exempt Abandonments and Discontinuances of Service* to discontinue service over an approximately 2.9-mile rail line on CSXT's Southern Region, Huntington Division, Pond Fork Subdivision, the Robinson Creek Industrial Track, from the connection with CSXT's mainline at milepost CLK 0.0 to the end of the line at milepost CLK 2.9+ in Boone County, W.Va. (the Line). The Line traverses United States Postal Service Zip Code 26325 and includes the Holbrook station at milepost CLK 2.0 (FSAC 82034/OPSL 65220).<sup>1</sup>

CSXT has certified that: (1) No local traffic has moved over the Line for at least two years; (2) because the Line is not a through route, no overhead traffic has operated, and, therefore, none needs to be rerouted over other lines; (3) no formal complaint filed by a user of rail service on the Line (or by a state or local government entity acting on behalf of such user) regarding cessation of service over the Line is pending either with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) or with any U.S. District Court or has been decided in favor of complainant within the two-year period; and (4) the requirements at 49 CFR 1105.12

<sup>34</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

<sup>1</sup> CSXT states that this station can be closed.

(newspaper publication) and 49 CFR 1152.50(d)(1) (notice to governmental agencies) have been met.

As a condition to this exemption, any employee adversely affected by the discontinuance of service shall be protected under *Oregon Short Line Railroad—Abandonment Portion Goshen Branch Between Firth & Ammon, in Bingham & Bonneville Counties, Idaho*, 360 I.C.C. 91 (1979). To address whether this condition adequately protects affected employees, a petition for partial revocation under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) must be filed.

Provided no formal expression of intent to file an offer of financial assistance (OFA) to subsidize continued rail service has been received, this exemption will be effective on July 9, 2016, unless stayed pending reconsideration. Petitions to stay that do not involve environmental issues and formal expressions of intent to file an OFA to subsidize continued rail service under 49 CFR 1152.27(c)(2)<sup>2</sup> must be filed by June 17, 2016.<sup>3</sup> Petitions to reopen must be filed by June 29, 2016, with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001.

A copy of any petition filed with the Board should be sent to CSXT's representative: Louis E. Gitomer, Law Offices of Louis E. Gitomer, LLC, 600 Baltimore Avenue, Suite 301, Towson, MD 21204.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void ab initio.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at [WWW.STB.DOT.GOV](http://WWW.STB.DOT.GOV).

Decided: June 6, 2016.

By the Board, Rachel D. Campbell, Director, Office of Proceedings.

**Kenyatta Clay,**  
Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2016-13640 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2016-0052]

#### Environmental Impact and Related Procedures

**AGENCY:** Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** FRA is issuing this notice to solicit public comments on the potential application of 23 CFR part 771, Environmental Impact and Related Procedures, to railroad projects. Part 771 currently prescribes the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) procedures for implementing the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and 23 U.S.C. 139, Efficient Environmental Reviews for Project Decisionmaking. FRA would further develop the application of 23 CFR part 771 to railroad projects in a rulemaking proceeding.

**DATES:** FRA must receive written comments on this notice on or before July 11, 2016. FRA will consider comments received after this date to the extent practicable.

**ADDRESSES:** *Comments:* Persons providing comments related to docket number FRA-2016-0052 must do so by any of the following methods:

- *Online:* Comments should be filed at the Federal eRulemaking Portal, <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

- *Mail:* Docket Management Facility, U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., W12-140, Washington, DC 20590.

- *Hand Delivery:* Room W12-140 on the Ground level of the West Building, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

- *Fax:* 202-493-2251.

*Instructions:* All submissions must include the agency name, docket name and docket number for this notice. Note that FRA will post all comments received without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided.

*Docket:* To access the docket or read background documents or comments received, go to <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time, or to the U.S. Department of Transportation, Docket Operations, M-30, West

Building, Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Michael Johnsen, Environmental and Corridor Planning Division, Office of Program Delivery, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590 or by telephone at 202-493-1310 or Mr. Chris Van Nostrand, Attorney-Advisor, Office of Chief Counsel, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590 or by telephone at 202-493-6058.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 4, 2015, the President signed the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act into law (Pub. L. 114-94). Section 11503 of the FAST Act requires the Secretary of Transportation (Secretary), among other things, to apply, to the greatest extent feasible, the project development procedures described in 23 U.S.C. 139 (Efficient Environmental Reviews for Project Decisionmaking) to railroad projects requiring the Secretary's approval under NEPA. The Secretary must incorporate into FRA regulations and procedures for railroad projects aspects of the 23 U.S.C. 139 project development procedures, or portions thereof, which increase the efficiency of the review of railroad projects consistent with section 11503. In addition, section 11503 requires the Secretary to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking to propose new and existing categorical exclusions for railroad projects the Secretary must approve under NEPA. See 49 U.S.C. 24201(c).

In light of section 11503's requirements, FRA is evaluating whether to apply 23 CFR part 771 to railroad projects. Part 771 currently prescribes FHWA and FTA procedures for implementing NEPA, including 23 U.S.C. 139 requirements. In FRA's view, applying part 771 to railroad projects may be the most efficient way to comply with section 11503 and promote consistency in FTA, FHWA, and FRA environmental reviews. In addition, FRA would not need to develop entirely new NEPA regulations for railroad projects. FRA, in conjunction with FHWA and FTA, would engage in a rulemaking to revise part 771 to make it applicable to railroad projects before such an approach would become effective. FRA seeks input from interested parties, stakeholders, and the public on this proposal.

<sup>2</sup> Each OFA must be accompanied by the filing fee, which is currently set at \$1,600. See 49 CFR 1002.2(f)(25).

<sup>3</sup> Because this is a discontinuance proceeding and not an abandonment, interim trail use/rail banking and public use conditions are not appropriate. Because there will be an environmental review during abandonment, this discontinuance does not require an environmental review.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 3, 2016.

**Sarah E. Feinberg,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2016-13621 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2015-0002]

#### 3D Surrogate Vehicle Scanning Event

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

**ACTION:** Announcement of public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** NHTSA is announcing a public meeting to seek stakeholder feedback on a full-size 3-dimensional surrogate vehicle being developed to better support the evaluation of advanced crash avoidance technologies. NHTSA, Euro NCAP, Thatcham, and the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) have been collaboratively working to develop this surrogate; however, confirmation that it appears as realistic to the sensors used in automotive safety systems requires feedback from industry experts.

**DATES:** NHTSA will hold the public meeting July 13-14, 2016, in East Liberty, OH. Each day the meeting will start at 9:00 a.m. and continue until 5:00 p.m., local time. Check-in will begin at 8:00 a.m. All attendees for the meeting are required to register by following the instructions under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** no later than June 24, 2016. Admission onto the facility will not be permitted without advanced registration.

Following the event, participants are requested to submit all written feedback and supporting information pertaining to their 3D surrogate vehicle measurements no later than August 5, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held on the test track at the Transportation Research Center, Inc., 10820 SR 347, East Liberty, OH 43319.

**Written Comments:** Written feedback and supporting information should be submitted not later than August 5, 2016, by any of the following methods:

- **Federal Rulemaking Portal:** Go to <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

- **Mail:** Docket Management Facility: U.S. Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building

Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590-0001.

- **Hand Delivery or Courier:** 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590-0001, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays.

- **Fax:** 202-366-1767.

**Instructions:** All submissions must include the agency name and docket number. Note that all comments received will be posted without change to <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided. Please see the Privacy Act discussion below.

**Docket:** For access to the docket go to <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time or to 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., West Building, Ground Floor, Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590, between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal Holidays. Telephone: 202-366-9826.

**Privacy Act:** Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (Volume 65, Number 70; Pages 19477-78), or you may visit <http://www.regulations.gov/privacy.html>.

**Confidential Business Information:** If you wish to submit any information under a claim of confidentiality, you should submit three copies of your complete submission, including the information you claim to be confidential business information to the Chief Counsel, NHTSA, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590. In addition, you should submit two copies, from which you have deleted the claimed confidential business information, to Docket Management at the address given above. When you send a comment containing information claimed to be confidential business information, you should submit a cover letter setting forth the information specified in our confidential business information regulation (49 CFR part 512).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Attendees should register at <http://goo.gl/forms/C6tj0oRj1QIS4qNy2> not later than June 24, 2016. Admission onto the facility will not be permitted without advanced registration. Should it be necessary to cancel the meeting due to inclement weather or other emergency, NHTSA will take available measures to notify registered

participants. If you have questions about the public meeting, please contact [3dsurrogate@dot.gov](mailto:3dsurrogate@dot.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** To date, multiple iterative efforts have been made to produce a 3D surrogate vehicle that not only emulates a passenger car from any approach angle, but one that can be safely and repeatedly struck by an actual light or heavy vehicle without harm. In Europe, vehicle manufacturers and suppliers were presented with two opportunities to measure the appearance of multiple surrogate designs during similar test events hosted by Thatcham in the UK. The feedback received from these companies has been invaluable, and has helped refine the surrogate to its current characteristics.

On July 13-14, 2016, NHTSA will be hosting a U.S.-based test event featuring the most recent iteration of the collaboratively-developed 3D surrogate vehicle and up to two robotic platforms (the surrogate vehicle is secured to a shallow self-propelled robotic platform to facilitate accurate longitudinal and lateral movement during testing). During this two-day meeting, vehicle manufacturers and suppliers will have an opportunity to measure the appearance of the 3D surrogate vehicle from multiple approach angles using vehicle-based sensors (e.g., radar, lidar, cameras, etc.). Feedback from the first day of testing will be used to make adjustments to the surrogate ahead of the second day's tests. Results from the second testing day will be used to help finalize the surrogate's design. The stated goal is to identify a final design by December 2016.

Feedback from the participants will be beneficial in finalizing the design of the surrogate. Meeting participants will have the opportunity to provide results from the measurements collected with their respective test equipment, and to provide specific recommendations about how the surrogate vehicle's appearance, to any sensor, could be improved. When providing these recommendations, participants are asked to consider the balance between realism and practicality. While it is very important the surrogate look as realistic as possible, it must also remain strikeable from any approach angle, over a broad range of impact speeds, without affecting the safety of those using it or harming the vehicle being evaluated.

#### Draft Agenda (in local time)

Wednesday, July 13, 2016

08:00-09:00 Arrival/Check-In

09:00-09:30 Brief presentations

describing the need for 3D surrogate

vehicles, and development efforts to date.

09:30–09:45 Descriptions of the event test layout and choreography

09:45–12:00 Morning testing

12:00–13:00 Lunch break

13:00–16:00 Afternoon testing

16:00–17:00 Discuss the day's testing. Agree on what changes are to be made ahead of the next day's evaluations.

17:00 Adjourn

Thursday, July 14, 2016

08:00–08:30 Arrival/Check-In

08:30–12:00 Morning testing

12:00–13:00 Lunch break

13:00–15:30 Afternoon testing

15:30–17:00 Discuss preliminary results from the event's testing and how the results will be collected, consolidated, and disseminated.

17:00 Adjourn

#### Public Meeting Topics

Discussions pertaining to the 3D surrogate vehicle will be focused on what features, if any, will need to be adjusted to allow it to appear realistic to automotive sensing systems. NHTSA does not intend to discuss how it may use 3D surrogate vehicles beyond inclusion is its research programs.

Surrogate vehicle feedback forms will be available on-site, and will request information about, but not be limited to, the following topics:

1. Are the radar return characteristics of the surrogate, including radar cross section (RCS), adequately realistic from each approach angle, depth, and height relative to the ground?
2. Are the visual characteristics, including the overall shape, reflectivity, contrasting features, of the surrogate adequately realistic?
3. Is the surrogate able to adequately support lidar-based safety systems?
4. Is the presence of the robotic platform beneath the surrogate apparent to the automotive sensing system (radar, visual, etc.)? If so, what effect will the platform's presence expected to have on safety system performance?
5. How consistent is the classification of the surrogate (e.g., distance to the surrogate at which the safety system classifies the surrogate as being an actual vehicle, and does the classification remain stable during the test vehicle's approach to the surrogate). How does this consistency compare to that expected by the overall light vehicle population? What effect does the panel misalignment have on surrogate classification?
6. From an industry perspective, what is the preferred rank order of the following: absolute surrogate vehicle

realism, strikeability/durability, or ease of reassembly?

Issued in Washington, DC, under authority delegated by 49 CFR 1.95.

**Nathaniel Beuse,**

*Associate Administrator for Vehicle Safety Research.*

[FR Doc. 2016–13665 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–59–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Office of Foreign Assets Control

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations—Report on Closure by U.S. Financial Institutions of Correspondent Accounts and Payable-Through Accounts

**AGENCY:** Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Treasury, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other federal agencies to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)) (PRA). Currently, the Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) within the Department of the Treasury is soliciting comments concerning OFAC's Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations Report on Closure by U.S. Financial Institutions of Correspondent Accounts and Payable-Through Accounts.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before August 8, 2016 to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

*Federal eRulemaking Portal:* [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Follow the instructions on the Web site for submitting comments.

*Fax:* Attn: Request for Comments (Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations—Report on Closure by U.S. Financial Institutions of Correspondent Accounts and Payable-Through Accounts) 202–622–1657.

*Mail:* Attn: Request for Comments (Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations—Report on Closure by U.S. Financial Institutions of Correspondent Accounts and Payable-Through Accounts), Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW.,

Freedman's Bank Building, Washington, DC 20220.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name and the **Federal Register** Doc. number that appears at the end of this document. Comments received will be made available to the public via [regulations.gov](http://regulations.gov) or upon request, without change and including any personal information provided.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Department of the Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control: Assistant Director for Licensing, tel.: 202–622–2480, Assistant Director for Regulatory Affairs, tel.: 202–622–4855, Assistant Director for Sanctions Compliance & Evaluation, tel.: 202–622–2490; or the Department of the Treasury's Office of the Chief Counsel (Foreign Assets Control), Office of the General Counsel, tel.: 202–622–2410.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations—Report on Closure by U.S. Financial Institutions of Correspondent Accounts and Payable-Through Accounts.

*OMB Number:* 1505–0255.

*Abstract:* Pursuant to the Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations, 31 CFR part 566 (the Regulations), the Secretary of the Treasury may, among other things, prohibit a U.S. financial institution from opening or maintaining a correspondent account or a payable-through account in the United States for a foreign financial institution that the Secretary has determined has engaged in certain activities involving Hizballah and whose name is added to the Hizballah Financial Sanctions Regulations List (HFSR List) on OFAC's Web site ([www.treasury.gov/ofac](http://www.treasury.gov/ofac)). Section 566.504 of the Regulations authorizes certain transactions related to the winding down and closing of such a correspondent account or payable-through account. Section 566.506(b) includes a reporting requirement pursuant to which a U.S. financial institution that maintained such an account must file a report with OFAC that provides full details on the closing of each such account within 30 days of the closure of the account. This collection of information assists in verifying that U.S. financial institutions are complying with prohibitions on maintaining correspondent accounts or payable-through accounts for foreign financial institutions listed on the HFSR List. The reports will be reviewed by the U.S. Department of the Treasury and may be used for compliance and enforcement purposes by the agency.

*Current Actions:* There are no changes being made to the collection at this time.

*Type of Review:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

*Affected Public:* U.S. financial institutions operating correspondent or payable-through accounts for foreign financial institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* Because this collection of information is a report that must be filed by U.S. financial institutions closing correspondent or payable-through accounts for a foreign financial institution pursuant to section 566.504 after OFAC adds the name of the foreign financial institution to the HFSR List, OFAC cannot predict the number of respondents for the section 566.504(b) reporting requirement at this time. From the date this reporting requirement was implemented pursuant to the Regulations (April 15, 2016) through June 9, 2016, OFAC did not add the name of any foreign financial institution to the HFSR List, and the number of respondents to this collection was therefore zero. For future PRA submissions, OFAC will continue to report retrospectively on the number of respondents during the previous reporting period.

*Estimated Time per Respondent:* 2 hours per response.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* Because the section 566.504(b) reporting requirement applies to those U.S. financial institutions that operate correspondent or payable-through accounts for a foreign financial institution whose name is added to the HFSR List, OFAC cannot predict the response rate for the section 566.504(b) reporting requirement at this time. For future PRA submissions, OFAC will report retrospectively on the response rate during the previous reporting period.

The following paragraph applies to all of the collections of information covered by this notice:

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid OMB control number. Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained for five years.

#### Request for Comments

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper

performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; and (e) estimates of capital or start-up costs and costs of operation, maintenance, and purchase of services to provide information.

**Andrea Gacki,**

*Acting Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13668 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-AL-P**

## UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION

### Requests for Applications; Practitioners Advisory Group

**AGENCY:** United States Sentencing Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In view of upcoming vacancies in the voting membership of the Practitioners Advisory Group, the United States Sentencing Commission hereby invites any individual who is eligible to be appointed to succeed such a voting member to apply. The voting memberships covered by this notice are two circuit memberships (for the Second Circuit and the Ninth Circuit) and two at-large memberships. Application materials should be received by the Commission not later than August 8, 2016. An applicant for voting membership of the Practitioners Advisory Group should apply by sending a letter of interest and resume to the Commission as indicated in the addresses section below.

**DATES:** Application materials for voting membership of the Practitioners Advisory Group should be received not later than August 8, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** An applicant for voting membership of the Practitioners Advisory Group should apply by sending a letter of interest and resume to the Commission by electronic mail or regular mail. The email address is [pubaffairs@ussc.gov](mailto:pubaffairs@ussc.gov). The regular mail address is United States Sentencing Commission, One Columbus Circle NE., Suite 2-500, South Lobby, Washington,

DC 20002-8002, Attention: Public Affairs.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine Leonard, Director, Office of Legislative and Public Affairs, (202) 502-4500, [pubaffairs@ussc.gov](mailto:pubaffairs@ussc.gov). More information about the Practitioners Advisory Group is available on the Commission's Web site at [www.ussc.gov/advisory-groups](http://www.ussc.gov/advisory-groups).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Practitioners Advisory Group of the United States Sentencing Commission is a standing advisory group of the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 995 and Rule 5.4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. Under the charter for the advisory group, the purpose of the advisory group is (1) to assist the Commission in carrying out its statutory responsibilities under 28 U.S.C. 994(o); (2) to provide to the Commission its views on the Commission's activities and work, including proposed priorities and amendments; (3) to disseminate to defense attorneys, and to other professionals in the defense community, information regarding federal sentencing issues; and (4) to perform other related functions as the Commission requests. The advisory group consists of not more than 17 voting members, each of whom may serve not more than two consecutive three-year terms. Of those 17 voting members, one shall be Chair, one shall be Vice Chair, 12 shall be circuit members (one for each federal judicial circuit other than the Federal Circuit), and three shall be at-large members.

To be eligible to serve as a voting member, an individual must be an attorney who (1) devotes a substantial portion of his or her professional work to advocating the interests of privately-represented individuals, or of individuals represented by private practitioners through appointment under the Criminal Justice Act of 1964, within the federal criminal justice system; (2) has significant experience with federal sentencing or post-conviction issues related to criminal sentences; and (3) is in good standing of the highest court of the jurisdiction or jurisdictions in which he or she is admitted to practice. Additionally, to be eligible to serve as a circuit member, the individual's primary place of business or a substantial portion of his or her practice must be in the circuit concerned. Each voting member is appointed by the Commission.

The Commission invites any individual who is eligible to be appointed to a voting membership covered by this notice (*i.e.*, the circuit

memberships for the Second Circuit and the Ninth Circuit, and the two at-large memberships) to apply by sending a letter of interest and a resume to the Commission as indicated in the ADDRESSES section above.

**Authority:** 28 U.S.C. § 994(a), (o), (p), § 995; USSC Rules of Practice and Procedure 5.4.

**Patti B. Saris,**  
Chair.

[FR Doc. 2016-13680 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-40-P**

## UNITED STATES SENTENCING COMMISSION

### Proposed Priorities for Amendment Cycle

**AGENCY:** United States Sentencing Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its statutory authority and responsibility to analyze sentencing issues, including operation of the federal sentencing guidelines, and in accordance with Rule 5.2 of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, the United States Sentencing Commission is seeking comment on possible priority policy issues for the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2017.

**DATES:** Public comment should be received by the Commission on or before July 25, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent to the Commission by electronic mail or regular mail. The email address is [pubaffairs@ussc.gov](mailto:pubaffairs@ussc.gov). The regular mail address is United States Sentencing Commission, One Columbus Circle NE., Suite 2-500, South Lobby, Washington, DC 20002-8002, Attention: Public Affairs—Priorities Comment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christine Leonard, Director, Office of Legislative and Public Affairs, (202) 502-4500, [pubaffairs@ussc.gov](mailto:pubaffairs@ussc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The United States Sentencing Commission is an independent agency in the judicial branch of the United States Government. The Commission promulgates sentencing guidelines and policy statements for federal sentencing courts pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(a). The Commission also periodically reviews and revises previously promulgated guidelines pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(o) and submits guideline amendments to the Congress not later than the first day of May each year pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(p).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 994(g), the Commission intends to consider the issue of reducing costs of incarceration and overcapacity of prisons, to the extent it is relevant to any identified priority.

The Commission provides this notice to identify tentative priorities for the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2017. The Commission recognizes, however, that other factors, such as the enactment of any legislation requiring Commission action, may affect the Commission's ability to complete work on any or all of its identified priorities by the statutory deadline of May 1, 2017. Accordingly, it may be necessary to continue work on any or all of these issues beyond the amendment cycle ending on May 1, 2017.

As so prefaced, the Commission has identified the following tentative priorities:

(1) Continuation of its work with Congress and other interested parties on statutory mandatory minimum penalties to implement the recommendations set forth in the Commission's 2011 report to Congress, titled *Mandatory Minimum Penalties in the Federal Criminal Justice System*, including its recommendations regarding the severity and scope of mandatory minimum penalties, consideration of expanding the "safety valve" at 18 U.S.C. 3553(f), and elimination of the mandatory "stacking" of penalties under 18 U.S.C. 924(c), and to develop appropriate guideline amendments in response to any related legislation.

(2) Continuation of its multi-year examination of the overall structure of the guidelines post-*Booker*, possibly including recommendations to Congress on any statutory changes and development of any guideline amendments that may be appropriate. As part of this examination, the Commission intends to study possible approaches to (A) simplify the operation of the guidelines, promote proportionality, and reduce sentencing disparities; and (B) appropriately account for the defendant's role, culpability, and relevant conduct.

(3) Continuation of its study of approaches to encourage use of alternatives to incarceration, including possible consideration of amending the Sentencing Table in Chapter 5, Part A to consolidate and/or expand Zones A, B, and C, and any other relevant provisions in the *Guidelines Manual*.

(4) Continuation of its multi-year study of statutory and guideline definitions relating to the nature of a defendant's prior conviction (e.g., "crime of violence," "aggravated felony," "violent felony," "drug

trafficking offense," and "felony drug offense") and the impact of such definitions on the relevant statutory and guideline provisions (e.g., career offender, illegal reentry, and armed career criminal), possibly including recommendations to Congress on any statutory changes that may be appropriate and development of guideline amendments that may be appropriate.

(5) Continuation of its comprehensive, multi-year study of recidivism, including (A) examination of circumstances that correlate with increased or reduced recidivism; (B) possible development of recommendations for using information obtained from such study to reduce costs of incarceration and overcapacity of prisons, and promote effectiveness of reentry programs; and (C) consideration of any amendments to the *Guidelines Manual* that may be appropriate in light of the information obtained from such study.

(6) Study of the findings and recommendations contained in the May 2016 Report issued by the Commission's Tribal Issues Advisory Group, and consideration of any amendments to the *Guidelines Manual* that may be appropriate in light of the information obtained from such study.

(7) Study of the treatment of youthful offenders under the *Guidelines Manual*, including possible amendments to Chapter Five, Part H.

(8) Study of the operation of Chapter Four, Part A of the *Guidelines Manual*, including (A) the feasibility and appropriateness of using the amount of time served by an offender, as opposed to the sentence imposed, for purposes of calculating criminal history under Chapter Four; and (B) the treatment of revocation sentences under § 4A1.2(k).

(9) Study of offenses involving 3,4-Methylenedioxy-N-methylcathinone (Methylone) and consideration of any amendments to the *Guidelines Manual* that may be appropriate in light of the information obtained from such study.

(10) Implementation of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, Public Law 114-74, and any other crime legislation enacted during the 114th or 115th Congress warranting a Commission response.

(11) Resolution of circuit conflicts, pursuant to the Commission's continuing authority and responsibility, under 28 U.S.C. 991(b)(1)(B) and *Braxton v. United States*, 500 U.S. 344 (1991), to resolve conflicting interpretations of the guidelines by the federal courts.

(12) Consideration of any miscellaneous guideline application issues coming to the Commission's

attention from case law and other sources, including possible consideration of whether a defendant's denial of relevant conduct should be considered in determining whether a defendant has accepted responsibility for purposes of § 3E1.1.

The Commission hereby gives notice that it is seeking comment on these tentative priorities and on any other issues that interested persons believe

the Commission should address during the amendment cycle ending May 1, 2017. To the extent practicable, public comment should include the following: (1) A statement of the issue, including, where appropriate, the scope and manner of study, particular problem areas and possible solutions, and any other matters relevant to a proposed priority; (2) citations to applicable sentencing guidelines, statutes, case

law, and constitutional provisions; and (3) a direct and concise statement of why the Commission should make the issue a priority.

**Authority:** 28 U.S.C. 994(a), (o); USSC Rules of Practice and Procedure 5.2.

**Patti B. Saris,**  
*Chair.*

[FR Doc. 2016-13681 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-40-P**



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part II

Regulatory Information Service Center

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

## REGULATORY INFORMATION SERVICE CENTER

### Introduction to the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions

**AGENCY:** Regulatory Information Service Center.

**ACTION:** Introduction to the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions.

**SUMMARY:** The Spring 2016 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions.

Publication of the Spring 2016 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions represents a key component of the regulatory planning mechanism prescribed in Executive Order 12866 "Regulatory Planning and Review" (58 FR 51735) and incorporated by reference in the President's Executive Order 13563, "Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review," issued on January 18, 2011 (76 FR 3821).

The Regulatory Flexibility Act requires that agencies publish semiannual regulatory agendas in the **Federal Register** describing regulatory actions they are developing that may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (5 U.S.C. 602).

In the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions (Unified Agenda) agencies report regulatory actions upcoming in the next year. Executive Order 12866 "Regulatory Planning and Review," signed September 30, 1993 (58 FR 51735), and Office of Management and Budget memoranda implementing section 4 of that Order establish minimum standards for agencies' agendas, including specific types of information for each entry.

The Unified Agenda helps agencies fulfill these requirements. All Federal regulatory agencies have chosen to publish their regulatory agendas as part of the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda for spring 2016, which contains the regulatory agendas for 57 Federal agencies, is available to the public at <http://reginfo.gov>.

The spring 2016 Unified Agenda publication appearing in the **Federal Register** consists of agency regulatory flexibility agendas, in accordance with the publication requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Agency regulatory flexibility agendas contain only those Agenda entries for rules that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and entries that have been

selected for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**ADDRESSES:** Regulatory Information Service Center (MVE), General Services Administration, 1800 F Street NW., MVE, Room 2219F, Washington, DC 20405.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information about specific regulatory actions, please refer to the agency contact listed for each entry. To provide comment on or to obtain further information about this publication, contact: John C. Thomas, Executive Director, Regulatory Information Service Center (MVE), General Services Administration, 1800 F Street NW., MVE, Room 2219F, Washington, DC 20405, (202) 482-7340. You may also send comments to us by email at: [RISC@gsa.gov](mailto:RISC@gsa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

*Introduction to the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions*

- I. What is the Unified Agenda?
- II. Why is the Unified Agenda published?
- III. How is the Unified Agenda organized?
- IV. What information appears for each entry?
- V. Abbreviations
- VI. How can users get copies of the Plan and the Agenda?

##### Agency Agendas

Cabinet Departments  
 Department of Agriculture  
 Department of Commerce  
 Department of Defense  
 Department of Education  
 Department of Energy  
 Department of Health and Human Services  
 Department of Homeland Security  
 Department of Housing and Urban Development  
 Department of the Interior  
 Department of Justice  
 Department of Labor  
 Department of Transportation  
 Department of the Treasury  
 Other Executive Agencies  
 Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board  
 Environmental Protection Agency  
 General Services Administration  
 National Aeronautics and Space Administration  
 Small Business Administration  
 Joint Authority  
 Department of Defense/General Services Administration/National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Federal Acquisition Regulation)  
 Independent Regulatory Agencies  
 Commodity Futures Trading Commission  
 Consumer Financial Protection Bureau  
 Consumer Product Safety Commission  
 Federal Communications Commission  
 Federal Maritime Commission

Federal Reserve System  
 Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
 Securities and Exchange Commission  
 Surface Transportation Board

### Introduction to the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions

#### I. What is the Unified Agenda?

The Unified Agenda provides information about regulations that the Government is considering or reviewing. The Unified Agenda has appeared in the **Federal Register** twice each year since 1983 and has been available online since 1995. The complete Unified Agenda is available to the public at <http://reginfo.gov>. The online Unified Agenda offers user-friendly flexible search tools and a vast historical database.

The spring 2016 Unified Agenda publication appearing in the **Federal Register** consists of agency regulatory flexibility agendas, in accordance with the publication requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Agency regulatory flexibility agendas contain only those Agenda entries for rules that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities and entries that have been selected for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Printed entries display only the fields required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Complete agenda information for those entries appears in a uniform format, in the online Unified Agenda at <http://reginfo.gov>.

These publication formats meet the publication mandates of the Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866. The complete online edition of the Unified Agenda includes regulatory agendas from 57 Federal agencies. Agencies of the United States Congress are not included.

The following agencies have no entries identified for inclusion in the printed regulatory flexibility agenda. The regulatory agendas of these agencies are available to the public at <http://reginfo.gov>.

Department of State  
 Department of Veterans Affairs  
 Agency for International Development  
 Commission on Civil Rights  
 Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled  
 Corporation for National and Community Service  
 Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency for the District of Columbia  
 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission  
 Institute of Museum and Library Services  
 National Archives and Records Administration  
 National Endowment for the Arts  
 National Endowment for the Humanities

National Science Foundation  
 Office of Government Ethics  
 Office of Management and Budget  
 Office of Personnel Management  
 Office of the United States Trade Representative  
 Peace Corps  
 Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation  
 Railroad Retirement Board  
 Social Security Administration  
 Farm Credit Administration  
 Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation  
 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
 Federal Housing Finance Agency  
 Federal Maritime Commission  
 Federal Trade Commission  
 Gulf Coast Ecosystem Restoration Council  
 National Credit Union Administration  
 National Indian Gaming Commission  
 National Transportation Safety Board

The Regulatory Information Service Center compiles the Unified Agenda for the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA), part of the Office of Management and Budget. OIRA is responsible for overseeing the Federal Government's regulatory, paperwork, and information resource management activities, including implementation of Executive Order 12866 (incorporated by reference in Executive Order 13563). The Center also provides information about Federal regulatory activity to the President and his Executive Office, the Congress, agency officials, and the public.

The activities included in the Unified Agenda are, in general, those that will have a regulatory action within the next 12 months. Agencies may choose to include activities that will have a longer timeframe than 12 months. Agency agendas also show actions or reviews completed or withdrawn since the last Unified Agenda. Executive Order 12866 does not require agencies to include regulations concerning military or foreign affairs functions or regulations related to agency organization, management, or personnel matters.

Agencies prepared entries for this publication to give the public notice of their plans to review, propose, and issue regulations. They have tried to predict their activities over the next 12 months as accurately as possible, but dates and schedules are subject to change. Agencies may withdraw some of the regulations now under development, and they may issue or propose other regulations not included in their agendas. Agency actions in the rulemaking process may occur before or after the dates they have listed. The Unified Agenda does not create a legal obligation on agencies to adhere to schedules in this publication or to confine their regulatory activities to those regulations that appear within it.

## II. Why is the Unified Agenda published?

The Unified Agenda helps agencies comply with their obligations under the Regulatory Flexibility Act and various Executive orders and other statutes.

### *Executive Order 12866*

*Executive Order 12866* entitled "Regulatory Planning and Review," signed September 30, 1993, (58 FR 51735), requires covered agencies to prepare an agenda of all regulations under development or review. The Order also requires that certain agencies prepare annually a regulatory plan of their "most important significant regulatory actions," which appears as part of the fall Unified Agenda. Executive Order 13497, signed January 30, 2009 (74 FR 6113), revoked the amendments to Executive Order 12866 that were contained in Executive Order 13258 and Executive Order 13422.

### *Executive Order 13563*

*Executive Order 13563* entitled "Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review," issued on January 18, 2011, supplements and reaffirms the principles, structures, and definitions governing contemporary regulatory review that were established in Executive Order 12866, which includes the general principles of regulation and public participation, and orders integration and innovation in coordination across agencies; flexible approaches where relevant, feasible, and consistent with regulatory approaches; scientific integrity in any scientific or technological information and processes used to support the agencies' regulatory actions; and retrospective analysis of existing regulations.

### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The *Regulatory Flexibility Act* requires agencies to identify those rules that may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (5 U.S.C. 602). Agencies meet that requirement by including the information in their submissions for the Unified Agenda. Agencies may also indicate those regulations that they are reviewing as part of their periodic review of existing rules under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 610). Executive Order 13272 entitled "Proper Consideration of Small Entities in Agency Rulemaking," signed August 13, 2002, (67 FR 53461), provides additional guidance on compliance with the Act.

### *Executive Order 13132*

*Executive Order 13132* entitled "Federalism," signed August 4, 1999,

(64 FR 43255), directs agencies to have an accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have "federalism implications" as defined in the Order. Under the Order, an agency that is proposing a regulation with federalism implications, which either preempt State law or impose non-statutory unfunded substantial direct compliance costs on State and local governments, must consult with State and local officials early in the process of developing the regulation. In addition, the agency must provide to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget a federalism summary impact statement for such a regulation, which consists of a description of the extent of the agency's prior consultation with State and local officials, a summary of their concerns and the agency's position supporting the need to issue the regulation, and a statement of the extent to which those concerns have been met. As part of this effort, agencies include in their submissions for the Unified Agenda information on whether their regulatory actions may have an effect on the various levels of government and whether those actions have federalism implications.

### *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995*

The *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995* (Pub. L. 104-4, title II) requires agencies to prepare written assessments of the costs and benefits of significant regulatory actions "that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100,000,000 or more . . . in any 1 year . . ." The requirement does not apply to independent regulatory agencies, nor does it apply to certain subject areas excluded by section 4 of the Act. Affected agencies identify in the Unified Agenda those regulatory actions they believe are subject to title II of the Act.

### *Executive Order 13211*

*Executive Order 13211* entitled "Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use," signed May 18, 2001, (66 FR 28355), directs agencies to provide, to the extent possible, information regarding the adverse effects that agency actions may have on the supply, distribution, and use of energy. Under the Order, the agency must prepare and submit a Statement of Energy Effects to the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, for "those matters identified as significant energy actions." As part of

this effort, agencies may optionally include in their submissions for the Unified Agenda information on whether they have prepared or plan to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for their regulatory actions.

#### *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act*

The *Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act* (Pub. L. 104–121, title II) established a procedure for congressional review of rules (5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*), which defers, unless exempted, the effective date of a “major” rule for at least 60 days from the publication of the final rule in the **Federal Register**. The Act specifies that a rule is “major” if it has resulted, or is likely to result, in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or meets other criteria specified in that Act. The Act provides that the Administrator of OIRA will make the final determination as to whether a rule is major.

### III. How is the Unified Agenda organized?

Agency regulatory flexibility agendas are printed in a single daily edition of the **Federal Register**. A regulatory flexibility agenda is printed for each agency whose agenda includes entries for rules which are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities or rules that have been selected for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Each printed agenda appears as a separate part. The parts are organized alphabetically in four groups: Cabinet departments; other executive agencies; the Federal Acquisition Regulation, a joint authority; and independent regulatory agencies. Agencies may in turn be divided into sub-agencies. Each agency’s part of the Agenda contains a preamble providing information specific to that agency. Each printed agency agenda has a table of contents listing the agency’s printed entries that follow.

The online, complete Unified Agenda contains the preambles of all participating agencies. In the online Agenda, users can select the particular agencies whose agendas they want to see. Users have broad flexibility to specify the characteristics of the entries of interest to them by choosing the desired responses to individual data fields. To see a listing of all of an agency’s entries, a user can select the agency without specifying any particular characteristics of entries.

Each entry in the Unified Agenda is associated with one of five rulemaking stages. The rulemaking stages are:

1. *Prerule Stage*—actions agencies will undertake to determine whether or how to initiate rulemaking. Such actions occur prior to a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) and may include Advance Notices of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRMs) and reviews of existing regulations.

2. *Proposed Rule Stage*—actions for which agencies plan to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking as the next step in their rulemaking process or for which the closing date of the NPRM Comment Period is the next step.

3. *Final Rule Stage*—actions for which agencies plan to publish a final rule or an interim final rule or to take other final action as the next step.

4. *Long-Term Actions*—items under development but for which the agency does not expect to have a regulatory action within the 12 months after publication of this edition of the Unified Agenda. Some of the entries in this section may contain abbreviated information.

5. *Completed Actions*—actions or reviews the agency has completed or withdrawn since publishing its last agenda. This section also includes items the agency began and completed between issues of the Agenda.

Long-Term Actions are rulemakings reported during the publication cycle that are outside of the required 12-month reporting period for which the Agenda was intended. Completed Actions in the publication cycle are rulemakings that are ending their lifecycle either by Withdrawal or completion of the rulemaking process. Therefore, the Long-Term and Completed RINs do not represent the ongoing, forward-looking nature intended for reporting developing rulemakings in the Agenda pursuant to Executive Order 12866, section 4(b) and 4(c). To further differentiate these two stages of rulemaking in the Unified Agenda from active rulemakings, Long-Term and Completed Actions are reported separately from active rulemakings, which can be any of the first three stages of rulemaking listed above. A separate search function is provided on <http://reginfo.gov> to search for Completed and Long-Term Actions apart from each other and active RINs.

A bullet (•) preceding the title of an entry indicates that the entry is appearing in the Unified Agenda for the first time.

In the printed edition, all entries are numbered sequentially from the beginning to the end of the publication. The sequence number preceding the title of each entry identifies the location of the entry in this edition. The sequence number is used as the

reference in the printed table of contents. Sequence numbers are not used in the online Unified Agenda because the unique Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) is able to provide this cross-reference capability.

Editions of the Unified Agenda prior to fall 2007 contained several indexes, which identified entries with various characteristics. These included regulatory actions for which agencies believe that the Regulatory Flexibility Act may require a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis, actions selected for periodic review under section 610(c) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, and actions that may have federalism implications as defined in Executive Order 13132 or other effects on levels of government. These indexes are no longer compiled, because users of the online Unified Agenda have the flexibility to search for entries with any combination of desired characteristics. The online edition retains the Unified Agenda’s subject index based on the **Federal Register** Thesaurus of Indexing Terms. In addition, online users have the option of searching Agenda text fields for words or phrases.

### IV. What information appears for each entry?

All entries in the online Unified Agenda contain uniform data elements including, at a minimum, the following information:

*Title of the Regulation*—a brief description of the subject of the regulation. In the printed edition, the notation “Section 610 Review” following the title indicates that the agency has selected the rule for its periodic review of existing rules under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 610(c)). Some agencies have indicated completions of section 610 reviews or rulemaking actions resulting from completed section 610 reviews. In the online edition, these notations appear in a separate field.

*Priority*—an indication of the significance of the regulation. Agencies assign each entry to one of the following five categories of significance.

#### (1) *Economically Significant*

As defined in Executive Order 12866, a rulemaking action that will have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or will adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities. The definition of an “economically significant” rule is similar but not identical to the definition of a “major”

rule under 5 U.S.C. 801 (Pub. L. 104–121). (See below.)

(2) *Other Significant*

A rulemaking that is not Economically Significant but is considered Significant by the agency. This category includes rules that the agency anticipates will be reviewed under Executive Order 12866 or rules that are a priority of the agency head. These rules may or may not be included in the agency's regulatory plan.

(3) *Substantive, Nonsignificant*

A rulemaking that has substantive impacts but is neither Significant, nor Routine and Frequent, nor Informational/Administrative/Other.

(4) *Routine and Frequent*

A rulemaking that is a specific case of a multiple recurring application of a regulatory program in the Code of Federal Regulations and that does not alter the body of the regulation.

(5) *Informational/Administrative/Other*

A rulemaking that is primarily informational or pertains to agency matters not central to accomplishing the agency's regulatory mandate but that the agency places in the Unified Agenda to inform the public of the activity.

*Major*—whether the rule is “major” under 5 U.S.C. 801 (Pub. L. 104–121) because it has resulted or is likely to result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or meets other criteria specified in that Act. The Act provides that the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs will make the final determination as to whether a rule is major.

*Unfunded Mandates*—whether the rule is covered by section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4). The Act requires that, before issuing an NPRM likely to result in a mandate that may result in expenditures by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of more than \$100 million in 1 year, agencies, other than independent regulatory agencies, shall prepare a written statement containing an assessment of the anticipated costs and benefits of the Federal mandate.

*Legal Authority*—the section(s) of the United States Code (U.S.C.) or Public Law (Pub. L.) or the Executive order (E.O.) that authorize(s) the regulatory action. Agencies may provide popular name references to laws in addition to these citations.

*CFR Citation*—the section(s) of the Code of Federal Regulations that will be affected by the action.

*Legal Deadline*—whether the action is subject to a statutory or judicial deadline, the date of that deadline, and whether the deadline pertains to an NPRM, a Final Action, or some other action.

*Abstract*—a brief description of the problem the regulation will address; the need for a Federal solution; to the extent available, alternatives that the agency is considering to address the problem; and potential costs and benefits of the action.

*Timetable*—the dates and citations (if available) for all past steps and a projected date for at least the next step for the regulatory action. A date displayed in the form 06/00/14 means the agency is predicting the month and year the action will take place but not the day it will occur. In some instances, agencies may indicate what the next action will be, but the date of that action is “To Be Determined.” “Next Action Undetermined” indicates the agency does not know what action it will take next.

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required*—whether an analysis is required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) because the rulemaking action is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as defined by the Act.

*Small Entities Affected*—the types of small entities (businesses, governmental jurisdictions, or organizations) on which the rulemaking action is likely to have an impact as defined by the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Some agencies have chosen to indicate likely effects on small entities even though they believe that a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis will not be required.

*Government Levels Affected*—whether the action is expected to affect levels of government and, if so, whether the governments are State, local, tribal, or Federal.

*International Impacts*—whether the regulation is expected to have international trade and investment effects, or otherwise may be of interest to the Nation's international trading partners.

*Federalism*—whether the action has “federalism implications” as defined in Executive Order 13132. This term refers to actions “that have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.” Independent regulatory agencies are not required to supply this information.

*Included in the Regulatory Plan*—whether the rulemaking was included in

the agency's current regulatory plan published in fall 2015.

*Agency Contact*—the name and phone number of at least one person in the agency who is knowledgeable about the rulemaking action. The agency may also provide the title, address, fax number, email address, and TDD for each agency contact.

Some agencies have provided the following optional information:

*RIN Information URL*—the Internet address of a site that provides more information about the entry.

*Public Comment URL*—the Internet address of a site that will accept public comments on the entry. Alternatively, timely public comments may be submitted at the governmentwide e-rulemaking site, <http://www.regulations.gov>.

*Additional Information*—any information an agency wishes to include that does not have a specific corresponding data element.

*Compliance Cost to the Public*—the estimated gross compliance cost of the action.

*Affected Sectors*—the industrial sectors that the action may most affect, either directly or indirectly. Affected sectors are identified by North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes.

*Energy Effects*—an indication of whether the agency has prepared or plans to prepare a Statement of Energy Effects for the action, as required by Executive Order 13211 “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use,” signed May 18, 2001 (66 FR 28355).

*Related RINs*—one or more past or current RIN(s) associated with activity related to this action, such as merged RINs, split RINs, new activity for previously completed RINs, or duplicate RINs.

Some agencies that participated in the fall 2015 edition of The Regulatory Plan have chosen to include the following information for those entries that appeared in the Plan:

*Statement of Need*—a description of the need for the regulatory action.

*Summary of the Legal Basis*—a description of the legal basis for the action, including whether any aspect of the action is required by statute or court order.

*Alternatives*—a description of the alternatives the agency has considered or will consider as required by section 4(c)(1)(B) of Executive Order 12866.

*Anticipated Costs and Benefits*—a description of preliminary estimates of the anticipated costs and benefits of the action.

*Risks*—a description of the magnitude of the risk the action addresses, the amount by which the agency expects the action to reduce this risk, and the relation of the risk and this risk reduction effort to other risks and risk reduction efforts within the agency's jurisdiction.

## V. Abbreviations

The following abbreviations appear throughout this publication:

*ANPRM*—An Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is a preliminary notice, published in the **Federal Register**, announcing that an agency is considering a regulatory action. An agency may issue an ANPRM before it develops a detailed proposed rule. An ANPRM describes the general area that may be subject to regulation and usually asks for public comment on the issues and options being discussed. An ANPRM is issued only when an agency believes it needs to gather more information before proceeding to a notice of proposed rulemaking.

*CFR*—The Code of Federal Regulations is an annual codification of the general and permanent regulations published in the **Federal Register** by the agencies of the Federal Government. The Code is divided into 50 titles, each title covering a broad area subject to Federal regulation. The CFR is keyed to and kept up to date by the daily issues of the **Federal Register**.

*E.O.*—An Executive order is a directive from the President to Executive agencies, issued under constitutional or statutory authority. Executive orders are published in the **Federal Register** and in title 3 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

*FR*—The **Federal Register** is a daily Federal Government publication that provides a uniform system for publishing Presidential documents, all proposed and final regulations, notices of meetings, and other official documents issued by Federal agencies.

*FY*—The Federal fiscal year runs from October 1 to September 30.

*NPRM*—A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is the document an agency issues and publishes in the **Federal Register** that describes and solicits public comments on a proposed regulatory action. Under the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), an NPRM must include, at a minimum:

a statement of the time, place, and nature of the public rulemaking proceeding; a reference to the legal authority under which the rule is proposed; and

either the terms or substance of the proposed rule or a description of the subjects and issues involved.

*PL (or Pub. L.)*—A public law is a law passed by Congress and signed by the President or enacted over his veto. It has general applicability, unlike a private law that applies only to those persons or entities specifically designated. Public laws are numbered in sequence throughout the 2-year life of each Congress; for example, PL 110-4 is the fourth public law of the 110th Congress.

*RFA*—A Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is a description and analysis of the impact of a rule on small entities, including small businesses, small governmental jurisdictions, and certain small not-for-profit organizations. The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) requires each agency to prepare an initial RFA for public comment when it is required to publish an NPRM and to make available a final RFA when the final rule is published, unless the agency head certifies that the rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

*RIN*—The Regulation Identifier Number is assigned by the Regulatory Information Service Center to identify each regulatory action listed in the Unified Agenda, as directed by Executive Order 12866 (section 4(b)). Additionally, OMB has asked agencies to include RINs in the headings of their Rule and Proposed Rule documents when publishing them in the **Federal Register**, to make it easier for the public and agency officials to track the

publication history of regulatory actions throughout their development.

*Seq. No.*—The sequence number identifies the location of an entry in the printed edition of the Unified Agenda. Note that a specific regulatory action will have the same RIN throughout its development but will generally have different sequence numbers if it appears in different printed editions of the Unified Agenda. Sequence numbers are not used in the online Unified Agenda.

*U.S.C.*—The United States Code is a consolidation and codification of all general and permanent laws of the United States. The U.S.C. is divided into 50 titles, each title covering a broad area of Federal law.

## VI. How can users get copies of the Agenda?

Copies of the **Federal Register** issue containing the printed edition of the Unified Agenda (agency regulatory flexibility agendas) are available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 371954, Pittsburgh, PA 15250-7954. Telephone: (202) 512-1800 or 1-866-512-1800 (toll-free).

Copies of individual agency materials may be available directly from the agency or may be found on the agency's Web site. Please contact the particular agency for further information.

All editions of The Regulatory Plan and the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions since fall 1995 are available in electronic form at <http://reginfo.gov>, along with flexible search tools.

The Government Printing Office's GPO FDsys Web site contains copies of the Agendas and Regulatory Plans that have been printed in the **Federal Register**. These documents are available at <http://www.fdsys.gov>.

Dated: May 18, 2016.

**John C. Thomas,**

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 2016-12869 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820-27-P**



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part III

Department of Agriculture

---

Office of the Secretary

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Office of the Secretary**

**2 CFR Subtitle B, Ch. IV**

**5 CFR Ch. LXXIII**

**7 CFR Subtitle A; Subtitle B, Chs. I–XI, XIV–XVIII, XX, XXV–XXXVIII, XLII**

**9 CFR Chs. I–III**

**36 CFR Ch. II**

**48 CFR Ch. 4**

**Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, Spring 2016**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, USDA.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** This agenda provides summary descriptions of significant and not significant regulations being developed in agencies of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) in

conformance with Executive Orders (E.O.) 12866 “Regulatory Planning and Review,” and 13563 “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review.” The agenda also describes regulations affecting small entities as required by section 602 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Public Law 96–354. This agenda also identifies regulatory actions that are being reviewed in compliance with section 610(c) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. We invite public comment on those actions as well as any regulation consistent with Executive Order 13563.

USDA has attempted to list all regulations and regulatory reviews pending at the time of publication except for minor and routine or repetitive actions, but some may have been inadvertently missed. There is no legal significance to the omission of an item from this listing. Also, the dates shown for the steps of each action are estimated and are not commitments to act on or by the date shown.

USDA’s complete regulatory agenda is available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), USDA’s printed agenda entries include only:

(1) Rules that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and

(2) Rules identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information on any specific entry shown in this agenda, please contact the person listed for that action. For general comments or inquiries about the agenda, please contact Michael Poe, Office of Budget and Program Analysis, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, (202) 720–3257.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

**Michael Poe,**  
*Legislative and Regulatory Staff.*

**AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
1 .....	National Organic Program, Organic Pet Food Standards .....	0581–AD20
2 .....	National Organic Program, Organic Apiculture Practice Standard, NOP–12–0063 .....	0581–AD31
3 .....	National Organic Program—Organic Aquaculture Standards .....	0581–AD34
4 .....	NOP; Organic Livestock and Poultry Practices .....	0581–AD44

**AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
5 .....	National Organic Program, Origin of Livestock, NOP–11–0009 .....	0581–AD08

**ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
6 .....	Plant Pest Regulations; Update of General Provisions .....	0579–AC98
7 .....	Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy and Scrapie; Importation of Small Ruminants and Their Germplasm, Products, and Byproducts.	0579–AD10
8 .....	Brucellosis and Bovine Tuberculosis; Update of General Provisions .....	0579–AD65

**ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
9 .....	Scrapie in Sheep and Goats .....	0579–AC92
10 .....	Importation of Wood Packaging Material From Canada .....	0579–AD28
11 .....	Establishing a Performance Standard for Authorizing the Importation and Interstate Movement of Fruits and Vegetables.	0579–AD71
12 .....	Agricultural Bioterrorism Protection Act of 2002; Biennial Review and Republication of the Select Agent and Toxin List.	0579–AE08

## ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
13 .....	Lacey Act Implementation Plan; Definitions for Exempt and Regulated Articles .....	0579–AD11
14 .....	Exportation of Live Animals, Hatching Eggs, and Animal Germplasm From the United States .....	0579–AE00
15 .....	Importation of Tomato Plantlets in Approved Growing Media From Mexico .....	0579–AE06
16 .....	Importation of Phalaenopsis Spp. Plants for Planting in Approved Growing Media From China to the Continental United States.	0579–AE10

## GRAIN INSPECTION, PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
17 .....	Undue Preference and Advantage .....	0580–AB27

## GRAIN INSPECTION, PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
18 .....	Scope and Unfair Practices .....	0580–AB25

## FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
19 .....	Modernizing Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Benefit Redemption Systems .....	0584–AE37

## FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
20 .....	National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs: Nutrition Standards for All Foods Sold in School, as Required by the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010.	0584–AE09
21 .....	Child Nutrition Programs: Local School Wellness Policy Implementation Under the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010.	0584–AE25

## FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
22 .....	Child and Adult Care Food Program: Meal Pattern Revisions Related to the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010.	0584–AE18

## FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
23 .....	Elimination of Trichina Control Regulations and Consolidation of Thermally Processed, Commercially Sterile Regulations.	0583–AD59

## FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
24 .....	Mandatory Inspection of Fish of the Order Siluriformes and Products Derived From Such Fish .....	0583–AD36

FOREST SERVICE—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
25 .....	Management of Surface Activities Associated With Outstanding Mineral Rights on National Forest System Lands (Directive).	0596-AD03

FOREST SERVICE—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
26 .....	Ski Area—D Clauses: Resource and Improvement Protection, Water Facilities, and Water Rights (Directive).	0596-AD14

OFFICE OF PROCUREMENT AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
27 .....	Designation of Biobased Product Categories for Federal Procurement, Round 11 .....	0599-AA24
28 .....	Designation of Biobased Product Categories for Federal Procurement, Round 12 .....	0599-AA25

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**1. National Organic Program, Organic Pet Food Standards**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 6501

*Abstract:* The National Organic Program (NOP) establishes national standards governing the marketing of organically produced agricultural products. In 2004, the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) initiated the development of organic pet food standards, which had not been incorporated into the NOP regulations, by forming a task force which included pet food manufacturers, organic consultants, etc. Collectively, these experts drafted organic pet food standards consistent with the Organic Foods Production Act of 1990, Food and Drug Administration requirements, and the Association of American Feed Control Officials (AAFCO) Model Regulations for Pet and Specialty Pet Food. The AAFCO regulations are scientifically based regulations for voluntary adoption by State jurisdictions to ensure the safety, quality, and effectiveness of feed. In November 2008, the NOSB approved a final recommendation for organic pet food standards incorporating the provisions drafted by the pet food task force.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Miles McEvoy, Deputy Administrator, USDA National Organic Program, Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250, *Phone:* 202 720-3252.

*RIN:* 0581-AD20

**2. National Organic Program, Organic Apiculture Practice Standard, NOP-12-0063**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 6501

*Abstract:* This action proposes to amend the USDA organic regulations to reflect an October 2010 recommendation submitted to the Secretary by the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) concerning the production of organic apicultural (or beekeeping) products.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Miles McEvoy, Deputy Administrator, USDA National Organic Program, Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250, *Phone:* 202 720-3252.

*RIN:* 0581-AD31

**3. National Organic Program—Organic Aquaculture Standards**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 6501 to 6522

*Abstract:* This action proposes to establish standards for organic

production and certification of farmed aquatic animals and their products in the USDA organic regulations. This action would also add aquatic animals as a scope of certification and accreditation under the National Organic Program (NOP). This action is necessary to establish standards for organic farmed aquatic animals and their products which would allow U.S. producers to compete in the organic seafood market. This action is also necessary to address multiple recommendations provided to USDA by the National Organic Standards Board (NOSB). From 2007 through 2009, the NOSB made five recommendations to establish standards for the certification of organic farmed aquatic animals and their products. Finally, the U.S. currently has organic standards equivalence arrangements with Canada and the European Union (EU). Both Canada and the EU established standards for organic aquaculture products. Because the U.S. does not have organic aquaculture standards, the U.S. is unable to include aquaculture in the scope of these arrangements. Establishing U.S. organic aquaculture may provide a basis for expanding those trade partnerships.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Miles McEvoy, Deputy Administrator, USDA National Organic Program, Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing

Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250, Phone: 202 720-3252.

RIN: 0581-AD34

**4. • NOP; Organic Livestock and Poultry Practices**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 6501 to 6522

*Abstract:* This action proposes to establish standards that support additional practice standards for organic livestock and poultry production. This action would add provisions to the USDA organic regulations to address and clarify livestock and poultry living conditions (for example, outdoor access, housing environment and stocking densities), health care practices (for example physical alterations, administering medical treatment, euthanasia), and animal handling and transport to and during slaughter.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/13/16	81 FR 21955
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/13/16	
Final Action .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Miles McEvoy, Deputy Administrator, USDA National Organic Program, Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250, Phone: 202 720-3252.

RIN: 0581-AD44

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS)*

Final Rule Stage

**5. National Organic Program, Origin of Livestock, NOP-11-0009**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 6501

*Abstract:* The current regulations provide two tracks for replacing dairy animals which are tied to how dairy farmers transition to organic production. Farmers who transition an entire distinct herd must thereafter replace dairy animals with livestock that has been under organic management from the last third of gestation. Farmers who do not transition an entire distinct herd may perpetually obtain replacement animals that have been managed organically for 12 months prior to marketing milk or milk products as organic. The proposed action would eliminate the two-track system and require that upon transition, all existing

and replacement dairy animals from which milk or milk products are intended to be sold, labeled, or represented as organic must be managed organically from the last third of gestation.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/28/15	80 FR 23455
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/27/15	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Miles McEvoy, Deputy Administrator, USDA National Organic Program, Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Marketing Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250, Phone: 202 720-3252.

RIN: 0581-AD08

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**6. Plant Pest Regulations; Update of General Provisions**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 450; 7 U.S.C. 2260; 7 U.S.C. 7701 to 7772; 7 U.S.C. 7781 to 7786; 7 U.S.C. 8301 to 8817; 19 U.S.C. 136; 21 U.S.C. 111; 21 U.S.C. 114a; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 42 U.S.C. 4331 and 4332

*Abstract:* We are proposing to revise our regulations regarding the movement of plant pests. We are proposing criteria regarding the movement and environmental release of biological control organisms, and are proposing to establish regulations to allow the importation and movement in interstate commerce of certain types of plant pests without restriction by granting exceptions from permitting requirements for those pests. We are also proposing to revise our regulations regarding the movement of soil. This proposed rule replaces a previously published proposed rule, which we are withdrawing as part of this document. This proposal would clarify the factors that would be considered when assessing the risks associated with the movement of certain organisms and facilitate the movement of regulated organisms and articles in a manner that also protects U.S. agriculture.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement.	10/20/09	74 FR 53673
Notice Comment Period End.	11/19/09	
NPRM .....	05/00/16	
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Colin Stewart, Assistant Director, Pests, Pathogens, and Biocontrol Permits, PPQ, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 133, Riverdale, MD 20737-1236, Phone: 301 851-2237.

RIN: 0579-AC98

**7. Bovine Spongiform Encephalopathy and Scrapie; Importation of Small Ruminants and Their Germplasm, Products, and Byproducts**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 450; 7 U.S.C. 1622; 7 U.S.C. 7701 to 7772; 7 U.S.C. 7781 to 7786; 7 U.S.C. 8301 to 8317; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would amend the bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE) and scrapie regulations regarding the importation of live sheep, goats, and wild ruminants and their embryos, semen, products, and byproducts. The proposed scrapie revisions regarding the importation of sheep, goats, and susceptible wild ruminants for other than immediate slaughter are similar to those recommended by the World Organization for Animal Health in restricting the importation of such animals to those from scrapie-free regions or certified scrapie-free flocks.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Langston Hull, Senior Staff Veterinary Medical Officer, Animal Permitting and Negotiating Services, VS, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231, Phone: 301 851-3300.

RIN: 0579-AD10

**8. Brucellosis and Bovine Tuberculosis; Update of General Provisions**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 1622; 7 U.S.C. 8301 to 8317; 15 U.S.C. 1828; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would consolidate the regulations governing bovine tuberculosis (TB), currently found in 9 CFR part 77, and those governing brucellosis, currently found in 9 CFR part 78. As part of this consolidation, we are proposing to transition the TB and brucellosis programs away from a State status system based on disease prevalence. Instead, States and tribes would implement an animal health plan that identifies sources of the diseases within the State or tribe and specifies mitigations to address the risk posed by these sources. The consolidated regulations also would set forth standards for surveillance, epidemiological investigations, and affected herd management that must be incorporated into each animal health plan, with certain limited exceptions; conditions for the interstate movement of cattle, bison, and captive cervids; and conditions for APHIS approval of tests for bovine TB or brucellosis. Finally, the rulemaking would revise the import requirements for cattle and bison to make these requirements clearer and ensure that they more effectively mitigate the risk of introduction of the diseases into the United States.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/16/15	80 FR 78461
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/15/16	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	03/11/16	81 FR 12832
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	05/16/16	
Final Rule .....	02/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Langston Hull, Senior Staff Veterinary Medical Officer, Animal Permitting and Negotiating Services, VS, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231, *Phone:* 301 851-3300.

C. William Hench, Senior Cattle Health Specialist, Cattle Health Center, Surveillance, Preparedness, and Response, VS, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 2150 Centre Avenue, Building B-3E20, Fort Collins, CO 80526, *Phone:* 970 494-7378.

RIN: 0579-AD65

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)*

Final Rule Stage

**9. Scrapie in Sheep and Goats**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 8301 to 8317

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would amend the scrapie regulations by changing the risk groups and categories established for individual animals and for flocks. It would simplify, reduce, or remove certain recordkeeping requirements. This action would provide designated scrapie epidemiologists with more alternatives and flexibility when testing animals in order to determine flock designations under the regulations. It would also make the identification and recordkeeping requirements for goat owners consistent with those for sheep owners. These changes would affect sheep and goat producers and State governments.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/10/15	80 FR 54659
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/09/15	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	11/16/15	80 FR 70718
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened End.	12/09/15	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Diane Sutton, Sheep, Goat, Cervid, and Equine Health Center; Surveillance, Preparedness, and Response Services, VS, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 43, Riverdale, MD 20737-1235, *Phone:* 301 851-3509.

RIN: 0579-AC92

**10. Importation of Wood Packaging Material From Canada**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 450; 7 U.S.C. 7701 to 7772; 7 U.S.C. 7781 to 7786; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a

*Abstract:* This rulemaking will amend the regulations for the importation of unmanufactured wood articles with regard to the exemption that allows wood packaging material from Canada to enter the United States without first meeting the treatment and marking requirements of the regulations that

apply to wood packaging material from all other countries. This action is necessary in order to prevent the dissemination and spread of pests via wood packaging material from Canada.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/02/10	75 FR 75157
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/31/11	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	
Final Action Effective.	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Tyrone Jones, Trade Director, Forestry Products, Phytosanitary Issues Management, PPQ, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 140, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231, *Phone:* 301 851-2344.

RIN: 0579-AD28

**11. Establishing a Performance Standard for Authorizing the Importation and Interstate Movement of Fruits and Vegetables**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 450; 7 U.S.C. 7701 to 7772; 7 U.S.C. 7781 to 7786; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a

*Abstract:* This rulemaking will amend our regulations governing the importations of fruits and vegetables by broadening our existing performance standard to provide for consideration of all new fruits and vegetables for importation into the United States using a notice-based process. Rather than authorizing new imports through proposed and final rules and specifying import conditions in the regulations, the notice-based process uses **Federal Register** notices to make risk analyses available to the public for review and comment, with authorized commodities and their conditions of entry subsequently being listed on the Internet. It also will remove the region- or commodity-specific phytosanitary requirements currently found in these regulations. Likewise, we are proposing an equivalent revision of the performance standard in our regulations governing the interstate movements of fruits and vegetables from Hawaii and the U.S. territories (Guam, Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, and the U.S. Virgin Islands) and the removal of commodity-specific phytosanitary requirements from those regulations. This action will allow for the consideration of requests to authorize the importation or interstate movement of new fruits and vegetables in a manner that enables a more flexible and responsive regulatory approach to

evolving pest situations in both the United States and exporting countries. It will not, however, alter the science-based process in which the risk associated with importation or interstate movement of a given fruit or vegetable is evaluated or the manner in which risks associated with the importation or interstate movement of a fruit or vegetable are mitigated.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/09/14	79 FR 53346
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/10/14	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	12/04/14	79 FR 71973
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/09/15	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	02/06/15	80 FR 6665
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/10/15	
Final Rule .....	08/00/16	
Final Rule Effective.	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Nicole Russo, Assistant Director, Regulatory Coordination and Compliance, PPQ, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 133, Riverdale, MD 20737–1236, *Phone:* 301 851–2159. *RIN:* 0579–AD71

**12. • Agricultural Bioterrorism Protection Act of 2002; Biennial Review and Republication of The Select Agent and Toxin List**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 8401  
*Abstract:* In accordance with the Agricultural Bioterrorism Protection Act of 2002, we are soliciting public comment regarding the list of select agents and toxins that have the potential to pose a severe threat to animal or plant health, or to animal or plant products. The Act requires the biennial review and republication of the list of select agents and toxins and the revision of the list as necessary. Accordingly, we are soliciting public comment on the current list of select agents and toxins in our regulations and suggestions regarding any addition or reduction of the animal or plant pathogens currently on the list of select agents.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	02/27/15	80 FR 10627
ANPRM Comment Period End.	04/28/15	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/19/16	81 FR 2762
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/21/16	
Final Action .....	11/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Freeda Isaac, National Director, Agriculture Select Agent Services, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 2, Riverdale, MD 20737–1231, *Phone:* 301 851–3300. *RIN:* 0579–AE08

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)*

Completed Actions

**13. Lacey Act Implementation Plan; Definitions for Exempt and Regulated Articles**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 3371 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* We are adopting as a final rule, without change, an interim final rule that established definitions for the terms common cultivar and common food crop and several related terms. The 2008 amendments to the Lacey Act expanded its protections to a broader range of plant species; extended its reach to encompass products, including timber, that derive from illegally harvested plants; and required that importers submit a declaration at the time of importation for certain plants and plant products. Common cultivars and common food crops are among the categorical exclusions to the provisions of the Act. The Act does not define the terms common cultivar and common food crop but instead gives authority to the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Department of the Interior to define these terms by regulation. The interim final rule specifically requested comment on definitions of two related terms: commercial scale and tree. This document responds to comments we received on those definitions.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Affirmation of Interim Final Rule.	01/25/16	81 FR 3939
Affirmation of Interim Final Rule Effective.	01/25/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Parul Patel, *Phone:* 301 851–2351.

*RIN:* 0579–AD11

**14. • Exportation of Live Animals, Hatching Eggs, and Animal Germplasm From the United States**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 8301 to 8317; 19 U.S.C. 1644a(c); 21 U.S.C. 136, 136a, and 618; 46 U.S.C. 3901 and 3902

*Abstract:* We are revising the regulations pertaining to the exportation of livestock from the United States. Among other things, we are removing most of the requirements for export health certifications, tests, and treatments from the regulations, and instead directing exporters to follow the requirements of the importing country regarding such processes and procedures. We are retaining only those export health certification, testing, and treatment requirements that we consider necessary to have assurances regarding the health and welfare of livestock exported from the United States. We also are allowing pre-export inspection of livestock to occur at facilities other than an export inspection facility associated with the port of embarkation, under certain circumstances, and replacing specific standards for export inspection facilities and ocean vessels with performance standards. These changes will provide exporters and the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) with more flexibility in arranging for the export of livestock from the United States while continuing to ensure the health and welfare of the livestock. Additionally, if APHIS knows that an importing country requires an export health certificate endorsed by the competent veterinary authority of the United States for any animal other than livestock, including pets, or for any hatching eggs or animal germplasm, we are requiring that the animal, hatching eggs, or animal germplasm have such a health certificate to be eligible for export from the United States. This change will help ensure that all animals, hatching eggs, and animal germplasm exported from the United States meet the health requirements of the countries to which they are destined. Finally, we are making editorial amendments to the regulations to make them easier to understand and comply with.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/26/15	80 FR 10398
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/27/15	
Final Rule .....	01/20/16	81 FR 2967
Final Rule Effective.	02/19/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jack Taniewski, Director for Animal Export, National Import Export Services, VS, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 39, Riverdale, MD 20737-1231, *Phone:* 301 851-3300.

*RIN:* 0579-AE00

**15. • Importation of Tomato Plantlets in Approved Growing Media From Mexico**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 450; 7 U.S.C. 7701 to 7772; 7 U.S.C. 7781 to 7786; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a

*Abstract:* This rulemaking amends the regulations governing the importation of plants for planting to authorize the importation of tomato plantlets from Mexico in approved growing media, subject to a systems approach. The systems approach consists of measures currently specified for tomato plants for planting not imported in growing media, as well as measures specific to all plants for planting imported into the United States in approved growing media. Additionally, the plantlets must be imported into greenhouses in the continental United States and the importers of the plantlets from Mexico or the owners of the greenhouses in the continental United States must enter into compliance agreements regarding the conditions under which the plants from Mexico must enter and be maintained within the greenhouses. This rule allows for the importation into the continental United States of tomato plantlets from Mexico in approved growing media, while providing protection against the introduction of plant pests. The rule also allows the imported greenhouse plantlets to produce tomato fruit for commercial sale within the United States.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/05/15	80 FR 11946
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/04/15	
Final Rule .....	10/02/15	80 FR 59557
Final Rule Effective.	11/02/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lydia Coloón, PPQ, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 133, Riverdale, MD 20737-1236, *Phone:* 301 851-2302.

*RIN:* 0579-AE06

**16. • Importation of Phalaenopsis SPP. Plants for Planting in Approved Growing Media From China to the Continental United States**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 450; 7 U.S.C. 7701 to 7772; 7 U.S.C. 7781 to 7786; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a

*Abstract:* This rulemaking amends the regulations governing the importation of plants for planting to authorize the importation of Phalaenopsis spp. plants for planting from China in approved growing media into the continental United States, subject to a systems approach. The systems approach consists of measures that are currently specified in the regulations as generally applicable to all plants for planting authorized for importation into the United States in approved growing media. This rule allows for the importation of Phalaenopsis spp. plants for planting from China in approved growing media, while providing protection against the introduction of quarantine plant pests.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/01/15	80 FR 30959
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/31/15	
Final Rule .....	02/11/16	81 FR 7195
Final Rule Effective.	03/14/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lydia Colón, PPQ, Department of Agriculture, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 4700 River Road, Unit 133, Riverdale, MD 20737-1236, *Phone:* 301 851-2302.

*RIN:* 0579-AE10

**BILLING CODE 3410-34-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**17. • Undue Preference and Advantage**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 110-246; 7 U.S.C. 181-229c

*Abstract:* Title XI of the 2008 Farm Bill required the Secretary of Agriculture to issue a number of regulations under the P&S Act. Among these instructions, the 2008 Farm Bill directed the Secretary to identify criteria to be considered in determining whether an undue or unreasonable preference or advantage has occurred in violation of the P&S Act. In June of

2010, the Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA) published a proposed rule addressing this statutory requirement along with several other rules required by the 2008 Farm Bill. Proposed 201.211 to the regulations under the P&S Act would have established criteria that the Secretary may consider in determining if conduct would violate section 202(b) of the P&S Act (undue or unreasonable preference or advantage). While many commenters provided examples of similarly situated poultry growers and livestock producers receiving different treatment, other commenters were concerned about the impacts of the provision on marketing arrangements and other beneficial contractual agreements. Beginning with the FY 2012 appropriations act, USDA was precluded from working on certain proposed regulatory provisions related to the P&S Act, including criteria in this proposal regarding undue or unreasonable preferences or advantages. Consequently, GIPSA did not finalize this rule in 2011. The prohibitions are not included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016. This rulemaking is necessary to fulfill statutory requirements.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Proposed Rule ....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Raymond Dexter Thomas II, Lead Regulatory Analyst, Department of Agriculture, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Room 2530-South, Washington, DC 20250, *Phone:* 202 720-6529, *Fax:* 202 690-2173, *Email:* r.dexter.thomas@usda.gov.

*RIN:* 0580-AB27

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration (GIPSA)*

Final Rule Stage

**18. • Scope and Unfair Practices**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 110-246; 7 U.S.C. 181 to 229c

*Abstract:* In June of 2010, GIPSA published a proposal to amend section 201.3 of the regulations issued under the Packers and Stockyards Act (P&S Act), 1921, as amended. This proposed change responds to guidance from the courts. The courts, in addressing

litigation brought by poultry growers alleging harm, have said that GIPSA's statements regarding the appropriate application of subsections 202(a) and 202(b) are not entitled to deference in the absence of regulation addressing whether the P&S Act prohibits all unfair practices, or only those causing harm or a likelihood of harm to competition. The amendment to 201.3 will establish GIPSA's interpretation of the statute which will then be entitled to judicial deference. Section 201.210 will illustrate by way of examples types of conduct GIPSA would consider unfair, unjustly discriminatory, or deceptive.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Raymond Dexter Thomas II, Lead Regulatory Analyst, Department of Agriculture, Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Room 2530—South, Washington, DC 20250, *Phone:* 202 720-6529, *Fax:* 202 690-2173, *Email:* r.dexter.thomas@usda.gov.

*RIN:* 0580-AB25

**BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Food and Nutrition Service (FNS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**19. Modernizing Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Benefit Redemption Systems**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 113-79

*Abstract:* The Agricultural Act of 2014 (Pub. L. 113-79, the Farm Bill) amended the Food and Nutrition Act of 2008 (the FNA) to include new requirements regarding the acceptance and processing of SNAP client benefits by all non-exempt retailers participating in SNAP. Statutory changes will modernize EBT systems and ensure greater program integrity. The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) also plans to revise certain SNAP regulations for which multiple State agencies have sought and received approval of waivers. The revisions will streamline program administration, offer greater flexibility to State agencies, and improve customer service.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Charles H. Watford, Regulatory Review Specialist, Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302, *Phone:* 703 605-0800, *Email:* charles.watford@fns.usda.gov.

Lynnette M. Thomas, Chief, Planning and Regulatory Affairs Branch, Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302, *Phone:* 703 605-4782, *Email:* lynnette.thomas@fns.usda.gov.

*RIN:* 0584-AE37

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Food and Nutrition Service (FNS)*

Final Rule Stage

**20. National School Lunch and School Breakfast Programs: Nutrition Standards for All Foods Sold in School, as Required by the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-296

*Abstract:* This rule codifies the two provisions of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act (Pub. L. 111-296; the Act) under 7 CFR parts 210 and 220. Section 208 requires the Secretary to promulgate regulations to establish science-based nutrition standards for all foods sold in schools. The nutrition standards apply to all food sold outside the school meal programs, on the school campus, and at any time during the school day. Section 203 requires schools participating in the National School Lunch Program to make available to children free of charge, as nutritionally appropriate, potable water for consumption in the place where meals are served during meal service.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/08/13	78 FR 9530
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/09/13	
Interim Final Rule	06/28/13	78 FR 39067
Interim Final Rule Effective.	08/27/13	
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	10/28/13	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Charles H. Watford, Regulatory Review Specialist, Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302, *Phone:* 703 605-0800, *Email:* charles.watford@fns.usda.gov.

Lynnette M. Thomas, Chief, Planning and Regulatory Affairs Branch, Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302, *Phone:* 703 605-4782, *Email:* lynnette.thomas@fns.usda.gov.

*RIN:* 0584-AE09

**21. Child Nutrition Programs: Local School Wellness Policy Implementation Under the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-296

*Abstract:* This final rule codifies a provision of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act (Pub. L. 111-296; the Act) under 7 CFR parts 210 and 220. Section 204 of the Act requires each local educational agency (LEA) to establish, for all schools under its jurisdiction, a local school wellness policy. The Act requires that the wellness policy include goals for nutrition, nutrition education, physical activity, and other school-based activities that promote student wellness. In addition, the Act requires that local educational agencies ensure stakeholder participation in development of their local school wellness policies, and periodically assess compliance with the policies, and disclose information about the policies to the public.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/26/14	79 FR 10693
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/28/14	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Charles H. Watford, Regulatory Review Specialist, Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302, *Phone:* 703 605-0800, *Email:* charles.watford@fns.usda.gov.

Lynnette M. Thomas, Chief, Planning and Regulatory Affairs Branch, Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, 3101 Park Center Drive, Alexandria, VA 22302, *Phone:* 703 605-4782, *Email:* lynnette.thomas@fns.usda.gov.

*RIN:* 0584-AE25

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Food and Nutrition Service (FNS)*

Completed Actions

**22. Child and Adult Care Food Program: Meal Pattern Revisions Related to the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111–296  
*Abstract:* This final rule will implement section 221 of the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111–296; the Act). It requires USDA to review and update, no less frequently than once every 10 years, requirements for meals served under the Child and Adult Care Food Program (CACFP) to ensure those meal patterns are consistent with the most recent Dietary Guidelines for Americans and relevant nutrition science.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	04/25/16	81 FR 24348
Final Action Effective.	06/24/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Charles H. Watford, Phone: 703 605–0800, Email: charles.watford@fns.usda.gov.  
 Lynnette M. Thomas, Phone: 703 605–4782, Email: lynnette.thomas@fns.usda.gov.  
 RIN: 0584–AE18

BILLING CODE 3410–30–P

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**23. Elimination of Trichina Control Regulations and Consolidation of Thermally Processed, Commercially Sterile Regulations**

*Legal Authority:* Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA); Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA)

*Abstract:* The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) is proposing to amend the Federal meat inspection regulations to eliminate the requirements for both ready-to-eat (RTE) and not-ready-to-eat (NRTE) pork and pork products to be treated to destroy trichina (*Trichinella spiralis*) because the regulations are inconsistent with the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) regulations, and these prescriptive regulations are no longer

necessary. If this supplemental proposed rule is finalized, FSIS will end its Trichinella Approved Laboratory Program (TALP program) for the evaluation and approval of non-Federal laboratories that use the pooled sample digestion technique to analyze samples for the presence of trichina. FSIS is also proposing to consolidate the regulations on thermally processed, commercially sterile meat and poultry products (*i.e.*, canned food products containing meat or poultry).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Dr. Daniel L. Engeljohn, Assistant Administrator, Office of Policy and Program Development, Department of Agriculture, Food Safety and Inspection Service, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., 349–E JWB, Washington, DC 20250, Phone: 202 205–0495, Fax: 202 720–2025, Email: daniel.engeljohn@fsis.usda.gov.  
 RIN: 0583–AD59

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS)*

Completed Actions

**24. Mandatory Inspection of Fish of the Order Siluriformes and Products Derived From Such Fish**

*Legal Authority:* Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 U.S.C. 601 to 695); Pub. L. 110–246, sec 11016; Pub. L. 113–79, sec 12106

*Abstract:* The 2008 Farm Bill (Pub. L. 110–246, sec. 11016), amended the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) to make “catfish” a species amenable to the FMIA and, therefore, subject to Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) inspection. In addition, the 2008 Farm Bill gave FSIS the authority to define the term “catfish.” On February 24, 2011, FSIS published a proposed rule that outlined a mandatory catfish inspection program and presented two options for defining “catfish.” The 2014 Farm Bill (Pub. L. 113–79, sec. 12106), amended the FMIA to remove the term “catfish” and to make “all fish of the order Siluriformes” subject to FSIS jurisdiction and inspection. As a result, FSIS inspection of Siluriformes is mandated by law and non-discretionary.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	12/02/15	80 FR 75589
Final Action Effective.	03/01/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Daniel L. Engeljohn, Phone: 202 205–0495, Fax: 202 720–2025, Email: daniel.engeljohn@fsis.usda.gov.

RIN: 0583–AD36

BILLING CODE 3410–DM–P

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Forest Service (FS)*

Long-Term Actions

**25. Management of Surface Activities Associated With Outstanding Mineral Rights on National Forest System Lands (Directive)**

*Legal Authority:* EPA 1992

*Abstract:* Close to 11,000,000 acres (approximately 6 percent) of National Forest System (NFS) lands overlie severed (split) mineral estates owned by a party other than the Federal Government. More than 75 percent of these lands are in the Eastern Region (Forest Service Regions 8 and 9). There are two kinds of severed mineral estates, generally known as “private rights”: reserved and outstanding. Reserved mineral rights are those retained by a grantor in a deed conveying land to the United States. Outstanding mineral rights are those owned by a party other than the surface owner at the time the surface was conveyed to the United States. Because these are non-Federal mineral interests, the U.S. Department of the Interior’s Bureau of Land Management has no authority for or role in managing development activities associated with such interests. States have the authority and responsibility for regulating development of the private mineral estate.

Various Secretary’s Rules and Regulations (years of 1911, 1937, 1938, 1939, 1947, 1950, and 1963) and Forest Service regulations at 36 CFR 251.15 provide direction for the use of NFS lands for mineral development activities associated with the exercise of reserved mineral rights. These existing rules for reserved minerals development activities also include requirements for protection of NFS resources.

Currently, there are no formal regulations governing the use of NFS lands for activities associated with the exercise of outstanding mineral rights underlying those lands. The Energy

Policy Act of 1992, section 2508, directed the Secretary of Agriculture to apply specified terms and conditions to surface-disturbing activities related to development of oil and gas on certain lands with outstanding mineral rights on the Allegheny National Forest, and promulgate regulations implementing that section.

The Forest Service initiated rulemaking for the use of NFS lands for development activities associated with both reserved and outstanding minerals rights with an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) in the **Federal Register** on December 29, 2008. Comments from the public in response to the ANPRM conveyed a high level of concern about the broad scope of the rule, along with a high level of concern about effects of a broad rule on small businesses and local economies.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	12/29/08	73 FR 79424
ANPRM Comment Period End.	02/27/09	
NPRM .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* LaRenda C. King, *Phone:* 202 205-6560, *Email:* larendacking@fs.fed.us, *RIN:* 0596-AD03

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Forest Service (FS)*

Completed Actions

**26. Ski Area—D Clauses: Resource and Improvement Protection, Water Facilities, and Water Rights (Directive)**

*Legal Authority:* FSH 2709.11  
*Abstract:* On November 8, 2011, the Forest Service issued an interim directive (FSH 2709.11-2011-3) including a revised clause to address the ownership of water rights developed on National Forest System (NFS) lands for use by ski area permit holders. On March 6, 2012, a second interim directive (FSH 2709.11-2012-1) for the revised ski area water rights clause was issued, superseding the 2011 version. The National Ski Areas Association filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado on March 12, 2012, opposing use of the revised clause. On December 19, 2012, the court ruled that the Forest

Service had erred in not providing an opportunity for notice and comment on the interim directive and that the agency needed to conduct a Regulatory Flexibility Act analysis of the impact of the directive on small business entities that hold ski area permits. The court vacated the interim directive and enjoined enforcement of the 2011 and 2012 clauses in permits containing them. The directive addresses the development of water facilities on NFS lands; the ownership of preexisting and future water rights; mechanisms to ensure sufficient water remains for ski areas on NFS lands; and measures necessary to protect NFS lands and resources. The Forest Service published the proposed ski area water rights clause in the **Federal Register** for public notice and comment. To identify interests and views from a diverse group of stakeholders regarding a revised water rights clause for ski areas, the Forest Service held four stakeholder meetings in April 2013. The input from the stakeholder sessions was considered in the development of the final water rights clause for ski areas.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Directive .....	12/30/15	80 FR 81508

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* LaRenda C. King, *Phone:* 202 205-6560, *Email:* larendacking@fs.fed.us, *RIN:* 0596-AD14

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (USDA)**

*Office of Procurement and Property Management (OPPM)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**27. Designation of Biobased Product Categories for Federal Procurement, Round 11**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 113-79  
*Abstract:* This proposed rule will designate, for preferred procurement under the Federal Biobased Products Preferred Procurement Program, approximately 10 intermediate ingredient or feedstock product categories. An intermediate ingredient or feedstock is defined by the BioPreferred Program as a material or compound made in whole or in significant part from biological

products. Typical intermediate ingredient or feedstock product categories will include renewable chemicals; plastic resins; chemical binders; oils, fats, and waxes; and fibers and fabrics.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Marie Wheat, Department of Agriculture, Office of Procurement and Property Management, Washington, DC 20250, *Phone:* 202 239-4502, *Email:* marie.wheat@dm.usda.gov, *RIN:* 0599-AA24

**28. Designation of Biobased Product Categories for Federal Procurement, Round 12**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 113-79

*Abstract:* This proposed rule will designate, for preferred procurement under the Federal Biobased Products Preferred Procurement Program, approximately eight complex assembly product categories. A complex assembly is defined by the BioPreferred program as a system of distinct materials and components assembled to create a finished product with specific functional intent where some or all of the system inputs contain some amount of biobased material or feedstock. Typical complex assembly product categories will include products such as upholstered office chairs and other office furniture; mattresses; backpacks; boots; and other camping gear. The specific product categories to be included in this rulemaking are under investigation by the Office of Procurement and Property Management, but technical information is expected to be available to support the designation of about eight product categories.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Marie Wheat, Department of Agriculture, Office of Procurement and Property Management, Washington, DC 20250, *Phone:* 202 239-4502, *Email:* marie.wheat@dm.usda.gov, *RIN:* 0599-AA25

[FR Doc. 2016-12899 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-98-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part IV

Department of Commerce

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**Office of the Secretary**

**13 CFR Ch. III**

**15 CFR Subtitle A; Subtitle B, Chs. I, II, III, VII, VIII, IX, and XI**

**19 CFR Ch. III**

**37 CFR Chs. I, IV, and V**

**48 CFR Ch. 13**

**50 CFR Chs. II, III, IV, and VI**

**Spring 2016 Semiannual Agenda of Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with Executive Order 12866, entitled “Regulatory Planning and Review,” and the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended, the Department of Commerce (Commerce), in the spring and fall of each year, publishes in the **Federal Register** an agenda of regulations under development or review over the next 12 months. Rulemaking actions are grouped according to prerulemaking, proposed rules, final rules, long-term actions, and rulemaking actions completed since the fall 2015 agenda. The purpose of the Agenda is to provide information to the public on regulations that are currently under review, being proposed, or issued by Commerce. The agenda is intended to facilitate comments and views by interested members of the public.

Commerce’s spring 2016 regulatory agenda includes regulatory activities that are expected to be conducted during the period April 1, 2016, through March 31, 2017.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

*Specific:* For additional information about specific regulatory actions listed in the agenda, contact the individual identified as the contact person.

*General:* Comments or inquiries of a general nature about the agenda should be directed to Asha Mathew, Chief Counsel for Regulation, Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Legislation and Regulation, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230, telephone: 202–482–3151.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Commerce hereby publishes its spring 2016 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions pursuant to Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.* Executive Order 12866 requires agencies to publish an agenda of those regulations that are under consideration pursuant to this order. By memorandum of February 19, 2016, the Office of Management and Budget issued guidelines and procedures for the preparation and publication of the spring 2016 Unified Agenda. The Regulatory Flexibility Act requires agencies to publish, in the spring and fall of each year, a regulatory flexibility agenda that contains a brief description of the subject of any rule likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, and a list that identifies those entries that have been selected for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

In addition, beginning with the fall 2007 edition, the Internet became the basic means for disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda is available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov), in a format that offers users a greatly enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database.

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Commerce’s printed agenda entries include only:

(1) Rules that are in the Agency’s regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because they are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and

(2) Rules that the Agency has identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act’s Agenda requirements. Additional information on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet.

Within Commerce, the Office of the Secretary and various operating units may issue regulations. Among these operating units, the National Oceanic

and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), the Bureau of Industry and Security, and the Patent and Trademark Office issue the greatest share of Commerce’s regulations.

A large number of regulatory actions reported in the Agenda deal with fishery management programs of NOAA’s National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). To avoid repetition of programs and definitions, as well as to provide some understanding of the technical and institutional elements of NMFS’ programs, an “Explanation of Information Contained in NMFS Regulatory Entries” is provided below.

**Explanation of Information Contained in NMFS Regulatory Entries**

The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*) (the Act) governs the management of fisheries within the Exclusive Economic Zone of the United States (EEZ). The EEZ refers to those waters from the outer edge of the State boundaries, generally 3 nautical miles, to a distance of 200 nautical miles. For fisheries that require conservation and management measures, eight Regional Fishery Management Councils (Councils) prepare Fishery Management Plans (FMPs) for the fisheries within their respective areas. Regulations implementing these FMPs regulate domestic fishing and foreign fishing where permitted. Foreign fishing may be conducted in a fishery in which there is no FMP only if a preliminary fishery management plan has been issued to govern that foreign fishing. In the development of FMPs, or amendments to FMPs, and their implementing regulations, the Councils are required by law to conduct public hearings on the draft plans and to consider the use of alternative means of regulating.

The Council process for developing FMPs and amendments makes it difficult for NMFS to determine the significance and timing of some regulatory actions under consideration by the Councils at the time the semiannual regulatory agenda is published.

Commerce’s spring 2016 regulatory agenda follows.

**Kelly Welsh,**  
*General Counsel.*

**NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
29 .....	Amendment 5b to the Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan .....	0648–BD22

## NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE—Continued

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
30 .....	Implementation of a Program for Transshipments by Large Scale Fishing Vessels in the Eastern Pacific Ocean.	0648–BD59
31 .....	Regulatory Amendment 16 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region.	0648–BD78
32 .....	Omnibus Acceptable Biological Catch Framework Adjustment .....	0648–BE65
33 .....	Modification of the Temperature-Dependent Component of the Pacific Sardine Harvest Guideline Control Rule To Incorporate New Scientific Information.	0648–BE77
34 .....	Reductions in Fishing Capacity for Lobster Management Areas 2 and 3 .....	0648–BF01
35 .....	Pacific Coast Groundfish Trawl Rationalization Program; Widow Rockfish Reallocation in the Individual Fishing Quota Fishery.	0648–BF12
36 .....	Amendment 18 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	0648–BF26
37 .....	Allow the Use of Longline Pot Gear in the Gulf of Alaska Sablefish Individual Fishing Quota Fishery .....	0648–BF42
38 .....	Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Blacknose Shark Commercial Retention Limit .....	0648–BF49
39 .....	Amendment 113 to the FMP for Groundfish of the BSAI Management Area To Establish a Catcher Vessel Fishing Period and Shoreside Processing Delivery Requirements for Aleutian Islands Pacific Cod.	0648–BF54
40 .....	Regulatory Amendment 25 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region.	0648–BF61
41 .....	Specification of Management Measures for Atlantic Herring for the 2016–2018 Fishing Years .....	0648–BF64
42 .....	Amendment 19 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan (FMP) .....	0648–BF72
43 .....	Amendment 17A to the Fishery Management Plan for the Shrimp Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico, U.S. Waters.	0648–BF77
44 .....	Framework Amendment 1 to the Dolphin and Wahoo Fishery Management Plan of the Atlantic .....	0648–BF81
45 .....	Omnibus Essential Fish Habitat Amendment 2 .....	0648–BF82
46 .....	Amendment 103 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska to Reapportion Chinook Salmon Prohibited Catch in the Gulf of Alaska Trawl Fisheries.	0648–BF84
47 .....	Framework Adjustment 3 to the Northeast Skate Complex Fishery Management Plan .....	0648–BF87
48 .....	2016–2018 Spiny Dogfish Fishery Specifications .....	0648–BF88
49 .....	2016 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Recreational Harvest Measures ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ).	0648–BF89

## NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
50 .....	Amendment 7 to the 2006 Consolidated Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan .....	0648–BC09
51 .....	Amendment 39 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico .....	0648–BD25
52 .....	Amendment 28 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ).	0648–BD68
53 .....	Amendment 35 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region.	0648–BE70
54 .....	Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishing Capacity Reduction Loan Refinance ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	0648–BE90
55 .....	Amendment 109 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the BSAI To Facilitate Development of Groundfish Fisheries for Small Vessels in the Western Alaska Community Development Quota Program.	0648–BF05
56 .....	Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act; Seafood Import Monitoring Program ....	0648–BF09
57 .....	Process for Divestiture of Excess Quota Shares ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	0648–BF11
58 .....	Implementation of Salmon Bycatch Management Measures for the Bering Sea Pollock Fishery .....	0648–BF25
59 .....	Cost Recovery Authorized Payment Methods .....	0648–BF35
60 .....	Amendment 102 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska .....	0648–BF36
61 .....	2016–2018 Specifications and Management Measures for the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan.	0648–BF53
62 .....	Framework Adjustment 27 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan .....	0648–BF59
63 .....	Revisions to the Pacific Halibut Catch Sharing Plan, Codified Regulations, and Annual Management Measures for 2016 and Beyond.	0648–BF60
64 .....	Framework Action To Modify the Gag Minimum Size Limits, Recreational Season, and Black Grouper Minimum Size Limits in the Gulf of Mexico ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ).	0648–BF70

## NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
65 .....	Comprehensive Fishery Management Plan for Puerto Rico .....	0648–BD32
66 .....	Comprehensive Fishery Management Plan for St. Croix .....	0648–BD33
67 .....	Comprehensive Fishery Management Plan for St. Thomas/St. John .....	0648–BD34
68 .....	Designate Critical Habitat for the Hawaiian Insular False Killer Whale Distinct Population Segment .....	0648–BC45
69 .....	Designation of Critical Habitat for the Arctic Ringed Seal .....	0648–BC56

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
70 .....	Fishery Management Plan for Regulating Offshore Marine Aquaculture in the Gulf of Mexico .....	0648-AS65
71 .....	Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Future of the Atlantic Shark Fishery .....	0648-BA17
72 .....	Implement the 2010 Shark Conservation Act Provisions and Other Regulations in the Atlantic Smoothhound Shark Fishery.	0648-BB02
73 .....	Implementation of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission Resolution To Establish a Vessel Monitoring System Program in the Eastern Pacific Ocean.	0648-BD54
74 .....	Amendment 7 to the FMP for the Dolphin Wahoo Fishery of the Atlantic and Amendment 33 to the FMP for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic.	0648-BD76
75 .....	Amendment 8 to the Fishery Management Plan for Coral, Coral Reefs, and Live/Hard Bottom Habitats of the South Atlantic Region.	0648-BD81
76 .....	Cost Recovery from Amendment 80, CDQ Groundfish and Halibut, American Fisheries Act and Aleutian Islands Pollock, and the Freezer Longline Coalition Pacific Cod Fisheries Management Programs.	0648-BE05
77 .....	Generic Accountability Measure and Dolphin Allocation Amendment for the South Atlantic Region .....	0648-BE38
78 .....	International Fisheries; Western and Central Pacific Fisheries for Highly Migratory Species; Fishing Effort and Catch Limits and other Restrictions and Requirements.	0648-BE84
79 .....	Revision of Skate Maximum Retainable Amounts in the Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Fishery .....	0648-BE85
80 .....	Amendment 44 to the Fishery Management Plan for Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crabs to Modify Right of First Refusal Provisions of the Crab Rationalization Program.	0648-BE98
81 .....	Framework Amendment 3 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Region.	0648-BF14
82 .....	Framework Amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico to Modify Greater Amberjack Allowable Harvest and Management Measures.	0648-BF21
83 .....	Framework Amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico for Red Snapper Commercial Quota Retention for 2016.	0648-BF33
84 .....	Designation of Critical Habitat for the North Atlantic Right Whale .....	0648-AY54
85 .....	Endangered and Threatened Species: Designation of Critical Habitat for Threatened Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon and Puget Sound Steelhead.	0648-BB30
86 .....	Revisions to Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale National Marine Sanctuary Regulations .....	0648-BD97

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
87 .....	Trademark Fee Adjustment .....	0651-AD08

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC)**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

Proposed Rule Stage

National Marine Fisheries Service

**29. Amendment 5B to the Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would propose management measures for dusky sharks based on the latest stock assessment, taking into consideration comments received on the proposed rule and Amendment 5 to the 2006 Consolidated Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan. This rulemaking considers a range of commercial and recreational management measures in both directed and incidental shark fisheries including, among other things, gear modifications, time/area closures, permitting, shark identification requirements, and reporting requirements. NMFS determined dusky sharks are still

overfished and still experiencing overfishing and originally proposed management measures to end overfishing and rebuild dusky sharks in a proposed rule for Draft Amendment 5 to the 2006 Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan. That proposed rule also contained management measures for scalloped hammerhead, sandbar, blacknose and Gulf of Mexico blacktip sharks. NMFS decided to move forward with Draft Amendment 5’s management measures for scalloped hammerhead, sandbar, blacknose and Gulf of Mexico blacktip sharks in a final rule and final amendment that will now be referred to as “Amendment 5a” to the 2006 Consolidated Atlantic Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan. Dusky shark management measures will be addressed in this separate, but related, action and will be referred to as “Amendment 5b.”

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Alan Risenhoover, Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Room 13362, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 713-2334, *Fax:* 301 713-0596, *Email:* alan.risenhoover@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BD22

**30. Implementation of a Program for Transshipments by Large Scale Fishing Vessels in the Eastern Pacific Ocean**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 951 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule would implement the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission program to monitor transshipments by large-scale tuna fishing vessels, and would govern transshipments by U.S. large-scale tuna fishing vessels and carrier, or receiving, vessels. The rule would establish: criteria for transshipping in port; criteria for transshipping at sea by longline vessels to an authorized carrier vessel

with an Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission observer onboard and an operational vessel monitoring system; and require the Pacific Transshipment Declaration Form, which must be used to report transshipments in the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission Convention Area. This rule is necessary for the United States to satisfy its international obligations under the 1949 Convention for the Establishment of an Inter-American Tropical Tuna, to which it is a Contracting Party.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William Stelle Jr., Regional Administrator, West Coast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 7600 Sand Point Way Northeast, Building 1, Seattle, WA 98115. *Phone:* 206 526-6150. *Email:* will.stelle@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BD59

**31. Regulatory Amendment 16 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Regulatory Amendment 16 contains an action to address the prohibition on the use of black sea bass pots annually from November 1 through April 30 that was implemented through Regulatory Amendment 19. The prohibition was a precautionary measure to prevent interactions between black sea bass pot gear and whales listed under the Endangered Species Act during large whale migrations and the right whale calving season off the southeastern coast. The South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, through Regulatory Amendment 16, is considering removal of the closure, changing the length of the closure, and changing the area of the closure. The goal is to minimize adverse socio-economic impacts to black sea bass pot endorsement holders while maintaining protection for Endangered Species Act-listed whales in the South Atlantic region.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast

Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BD78

**32. Omnibus Acceptable Biological Catch Framework Adjustment**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This action would make two administrative adjustments to the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council's (Council) Omnibus Annual Catch Limit Amendment: (1) Adjust the Council's risk policy so that the Scientific and Statistical Committee may apply an average probability of overfishing when recommending multi-year Acceptable Biological Catches; and (2) make all of the Council's fishery management plans consistent in allowing new status determination criteria (overfishing definitions, etc.) to be accepted as the best available scientific information.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BE65

**33. Modification of the Temperature-Dependent Component of the Pacific Sardine Harvest Guideline Control Rule To Incorporate New Scientific Information**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* Pursuant to a recommendation of the Pacific Fishery Management Council (Council) under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) is proposing to use a new temperature index to calculate the temperature parameter of the Pacific sardine harvest guideline control rule under the Fishery Management Plan. The harvest guideline control rule, in conjunction with the overfishing limit and acceptable biological catch control rules, is used to set annual harvest levels for Pacific sardine. The temperature parameter is calculated annually. NMFS determined that a new temperature index is more statistically sound and this action will adopt that

index. This action also will revise the upper temperature limit to allow for additional sardine harvest where prior guidelines set catch unnecessarily low.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William Stelle Jr., Regional Administrator, West Coast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 7600 Sand Point Way Northeast, Building 1, Seattle, WA 98115, *Phone:* 206 526-6150, *Email:* will.stelle@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BE77

**34. Reductions in Fishing Capacity for Lobster Management Areas 2 and 3**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This action proposes several reductions in fishing capacity for Lobster Management Areas 2 and 3. The proposed measures include: Caps on the number of traps that can be actively fished; caps on the number of traps associated with a permit (*i.e.*, allowing trap banking); and caps on the number of traps or permits issued to a given owner. This action is intended to assist in rebuilding the Southern New England lobster stock.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BF01

**35. Pacific Coast Groundfish Trawl Rationalization Program; Widow Rockfish Reallocation in the Individual Fishing Quota Fishery**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* In January 2011, NMFS implemented the groundfish trawl rationalization program (a catch share program) for the Pacific coast groundfish limited entry trawl fishery. The program was implemented through Amendments 20 and 21 to the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan and the corresponding implementing regulations. Amendment

20 established the trawl rationalization program, which includes an Individual Fishing Quota program for limited entry trawl participants, and Amendment 21 established fixed allocations for limited entry trawl participants. During implementation of the trawl individual fishing quota program, widow rockfish was overfished and the initial allocations were based on its overfished status and management as a non-target species. NMFS declared the widow rockfish rebuilt in 2011 and, accordingly, the Pacific Fishery Management Council has now recommended actions to manage the increased abundance of widow rockfish. The action would reallocate individual fishing quota widow rockfish quota share to facilitate directed harvest and would lift the moratorium on widow rockfish quota share trading.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William Stelle Jr., Regional Administrator, West Coast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 7600 Sand Point Way Northeast, Building 1, Seattle, WA 98115, *Phone:* 206 526-6150, *Email:* will.stelle@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF12

**36. Amendment 18 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Amendment 18 to the Northeast Multispecies Fishery Management Plan would make necessary minor administrative adjustments to several groundfish sectors, as well as minor adjustments to fishing activity designed to protect fishery resources while maximizing flexibility and efficiency. Specifically, it would include the following management measures: Creating an accumulation limit for either the holdings of Potential Sector Contribution or of Northeast multispecies permits; creating a sub-annual catch limit that Handgear A permits could enroll in and other measures pertaining to fishing with Handgear A permits; adjusting what fishery data are considered confidential, specifically the price of annual catch entitlement transferred within a sector or leased between sectors; establishing an inshore/offshore boundary within the Gulf of Maine with associated measures,

including creation of a Gulf of Maine cod sub-annual catch limit, adjusting the Gulf of Maine Gear Restricted Area boundary to align with the inshore/offshore boundary, and creating declaration time periods for fishing in the inshore or offshore areas; and establishing a Redfish Exemption Area, in which vessels could fish with a smaller mesh net than the standard mesh size, targeting redfish.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF26

**37. Allow The Use of Longline Pot Gear in the Gulf of Alaska Sablefish Individual Fishing Quota Fishery**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 773 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This action would amend Federal regulations to allow fishermen to use longline pot gear to harvest sablefish in the Gulf of Alaska Individual Fishing Quota fishery. Hook-and-line gear is currently the only authorized gear type in the sablefish Individual Fishing Quota fishery. The action would authorize Individual Fishing Quota fishermen to use either longline pot gear or hook-and-line gear in the sablefish Individual Fishing Quota fishery. Some fishermen would like to use longline pot gear because it is less prone to whale interactions than hook-and-line gear. Whales can remove sablefish from hook-and-line gear, which reduces fishing efficiency and increases costs for sablefish Individual Fishing Quota fishermen because the whale interactions damage hook-and-line gear and reduce sablefish catch rates. However, whales cannot remove sablefish from longline pot gear, and the action to authorize longline pot gear in the sablefish Individual Fishing Quota fishery is intended to reduce fishery interactions with whales and reduce the negative impacts of whale interactions on the sablefish Individual Fishing Quota fleet. The action would establish management measures to minimize conflicts between hook-and-line and longline pot gear on the fishing grounds and to prevent significant consolidation

of sablefish Individual Fishing Quota onto fewer vessels.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF42

**38. Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Atlantic Blacknose Shark Commercial Retention Limit**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This rule would evaluate the management measures for blacknose sharks in the Atlantic region. It would consider, among other things, a range of commercial management measures in both directed and incidental shark fisheries including, but not limited to, retention limits. In addition, this action would address commercial retention limits to help prevent early closures of the non-blacknose small coastal shark management group and fully utilize the quota.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Alan Risenhoover, Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Room 13362, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 713-2334, *Fax:* 301 713-0596, *Email:* alan.risenhoover@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF49

**39. Amendment 113 to the FMP for Groundfish of the BSAI Management Area to Establish a Catcher Vessel Fishing Period and Shoreside Processing Delivery Requirements for Aleutian Islands Pacific Cod**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This rule would restrict participation in the Aleutian Islands Pacific cod fishery. This action is necessary to provide stability to catcher vessels that participate in the Aleutian Islands Pacific cod fishery and the

shoreside processors to which they deliver, and to the communities in which these processors are located. Specifically, this rule would establish catch limits for Pacific cod in the Aleutian Islands and the Bering Sea. The revised allocation is intended to provide catcher vessels with a sufficient opportunity to harvest Pacific cod in an inshore fishery by restricting participation in the fisheries by catcher processors that can harvest significantly larger volumes of Pacific cod further offshore. This rule may include provisions to relieve the restrictions on catcher processor participation if catcher vessels would not be able to harvest the allocation or Aleutian Islands shoreside processors would not be able to process catcher vessel harvests of Pacific cod.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF54

**40. • Regulatory Amendment 25 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This rule proposes management changes recommended by the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council to blueline tilefish, yellowtail snapper, and black sea bass in the South Atlantic Region. This rule would increase the annual catch limit and optimum yield for blueline tilefish based on a new acceptable biological catch recommendation from the South Atlantic Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee. This action also proposes an increase to the current commercial trip limit and changing the recreational bag limit for blueline tilefish. Currently, the fishing year for yellowtail snapper is based on the calendar year. This rule proposes a summer/early fall start date of the fishing year to protect the yellowtail snapper stock during the spawning season and provide economic benefits for commercial fishermen. Lastly, this rule proposes an increase to the black sea bass recreational bag limit to

increase the chance the recreational annual catch limit will be landed and ensure that optimum yield is being achieved.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF61

**41. • Specification of Management Measures for Atlantic Herring for the 2016-2018 Fishing Years**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The Atlantic herring fishery specifications are annual catch amounts for the 2016-2018 fishing years, January-December. These specifications are required by regulation to be set for 3 years. If implemented, these specifications will change the current catch limit levels and will continue to prevent overfishing of the herring resource and achieve optimum yield. The catch limits established in these specifications set a constant catch amount available to the industry that provides a stable allowable catch for 3-year business planning purposes. In addition, the specifications add catch that was not caught under last year's catch limit for one management area and reduce catch that exceeded the catch limits set in other management areas. Finally, the specifications set annual gear-specific and area-specific catch caps for river herring and shad, consistent with Framework Adjustment 3 to the Atlantic Herring Fishery Management Plan.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF64

**42. • Amendment 19 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan (FMP)**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Amendment 19 would incorporate a specifications process into the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan and change the start of the fishing year. Developing specifications to set annual or biennial allocations will allow for a more efficient process for setting annual allocations than currently possible through framework adjustments. By adjusting the start of the scallop fishing year, NMFS would be able to implement simple specifications actions at the start of the fishing year on a more consistent basis.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF72

**43. • Amendment 17A to the Fishery Management Plan for the Shrimp Fishery of the Gulf of Mexico, U.S. Waters**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This rule would implement the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council's decision to extend the Council-imposed moratorium on new federal commercial shrimp permits for 10 years. The moratorium began in 2006 and will expire in 2016 if no action is taken. This action is necessary to protect federally managed Gulf of Mexico shrimp stocks while promoting catch efficiency, economic efficiency and stability.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.

RIN: 0648-BF77

**44. • Framework Amendment 1 to the Dolphin and Wahoo Fishery Management Plan of the Atlantic**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* Dolphin Wahoo 1 would establish a commercial trip limit after a specified percentage of the commercial sector annual catch limit has been reached and would continue until the end of the fishing year or until the entire commercial annual catch limit is met, whichever comes first.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.

RIN: 0648-BF81

**45. • Omnibus Essential Fish Habitat Amendment 2**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would update the essential fish habitat and habitat areas of particular concern designation for all of the New England Fishery Management Council's managed species. This rule will also propose revisions to the system of habitat management areas, update groundfish seasonal spawning closures, and establish Dedicated Habitat Research Areas.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.

RIN: 0648-BF82

**46. • Amendment 103 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska To Reapportion Chinook Salmon Prohibited Catch in the Gulf of Alaska Trawl Fisheries**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 3631 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 773 *et seq.*; Pub. L. 08-199

*Abstract:* This action would allow NMFS to reapportion unused Chinook salmon prohibited species catch within and between trawl sectors in the Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries to reduce the potential for early fishery closures. Amendments 93 and 97 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska and implementing regulations established Chinook salmon prohibited species catch limits for pollock and non-pollock trawl fisheries. If a sector reaches a prohibited species catch limit, the fishery is closed for the remainder of the fishing year currently, the fishery management plan and regulations do not allow NMFS to reapportion unused Chinook salmon prohibited species catch among trawl sectors. Specifically, this action would: Allow NMFS to reapportion remaining Chinook salmon prohibited species catch among trawl catcher vessel sectors and from the trawl catcher/processor sector to trawl catcher vessel sectors based on criteria established for inseason reapportionments and within specified limits; increase management flexibility without exceeding the current overall 32,500 Chinook salmon prohibited species catch limit or negating the current prohibited species catch limits under Amendments 93 and 97; and increase the likelihood that groundfish resources are more fully harvested, and minimize the adverse socioeconomic impacts of the fishery closures on harvesters, processors, and communities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.

RIN: 0648-BF84

**47. • Framework Adjustment 3 to the Northeast Skate Complex Fishery Management Plan**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This action, developed by the New England Fishery Management Council, includes skate fishery specifications for the 2016-2017 fishing years, and a new seasonal quota allocation in the skate wing fishery. In summary, the Council proposes: An annual catch limit for skate of 31,081 metric tons, an overall total allowable landings of 12,590 metric tons, status quo possession limits for the skate wing and bait fisheries, the addition of a seasonal quota allocation, and NMFS authority to close the fishery in-season if the seasonal quota is reached.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* No.

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.

RIN: 0648-BF87

**48. • 2016-2018 Spiny Dogfish Fishery Specifications**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* The proposed action includes spiny dogfish fishery specifications for the 2016-2018 fishing years, as recommended by the Mid-Atlantic and New England Fishery Management Councils. In summary, the Councils propose: spiny dogfish annual catch limits of 51.9 million lb for 2016, 50.7 million lb for 2017, and 49.8 million lb for 2018 (decreases from 62.3 million lb in 2015); coastwide commercial quotas of 40.4 million lb for 2016, 39.1 million lb for 2017, and 38.2 million lb for 2018 (decreases from 50.6 million lb in 2015); and spiny dogfish trip limits of 5,000 lb (status quo).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.

RIN: 0648-BF88

**49. • 2016 Summer Flounder, Scup, and Black Sea Bass Recreational Harvest Measures (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This rule would propose management measures such as recreational possession limits, minimum fish sizes, and seasonal closures to achieve recreational harvest limits for the 2016 summer flounder, scup, and black sea bass recreational fisheries. The recreational harvest limits for these species have been established in a separate rulemaking. This rule proposes the management measures the Council has recommended to help ensure recreational harvest is constrained to those harvest limits. This rule will also propose modifications to the commercial scup incidental possession limits to more closely align with the current conditions of the fishery.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF89

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC)**

*National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)*

Final Rule Stage

National Marine Fisheries Service

**50. Amendment 7 to the 2006 Consolidated Highly Migratory Species Fishery Management Plan**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Amendment 7 focused on bluefin tuna fishery management issues consistent with the need to end overfishing and rebuild the stock. Measures in Amendment 7 addressed several of the longstanding challenges facing the fishery and analyzed, among other things, revisiting quota allocations; reducing and accounting for dead discards; adding or modifying time/area closures or gear-restricted areas; and improving the reporting and monitoring of dead discards and landings in all categories.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	04/23/12	77 FR 24161
Notice .....	06/08/12	77 FR 34025
NPRM .....	08/21/13	78 FR 52032
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	09/18/13	78 FR 57340
Public Hearing .....	11/05/13	78 FR 66327
NPRM Comment Period Reopened.	12/11/13	78 FR 75327
Public Hearing .....	12/26/13	78 FR 78322
Final Rule .....	12/02/14	79 FR 71509
Notice of Public Webinars.	12/16/14	79 FR 74652
Final Rule .....	12/30/14	79 FR 78310
Final Rule .....	02/04/15	80 FR 5991
Final Rule Effective.	02/04/15	
Notice .....	05/07/15	80 FR 26196
Final Action—Next Stage Undetermined.	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Alan Risenhoover, Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Room 13362, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 713-2334, *Fax:* 301 713-0596, *Email:* alan.risenhoover@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BC09

**51. Amendment 39 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The purpose of this action is to facilitate management of the recreational red snapper component in the reef fish fishery by reorganizing the federal fishery management strategy to better account for biological, social, and economic differences among the regions of the Gulf of Mexico. Regional management would enable regions and their associated communities to specify the optimal management parameters that best meet the needs of their local constituents thereby addressing regional socio-economic concerns.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	05/13/13	78 FR 27956
Next Stage Undetermined.	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BD25

**52. Amendment 28 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* NMFS proposed to implement management measures as requested by the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council in Amendment 28 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico. The Council voted to reallocate the Gulf of Mexico (Gulf) 2016 and 2017 red snapper stock annual catch limit between the commercial and recreational sectors from 51:49 percent to 48.5:51.5 percent, respectively. As a result of the revised sector allocations proposed in Amendment 28, this rule would revise the red snapper commercial and recreational quotas (which are equivalent to the annual catch limits) and the recreational annual catch targets. This rule would also set the Federal charter vessel/headboat and private angling component quotas and annual catch targets based on the revised recreational sectors annual catch limit and annual catch target.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	12/24/15	80 FR 80310
NPRM .....	01/25/16	81 FR 4010
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/10/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BD68

**53. Amendment 35 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Amendment 35 would consider removing black snapper, dog snapper, mahogany snapper, and schoolmaster from the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region because these species have extremely low commercial landings in

state and Federal waters. Almost all harvest (recreational and commercial) occurs in South Florida, and the Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission has agreed that if the four species are removed from the Fishery Management Plan for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic Region they will extend state regulations for those species into Federal waters. Additionally, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council (Council) desires consistent regulations for snapper-grouper species caught primarily in South Florida. Removing the four subject species would establish a consistent regulatory environment in Federal and state waters off southern Florida where they are most frequently encountered. Amendment 35 would also clarify, in accordance with the Council's intent, regulations governing use of golden tilefish longline endorsements.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	02/05/16	81 FR 6222
NPRM .....	03/04/16	81 FR 11502
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/04/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BE70

**54. Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishing Capacity Reduction Loan Refinance (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 1861 *et seq.*; 5 U.S.C. 561 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) issued proposed regulations to refinance the voluntary fishing capacity reduction loan program implemented in 2004 in the Pacific Coast groundfish Federal limited-entry trawl, Washington coastal Dungeness crab, and California pink shrimp fisheries (collectively known hereafter as the refinanced reduction fisheries). The refinance loan of up to \$30 million could establish a new industry fee system for future landings of the refinanced reduction fisheries. Upon publishing a final rule and receipt of an appropriation, NMFS would conduct three referenda to refinance the existing debt obligation in each of the

refinanced reduction fisheries. If a referendum in one, two, or all three of the fisheries is successful, that fishery's current loan will be repaid in full and a new loan in the amount of the principal and interest balance as of the date of funding will be issued. The terms were prescribed in the 2015 National Defense Authorization Act and include a 45-year term to maturity, interest charged at a current Treasury interest rate, and a maximum repayment fee of 3 percent of ex-vessel value.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/07/15	80 FR 46941
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/08/15	
Final Action .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brian Pawlak, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 427-8621, *Email:* brian.t.pawlak@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BE90

**55. Amendment 109 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the BSAI To Facilitate Development of Groundfish Fisheries for Small Vessels in the Western Alaska Community Development Quota Program**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This action would amend the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area and revise regulations governing the groundfish and halibut fisheries managed under the Western Alaska Community Development Quota Program in order to support increased participation in the groundfish Community Development Quota fisheries (primarily Pacific cod) by catcher vessels less than or equal to 46 feet (14.0 m) length overall using hook-and-line gear. This action is necessary to promote the goals of the Community Development Quota Program, to increase participation by residents of Community Development Quota communities in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Management Area groundfish and halibut fisheries, and to support economic development in western Alaska. This action would benefit the six Community Development Quota groups and the operators of the small catcher vessels that the Community Development Quota groups authorize to fish on their behalf by

reducing the costs of participating in the groundfish and halibut Community Development Quota fisheries.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	01/21/16	81 FR 3374
NPRM .....	02/08/16	81 FR 6489
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/09/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF05

**56. Magnuson-Stevens Fisheries Conservation and Management Act; Seafood Import Monitoring Program**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1857

*Abstract:* On March 15, 2015, the Presidential Task Force on Combating Illegal, Unreported, and Unregulated Fishing and Seafood Fraud (Task Force), co-chaired by the Departments of Commerce and State, published its action plan to implement Task Force recommendations for a comprehensive framework of integrated programs to combat illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing and seafood fraud. The plan identifies actions that will strengthen enforcement, create and expand partnerships with state and local governments, industry, and non-governmental organizations, and create a traceability program to track seafood from harvest to entry into U.S. commerce, including the use of existing traceability mechanisms. As part of that plan, NMFS proposes regulatory changes to improve the administration of the MSA prohibition on the entry into interstate or foreign commerce of any fish taken in violation of any foreign law or regulation. The rule includes adjustments to permitting and reporting requirements to provide for traceability of seafood products offered for entry into the U.S. supply chain, and to ensure that these products were lawfully acquired and are properly labeled. Requirements for an international trade permit and reporting on the origin of certain imported or exported fishery products were previously established by regulations applicable to a number of specified fishery products. This rulemaking would extend those existing permitting and reporting requirements to

additional fish species and seafood products.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/05/16	81 FR 6210
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/05/16	
Final Action .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Henderschedt, Director, Office for International Affairs and Seafood Inspection, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1315 East West Highway, Room 10362, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 427-8314, *Email:* john.henderschedt@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BF09

**57. Process for Divestiture of Excess Quota Shares (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* In January 2011, the National Marine Fisheries Service implemented the groundfish trawl rationalization program (a catch share program) for the Pacific coast groundfish limited entry trawl fishery. The program was implemented through Amendments 20 and 21 to the Pacific Coast Groundfish Fishery Management Plan and the corresponding implementing regulations. Amendment 20 established the trawl rationalization program, which includes an Individual Fishing Quota program for limited entry trawl participants, and Amendment 21 established fixed allocations for limited entry trawl participants, with limits on how much quota each participant can accumulate. Under current regulations, quota share owners must divest quota shareholdings that exceed individual accumulation limits by November 30, 2015. This action makes minor procedural modifications to the program regulations to clarify how divestiture of excess quota share could occur.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/02/15	80 FR 53088
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/02/15	
Final Rule Effective.	11/04/15	
Final Rule .....	11/10/15	80 FR 69138
Final Action .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William Stelle Jr., Regional Administrator, West Coast Region, Department of Commerce,

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 7600 Sand Point Way Northeast, Building 1, Seattle, WA 98115, *Phone:* 206 526-6150, *Email:* will.stelle@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF11

**58. Implementation of Salmon Bycatch Management Measures for the Bering Sea Pollock Fishery**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* Regulatory Amendment 110 would make substantive changes to the management of salmon bycatch in the Bering Sea pollock fishery to minimize salmon bycatch in the pollock fishery to the extent practicable. Currently, Chinook and chum salmon bycatch are managed under two different programs, which have led to inefficiencies and do not allow the pollock fishery the flexibility to modify their harvest patterns and practices to effectively minimize both Chinook and chum salmon bycatch. This regulation would make salmon bycatch management more effective, comprehensive, and efficient by increasing flexibility to respond to changing conditions and providing greater incentives to reduce bycatch of both salmon species. This regulation would provide the flexibility to harvest pollock in times and places that best achieve salmon avoidance and to adapt to changing conditions quickly.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	01/08/16	81 FR 897
NPRM .....	02/03/16	81 FR 5681
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/04/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF25

**59. • Cost Recovery Authorized Payment Methods**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1862; 16 U.S.C. 773; Pub. L. 108-447; Pub. L. 109-241; Pub. L. 109-479; Pub. L. 111-281

*Abstract:* This rule would amend authorized payment methods in existing cost recovery fee programs for the halibut, sablefish, and crab catch share programs. The Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act authorizes and requires the

collection of cost recovery fees for fishery management programs that issue a permit allocating exclusive harvest privileges. Cost recovery fees recover the actual costs directly related to the management, data collection, and enforcement of the programs. Permit holders are required to submit cost recovery fee payments to NMFS annually. NMFS undertook a security review of the cost recovery fee payment process and developed the rule to improve security procedures for protecting sensitive financial information and to reduce costs associated with administering the cost recovery programs. The proposed rule eliminated manual processing of credit card information and required use of the Federal government's online payment system, pay.gov, for permit holders paying by credit card. The proposed rule also eliminated payments by paper check or money order and require the use of pay.gov beginning in 2020. The rule is expected to reduce the administrative costs of processing fee payments, and this reduction in costs would reduce the total amount of cost recovery fees collected from participants in the halibut, sablefish, and crab catch share programs.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/31/15	80 FR 81798
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/01/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF35

**60. • Amendment 102 to the Fishery Management Plan for Groundfish of the Gulf of Alaska**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule would modify the basis for NMFS to place small catcher/processors in partial coverage in the North Pacific Groundfish and Halibut Observer Program (Observer Program). Under this action, NMFS would classify a catcher/processor as small and eligible for partial coverage for one year based on whether the catcher/processor had an average weekly production less than a specified threshold. This action would decrease the cost of observer coverage

for catcher/processors that process small amounts of groundfish relative to the rest of the fleet. Approximately nine vessels could be affected by this action and we expect all newly qualified vessels would choose to participate in partial coverage for the upcoming fishing year.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	12/17/15	80 FR 78705
NPRM .....	12/29/15	80 FR 81262
Correction .....	01/22/16	81 FR 3775
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/28/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BF36

**61. • 2016–2018 Specifications and Management Measures for the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

*Abstract:* This action establishes catch levels and associated management measures for the 2016–2018 fishing years for species managed under the Atlantic Mackerel, Squid, and Butterfish Fishery Management Plan. The proposed rule: Lowered the Atlantic mackerel quota by 56 percent to 9,177 metric tons (mt) for the next three years; lowered the cap on river herring and shad catch in the mackerel fishery from 89 mt to 82 mt for the next three years; increased the trigger for when 3-inch mesh is required for longfin squid-butterfish moratorium permits holders from 2,500 lb to 5,000 lb; clarified that 5-inch (square or diamond) or greater strengtheners may be used outside the 3-inch mesh to avoid breaking nets during large hauls; and suspended the pre-trip notification system requirement for longfin squid-butterfish moratorium permit holders.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/22/16	81 FR 3768
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/22/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BF53

**62. • Framework Adjustment 27 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop Fishery Management Plan**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

*Abstract:* The purpose of Framework 27 is to set management measures for the scallop fishery for the 2016 fishing year, including the annual catch limits and annual catch targets for the limited access and limited access general category fleets, as well as days-at-sea allocations and sea scallop access area trip allocations. Allocations in the proposed rule were similar to or slightly higher than previous years. In addition, Framework 27 would implement additional measures to protect small scallops for future harvest.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/24/16	81 FR 9151
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/25/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John K. Bullard, Regional Administrator, Greater Atlantic Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 55 Great Republic Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930, *Phone:* 978 281-9287, *Email:* john.bullard@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BF59

**63. • Revisions to the Pacific Halibut Catch Sharing Plan, Codified Regulations, and Annual Management Measures for 2016 and Beyond**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 773 et seq.

*Abstract:* This action is NMFS annual rulemaking regarding halibut fishing on the U.S. West Coast, implementing the Pacific Halibut Catch Sharing Plan (Plan). The Plan governs the allocation of the annual halibut quota for the West Coast fisheries, which is set by the International Pacific Halibut Commission and approved by NOAA Fisheries. For 2016 and beyond, the Pacific Fishery Management Council has recommended several minor changes to the portion of the Plan covering sport fishery seasons and retention rules; and modifications to the

processes for implementing inseason actions and sport fishery closures.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/19/16	81 FR 8466
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/10/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William Stelle Jr., Regional Administrator, West Coast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 7600 Sand Point Way Northeast, Building 1, Seattle, WA 98115, *Phone:* 206 526-6150, *Email:* will.stelle@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BF60

**64. • Framework Action To Modify the Gag Minimum Size Limits, Recreational Season, and Black Grouper Minimum Size Limits in the Gulf of Mexico (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.

*Abstract:* The proposed framework action modified the recreational minimum size limit for gag and black grouper from 22 inches total length (inches) to 24 inches. Additionally, the proposed action modified the gag recreational fishing season from July 1 through December 2, to June 1 through December 31. The intent is to extend the recreational fishing season.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/03/16	81 FR 11166
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/04/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BF70

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC)**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

Long-Term Actions

National Marine Fisheries Service

**65. Comprehensive Fishery Management Plan for Puerto Rico**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This comprehensive Puerto Rico Fishery Management Plan will incorporate, and modify as needed, federal fisheries management measures presently included in each of the existing species-based U.S. Caribbean Fishery Management Plans (Spiny Lobster, Reef Fish, Coral, and Queen Conch Fishery Management Plans) as those measures pertain to Puerto Rico exclusive economic zone waters. The goal of this action is to create a Fishery Management Plan tailored to the specific fishery management needs of Puerto Rico. If approved, this new Puerto Rico Fishery Management Plan, in conjunction with similar comprehensive Fishery Management Plans being developed for St. Croix and St. Thomas/St. John, will replace the Spiny Lobster, Reef Fish, Coral and Queen Conch Fishery Management Plans presently governing the commercial and recreational harvest in U.S. Caribbean exclusive economic zone waters.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Phone: 727 824-5305, Fax: 727 824-5308, Email: roy.crabtree@noaa.gov. RIN: 0648-BD32

**66. Comprehensive Fishery Management Plan for St. Croix**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This comprehensive St. Croix Fishery Management Plan will incorporate, and modify as needed, federal fisheries management measures presently included in each of the existing species-based U.S. Caribbean Fishery Management Plans (Spiny Lobster, Reef Fish, Coral, and Queen Conch Fishery Management Plans) as those measures pertain to St. Croix exclusive economic zone waters. The goal of this action is to create a Fishery Management Plan tailored to the specific fishery management needs of St. Croix. If approved, this new St. Croix Fishery Management Plan, in conjunction with similar comprehensive

Fishery Management Plans being developed for Puerto Rico and St. Thomas/St. John, will replace the Spiny Lobster, Reef Fish, Coral and Queen Conch Fishery Management Plans presently governing the commercial and recreational harvest in U.S. Caribbean exclusive economic zone waters.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Phone: 727 824-5305, Fax: 727 824-5308, Email: roy.crabtree@noaa.gov. RIN: 0648-BD33

**67. Comprehensive Fishery Management Plan for St. Thomas/St. John**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This comprehensive St. Thomas/St. John Fishery Management Plan will incorporate, and modify as needed, federal fisheries management measures presently included in each of the existing species-based U.S. Caribbean Fishery Management Plans (Spiny Lobster, Reef Fish, Coral, and Queen Conch Fishery Management Plans) as those measures pertain to St. Thomas/St. John exclusive economic zone waters. The goal of this action is to create a Fishery Management Plan tailored to the specific fishery management needs of St. Thomas/St. John. If approved, this new St. Thomas/St. John Fishery Management Plan, in conjunction with similar comprehensive Fishery Management Plans being developed for St. Croix and Puerto Rico, will replace the Spiny Lobster, Reef Fish, Coral and Queen Conch Fishery Management Plans presently governing the commercial and recreational harvest in U.S. Caribbean exclusive economic zone waters.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Phone: 727 824-5305, Fax: 727 824-5308, Email: roy.crabtree@noaa.gov. RIN: 0648-BD34

**68. Designate Critical Habitat for the Hawaiian Insular False Killer Whale Distinct Population Segment**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This action would designate critical habitat for the Hawaiian insular

false killer whale distinct population segment, pursuant to section 4 of the Endangered Species Act. Proposed critical habitat would be designated in the main Hawaiian islands.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/00/18	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Donna Wieting, Phone: 301 427-8400. RIN: 0648-BC45

**69. Designation of Critical Habitat for the Arctic Ringed Seal**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The National Marine Fisheries Service published a final rule to list the Arctic ringed seal as a threatened species under the Endangered Species Act (ESA) in December 2012. The ESA requires designation of critical habitat at the time a species is listed as threatened or endangered, or within one year of listing if critical habitat is not then determinable. This rulemaking would designate critical habitat for the Arctic ringed seal. The critical habitat designation would be in the northern Bering, Chukchi, and Beaufort seas within the current range of the species.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/03/14	79 FR 71714
Proposed Rule .....	12/09/14	79 FR 73010
Notice of Public Hearings.	01/13/15	80 FR 1618
Comment Period Extended.	02/02/15	80 FR 5498
Final Action .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Donna Wieting, Phone: 301 427-8400. RIN: 0648-BC56

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC)**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)

Completed Actions

**70. Fishery Management Plan for Regulating Offshore Marine Aquaculture in the Gulf of Mexico**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The purpose of this fishery management plan was to develop a regional permitting process for regulating and promoting

environmentally sound and economically sustainable aquaculture in the Gulf of Mexico exclusive economic zone. This fishery management plan consisted of ten actions, each with an associated range of management alternatives, which will facilitate the permitting of an estimated 5 to 20 offshore aquaculture operations in the Gulf of Mexico over the next 10 years, with an estimated maximum annual production of up to 64 million pounds. By establishing a regional permitting process for aquaculture, the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council will be positioned to achieve their primary goal of increasing maximum sustainable yield and optimum yield of federal fisheries in the Gulf of Mexico by supplementing harvest of wild caught species with cultured product. This rulemaking outlined a regulatory permitting process for aquaculture in the Gulf of Mexico, including: (1) Required permits; (2) duration of permits; (3) species allowed; (4) designation of sites for aquaculture; (5) reporting requirements; and (6) regulations to aid in enforcement.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Availability.	06/04/09	74 FR 26829
NPRM .....	08/28/14	79 FR 26829
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	11/13/14	79 FR 67411
Final Action .....	01/13/16	81 FR 1761
Final Action Effective.	02/12/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-AS65

**71. Atlantic Highly Migratory Species; Future of the Atlantic Shark Fishery**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The National Marine Fisheries Service adjusted the regulations governing the U.S. Atlantic shark fishery to address current fishery issues and identify specific shark fishery goals for the future. This action considered potential changes to the quota and/or permit structure that are currently in place for the Atlantic shark fishery, and various catch share programs such as limited access

privilege programs, individual fishing quotas, and sectors for the Atlantic shark fishery.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	09/20/10	75 FR 57235
ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/14/11	
Notice .....	05/27/14	79 FR 30064
NPRM .....	01/20/15	80 FR 2648
Notice .....	03/09/15	80 FR 12394
Final Action .....	08/18/15	80 FR 50073
Final Action Effective.	08/18/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Alan Risenhoover, Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Room 13362, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 713-2334, *Fax:* 301 713-0596, *Email:* alan.risenhoover@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BA17

**72. Implement the 2010 Shark Conservation Act Provisions and Other Regulations in the Atlantic Smoothhound Shark Fishery**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This rule implemented Amendment 9 to the Atlantic shark and smoothhound shark fisheries and the measures in Amendment 3 and the 2011 Highly Migratory Species trawl rule in the Atlantic smoothhound shark fishery. The rule fully implemented smoothhound shark quota measures as well as the Savings Clause of the Shark Conservation Act. The Shark Conservation Act included a provision that allows, under specific conditions, smooth dogfish sharks to be landed without fins attached (versus the Shark Conservation Act as a whole that requires sharks to be landed with fins naturally attached). The final rule balanced this statutory provision that provides operating flexibility for smooth dogfish fisherman with the need to ensure effective shark conservation and management. The rule also made changes to the shark gillnet vessel monitoring system requirement to aid the industry by limiting the scope of vessel monitoring systems.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/07/14	79 FR 46217
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/14/14	
Final Action .....	11/24/15	80 FR 73128
Final Rule .....	12/18/15	80 FR 78969

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Action Effective.	03/15/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Alan Risenhoover, Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Room 13362, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 713-2334, *Fax:* 301 713-0596, *Email:* alan.risenhoover@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BB02

**73. Implementation of the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission Resolution To Establish a Vessel Monitoring System Program in the Eastern Pacific Ocean**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 951 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule implemented the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission's Resolution intended to require owners and operators of tuna-fishing vessels to have installed, activate, carry and operate vessel monitoring system units (also known as mobile transmitting units). This regulation applies to owners and operators of tuna-fishing vessels 24 meters or more in length operating in the eastern Pacific Ocean. The vessel monitoring system units have to be type-approved and authorize the Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission and National Marine Fisheries Service to receive and relay transmissions (also called position reports) from the vessel monitoring system unit. Vessel monitoring systems may enhance the safety of some vessels by allowing the vessels location to be tracked, which could assist in rescue efforts. This regulation applies to commercial vessels only and not recreational or charter vessels.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/06/14	79 FR 7152
Correction .....	02/25/14	79 FR 10465
Proposed Rule .....	05/19/15	80 FR 28572
Comment Period End.	06/18/15	
Final Action .....	10/07/15	80 FR 60533
Final Action Effective.	01/01/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William Stelle Jr., Regional Administrator, West Coast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric

Administration, 7600 Sand Point Way Northeast, Building 1, Seattle, WA 98115, *Phone:* 206 526-6150, *Email:* will.stelle@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BD54

**74. Amendment 7 to the FMP for the Dolphin Wahoo Fishery of the Atlantic and Amendment 33 to the FMP for the Snapper-Grouper Fishery of the South Atlantic**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Dolphin Wahoo

Amendment 7 and Snapper-Grouper Amendment 33 allows recreational fishermen to bring dolphin and wahoo fillets from The Bahamas into the U.S. waters and updated regulations that currently allow recreational fishermen to bring snapper-grouper fillets from the Bahamas into U.S. waters.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	09/17/15	80 FR 55819
NPRM .....	10/07/15	80 FR 60601
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/06/15	
Final Action .....	12/28/15	80 FR 80686
Final Action Effective.	01/27/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* No.

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BD76

**75. Amendment 8 to the Fishery Management Plan for Coral, Coral Reefs, and Live/Hard Bottom Habitats of the South Atlantic Region**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Coral Amendment 8 modified the boundaries of the Oculina Bank Habitat Area of Particular Concern, the Stetson-Miami Terrace Coral Habitat Area of Particular Concern, and the Cape Lookout Coral Habitat Area of Particular Concern to protect deepwater coral ecosystems. The amendment also implemented a transit provision through the Oculina Bank Habitat Area of Particular Concern for fishing vessels with rock shrimp onboard.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	05/20/14	79 FR 28880
NPRM .....	06/03/14	79 FR 31907
Correction .....	07/01/14	79 FR 37269

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	07/17/15	80 FR 42423
Final Rule Correction.	08/04/15	80 FR 46205
Final Rule Effective.	08/17/15	
Final Rule Correction.	10/07/15	80 FR 60565
Final Rule Effective.	10/07/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BD81

**76. Cost Recovery From Amendment 80, CDQ Groundfish and Halibut, American Fisheries Act and Aleutian Islands Pollock, and the Freezer Longline Coalition Pacific Cod Fisheries Management Programs**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; Pub. L. 109-241; Pub. L. 109-479  
*Abstract:* The National Marine Fisheries Service implemented a limited access permit program cost recovery fee for Amendment 80, Western Alaska Community Development Quota groundfish and halibut, American Fisheries Act and Aleutian Islands Pollock, and the Pacific Cod Freezer Longline Coalition fisheries management programs in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands. The purpose of this action was to comply with Section 304(d) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which authorizes and requires the National Marine Fisheries Service to collect fees for limited access permit programs and the Western Alaska Community Development Quota program. The fees collected will be used to recover the actual costs directly related to the management, data collection, and enforcement of these programs that are incurred by the National Marine Fisheries Service.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/07/15	80 FR 935
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/06/15	
Final Action .....	01/05/16	81 FR 150
Final Action Effective.	02/04/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region,

Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BE05

**77. Generic Accountability Measure and Dolphin Allocation Amendment for the South Atlantic Region**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Amendment 34 and

Amendment 9 established modifications to accountability measures for snapper-grouper species and golden crab to create a more consistent regulatory environment while ensuring overfishing does not occur. Amendment 8 modified sector allocations for dolphin.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	07/15/15	80 FR 41472
NPRM .....	09/29/15	80 FR 58448
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/29/15	
Final Action .....	01/22/16	81 FR 3731
Final Action Effective.	02/22/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.  
*RIN:* 0648-BE38

**78. International Fisheries; Western and Central Pacific Fisheries for Highly Migratory Species; Fishing Effort and Catch Limits and Other Restrictions and Requirements**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 6901 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule established a framework under which the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) could specify limits on fishing effort and catches, as well as spatial and temporal restrictions on particular fishing activities, in U.S. fisheries for highly migratory fish species in the western and central Pacific Ocean. NMFS will issue the specifications as needed to implement conservation and management measures adopted by the Commission for the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean. The rule also requires that U.S. fishing vessels of a certain size obtain International Maritime Organizational numbers, and prohibits U.S. longline fishing vessels from using

shark lines, which are used in some fisheries to target sharks. This action was necessary for the United States to satisfy its obligations under the Convention on the Conservation and Management of Highly Migratory Fish Stocks in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean, to which it is a Contracting Party.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/23/15	80 FR 43694
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/07/15	
Final Action .....	10/01/15	80 FR 59037
Final Action Effective.	11/30/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Michael Tosatto, Regional Administrator, Pacific Islands Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1845 Wasp Boulevard, Building 176, Honolulu, HI 96818, *Phone:* 808 725-5000, *Email:* michael.tosatto@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BE84

**79. Revision of Skate Maximum Retainable Amounts in the Gulf of Alaska Groundfish Fishery**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule reduced the maximum retainable amount of incidentally caught skates in directed fisheries for groundfish in the Gulf of Alaska to 5 percent, which allows a vessel to retain skates in an amount up to 5 percent of the weight of the target groundfish species onboard the vessel. The skate maximum retainable amount is intended to limit harvest of skates to the intrinsic rate of incidental catch of skates in Gulf of Alaska groundfish fisheries and to provide a disincentive for vessels to target skates. Skate harvests have increased in recent years and have exceeded the acceptable biological catch in some areas. This action was necessary to enhance conservation and management of skates by decreasing the incentive for vessels to target skates and to slow the harvest rate of skates.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/10/15	80 FR 39734
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/10/15	
Final Action .....	12/28/15	80 FR 80695
Final Action Effective.	01/27/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BE85

**80. Amendment 44 to the Fishery Management Plan for Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands King and Tanner Crabs To Modify Right of First Refusal Provisions of the Crab Rationalization Program**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*; Pub. L. 109-241; Pub. L. 109-479

*Abstract:* This rule amended the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands Crab Rationalization Program through two actions intended to benefit eligible crab communities by enhancing opportunities to retain community historical processing interests in the Bering Sea and Aleutian Islands crab fisheries. The action modified the right of first refusal provisions that provide eligible crab community entities with the opportunity to purchase processor quota shares and other associated assets proposed for sale. The first action affects about 21 processor quota shareholders. The rule requires all persons holding processor quota share to provide annual notification to NMFS regarding the status of the right of first refusal for all processor quota share holdings. The second action amends regulations to separate the combined individual fishing quota/individual processor quota application into two applications, and revised reporting requirements for crab cooperatives.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/22/15	80 FR 63950
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/23/15	
Final Action .....	01/13/16	81 FR 1557
Final Action Effective.	02/12/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Balsiger, Regional Administrator, Alaska Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 709 West Ninth Street, Juneau, AK 99801, *Phone:* 907 586-7221, *Fax:* 907 586-7465, *Email:* jim.balsiger@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BE98

**81. Framework Amendment 3 to the Fishery Management Plan for the Coastal Migratory Pelagic Resources of the Gulf of Mexico and Atlantic Region**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule modified trip limits, accountability measures, electronic reporting requirements, and gillnet permit requirements for commercial king mackerel landed by gillnet in the Gulf of Mexico. This action was necessary to increase efficiency, stability, and accountability, and to reduce the potential for regulatory discards in the commercial king mackerel gillnet component of the fishery.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/07/15	80 FR 60605
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/06/15	
Final Action .....	12/17/15	80 FR 78670
Final Action Effective.	01/19/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov.

*RIN:* 0648-BF14

**82. Framework Amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico To Modify Greater Amberjack Allowable Harvest and Management Measures**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* The intent of this action is to end overfishing and rebuild the Gulf of Mexico greater amberjack stock. A 2014 stock assessment indicated the Gulf of Mexico greater amberjack stock remains overfished and is undergoing overfishing. Allowable harvest was reduced and will remain constant until changed based on new scientific information. To better constrain catches to the allowable harvest levels, the recreational minimum size limit was increased from 30 inches fork length to 34 inches fork length, and the commercial trip limit was reduced from 1,923 pounds gutted weight to 1,500 pounds gutted weight.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/17/15	80 FR 55821
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/19/15	

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	12/02/15	80 FR 75432
Final Action Effective.	01/04/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov, *RIN:* 0648-BF21

**83. Framework Amendment to the Fishery Management Plan for the Reef Fish Resources of the Gulf of Mexico for Red Snapper Commercial Quota Retention for 2016**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* Pursuant to the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council's request, this rule provided authority to withhold 4.9 percent of the 2016 red snapper commercial annual catch limit prior to distribution to the Individual Fishing Quota Program, in anticipation that this percentage may be reallocated to the recreational sector.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/19/15	80 FR 63190
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/03/15	
Final Action .....	11/27/15	80 FR 73999
Final Action Effective.	12/28/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.*

*Agency Contact:* Roy E. Crabtree, Regional Administrator, Southeast Region, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 263 13th Avenue South, St. Petersburg, FL 33701, *Phone:* 727 824-5305, *Fax:* 727 824-5308, *Email:* roy.crabtree@noaa.gov, *RIN:* 0648-BF33

**84. Designation of Critical Habitat for the North Atlantic Right Whale**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1361 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The National Marine Fisheries Service revised the critical habitat designation for the North Atlantic right whale. This designation resulted in an expansion of critical habitat in the northeast feeding area (Gulf of Maine-Georges Bank region) and the southeast calving area (Florida to North Carolina) compared to what was designated in 1994 for right whales.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/20/15	80 FR 9313
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/21/15	
Final Action .....	01/27/16	81 FR 4837
Final Action Effective.	02/26/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Donna Wieting, Director, Office of Protected Resources, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 427-8400, *RIN:* 0648-AY54

**85. Endangered and Threatened Species: Designation of Critical Habitat for Threatened Lower Columbia River Coho Salmon and Puget Sound Steelhead**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* This action designated critical habitat for lower Columbia River coho salmon and Puget Sound steelhead, currently listed as threatened species under the Endangered Species Act. The areas designated include freshwater and estuarine habitat in Oregon and Washington.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/14/13	78 FR 2725
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/15/13	
Final Action .....	02/24/16	81 FR 9251
Final Action Effective.	03/25/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Donna Wieting, Director, Office of Protected Resources, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 301 427-8400, *RIN:* 0648-BB30

**86. Revisions to Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale National Marine Sanctuary Regulations**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 1431 *et seq.*; Pub. L. 102-587  
*Abstract:* In 2010, the Office of National Marine Sanctuaries (ONMS) initiated a review of the Hawaiian Islands Humpback Whale National Marine Sanctuary management plan, to evaluate substantive progress toward

implementing the goals for the sanctuary, and to make revisions to its management plan and regulations as necessary to fulfill the purposes and policies of the National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA) and the Hawaiian Islands National Marine Sanctuary Act (HINMSA; title II, subtitle C, Pub. L. 102587). ONMS intends to publish a proposed rule and draft EIS that proposes to expand the scope of the sanctuary to ecosystem based management rather than concentrating on only humpback whales. In addition, possible boundary expansion will be discussed.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	07/14/10	75 FR 40759
NPRM .....	03/26/15	80 FR 16223
Notice .....	04/29/15	80 FR 23742
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/19/15	
Withdrawn .....	03/14/16	81 FR 13303

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Edward Lindelof, Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910, *Phone:* 240 533-0641, *Email:* edward.lindelof@noaa.gov, *RIN:* 0648-BD97

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (DOC)**

*Patent and Trademark Office (PTO)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**87. • Trademark Fee Adjustment**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 1113; 15 U.S.C. 1123; 35 U.S.C. 2; Section 10 of AIA Pub. L. 112-29

*Abstract:* The United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) takes this action to set and adjust Trademark fee amounts to provide the Office with a sufficient amount of aggregate revenue to recover its aggregate cost of operations while helping the Office maintain a sustainable funding model, ensure integrity of the Trademark register, promote efficiency of processes, and incentivize electronic communications.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/00/16	
Final Action .....	11/00/16	
Final Action Effective.	01/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Jennifer Chicoski,  
Administrator for Trademark Policy and  
Procedure, Department of Commerce,

Patent and Trademark Office,  
Commissioner for Trademarks, P.O. Box  
1451, Alexandria, VA 22313, *Phone:* 571  
272-8943, *Fax:* 571 273-8943, *Email:*  
*jennifer.chicoski@uspto.gov.*

*RIN:* 0651-AD08

[FR Doc. 2016-12900 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-12-P**



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part V

Department of Defense

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE****32 CFR Chs. I, V, VI, and VII****33 CFR Ch. II****36 CFR Ch. III****48 CFR Ch. II****Improving Government Regulations; Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD).

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DoD) is publishing this semiannual agenda of regulatory documents, including those that are procurement-related, for public information and comments under Executive Order 12866 “Regulatory Planning and Review”. This agenda incorporates the objective and criteria, when applicable, of the regulatory reform program under the Executive Order and other regulatory guidance. It contains DoD regulations initiated by DoD components that may have economic and environmental impact on State, local, or tribal interests under the criteria of Executive Order 12866. Although most DoD regulations listed in the agenda are of limited public impact, their nature may be of public interest and, therefore, are published to provide notice of rulemaking and an opportunity for public participation in the internal DoD rulemaking process. Members of the public may submit comments on individual proposed and interim final rulemakings at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) during the comment period that follows publication in the **Federal Register**.

This agenda updates the report published on November 19, 2015, and includes regulations expected to be issued and under review over the next 12 months. The next agenda is scheduled to be published in the fall of 2016. The complete Unified Agenda will be available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), the Department of Defense’s printed agenda entries include only:

(1) Rules that are in the Agency’s regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because they are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and

(2) any rules that the Agency has identified for periodic review under

section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act’s agenda requirements. Additional information on these entries is in the Unified Agenda available online.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information concerning the overall DoD regulatory improvement program and for general semiannual agenda information, contact Ms. Patricia Toppings, telephone 571-372-0485, or write to Office of the Deputy Chief Management Officer, Directorate for Oversight and Compliance, Regulatory and Audit Matters Office, 9010 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-9010, or email: [patricia.l.toppings.civ@mail.mil](mailto:patricia.l.toppings.civ@mail.mil).

For questions of a legal nature concerning the agenda and its statutory requirements or obligations, write to Office of the General Counsel, 1600 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-1600, or call 703-697-2714.

For general information on Office of the Secretary regulations, other than those which are procurement-related, contact Ms. Morgan Park, telephone 571-372-0489, or write to Office of the Deputy Chief Management Officer, Directorate of Oversight and Compliance, Regulatory and Audit Matters Office, 9010 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-9010, or email: [morgan.e.park.civ@mail.mil](mailto:morgan.e.park.civ@mail.mil).

For general information on Office of the Secretary regulations which are procurement-related, contact Ms. Jennifer Hawes, telephone 571-372-6115, or write to Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, Defense Procurement and Acquisition Policy, Defense Acquisition Regulations System, Room 3B941, 3060 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3060, or email: [jennifer.l.hawes2.civ@mail.mil](mailto:jennifer.l.hawes2.civ@mail.mil).

For general information on Department of the Army regulations, contact Ms. Brenda Bowen, telephone 703-428-6173, or write to the U.S. Army Records Management and Declassification Agency, ATTN: AAHS-RDR-C, Casey Building, Room 102, 7701 Telegraph Road, Alexandria, Virginia 22315-3860, or email: [brenda.s.bowen.civ@mail.mil](mailto:brenda.s.bowen.civ@mail.mil).

For general information on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regulations, contact Mr. Chip Smith, telephone 703-693-3644, or write to Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Policy and Legislation), 108 Army Pentagon, Room 2E569, Washington, DC 20310-0108, or email: [charles.r.smith567.civ@mail.mil](mailto:charles.r.smith567.civ@mail.mil).

For general information on Department of the Navy regulations, contact CDR Noreen Hagerty-Ford, telephone 703-614-7408, or write to Department of the Navy, Office of the Judge Advocate General, Administrative Law Division (Code 13), Washington Navy Yard, 1322 Patterson Avenue SE., Suite 3000, Washington, DC 20374-5066, or email: [noreen.hagerty-ford@navy.mil](mailto:noreen.hagerty-ford@navy.mil).

For general information on Department of the Air Force regulations, contact Bao-Anh Trinh, telephone 703-614-8500, or write the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force, Chief, Information Dominance/Chief Information Officer (SAF CIO/A6), 1800 Air Force Pentagon, Washington, DC 20330-1800, or email: [usaf.pentagon.saf-cio-a6.mbx.af-foia@mail.mil](mailto:usaf.pentagon.saf-cio-a6.mbx.af-foia@mail.mil).

For specific agenda items, contact the appropriate individual indicated in each DoD component report.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This edition of the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions is composed of the regulatory status reports, including procurement-related regulatory status reports, from the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and the Departments of the Army and Navy. Included also is the regulatory status report from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, whose civil works functions fall under the reporting requirements of Executive Order 12866 and involve water resource projects and regulation of activities in waters of the United States.

In addition, this agenda, although published under the reporting requirements of Executive Order 12866, continues to be the DoD single-source reporting vehicle, which identifies regulations that are currently applicable under the various regulatory reform programs in progress. Therefore, DoD components will identify those rules which come under the criteria of the:

- a. Regulatory Flexibility Act;
- b. Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995;
- c. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995.

Those DoD regulations, which are directly applicable under these statutes, will be identified in the agenda and their action status indicated. Generally, the regulatory status reports in this agenda will contain five sections: (1) Prerule stage; (2) proposed rule stage; (3) final rule stage; (4) completed actions; and (5) long-term actions. Where certain regulatory actions indicate that small entities are affected, the effect on these entities may not necessarily have significant economic impact on a

substantial number of these entities as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601(6)).

Although not a regulatory agency, DoD will continue to participate in regulatory initiatives designed to reduce economic costs and unnecessary burdens upon the public. Comments and recommendations are invited on the rules reported and should be addressed to the DoD component representatives identified in the regulatory status reports. Although sensitive to the needs

of the public, as well as regulatory reform, DoD reserves the right to exercise the exemptions and flexibility permitted in its rulemaking process in order to proceed with its overall defense-oriented mission. The publishing of this agenda does not waive the applicability of the military affairs exemption in section 553 of title 5 U.S.C. and section 3 of Executive Order 12866. Executive Order 13563 recognizes the importance of maintaining a consistent culture of

retrospective review and analysis throughout the executive branch. DoD's retrospective review plan is intended to identify certain significant rules that are obsolete, unnecessary, unjustified, excessively burdensome, or counterproductive and can be accessed at: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=DOD-2011-OS-0036>.

Dated: March 13, 2016.

**David Tillotson III,**  
Assistant Deputy Chief Management Officer.

OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR HEALTH AFFAIRS—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
88 .....	TRICARE; Reimbursement of Long Term Care Hospitals .....	0720-AB47

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD)**

*Office of Assistant Secretary for Health Affairs (DODOASHA)*

Final Rule Stage

**88. TRICARE; Reimbursement of Long Term Care Hospitals**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 301; 10 U.S.C. ch 55

*Abstract:* The rule implements the statutory provision in 10 U.S.C. 1079(j)(2) that TRICARE payment methods for institutional care shall be determined to the extent practicable in accordance with the same reimbursement rules as those that apply

to payments to providers of services of the same type under Medicare. This rule implements a reimbursement methodology similar to that furnished to Medicare beneficiaries for services provided by long-term care hospitals. The revisions to this rule will be reported in future status updates as part of DoD's retrospective plan under Executive Order 13563, completed in August 2011. DoD's full plan can be accessed at: <http://www.regulations.gov/#!docketDetail;D=DOD-2011-OS-0036>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/26/15	80 FR 3926

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/27/15	
Final Action .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Ann N. Fazzini, Department of Defense, Office of Assistant Secretary for Health Affairs, 1200 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301, Phone: 303 676-3803.

*RIN:* 0720-AB47

[FR Doc. 2016-12901 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part VI

Department of Education

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

**Office of the Secretary**

**34 CFR Subtitles A and B**

**Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Department of Education.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Secretary of Education publishes a semiannual agenda of Federal regulatory and deregulatory actions. The agenda is issued under the authority of section 4(b) of Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review.” The purpose of the agenda is to encourage more effective public participation in the regulatory process by providing the public with early information about the regulatory actions we plan to take.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Questions or comments related to specific regulations listed in this agenda should be directed to the agency contact listed for the regulations. Other questions or comments on this agenda should be directed to LaTanya Cannady, Program Specialist, or Hilary Malawer, General Counsel, Division of Regulatory Services, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Education, Room 6C128, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20202–2241; telephone: (202) 401–9676 (LaTanya Cannady) or (202) 401–6148 (Hilary Malawer). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a text telephone (TTY) may call the Federal Relay Service (FRS) at 1–800–877–8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 4(b) of Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993, requires the Department of Education (ED) to publish, at a time and in a manner specified by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, an agenda of all regulations under development or review. The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 602(a), requires ED to publish, in October and April of each year, a regulatory flexibility agenda.

The regulatory flexibility agenda may be combined with any other agenda that satisfies the statutory requirements (5 U.S.C. 605(a)). In compliance with the Executive order and the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the Secretary publishes this agenda.

For each set of regulations listed, the agenda provides the title of the document, the type of document, a citation to any rulemaking or other action taken since publication of the most recent agenda, and planned dates of future rulemaking. In addition, the agenda provides the following information:

- An abstract that includes a description of the problem to be addressed, any principal alternatives being considered, and potential costs and benefits of the action.
- An indication of whether the planned action is likely to have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as defined by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601(6)).
- A reference to where a reader can find the current regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations.

- A citation of legal authority.
- The name, address, and telephone number of the contact person at ED from whom a reader can obtain additional information regarding the planned action.

In accordance with ED’s Principles for Regulating listed in its regulatory plan (78 FR 1361, published January 8, 2013), ED is committed to regulations that improve the quality and equality of services to its customers. ED will regulate only if absolutely necessary and then in the most flexible, most equitable, least burdensome way possible.

Interested members of the public are invited to comment on any of the items listed in this agenda that they believe are not consistent with the Principles for Regulating. Members of the public are also invited to comment on any uncompleted actions in this agenda that ED plans to review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 610) to determine their economic impact on small entities.

This publication does not impose any binding obligation on ED with regard to any specific item in the agenda. ED may elect not to pursue any of the regulatory actions listed here, and regulatory action in addition to the items listed is not precluded. Dates of future regulatory actions are subject to revision in subsequent agendas.

**Electronic Access to This Document**

The entire Unified Agenda is published electronically and is available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

**James Cole, Jr.,**  
*General Counsel.*

**OFFICE OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
89 .....	Borrower Defense .....	1840–AD19

**OFFICE OF POSTSECONDARY EDUCATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
90 .....	Title IV of the HEA—Program Integrity and Improvement .....	1840–AD14

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (ED)**

Office of Postsecondary Education (OPE)

Proposed Rule Stage

**89. Borrower Defense**

*Legal Authority:* Section 455(h) of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended

*Abstract:* The Department has begun negotiated rulemaking to develop proposed regulations for determining which acts or omissions of an institution of higher education a borrower may assert as a defense to repayment of a loan made under the William D. Ford Federal Direct Loan (Federal Direct Loan) Program and identify the consequences of such borrower defenses for borrowers, institutions, and the Secretary.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Intent to Establish Negotiated Rule-making Committee.	08/20/15	80 FR 50588
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.  
*Agency Contact:* Annmarie Weisman, Department of Education, Office of Postsecondary Education, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Room 6W245, Washington, DC 20202, *Phone:* 202 453-6712, *Email:* annmarie.weisman@ed.gov.  
*RIN:* 1840-AD19

**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (ED)**

Office of Postsecondary Education (OPE)

Completed Actions

**90. Title IV of the HEA—Program Integrity and Improvement**

*Legal Authority:* 20 U.S.C. 1001; 20 U.S.C. 1002; 20 U.S.C. 1091; 20 U.S.C. 1094; 20 U.S.C. 1099c; 20 U.S.C. 1070a; 20 U.S.C. 1087b; 20 U.S.C. 1087d; 20 U.S.C. 1087e; 20 U.S.C. 1088

*Abstract:* The Department issued regulations for the Federal Student Aid programs, authorized under title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA). We are regulating in the following areas: cash management of funds provided under the title IV

Federal Student Aid programs, clock-to-credit hour conversion, and repeat coursework.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	10/30/15	80 FR 67126
Final Action Effective.	07/01/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Nathan Arnold, *Phone:* 202 453-7874, *Email:* nathan.arnold@ed.gov.

*RIN:* 1840-AD14

[FR Doc. 2016-12902 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part VII

Department of Energy

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**10 CFR Chs. II, III, and X**

**48 CFR Ch. 9**

**Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions**

**AGENCY:** Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Semi-annual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Energy (DOE) has prepared and is making available its portion of the semi-annual Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions (Agenda) pursuant to Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” and the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Agenda is a government-wide

compilation of upcoming and ongoing regulatory activity, including a brief description of each rulemaking and a timetable for action. The Agenda also includes a list of regulatory actions completed since publication of the last Agenda. The Department of Energy’s portion of the Agenda includes regulatory actions called for by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, the American Energy Manufacturing Technical Corrections Act and programmatic needs of DOE offices.

The Internet is the basic means for disseminating the Agenda and providing users the ability to obtain information from the Agenda database. DOE’s Spring 2016 Agenda can be accessed online by going to [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov). Agenda entries reflect

the status of activities as of approximately May 31, 2016.

Publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602) only for Agenda entries that require either a regulatory flexibility analysis or periodic review under section 610 of that Act. DOE’s regulatory flexibility agenda is made up of five rulemakings setting energy efficiency standards for the following products:

- General Service Lamps
- Walk-In Coolers and Freezers
- Commercial Packaged Boilers
- Cooking Products
- Residential Furnaces
- Miscellaneous Refrigeration Equipment

**P. Croley,**  
*General Counsel.*

**ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
91 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Packaged Boilers .....	1904-AD01
92 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps .....	1904-AD09

**ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
93 .....	Test Procedures for Light-Emitting Diode Lamps .....	1904-AC67
94 .....	Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential Dehumidifiers .....	1904-AC81
95 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Warm Air Furnaces .....	1904-AD11
96 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for Ceiling Fans .....	1904-AD28

**ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
97 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial and Industrial Pumps .....	1904-AC54
98 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Ceiling Fan Light Kits .....	1904-AC87
99 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Boilers .....	1904-AC88
100 .....	Standards for Refrigerated Bottled or Canned Beverage Vending Machines .....	1904-AD00
101 .....	Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Pre-Rinse Spray Valves .....	1904-AD31

**DEFENSE AND SECURITY AFFAIRS—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
102 .....	Chronic Beryllium Disease Prevention Program .....	1992-AA39
103 .....	Workplace Substance Abuse Programs at DOE Sites .....	1992-AA53

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)**

*Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EE)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**91. Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Packaged Boilers**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6313(a)(6)(C)

*Abstract:* EPCA, as amended by AEMTCA, requires the Secretary to determine whether updating the statutory energy conservation standards for commercial packaged boilers is technically feasible and economically justified and would save a significant amount of energy. If justified, the Secretary will issue amended energy conservation standards for such equipment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Proposed Determination (NOPD).	08/13/13	78 FR 49202
NOPD Comment Period End.	09/12/13	
Notice of Public Meeting and Framework Document Availability.	09/03/13	78 FR 54197
Framework Document Comment Period End.	10/18/13	
Notice of Public Meeting and Preliminary Analysis.	11/20/14	79 FR 69066
Preliminary Analysis Comment Period End.	01/20/15	
Withdrawal of NOPD.	08/25/15	80 FR 51487
NPRM .....	03/24/16	81 FR 15836
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/23/16	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	05/04/16	81 FR 26747
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	06/22/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* James Raba, Office of Building Technologies Program, EE-5B, Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, *Phone:* 202 586-8654, *Email:* jim.raba@ee.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1904-AD01

**92. Energy Conservation Standards for General Service Lamps**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6295(i)(6)(A) and (B)

*Abstract:* Amendments to Energy Policy and Conservation Act (EPCA) in the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 direct DOE to conduct two rulemaking cycles to evaluate energy conservation standards for GSLs, the first of which must be initiated no later than January 1, 2014. EPCA specifically states that the scope of the rulemaking is not limited to incandescent lamp technologies. EPCA also states that DOE must consider in the first rulemaking cycle the minimum backstop requirement of 45 lumens per watt for general service lamps (GSLs) effective January 1, 2020. This rulemaking constitutes DOE's first rulemaking cycle.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Framework Document Availability; Notice of Public Meeting.	12/09/13	78 FR 73737
Framework Document Comment Period End.	01/23/14	
Framework Document Comment Period Extended.	01/23/14	79 FR 3742
Framework Document Comment Period Extended End.	02/07/14	
Preliminary Analysis; Notice of Public Meeting.	12/11/14	79 FR 73503
Preliminary Analysis Comment Period End.	02/09/15	
Preliminary Analysis Comment Period Extended.	01/30/15	80 FR 5052
Preliminary Analysis Comment Period Extended End.	02/23/15	
Notice of Public Meeting; Webinar.	03/15/16	81 FR 13763
NPRM .....	03/17/16	81 FR 14528
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/16/16	
Final Action .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Lucy DeButts, Office of Buildings Technologies Program, EE-5B, Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, *Phone:* 202 287-1604 *Email:* lucy.debutts@ee.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1904-AD09

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)**

*Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EE)*

Final Rule Stage

**93. Test Procedures for Light-Emitting Diode Lamps**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6294(a)(6); 42 U.S.C. 6293

*Abstract:* EPCA, as amended by EISA 2007, requires the Secretary to create test procedures for light emitting diode (LED) lamps that accurately represent the energy consumption of this product. This rulemaking is supporting the implementation by the Federal Trade Commission of labeling provisions under 42 U.S.C. 6294(a)(6), as well as the ongoing general service lamps rulemaking, which includes LED lamps.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/09/12	77 FR 21038
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/25/12	
Supplemental NPRM.	06/03/14	79 FR 32019
Supplemental NPRM Comment Period End.	08/04/14	
Second Supplemental NPRM.	06/26/14	79 FR 36242
Second Supplemental NPRM Comment Period End.	08/04/14	
Third Supplemental NPRM.	07/09/15	80 FR 39644
Third Supplemental NPRM Comment Period End.	08/10/15	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Lucy DeButts, Office of Buildings Technologies Program, EE-5B, Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, *Phone:* 202 287-1604, *Email:* lucy.debutts@ee.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1904-AC67

**94. Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential Dehumidifiers**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6295(m) and (cc)

*Abstract:* EPCA requires the Secretary to determine whether updating the statutory energy conservation standards for residential dehumidifiers is technically feasible and economically justified and would result in significant energy savings. If these criteria are met, the Secretary will issue amended energy conservation standards.

Washington, DC 20585, Phone: 202 287-1604, Email: lucy.debutts@ee.doe.gov. RIN: 1904-AD28

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)**

*Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EE)*

Completed Actions

**97. Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial and Industrial Pumps**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6311(1)(A)  
*Abstract:* EPCA, as amended, authorizes the Secretary to determine whether establishing energy conservation standards for commercial and industrial pumps is technically feasible and economically justified and would save a significant amount of energy. On June 13, 2013, DOE published a notice of intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking working group for the commercial and industrial pumps rulemaking under the Appliance Standards and Rulemaking Federal Advisory Committee (ASRAC) in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) and the Negotiated Rulemaking Act (NRA) to negotiate proposed Federal standards for the energy efficiency of commercial and industrial pumps (78 FR 44036). The purpose of the working group was to discuss and, if possible, reach consensus on a proposed rule for the energy efficiency of commercial and industrial pumps. The working group negotiated standard levels that were accepted by ASRAC on July 7, 2014. As a result, DOE has proposed to adopt the working groups' recommendations.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	01/26/16	81 FR 4368
Final Action Effective.	03/28/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Cymbalsky, Phone: 202 287-1692, Email: john.cymbalsky@ee.doe.gov. RIN: 1904-AC54

**98. Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Ceiling Fan Light Kits**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6295(ff)(5)  
*Abstract:* EPCA authorizes the Secretary to determine whether updating the statutory energy conservation standards for ceiling fan light kits is technically feasible and economically justified and would result in significant energy savings. If the criteria are met, the Secretary may issue

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Public Meeting and Framework Document Availability.	08/17/12	77 FR 49739
Framework Document Comment Period Extended.	08/28/12	77 FR 51943
Framework Document Comment Period Extended End.	10/17/12	
Notice of Public Meeting and Preliminary Analysis.	05/22/14	79 FR 29380
Preliminary Analysis Comment Period End.	07/21/14	
NPRM .....	06/03/15	80 FR 31646
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/03/15	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Cymbalsky, Office of Building Technologies Program, EE-5B, Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, Phone: 202 287-1692, Email: john.cymbalsky@ee.doe.gov.

RIN: 1904-AC81

**95. Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Warm Air Furnaces**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6313(a)(6)(C)(i) and (vi); 42 U.S.C. 6316; 42 U.S.C. 6295(p)(4)

*Abstract:* EPCA, as amended by AEMTCA, requires the Secretary to determine whether updating the statutory energy conservation standards for commercial warm air furnaces is technically feasible and economically justified and would save a significant amount of energy. If justified, the Secretary will issue amended energy conservation standards for this type of equipment. On April 1, 2015, DOE published a notice announcing the creation of a working group under the Appliance Standards Federal Rulemaking Advisory Committee (ASRAC). (80 FR 17363) to potentially develop negotiated standards. DOE has since published a direct final rule and supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking that embodies the standards-related aspects of the recommendations submitted to (and approved by) ASRAC.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Request for Information (RFI).	05/02/13	78 FR 25627
RFI Comment Period End.	06/03/13	
NPRM .....	02/04/15	80 FR 6182
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/06/15	
Notice of Intent to Form Working Group.	04/01/15	80 FR 17363
Notice of Public Meeting for Working Group.	05/07/15	80 FR 26199
Direct Final Rule Supplemental NPRM.	01/15/16	81 FR 2420
Direct Final Rule and SNPRM Comment Period End.	01/15/16	81 FR 2111
Direct Final Rule Effective.	05/04/16	
Direct Final Rule Effective.	05/16/16	
Final Action .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Cymbalsky, Office of Building Technologies Program, EE-5B, Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, Phone: 202 287-1692, Email: john.cymbalsky@ee.doe.gov.

RIN: 1904-AD11

**96. Energy Conservation Standards For Ceiling Fans**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6295(ff)  
*Abstract:* EPCA authorizes the Secretary to determine whether updating the statutory energy conservation standards for ceiling fans is technically feasible and economically justified and would result in significant energy savings. If these criteria are met, the Secretary may issue amended energy conservation standards for ceiling fans.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/13/16	81 FR 1688
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/14/16	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	03/15/16	81 FR 13763
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	04/14/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lucy DeButts, Office of Buildings Technologies Program, EE-5B, Department of Energy, Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW.,

amended energy conservation standards for these products.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	01/06/16	81 FR 580
Final Action Effective.	03/07/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lucy DeButts, *Phone:* 202 287-1604, *Email:* lucy.debutts@ee.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1904-AC87

**99. Energy Conservation Standards for Residential Boilers**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6295(f)(4)(C); 42 U.S.C. 6295(m)(1); 42 U.S.C. 6295(gg)(3)

*Abstract:* EPCA, as amended by EISA 2007, requires the Secretary to determine whether updating the statutory energy conservation standards for residential boilers is technically feasible and economically justified and would result in significant conservation of energy. If justified, the Secretary will issue amended energy conservation standards for residential boilers.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	01/15/16	81 FR 2320
Final Action Effective.	03/15/16	
Final Action; Technical Correction.	01/27/16	81 FR 4574
Final Action; Technical Correction Effective.	01/27/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Cymbalsky, *Phone:* 202 287-1692, *Email:* john.cymbalsky@ee.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1904-AC88

**100. Standards for Refrigerated Bottled or Canned Beverage Vending Machines**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6295(m)(1) *Abstract:* EPCA, as amended by AEMTCA 2012, requires the Secretary to determine whether updating the statutory energy conservation standards for refrigerated beverage vending machines is technologically feasible and economically justified. The Secretary issued amended energy conservation standards on January 8, 2016.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	01/08/16	81 FR 1028

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action Effective.	03/08/16	
Final Rule; Correcting Amendment.	04/25/16	81 FR 24009
Final Rule; Correcting Amendment Effective.	04/25/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Cymbalsky, *Phone:* 202 287-1692, *Email:* john.cymbalsky@ee.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1904-AD00

**101. Energy Conservation Standards for Commercial Pre-Rinse Spray Valves**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 6295(m) *Abstract:* EPCA, as amended by EPCA 2005, requires the Secretary to determine whether amending the statutory energy conservation standards for commercial pre-rinse spray valves is technologically feasible and economically justified. If justified, the Secretary will issue amended energy conservation standards for commercial pre-rinse spray valves.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Data Availability (NODA).	11/12/15	80 FR 69888
NODA Comment Period End.	12/04/15	
Final Action .....	01/27/16	81 FR 4748
Final Action Effective.	03/28/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Ashley Armstrong, *Phone:* 202 586-6590, *Email:* ashley.armstrong@ee.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1904-AD31

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY (DOE)**

*Defense and Security Affairs (DSA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**102. Chronic Beryllium Disease Prevention Program**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 2201(i)(3), and (p); 42 U.S.C. 2282c; 29 U.S.C. 668; 42 U.S.C. 7107 *et seq.*; 50 U.S.C. 2401 *et seq.*; E.O. 12196

*Abstract:* The Department of Energy is amending its current chronic beryllium disease prevention program regulation. The proposed amendments would improve and strengthen the current

provisions and continue to be applicable to DOE Federal and contractor employees who are, were, or potentially were exposed to beryllium at DOE sites.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/00/16	
Final Action .....	07/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jacqueline D. Rogers, Industrial Hygienist, AU-11, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, *Phone:* 202 586-4714, *Email:* jackie.rogers@hq.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1992-AA39

**103. • Workplace Substance Abuse Programs at Doe Sites**

*Legal Authority:* 41 U.S.C. 701 *et seq.*; 41 U.S.C. 2012, 2013, 2051, 2061, 2165, 2201b, 2201i, and 2201p; 42 U.S.C. 5814 and 5815; 42 U.S.C. 7151, 7251, and 7256; 50 U.S.C. 2401 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* The Department of Energy is amending its regulation related to workplace substance abuse programs at DOE sites. The proposed amendments would address drug and alcohol abuse; testing workers in certain sensitive positions; development and approval of a workplace substance abuse program; employee assistance programs; and training. The proposed amendments would improve and strengthen the substance abuse programs; and enhance consistency with advances in similar rules and other Federal drug and alcohol programs that place similar requirements on the private sector.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/00/16	
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/00/17	
Final Action .....	12/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jacqueline D. Rogers, Industrial Hygienist, AU-11, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, *Phone:* 202 586-4714, *Email:* jackie.rogers@hq.doe.gov.

*RIN:* 1992-AA53

[FR Doc. 2016-12903 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part VIII

Department of Health and Human Services

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**

**Office of the Secretary**

21 CFR Ch. I

25 CFR Ch. V

42 CFR Chs. I–V

45 CFR Subtitle A; Subtitle B, Chs. II, III, and XIII

**Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, HHS.  
**ACTION:** Semiannual Regulatory Agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 and Executive Order (EO) 12866 require the semiannual issuance of an inventory of rulemaking actions under development throughout the Department, offering for public review summarized information about forthcoming regulatory actions.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Wilma Robinson, Deputy Executive Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services, 200 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20201; (202) 690–5627.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is the Federal Government’s lead agency for protecting the health of all Americans and providing essential human services, especially for those who are least able to help themselves. HHS enhances the health and well-being of Americans by promoting effective health and human services and by fostering sound, sustained advances in the sciences underlying medicine, public health, and social services.

This Agenda presents the rulemaking activities that the Department expects to undertake in the foreseeable future to advance this mission. The Agenda furthers several Departmental goals, including strengthening health care; advancing scientific knowledge and innovation; advancing the health, safety, and well-being of the American people; increasing efficiency, transparency, and accountability of HHS programs; and strengthening the nation’s health and human services infrastructure and workforce.

HHS has an agency-wide effort to support the Agenda’s purpose of encouraging more effective public participation in the regulatory process.

For example, to encourage public participation, we regularly update our regulatory Web page (<http://www.HHS.gov/regulations>) which includes links to HHS rules currently open for public comment, and also provides a “regulations toolkit” with background information on regulations, the commenting process, how public comments influence the development of a rule, and how the public can provide effective comments. HHS also actively encourages meaningful public participation in its retrospective review of regulations, through a comment form on the HHS retrospective review Web page (<http://www.HHS.gov/RetrospectiveReview>).

The rulemaking abstracts included in this paper issue of the **Federal Register** cover, as required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, those prospective HHS rulemakings likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Department’s complete Regulatory Agenda is accessible online at <http://www.RegInfo.gov>.

**Wilma Robinson,**  
*Deputy Executive Secretary to the Department.*

**OFFICE FOR CIVIL RIGHTS—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
104 .....	Nondiscrimination Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act .....	0945-AA02

**OFFICE OF THE NATIONAL COORDINATOR FOR HEALTH INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
105 .....	ONC Health IT Certification Program: Enhanced Oversight and Accountability .....	0955-AA00

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
106 .....	Over-the-Counter (OTC) Drug Review—Cough/Cold (Antihistamine) Products .....	0910-AF31
107 .....	Over-the-Counter (OTC) Drug Review—Topical Antimicrobial Drug Products .....	0910-AF69
108 .....	Updated Standards for Labeling of Pet Food .....	0910-AG09
109 .....	Format and Content of Reports Intended to Demonstrate Substantial Equivalence .....	0910-AG96
110 .....	Mammography Quality Standards Act; Regulatory Amendments .....	0910-AH04
111 .....	Investigational New Drug Application Annual Reporting .....	0910-AH07
112 .....	Requirements for Tobacco Product Manufacturing Practice .....	0910-AH22
113 .....	Use of Ozone Depleting Substances ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	0910-AH36

**FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
114 .....	Requirements for Foreign and Domestic Establishment Registration and Listing for Human Drugs, Including Drugs That Are Regulated Under a Biologics License Application, and Animal Drugs.	0910-AA49
115 .....	Postmarketing Safety Reporting Requirements for Human Drug and Biological Products .....	0910-AA97
116 .....	Medical Gas Containers and Closures; Current Good Manufacturing Practice Requirements .....	0910-AC53

## FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE—Continued

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
117 .....	Food Labeling: Revision of the Nutrition and Supplement Facts Labels .....	0910-AF22
118 .....	Food Labeling: Serving Sizes of Foods That Can Reasonably Be Consumed At One Eating Occasion; Dual-Column Labeling; Updating, Modifying, and Establishing Certain RACCs.	0910-AF23
119 .....	Abbreviated New Drug Applications and 505(b)(2) .....	0910-AF97
120 .....	“Tobacco Products” Subject to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as Amended by the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act.	0910-AG38
121 .....	Human Subject Protection; Acceptance of Data From Clinical Investigations for Medical Devices .....	0910-AG48
122 .....	Focused Mitigation Strategies To Protect Food Against Intentional Adulteration .....	0910-AG63
123 .....	Supplemental Applications Proposing Labeling Changes for Approved Drugs and Biological Products .....	0910-AG94
124 .....	Food Labeling: Gluten-Free Labeling of Fermented, Hydrolyzed, or Distilled Foods .....	0910-AH00
125 .....	General and Plastic Surgery Devices: Sunlamp Products .....	0910-AH14

## FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
126 .....	Laser Products; Amendment to Performance Standard .....	0910-AF87
127 .....	Requirements for the Testing and Reporting of Tobacco Product Constituents, Ingredients, and Additives	0910-AG59
128 .....	Radiology Devices; Designation of Special Controls for the Computed Tomography X-Ray System .....	0910-AH03
129 .....	Regulations on Human Drug Compounding Under Sections 503A and 503B of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.	0910-AH10

## FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
130 .....	Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption .....	0910-AG35
131 .....	Foreign Supplier Verification Program .....	0910-AG64
132 .....	Sanitary Transportation of Human and Animal Food .....	0910-AG98

## CENTERS FOR MEDICARE &amp; MEDICAID SERVICES—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
133 .....	Hospital and Critical Access Hospital (CAH) Changes to Promote Innovation, Flexibility, and Improvement in Patient Care (CMS-3295-P) <b>(Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS21
134 .....	Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) and Alternative Payment Models (APMs) in Medicare Fee-for-Service (CMS-5517-P) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS69
135 .....	Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment System for Acute Care Hospitals and the Long-Term Care Hospital Prospective Payment System and FY 2017 Rates (CMS-1655-F) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS77
136 .....	CY 2017 Home Health Prospective Payment System Rate Update; Home Health Value-Based Purchasing Model; and Home Health Quality Reporting Requirements <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS80
137 .....	CY 2017 Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule and Other Revisions to Medicare Part B (CMS-1654-P) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS81
138 .....	CY 2017 Hospital Outpatient PPS Policy Changes and Payment Rates and Ambulatory Surgical Center Payment System Policy Changes and Payment Rates (CMS-1656-P) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS82

## CENTERS FOR MEDICARE &amp; MEDICAID SERVICES—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
139 .....	Emergency Preparedness Requirements for Medicare and Medicaid Participating Providers and Suppliers (CMS-3178-F) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AO91
140 .....	Reform of Requirements for Long-Term Care Facilities (CMS-3260-F) <b>(Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AR61

## CENTERS FOR MEDICARE &amp; MEDICAID SERVICES—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
141 .....	Conditions of Participation for Home Health Agencies (CMS-3819-F) <b>(Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AG81

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES—LONG-TERM ACTIONS—Continued

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
142 .....	Medicare Clinical Diagnostic Laboratory Test Payment System (CMS-1621-F) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .....	0938-AS33
143 .....	Imaging Accreditation (CMS-3309-P) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .....	0938-AS62

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE & MEDICAID SERVICES—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
144 .....	Covered Outpatient Drugs (CMS-2345-FC) <b>(Completion of a Section 610 Review)</b> .....	0938-AQ41
145 .....	CY 2016 Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule and Other Revisions to Medicare Part B (CMS-1631-FC) <b>(Completion of a Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS40
146 .....	CY 2016 Hospital Outpatient PPS Policy Changes and Payment Rates and Ambulatory Surgical Center Payment System Policy Changes and Payment Rates (CMS-1633-FC) <b>(Completion of a Section 610 Review)</b> .	0938-AS42
147 .....	Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (CMS-5516-F) <b>(Completion of a Section 610 Review)</b> .....	0938-AS64

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Office for Civil Rights (OCR)*

Final Rule Stage

**104. Nondiscrimination Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 18116

*Abstract:* This final rule implements prohibitions against discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, age, and disability as provided in section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act. Section 1557 provides protection from discrimination in health programs and activities of covered entities. This section also identifies additional forms of Federal financial assistance to which the section will apply.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/08/15	80 FR 54172
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/09/15	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Eileen Hanrahan, Senior Civil Rights Analyst, Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights, 200 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20201, *Phone:* 202 205-4925, *Email:* eileen.hanrahan@hhs.gov.

*RIN:* 0945-AA02

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**105. • ONC Health IT Certification Program: Enhanced Oversight and Accountability**

*Legal Authority:* Not Yet Determined

*Abstract:* The rulemaking introduces modifications and new requirements under the ONC Health IT Certification Program (“Program”), including provisions related to the Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology (ONC)’s role in the Program. The proposed rule proposes to establish processes for ONC to directly review health IT certified under the Program and take action when necessary, including requiring the correction of non-conformities found in health IT certified under the Program and suspending and terminating certifications issued to Complete EHRs and Health IT Modules. The proposed rule includes processes for ONC to authorize and oversee accredited testing laboratories under the Program. It also includes a provision for the increased transparency and availability of surveillance results.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/02/16	81 FR 11056
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/02/16	
Final Action .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Michael Lipinski, Policy Analyst, Department of Health and Human Services, Office of the

National Coordinator for Health Information Technology, Room 729D, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20201, *Phone:* 202 690-7151.

*RIN:* 0955-AA00

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Food and Drug Administration (FDA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**106. Over-the-Counter (OTC) Drug Review—Cough/Cold (Antihistamine) Products**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321p; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 351 to 353; 21 U.S.C. 355; 21 U.S.C. 360; 21 U.S.C. 371

*Abstract:* FDA will be proposing a rule to add the common cold indication to certain over-the-counter (OTC) antihistamine active ingredients. This proposed rule is the result of collaboration under the U.S.-Canada Regulatory Cooperation Council (RCC) as part of efforts to reduce unnecessary duplication and differences. This pilot exercise will help determine the feasibility of developing an ongoing mechanism for alignment in review and adoption of OTC drug monograph elements.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Reopening of Administrative Record.	08/25/00	65 FR 51780
Comment Period End.	11/24/00	
NPRM (Amendment) (Common Cold).	01/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Janice Adams-King, Regulatory Health Project Manager, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, WO 22, Room 5416, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301 796-3713, *Fax:* 301 796-9899, *Email:* janice.adams-king@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AF31

**107. Over-the-Counter (OTC) Drug Review—Topical Antimicrobial Drug Products**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321p; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 351 to 353; 21 U.S.C. 355; 21 U.S.C. 360; 21 U.S.C. 371

*Abstract:* The OTC drug review establishes conditions under which OTC drugs are considered generally recognized as safe and effective, and not misbranded. After a final monograph (i.e., final rule) is issued, only OTC drugs meeting the conditions of the monograph, or having an approved new drug application, may be legally marketed. This action addresses antimicrobial agents in consumer antiseptic hand wash.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM (Healthcare). Comment Period End.	06/17/94	59 FR 31402
NPRM (Consumer Hand Wash Products).	12/15/95	
NPRM (Consumer Hand Wash) Comment Period End.	12/17/13	78 FR 76443
NPRM (Healthcare Antiseptic).	06/16/14	
NPRM Comment Period End (Healthcare Antiseptic).	05/01/15	80 FR 25166
NPRM (Consumer Hand Rub).	10/28/15	
Final Rule (Consumer Hand Wash).	06/00/16	
	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Janice Adams-King, Regulatory Health Project Manager, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, WO 22, Room 5416, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301

796-3713, *Fax:* 301 796-9899, *Email:*

janice.adams-king@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AF69

**108. Updated Standards for Labeling of Pet Food**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 343; 21 U.S.C. 371; Pub. L. 110-85, sec 1002(a)(3)

*Abstract:* FDA is proposing updated standards for the labeling of pet food that include nutritional and ingredient information, as well as style and formatting standards. FDA is taking this action to provide pet owners and animal health professionals more complete and consistent information about the nutrient content and ingredient composition of pet food products.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* William Burkholder, Veterinary Medical Officer, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Veterinary Medicine, MPN-4, Room 2642, HFV-228, 7519 Standish Place, Rockville, MD 20855, *Phone:* 240 402-5900, *Email:* william.burkholder@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AG09

**109. Format and Content of Reports Intended To Demonstrate Substantial Equivalence**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 387e(j); 21 U.S.C. 387j(a); secs 905(j) and 910(a) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act

*Abstract:* This regulation would establish the format and content of reports intended to demonstrate substantial equivalence. This regulation also would provide information as to how the Agency will review and act on these submissions.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Annette L. Marthaler, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Tobacco Products, Document Control Center, Building 71, Room G335, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 877 287-1373, *Fax:* 877 287-1426, *Email:* ctpregulations@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AG96

**110. Mammography Quality Standards Act; Regulatory Amendments**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 360i; 21 U.S.C. 360nn; 21 U.S.C. 374(e); 42 U.S.C. 263b

*Abstract:* FDA is proposing to amend its regulations governing mammography. The amendments would update the regulations issued under the Mammography Quality Standards Act of 1992 (MQSA). FDA is taking this action to address changes in mammography technology and mammography processes that have occurred since the regulations were published in 1997 and to address breast density reporting to patient and health care providers.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Nancy Pirt, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, WO 66, Room 4438, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301 796-6248, *Fax:* 301 847-8145, *Email:* nancy.pirt@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AH04

**111. Investigational New Drug Application Annual Reporting**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 351; 21 U.S.C. 352; 21 U.S.C. 353; 21 U.S.C. 355(i); 21 U.S.C. 371(a); 42 U.S.C. 262(a)

*Abstract:* This proposed rule would revise the requirements concerning annual reports submitted to investigational new drug applications (INDs) by replacing the current annual reporting requirement with a requirement that is generally consistent with the format, content, and timing of submission of the development safety update report devised by the International Conference on Harmonization of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use (ICH).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Ebla Ali Ibrahim, Project Manager, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug

Evaluation and Research, Building 51, Room 6302, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, Phone: 301 796-3691, Email: ebla.ali-ibrahim@fda.hhs.gov. RIN: 0910-AH07

**112. Requirements for Tobacco Product Manufacturing Practice**

Legal Authority: 21 U.S.C. 371; 21 U.S.C. 387b; 21 U.S.C. 387f

Abstract: FDA is proposing requirements that govern the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for, the pre-production design validation, manufacture, packing, and storage of tobacco products.

Timetable:

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	03/19/13	78 FR 16824
ANPRM Comment Period End.	05/20/13	
NPRM .....	12/00/16	

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Darin Achilles, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Document Control Center, Building 71, Room G335, Silver Spring, MD 20993, Phone: 877 287-1373, Fax: 301 595-1426, Email: ctpregulations@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AH22

**113. • Use of Ozone Depleting Substances (Section 610 Review)**

Legal Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 335; 21 U.S.C. 342; 21 U.S.C. 346a; 21 U.S.C. 348; 21 U.S.C. 351; 21 U.S.C. 352; 21 U.S.C. 355; 21 U.S.C. 360b; 21 U.S.C. 361; 21 U.S.C. 371; 21 U.S.C. 372; 21 U.S.C. 374; 15 U.S.C. 402; 15 U.S.C. 409

Abstract: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA or the Agency) is proposing to amend its regulation (21 CFR 2.125) on uses of ozone-depleting substances (ODSs), including chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), to remove designations for certain products as essential uses under the Clean Air Act. Essential-use products are exempt from FDA's ban on the use of CFC propellants in FDA-regulated products and the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) ban on the use of CFCs and other ODSs in pressurized dispensers. This action, if finalized, will remove essential use exemptions for sterile aerosol talc administered intrapleurally by thoracoscopy for human use, metered-dose atropine sulfate aerosol human drugs administered by oral inhalation, and anesthetic drugs for topical use on accessible mucous

membranes of humans where a cannula is used for application. FDA is proposing this action because alternative products that do not use ODSs are now available and because these products are no longer being marketed in approved versions that contain ODSs. On June 29, 2015, FDA published a notice and request for comment concerning its tentative conclusion that these products are no longer an essential use under the Clean Air Act (80 FR 36937). The Agency received no comments concerning removal of essential use designations for sterile aerosol talc and metered-dose atropine sulfate, and is proposing to remove these designations by direct final rule and a companion proposed rule in the event adverse comments are received. FDA received one comment concerning removal of anesthetic drugs for topical use in response to its 2015 notice and request for comment, and is proposing to remove this exemption through a separate notice. Because these products are not currently sold in the approved form, no significant economic impact is anticipated.

Timetable:

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/00/16	

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.

Agency Contact: Daniel Orr, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Building 51 Room 5199, 10993 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, Phone: 240 402-0979, Email: daniel.orr@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AH36

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

Food and Drug Administration (FDA)

Final Rule Stage

**114. Requirements for Foreign and Domestic Establishment Registration and Listing for Human Drugs, Including Drugs That Are Regulated Under a Biologics License Application, and Animal Drugs**

Legal Authority: 21 U.S.C. 321 and 331; 21 U.S.C. 351 to 353; 21 U.S.C. 355 to 356c; 21 U.S.C. 360 and 360b; 21 U.S.C. 360c to 360f; 21 U.S.C. 360h to 360j; 21 U.S.C. 371 and 374; 21 U.S.C. 379e and 381; 21 U.S.C. 393; 15 U.S.C. 1451 to 1561; 42 U.S.C. 262 and 264; 42 U.S.C. 271; and sec 122; Pub. L. 105-115, 11 Stat. 2322 (21 U.S.C. 355 note)

Abstract: The rule will reorganize, consolidate, clarify, and modify current regulations concerning who must register establishments and list human drugs, including certain biological drugs, and animal drugs. These regulations contain information on when, how, and where to register drug establishments and list drugs, and what information must be submitted. They also address National Drug Codes.

Timetable:

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/29/06	71 FR 51276
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/26/07	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: David Joy, Senior Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Building 51, Room 6254, Silver Spring, MD 20993, Phone: 301 796-2242, Email: david.joy@fda.hhs.gov.

RIN: 0910-AA49

**115. Postmarketing Safety Reporting Requirements for Human Drug and Biological Products**

Legal Authority: 42 U.S.C. 216; 42 U.S.C. 241; 42 U.S.C. 242a; 42 U.S.C. 262 and 263; 42 U.S.C. 263a to 263n; 42 U.S.C. 264; 42 U.S.C. 300aa; 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 351 to 353; 21 U.S.C. 355; 21 U.S.C. 360; 21 U.S.C. 360b to 360j; 21 U.S.C. 361a; 21 U.S.C. 371; 21 U.S.C. 374; 21 U.S.C. 375; 21 U.S.C. 379e; 21 U.S.C. 381

Abstract: The final rule would amend the postmarketing expedited and periodic safety reporting regulations for human drugs and biological products to revise certain definitions and reporting formats as recommended by the International Conference on Harmonisation and to define new terms; to add to or revise current reporting requirements; to revise certain reporting time frames; and to propose other revisions to these regulations to enhance the quality of safety reports received by FDA. These revisions were proposed as part of a single rulemaking (68 FR 12406) to clarify and revise both premarketing and postmarketing safety reporting requirements for human drug and biological products. FDA plans to finalize the premarket and postmarket safety reporting requirements in separate final rules. Premarketing safety reporting requirements were finalized in a separate final rule published on

September 29, 2010 (75 FR 59961). This final rule applies to postmarketing safety reporting requirements.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/14/03	68 FR 12406
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	06/18/03	
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/14/03	
NPRM Comment Period Extension End.	10/14/03	
Final Action .....	03/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jane E. Baluss, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, WO 51, Room 6362, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, *Phone:* 301 796-3469, *Fax:* 301 847-8440, *Email:* jane.baluss@fda.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0910-AA97

**116. Medical Gas Containers and Closures; Current Good Manufacturing Practice Requirements**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 351 to 21 U.S.C. 353

*Abstract:* The Food and Drug Administration is amending its current good manufacturing practice regulations and other regulations to clarify and strengthen requirements for the label, color, dedication, and design of medical gas containers and closures. Despite existing regulatory requirements and industry standards for medical gases, there have been repeated incidents in which cryogenic containers of harmful industrial gases have been connected to medical oxygen supply systems in hospitals and nursing homes and subsequently administered to patients. These incidents have resulted in death and serious injury. There have also been several incidents involving high-pressure medical gas cylinders that have resulted in death and injuries to patients. These amendments, together with existing regulations, are intended to ensure that the types of incidents that have occurred in the past, as well as other types of foreseeable and potentially deadly medical gas accidents, do not occur in the future. FDA has described a number of proposals in the proposed rule including requiring that gas use outlet connections on portable cryogenic medical gas containers be securely attached to the valve body.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/10/06	71 FR 18039
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/10/06	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Patrick Raulerson, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, WO 51, Room 6368, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, *Phone:* 301 796-3522, *Fax:* 301 847-8440, *Email:* patrick.raulerson@fda.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0910-AC53

**117. Food Labeling: Revision of the Nutrition and Supplement Facts Labels**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 343; 21 U.S.C. 371

*Abstract:* FDA is amending the labeling regulations for conventional foods and dietary supplements to provide updated nutrition information on the label to assist consumers in maintaining healthy dietary practices. The rule would modernize the nutrition information found on the Nutrition Facts label, as well as the format and appearance of the label. On July 27, 2015, FDA issued a supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking accepting comments on limited additional provisions until October 13, 2015. Also on July 27, 2015, FDA reopened the comment period on the proposed rule as to specific documents until September 25, 2015. In addition, in response to requests for the raw data related to FDA's consumer studies on the nutrition label, FDA issued a notice on September 10, 2015 to make the raw data available for comment until October 13, 2015 and extended the comment period for the July 27, 2015 reopening as to specific documents to October 13, 2015. On October 20, 2015, FDA extended the comment period for the consumer studies and the supplemental proposal to October 23, 2015.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	07/11/03	68 FR 41507
ANPRM Comment Period End.	10/09/03	
Second ANPRM ..	04/04/05	70 FR 17008
Second ANPRM Comment Period End.	06/20/05	
Third ANPRM .....	11/02/07	72 FR 62149
Third ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/31/08	
NPRM .....	03/03/14	79 FR 11879

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/02/14	80 FR 44302
Reopening of Comment Period as to Specific Documents.	07/27/15	
NPRM Comment Period End as to Specific Documents.	09/25/15	
Supplemental NPRM to Solicit Comment on Limited Additional Provisions.	07/27/15	80 FR 44303
Supplemental NPRM to Solicit Comment on Limited Additional Provisions Comment Period End.	10/13/15	
Administrative Docket Update; Extension of Comment Period.	09/10/15	80 FR 54446
Administrative Docket Update; Comment Period End.	10/13/15	
NPRM Reopening of Comment Period for Certain Documents.	10/20/15	80 FR 63477
NPRM Reopening of Comment Period for Certain Documents Comment Period End.	10/23/15	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Blakeley Fitzpatrick, Interdisciplinary Scientist, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, HFS-830, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740, *Phone:* 240 402-5429, *Email:* nutritionprogramstaff@fda.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0910-AF22

**118. Food Labeling: Serving Sizes of Foods That Can Reasonably Be Consumed at One Eating Occasion; Dual—Column Labeling; Updating, Modifying, and Establishing Certain RACCS**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 343; 21 U.S.C. 371; Pub. L. 101-535, sec 2(b)(1)(A)

*Abstract:* FDA is amending its labeling regulations for foods to provide update, modify, and establish Reference Amounts Customarily Consumed (RACCs) for certain food categories. This

rule would provide consumers with nutrition information based on the amount of food that is customarily consumed, which would assist consumers in maintaining healthy dietary practices. In addition to updating, modifying, and establishing certain RACCs, FDA is amending the definition of a single-serving containers; amending the label serving size for breath mints; and providing for dual-column labeling under certain circumstances, which would provide nutrition information per serving and per container or unit, as applicable; and making technical amendments to various aspects fo the serving size regulations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	04/04/05	70 FR 17010
ANPRM Comment Period End.	06/20/05	
NPRM/Comment Period Extended.	03/03/14	79 FR 11989
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/02/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	05/27/14	79 FR 29699
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/01/14	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cherisa Henderson, Nutritionist, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, HFS-830, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740, *Phone:* 240 402-5429, *Fax:* 301 436-1191, *Email:* nutritionprogramstaff@fda.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0910-AF23

**119. Abbreviated New Drug Applications and 505(B)(2)**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 108-173, title XI; 21 U.S.C. 355; 21 U.S.C. 371

*Abstract:* This proposed rule would make changes to certain procedures for Abbreviated New Drug Applications and related applications to patent certifications, notice to patent owners and application holders, the availability of a 30-month stay of approval, amendments and supplements, and the types of bioavailability and bioequivalence data that can be used to support these applications.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/06/15	80 FR 6802
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/07/15	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	04/24/15	80 FR 22953
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	06/08/15	
Final Action .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Janice L. Weiner, Senior Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Building 51, Room 6268, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, *Phone:* 301 796-3601, *Fax:* 301 847-8440, *Email:* janice.weiner@fda.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0910-AF97

**120. "Tobacco Products" Subject to the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as Amended by the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 301 *et seq.*; The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act; Pub. L. 111-31; The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act

*Abstract:* The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act (Tobacco Control Act) provides the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) authority to regulate cigarettes, cigarette tobacco, roll-your-own tobacco, and smokeless tobacco. The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act), as amended by the Tobacco Control Act, permits FDA to issue regulations deeming other tobacco products to be subject to the FD&C Act. This rule would deem additional products meeting the statutory definition of "tobacco product" to be subject to the FD&C Act, and would specify additional restrictions.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/25/14	79 FR 23142
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/09/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	06/24/14	79 FR 35711
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	08/08/14	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Gerie Voss, Senior Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Tobacco Products, Document Control

Center, Building 71, Room G335, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 877 287-1373, *Fax:* 301 595-1426, *Email:* ctpregulations@fda.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0910-AG38

**121. Human Subject Protection; Acceptance of Data From Clinical Investigations for Medical Devices**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 351; 21 U.S.C. 352; 21 U.S.C. 360; 21 U.S.C. 360c; 21 U.S.C. 360e; 21 U.S.C. 360i; 21 U.S.C. 360j; 21 U.S.C. 371; 21 U.S.C. 374; 21 U.S.C. 381; 21 U.S.C. 393; 42 U.S.C. 264; 42 U.S.C. 271; . . .

*Abstract:* This rule will amend FDA's regulations on acceptance of data for medical devices to require that clinical investigations submitted in support of a premarket approval application, humanitarian device exemption application, an investigational device exemption application, or a premarket notification submission be conducted in accordance with good clinical practice if conducted outside the United States.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/25/13	78 FR 12664
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/28/13	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Soma Kalb, Biomedical Engineer, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, Building 66, Room 1534, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301 796-6359, *Email:* soma.kalb@fda.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0910-AG48

**122. Focused Mitigation Strategies To Protect Food Against Intentional Adulteration**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 342; 21 U.S.C. 350g; 21 U.S.C. 350i; 21 U.S.C. 371; 21 U.S.C. 374; Pub. L. 111-353

*Abstract:* This rule would require domestic and foreign food facilities that are required to register under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act to address hazards that may be intentionally introduced by acts of terrorism. These food facilities would be required to identify and implement focused mitigation strategies to significantly minimize or prevent significant vulnerabilities identified at actionable process steps in a food operation.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/24/13	78 FR 78014
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	03/25/14	79 FR 16251
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/31/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	06/30/14	
Final Rule .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jody Menikheim, Supervisory General Health Scientist, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (HFS-005), 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740, *Phone:* 240 402-1864, *Fax:* 301 436-2633, *Email:* fooddefense@fda.hhs.gov.  
*RIN:* 0910-AG63

**123. Supplemental Applications Proposing Labeling Changes for Approved Drugs and Biological Products**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 321; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 352; 21 U.S.C. 353; 21 U.S.C. 355; 21 U.S.C. 371; 42 U.S.C. 262; . . .

*Abstract:* This rule would amend the regulations regarding new drug applications (NDAs), abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), and biologics license application (BLAs) to revise and clarify procedures for changes to the labeling of an approved drug to reflect certain types of newly acquired information in advance of FDA's review of such change.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/13/13	78 FR 67985
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	12/27/13	78 FR 78796
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/13/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	03/13/14	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	02/18/15	80 FR 8577
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened End.	04/27/15	
Final Rule .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Janice L. Weiner, Senior Regulatory Counsel, Department

of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Building 51, Room 6268, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002, *Phone:* 301 796-3601, *Fax:* 301 847-8440, *Email:* janice.weiner@fda.hhs.gov.  
*RIN:* 0910-AG94

**124. Food Labeling; Gluten-Free Labeling of Fermented, Hydrolyzed, or Distilled Foods**

*Legal Authority:* sec 206 of the Food Allergen Labeling and Consumer Protection Act; 21 U.S.C. 343(a)(1); 21 U.S.C. 321(n); 21 U.S.C. 371(a)

*Abstract:* This proposed rule would establish requirements concerning compliance for using a "gluten-free" labeling claim for those foods for which there is no scientifically valid analytical method available that can reliably detect and accurately quantify the presence of 20 parts per million (ppm) gluten in the food.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/18/15	80 FR 71990
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	02/16/16	81 FR 3751
Comment Period Extended.	02/22/16	81 FR 8869
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Carol D'Lima, Staff Fellow, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Room 4D022, HFS 820, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740, *Phone:* 240 402-2371, *Fax:* 301 436-2636, *Email:* carol.dlima@fda.hhs.gov.  
*RIN:* 0910-AH00

**125. General and Plastic Surgery Devices: Sunlamp Products**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 360j(e)  
*Abstract:* This proposed rule would apply device restrictions to sunlamp products.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/22/15	80 FR 79493
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/21/16	
Final Action .....	11/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Ian Ostermiller, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Building 66 Room

5515, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301 796-5678, *Email:* ian.ostermiller@fda.hhs.gov.  
*RIN:* 0910-AH14

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Food and Drug Administration (FDA)*

Long-Term Actions

**126. Laser Products; Amendment to Performance Standard**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 360hh to 360ss; 21 U.S.C. 371; 21 U.S.C. 393

*Abstract:* FDA is proposing to amend the 2013 proposed rule for the performance standard for laser products, which will amend the performance standard for laser products to achieve closer harmonization between the current standard and the recently amended International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) standard for laser products and medical laser products. The amendment is intended to update FDA's performance standard to reflect advancements in technology.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/24/13	78 FR 37723
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/23/13	
NPRM (Reproposal).	06/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Erica Blake, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, WO 66, Room 4426, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301 796-6248, *Fax:* 301 847-8145, *Email:* erica.blake@fda.hhs.gov.  
*RIN:* 0910-AF87

**127. Requirements for the Testing and Reporting of Tobacco Product Constituents, Ingredients, and Additives**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 301 et seq.; 21 U.S.C. 387; The Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act

*Abstract:* The Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, as amended by the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, requires the Food and Drug Administration to promulgate regulations that require the testing and reporting of tobacco product constituents, ingredients, and additives, including smoke constituents, that the

Agency determines should be tested to protect the public health.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Laura Rich, Senior Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Tobacco Products, Building 71, G335, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 877 287-1373, *Email:* [ctpregulations@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:ctpregulations@fda.hhs.gov).

*RIN:* 0910-AG59

**128. Radiology Devices; Designation of Special Controls for the Computed Tomography X-Ray System**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 360c

*Abstract:* The proposed rule would establish special controls for the computed tomography (CT) X-ray system. A CT X-ray system is a diagnostic X-ray imaging system intended to produce cross-sectional images of the body through use of a computer to reconstruct an image from the same axial plane taken at different angles. High doses of ionizing radiation can cause acute (deterministic) effects such as burns, reddening of the skin, cataracts, hair loss, sterility, and, in extremely high doses, radiation poisoning. The design of a CT X-ray system should balance the benefits of the device (i.e., the ability of the device to produce a diagnostic quality image) with the known risks (e.g., exposure to ionizing radiation). FDA is establishing proposed special controls, which are necessary to provide reasonable assurance of the safety and effectiveness of a class II CT X-ray system.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Erica Blake, Regulatory Counsel, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Devices and Radiological Health, WO 66, Room 4426, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301 796-6248, *Fax:* 301 847-8145, *Email:* [erica.blake@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:erica.blake@fda.hhs.gov).

*RIN:* 0910-AH03

**129. Regulations on Human Drug Compounding Under Sections 503A and 503B of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 353a; 21 U.S.C. 353b; 21 U.S.C. 371

*Abstract:* FDA will propose regulations to define and implement certain statutory conditions under which compounded products may qualify for exemptions from certain requirements.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sarah Rothman, Consumer Safety Officer, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, Building 51, Room 5197, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993, *Phone:* 301 796-3536, *Email:* [sarah.rothman@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:sarah.rothman@fda.hhs.gov).

*RIN:* 0910-AH10

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Food and Drug Administration (FDA)*

Completed Actions

**130. Standards for the Growing, Harvesting, Packing, and Holding of Produce for Human Consumption**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 342; 21 U.S.C. 350h; 21 U.S.C. 371; 42 U.S.C. 264; Pub. L. 111-353 (signed on January 4, 2011)

*Abstract:* This rule will establish science-based minimum standards for the safe production and harvesting of those types of fruits and vegetables that are raw agricultural commodities for which the Secretary has determined that such standards minimize the risk of serious adverse health consequences or death. The purpose of the rule is to reduce the risk of illness associated with fresh produce.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/16/13	78 FR 3503
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/16/13	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	04/26/13	78 FR 24692
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	09/16/13	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	08/09/13	78 FR 48637
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	11/15/13	
Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Rule.	08/19/13	78 FR 50358
Notice of Intent To Prepare Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Rule Comment Period End.	11/15/13	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	11/20/13	78 FR 69605
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	11/22/13	
Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Rule; Comment Period Extended.	03/11/14	79 FR 13593
Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Rule; Comment Period Extended End.	04/18/14	
Supplemental NPRM.	09/29/14	79 FR 58433
Supplemental NPRM Comment Period End.	12/15/14	
Final Action—Draft Environmental Impact Statement.	01/14/15	80 FR 1852
Final Action—Draft Environmental Impact Statement Comment Period End.	03/13/15	
Final Action Effective.	01/26/16	
Final Rule .....	11/27/15	80 FR 74353

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Samir Assar, Supervisory Consumer Safety Officer, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Office of Food Safety, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740, *Phone:* 240 402-1636, *Email:* [samir.assar@fda.hhs.gov](mailto:samir.assar@fda.hhs.gov).

*RIN:* 0910-AG35

**131. Foreign Supplier Verification Program**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 384a; title III, sec 301 of FDA Food Safety Modernization Act; Pub. L. 111–353, establishing sec 805 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act)

*Abstract:* This rule describes what a food importer must do to verify that its foreign suppliers produce food that is as safe as food produced in the United States. FDA is taking this action to improve the safety of food that is imported into the United States.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/29/13	78 FR 45729
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/26/13	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	11/20/13	78 FR 69602
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	01/27/14	
Supplemental NPRM.	09/29/14	79 FR 58573
Supplemental NPRM Comment Period End.	12/15/14	
Final Rule .....	11/27/15	80 FR 74225
Final Rule Effective.	01/27/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brian L. Pendleton, Senior Policy Advisor, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Office of Policy, WO 32, Room 4245, 10903 New Hampshire Avenue, Silver Spring, MD 20993–0002, *Phone:* 301 796–4614, *Fax:* 301 847–8616, *Email:* brian.pendleton@fda.hhs.gov.

*RIN:* 0910–AG64

**132. Sanitary Transportation of Human and Animal Food**

*Legal Authority:* 21 U.S.C. 350e; 21 U.S.C. 373; 21 U.S.C. 331; 21 U.S.C. 342; 21 U.S.C. 371; . . .

*Abstract:* This rule would establish requirements for parties including shippers, carriers by motor vehicle or rail vehicle, and receivers engaged in the transportation of food, including food for animals, to use sanitary transportation practices to ensure that food is not transported under conditions that may render the food adulterated.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	04/30/10	75 FR 22713
ANPRM Comment Period End.	08/30/10	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/05/14	79 FR 7005
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	05/23/14	79 FR 29699
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/31/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	07/30/14	
Final Rule .....	04/06/16	81 FR 20092
Final Rule Effective.	06/06/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Michael E. Kashtock, Supervisory Consumer Safety Officer, Department of Health and Human Services, Food and Drug Administration, Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Office of Food Safety, 5100 Paint Branch Parkway, College Park, MD 20740, *Phone:* 240 402–2022, *Fax:* 301 346–2632, *Email:* michael.kashtock@fda.hhs.gov.

*RIN:* 0910–AG98

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**133. Hospital and Critical Access Hospital (CAH) Changes to Promote Innovation, Flexibility, and Improvement in Patient Care (CMS–3295–P) (Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1302; 42 U.S.C. 1395hh and 1395rr

*Abstract:* This proposed rule would update the requirements that hospitals and Critical Access Hospitals (CAHs) must meet to participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. These proposals are intended to conform the requirements to current standards of practice and to support improvements in quality of care, reduce barriers to care, and reduce some issues that may exacerbate workforce shortage concerns.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.*

*Agency Contact:* CDR Scott Cooper, Senior Technical Advisor, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Clinical Standards and Quality, Mail Stop S3–01–02, 7500

Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786–9465, *Email:* scott.cooper@cms.hhs.gov.

*RIN:* 0938–AS21

**134. Merit-Based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) and Alternative Payment Models (APMS) in Medicare Fee-For-Service (CMS–5517–P) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 114–10, sec 101

*Abstract:* This proposed rule would implement provisions of the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act (MACRA) related to MIPS and APMS. Section 101 of MACRA authorizes a new MIPS, which repeals the Medicare sustainable growth rate and improves Medicare payments for physician services. MACRA consolidates the current programs of the Physician Quality Reporting System, the Value-Based Modifier, and the Electronic Health Records Incentive Program into one program, MIPS, that streamlines and improves on the three distinct incentive programs. Additionally, MACRA authorizes incentive payments for providers who participate in eligible APMS.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/09/16	81 FR 28161
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/27/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* James Sharp, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation Center, MS: WB–06–05, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786–7388, *Email:* james.sharp@cms.hhs.gov.

*RIN:* 0938–AS69

**135. Hospital Inpatient Prospective Payment System for Acute Care Hospitals and the Long-Term Care Hospital Prospective Payment System and FY 2017 Rates (CMS–1655–F) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1302; 42 U.S.C. 1395hh

*Abstract:* This annual final rule revises the Medicare hospital inpatient and long-term care hospital prospective payment systems for operating and capital-related costs. This rule implements changes arising from our continuing experience with these systems.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/27/16	81 FR 24946
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/17/16	
Final Action .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Donald Thompson, Deputy Director, Division of Acute Care, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, MS: C4-01-26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-6504, *Email:* donald.thompson@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS77

**136. CY 2017 Home Health Prospective Payment System Rate Update; Home Health Value-Based Purchasing Model; and Home Health Quality Reporting Requirements (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1302; 42 U.S.C. 1395hh

*Abstract:* This annual proposed rule would update the 60-day national episode rate, the national per-visit rates used to calculate low utilization payment adjustments (LUPAs), and outlier payments under the Medicare prospective payment system for home health agencies. The rule would also update the provisions of the Home Health Value-Based Purchasing (HHVBP) program.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Hillary Loeffler, Deputy Director, Division of Home Health and Hospice, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, MS: C5-07-22, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-0456, *Email:* hillary.loeffler@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS80

**137. CY 2017 Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule and Other Revisions to Medicare Part B (CMS-1654-P) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1302; 42 U.S.C. 1395hh; Pub. L. 114-10

*Abstract:* This annual proposed rule would revise payment policies under the Medicare physician fee schedule, and make other policy changes to payment under Medicare Part B. These changes

would apply to services furnished beginning January 1, 2017.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Ryan Howe, Director, Division of Practitioner Services, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, MS: C4-01-15, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-3355, *Email:* ryan.howe@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS81

**138. CY 2017 Hospital Outpatient PPS Policy Changes and Payment Rates and Ambulatory Surgical Center Payment System Policy Changes and Payment Rates (CMS-1656-P) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1302; 42 U.S.C. 1395hh

*Abstract:* This annual proposed rule would revise the Medicare hospital outpatient prospective payment system to implement statutory requirements and changes arising from our continuing experience with this system. The rule describes changes to the amounts and factors used to determine payment rates for services. In addition, the rule would change the ambulatory surgical center payment system list of services and rates.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Marjorie Baldo, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, MS: C4-03-06, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-4617, *Email:* marjorie.baldo@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS82

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)*

Final Rule Stage

**139. Emergency Preparedness Requirements for Medicare and Medicaid Participating Providers and Suppliers (CMS-3178-F) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1821; 42 U.S.C. 1861ff (3)(B)(i)(ii); 42 U.S.C. 1913(c)(1) et al

*Abstract:* This rule finalizes emergency preparedness requirements for Medicare and Medicaid participating providers and suppliers to ensure that they adequately plan for both natural and man-made disasters and coordinate with Federal, State, tribal, regional, and local emergency preparedness systems. This rule ensures providers and suppliers are adequately prepared to meet the needs of patients, residents, clients, and participants during disasters and emergency situations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/27/13	78 FR 79082
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	02/21/14	79 FR 9872
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/31/14	
Final Action .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Janice Graham, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Clinical Standards and Quality, Mail Stop S3-02-01, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244-1850, *Phone:* 410 786-8020, *Email:* janice.graham@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AO91

**140. Reform of Requirements for Long-Term Care Facilities (CMS-3260-F) (Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-148, sec 6102; 42 U.S.C. 263a; 42 U.S.C. 1302; 42 U.S.C. 1395hh; 42 U.S.C. 1395rr

*Abstract:* This final rule revises the requirements that long-term care facilities must meet to participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. These changes are necessary to reflect the substantial advances that have been made over the past several years in the theory and practice of service delivery and safety. The rule is also an integral part of CMS efforts to achieve broad-

based improvements both in the quality of health care furnished through federal programs, and in patient safety, while at the same time reducing procedural burdens on providers.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/16/15	80 FR 42167
NPRM Comment Period Extension.	09/15/15	80 FR 55284
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/14/15	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	10/14/15	
Final Action .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Ronisha Blackstone, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Clinical Standards and Quality, MS: S3-02-01, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-6882, *Email:* ronisha.blackstone@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AR61

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Long-Term Actions*

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

**141. Conditions of Participation for Home Health Agencies (CMS-3819-F) (Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1302; 42 U.S.C. 1395x; 42 U.S.C. 1395cc(a); 42 U.S.C. 1395hh; 42 U.S.C. 1395bb

*Abstract:* This final rule revises the conditions of participation (CoPs) that home health agencies (HHAs) must meet in order to participate in the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The requirements focus on the care delivered to patients by HHAs, reflect an interdisciplinary view of patient care, allow HHAs greater flexibility in meeting quality care standards, and eliminate unnecessary procedural requirements. These changes are an integral part of our overall effort to achieve broad-based, measurable improvements in the quality of care furnished through the Medicare and Medicaid programs, while at the same time eliminating unnecessary procedural burdens on providers.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/10/97	62 FR 11005
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/09/97	
Second NPRM ....	10/09/14	79 FR 61163
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	12/01/14	79 FR 71081
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/08/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	01/07/15	
Final Action .....	10/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* No.

*Agency Contact:* Danielle Shearer, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Clinical Standards & Quality, 7500 Security Boulevard, MS: S3-02-01, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-6617, *Email:* danielle.shearer@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AG81

**142. Medicare Clinical Diagnostic Laboratory Test Payment System (CMS-1621-F) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 113-93, sec 216

*Abstract:* This final rule revises the Medicare payment system for clinical diagnostic laboratory tests and implements other changes required by section 216 of the Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/01/15	80 FR 59385
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/25/15	
Final Action .....	10/00/18	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Valerie Miller, Deputy Director, Division of Ambulatory Services, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, Mail Stop C4-01-26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-4535, *Email:* valerie.miller@cms.hhs.gov.

Sarah Harding, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, MS: C4-01-26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-4535, *Email:* sarah.harding@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS33

**143. Imaging Accreditation (CMS-3309-P) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1395hh; 42 U.S.C. 1102

*Abstract:* This proposed rule would establish standards for Imaging Accreditation. These proposed standards would address qualifications for clinical personnel, standards to ensure that suppliers have established policies and procedures governing the use of equipment in furnishing the technical component of advanced diagnostic imaging, and the establishment and maintenance of a quality assurance and quality control program to ensure reliability, clarity, and accuracy of the diagnostic images.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	To Be	Determined

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Sonia Swancy, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Clinical Standards and Quality, MS: S3-02-01, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-8445, *Email:* sonia.swancy@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS62

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS)**

*Completed Actions*

Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS)

**144. Covered Outpatient Drugs (CMS-2345-FC) (Completion of a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-48, sec 2501; Pub. L. 111-48, 2503; Pub. L. 111-48, 3301(d)(2); Pub. L. 111-152, sec 1206; Pub. L. 111-8, sec 221

*Abstract:* This final rule revises requirements pertaining to Medicaid reimbursement for covered outpatient drugs to implement provisions of the Affordable Care Act. This rule also revises other requirements related to covered outpatient drugs, including key aspects of Medicaid coverage, payment, and the drug rebate program.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/02/12	77 FR 5318
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/02/12	
Final Action .....	02/01/16	81 FR 5170

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Action Effective.	04/01/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Wendy Tuttle, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicaid and State Operations, Mail Stop S2-14-26, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-8690, *Email:* wendy.tuttle@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AQ41

**145. CY 2016 Revisions to Payment Policies Under the Physician Fee Schedule and Other Revisions to Medicare Part B (CMS-1631-FC) (Completion of a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 405(a), 1302, 1395x, 1395y(a), 1395ff, 1395kk, 1395rr and 1395ww(k); 42 U.S.C. 263a; 42 U.S.C. 1395m, 1395hh, and 1395ddd; 42 U.S.C. 1395w-101 through 1395w-152, and 1395nn; ...

*Abstract:* This annual final rule revises payment policies under the Medicare physician fee schedule, and makes other policy changes to payment under Medicare Part B. These changes apply to services furnished beginning January 1, 2016.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/15/15	80 FR 41686
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/08/15	
Final Action .....	11/16/15	80 FR 70886
Final Action Effective.	01/01/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Ryan Howe, Director, Division of Practitioner Services,

Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, MS: C4-01-15, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-3355, *Email:* ryan.howe@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS40

**146. CY 2016 Hospital Outpatient PPS Policy Changes and Payment Rates and Ambulatory Surgical Center Payment System Policy Changes and Payment Rates (CMS-1633-FC) (Completion of a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395m, 1395hh, and 1395ddd

*Abstract:* This annual final rule revises the Medicare hospital outpatient prospective payment system to implement statutory requirements and changes arising from our continuing experience with this system. The rule describes changes to the amounts and factors used to determine payment rates for services. In addition, the rule changes the ambulatory surgical center payment system list of services and rates.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/08/15	80 FR 39200
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/31/15	
Final Action .....	11/13/15	80 FR 70298
Final Action Effective.	01/01/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Marjorie Baldo, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare, MS: C4-03-06, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-4617, *Email:* marjorie.baldo@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS42

**147. Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (CMS-5516-F) (Completion of a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* Social Security Act, sec 1115A

*Abstract:* This final rule implements a new Medicare Part A and B payment model under section 1115A of the Social Security Act, called the Comprehensive Care Joint Replacement Model, in which acute care hospitals in certain selected geographic areas receive retrospective bundled payments for episodes of care for lower extremity joint replacement or reattachment of a lower extremity. All related care within 90 days of hospital discharge from the joint replacement procedures would be included in the episode of care. We believe this model furthers our goals in improving the efficiency and quality of care for Medicare beneficiaries for these common medical procedures.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/14/15	80 FR 41198
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/08/15	
Final Action .....	11/24/15	80 FR 73273
Final Action Effective.	01/15/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Claire Schreiber, Health Insurance Specialist, Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Center for Medicare & Medicaid Innovation, MS: WB-08-62, 7500 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, MD 21244, *Phone:* 410 786-8939, *Email:* claire.schreiber@cms.hhs.gov. *RIN:* 0938-AS64

[FR Doc. 2016-12904 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4150-03-P



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part IX

Department of Homeland Security

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Office of the Secretary**

**6 CFR Chs. I and II**

[DHS Docket No. OGC–RP–04–001]

**Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, DHS.  
**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** This regulatory agenda is a semiannual summary of current and projected rulemakings, existing regulations, and completed actions of the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and its components. This agenda provides the public with information about DHS’s regulatory activity. DHS expects that this information will enable the public to be more aware of, and effectively participate in, the Department’s regulatory activity. DHS invites the public to submit comments on any aspect of this agenda.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

**General**

Please direct general comments and inquiries on the agenda to the Regulatory Affairs Law Division, Office

of the General Counsel, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, 245 Murray Lane, Mail Stop 0485, Washington, DC 20528–0485.

**Specific**

Please direct specific comments and inquiries on individual regulatory actions identified in this agenda to the individual listed in the summary of the regulation as the point of contact for that regulation.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** DHS provides this notice pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–354, Sept. 19, 1980) and Executive Order 12866 “Regulatory Planning and Review” (Sept. 30, 1993) as incorporated in Executive Order 13563 “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review” (Jan. 18, 2011), which require the Department to publish a semiannual agenda of regulations. The regulatory agenda is a summary of current and projected rulemakings, as well as actions completed since the publication of the last regulatory agenda for the Department. DHS’s last semiannual regulatory agenda was published on December 15, 2015, at 80 FR 77971.

Beginning in fall 2007, the Internet became the basic means for

disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda is available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602) requires Federal agencies to publish their regulatory flexibility agendas in the **Federal Register**. A regulatory flexibility agenda shall contain, among other things, a brief description of the subject area of any rule which is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. DHS’s printed agenda entries include regulatory actions that are in the Department’s regulatory flexibility agenda. Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the agenda provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Additional information on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet.

The semiannual agenda of the Department conforms to the Unified Agenda format developed by the Regulatory Information Service Center.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

**Christina E. McDonald,**  
*Associate General Counsel for Regulatory Affairs.*

**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
148 .....	Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS) .....	1601-AA69

**OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY—LONG-TERM ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
149 .....	Ammonium Nitrate Security Program .....	1601-AA52

**U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
150 .....	Requirements for Filing Motions and Administrative Appeals .....	1615-AB98
151 .....	U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Fee Schedule .....	1615-AC09

**U.S. CITIZENSHIP AND IMMIGRATION SERVICES—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
152 .....	Retention of EB–1, EB–2, and EB–3 Immigrant Workers and Program Improvements Affecting Highly-Skilled H–1B Alien Workers.	1615-AC05

## U.S. COAST GUARD—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
153 .....	Commercial Fishing Vessels—Implementation of 2010 and 2012 Legislation .....	1625–AB85

## U.S. COAST GUARD—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
154 .....	Inspection of Towing Vessels .....	1625–AB06
155 .....	Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC); Card Reader Requirements .....	1625–AB21
156 .....	Seafarers' Access to Maritime Facilities .....	1625–AC15

## U.S. COAST GUARD—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
157 .....	Numbering of Undocumented Barges .....	1625–AA14
158 .....	Outer Continental Shelf Activities .....	1625–AA18
159 .....	Updates to Maritime Security .....	1625–AB38

## U.S. COAST GUARD—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
160 .....	Discharge Removal Equipment for Vessels Carrying Oil .....	1625–AA02

## U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
161 .....	Implementation of the Guam-CNMI Visa Waiver Program ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	1651–AA77

## U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
162 .....	Importer Security Filing and Additional Carrier Requirements ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	1651–AA70

## TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
163 .....	Security Training for Surface Mode Employees .....	1652–AA55

## TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
164 .....	General Aviation Security and Other Aircraft Operator Security .....	1652–AA53
165 .....	Standardized Vetting, Adjudication, and Redress Services .....	1652–AA61

## TRANSPORTATION SECURITY ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
166 .....	Passenger Screening Using Advanced Imaging Technology .....	1652–AA67

U.S. IMMIGRATION AND CUSTOMS ENFORCEMENT—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
167 .....	Improving and Expanding Training Opportunities for F–1 Nonimmigrant Students with STEM Degrees and Cap-Gap Relief for All Eligible F–1 Students ( <b>Completion of a Section 610 Review</b> ).	1653–AA72

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
168 .....	Updates to Floodplain Management and Protection of Wetlands Regulations to Implement Executive Order 13690 and the Federal Flood Risk Management Standard.	1660–AA85

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*Office of the Secretary (OS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**148. Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS)**

*Legal Authority:* Sec 550 of the Department of Homeland Security Appropriations Act of 2007 Pub. L. 109–295, as amended

*Abstract:* The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) previously invited public comment on an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) for potential revisions to the Chemical Facility Anti-Terrorism Standards (CFATS) regulations. The ANPRM provided an opportunity for the public to provide recommendations for possible program changes. DHS is reviewing the public comments received in response to the ANPRM, after which DHS intends to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	08/18/14	79 FR 48693
ANPRM Comment Period End.	10/17/14	
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Jon MacLaren, Chief, Rulemaking Section, Department of Homeland Security, National Protection and Programs Directorate, Infrastructure Security Compliance Division (NPPD/ISCD), 245 Murray Lane, Mail Stop 0610, Arlington, VA 20528–0610, *Phone:* 703 235–5263, *Fax:* 703 603–4935, *Email:* jon.m.maclaren@hq.dhs.gov.

RIN: 1601–AA69

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*Office of the Secretary (OS)*

Long-Term Actions

**149. Ammonium Nitrate Security Program**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 110–161, 2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act, section 563

*Abstract:* This rulemaking will implement the December 2007 amendment to the Homeland Security Act entitled “Secure Handling of Ammonium Nitrate.” The amendment requires the Department of Homeland Security to “regulate the sale and transfer of ammonium nitrate by an ammonium nitrate facility...to prevent the misappropriation or use of ammonium nitrate in an act of terrorism.”

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	10/29/08	73 FR 64280
Correction .....	11/05/08	73 FR 65783
ANPRM Comment Period End.	12/29/08	
NPRM .....	08/03/11	76 FR 46908
Notice of Public Meetings.	10/07/11	76 FR 62311
Notice of Public Meetings.	11/14/11	76 FR 70366
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/01/11	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Jon MacLaren, Chief, Rulemaking Section, Department of Homeland Security, National Protection and Programs Directorate, Infrastructure Security Compliance Division (NPPD/ISCD), 245 Murray Lane, Mail Stop 0610, Arlington, VA 20528–0610, *Phone:* 703 235–5263, *Fax:* 703 603–4935, *Email:* jon.m.maclaren@hq.dhs.gov.

RIN: 1601–AA52

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**150. Requirements for Filing Motions and Administrative Appeals**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 552; 5 U.S.C. 552a; 8 U.S.C. 1101; 8 U.S.C. 1103; 8 U.S.C. 1304; 6 U.S.C. 112

*Abstract:* This proposed rule proposes to revise the requirements and procedures for the filing of motions and appeals before the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS), and its Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The proposed changes are intended to streamline the existing processes for filing motions and appeals and will reduce delays in the review and appellate process. This rule also proposes additional changes necessitated by the establishment of DHS and its components. The proposed changes are intended to promote simplicity, accessibility, and efficiency in the administration of USCIS appeals. The Department also solicits public comment on proposed changes to the AAO’s appellate jurisdiction.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Charles “Locky” Nimick, Deputy Chief, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Administrative Appeals Office, 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20529–2090, *Phone:* 703 224–4501, *Email:* charles.nimick@usics.dhs.gov.

RIN: 1615–AB98

**151. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Fee Schedule**

*Legal Authority:* 8 U.S.C. 1356(m)

*Abstract:* This rule will propose to adjust the fee schedule for U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) immigration and naturalization benefit applications and petitions, including nonimmigrant applications and visa petitions. These fees fund the cost of processing applications and petitions for immigration benefits and services, and USCIS' associated operating costs. USCIS is revising these fees because the current fee schedule does not adequately recover the full costs of services provided by USCIS. Without an adjustment of the fee schedule, USCIS cannot provide adequate capacity to process all applications and petitions in a timely and efficient manner. The fee review is undertaken pursuant to the requirements of the Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 (CFO Act), 31 U.S.C. 901–03. The CFO Act requires each agency's chief financial officer (CFO) to "review, on a biennial basis, the fees, royalties, rents, and other charges imposed by the agency for services and things of value it provides, and make recommendations on revising those charges to reflect costs incurred by it in providing those services and things of value."

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/04/16	81 FR 26904
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/05/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Joseph D. Moore, Chief Financial Officer, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Suite 4018, 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20529, *Phone:* 202 272–1701, *Fax:* 202 272–1970, *Email:* joseph.moore@uscis.dhs.gov.

*RIN:* 1615–AC09

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)*

Final Rule Stage

**152. Retention of EB–1, EB–2, and EB–3 Immigrant Workers and Program Improvements Affecting Highly–Skilled H–1B Alien Workers**

*Legal Authority:* 6 U.S.C. 112; 8 U.S.C. 1154 and 1155; 8 U.S.C. 1184; 8 U.S.C. 1255; 8 U.S.C. 1324a

*Abstract:* In December 2015, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) proposed to amend its regulations affecting certain employment-based immigrant and nonimmigrant classifications. This rule proposes to amend current regulations to provide stability and job flexibility for the beneficiaries of approved employment-based immigrant visa petitions while they wait to become lawful permanent residents. DHS is also proposing to conform its regulations with the American Competitiveness in the Twenty-First Century Act of 2000 (AC21) as amended by the Twenty-First Century Department of Justice Appropriations Authorization Act (the 21st Century DOJ Appropriations Act), as well as the American Competitiveness and Workforce Improvement Act of 1998 (ACWIA). The rule also seeks to clarify several interpretive questions raised by ACWIA and AC21 regarding H–1B petitions, and incorporate relevant AC21 policy memoranda and an Administrative Appeals Office precedent decision, and would ensure that DHS practice is consistent with them.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/31/15	80 FR 81900
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/29/16	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Kevin Cummings, Branch Chief, Business and Foreign Workers Division, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Second Floor, Office of Policy and Strategy, 20 Massachusetts Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20529, *Phone:* 202 272–1470, *Fax:* 202 272–1480, *Email:* kevin.cummings@uscis.dhs.gov.

*RIN:* 1615–AC05

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**153. Commercial Fishing Vessels—Implementation of 2010 and 2012 Legislation**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111–281  
*Abstract:* The Coast Guard proposes to implement those requirements of 2010 and 2012 legislation that pertain to uninspected commercial fishing industry vessels and that took effect upon enactment of the legislation but that, to be implemented, require amendments to Coast Guard regulations affecting those vessels. The applicability of the regulations is being changed, and new requirements are being added to safety training, equipment, vessel examinations, vessel safety standards, the documentation of maintenance, and the termination of unsafe operations. This rulemaking promotes the Coast Guard's maritime safety mission.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Jack Kemerer, Project Manager, CG–CVC–3, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue SE., STOP 7501, Washington, DC 20593–7501, *Phone:* 202 372–1249, *Email:* jack.a.kemerer@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625–AB85

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)*

Final Rule Stage

**154. Inspection of Towing Vessels**

*Legal Authority:* 46 U.S.C. 3103; 46 U.S.C. 3301; 46 U.S.C. 3306; 46 U.S.C. 3308; 46 U.S.C. 3316; 46 U.S.C. 3703; 46 U.S.C. 8104; 46 U.S.C. 8904; DHS Delegation No 0170.1

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would implement a program of inspection for certification of towing vessels, which were previously uninspected. It would prescribe standards for safety management systems and third-party auditors and surveyors, along with standards for construction, operation, vessel systems, safety equipment, and recordkeeping.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/11/11	76 FR 49976
Notice of Public Meetings.	09/09/11	76 FR 55847
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/09/11	
Final Rule .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* LCDR William Nabach, Project Manager, Office of Operating & Environmental Standards, CG-OES-2, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue SE., STOP 7509, Washington, DC 20593-7509, *Phone:* 202 372-1386, *Email:* william.a.nabach@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625-AB06

**155. Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC); Card Reader Requirements**

*Legal Authority:* 33 U.S.C. 1226; 33 U.S.C. 1231; 46 U.S.C. 701; 50 U.S.C. 191; 50 U.S.C. 192; E.O. 12656

*Abstract:* The Coast Guard is establishing electronic card reader requirements for maritime facilities and vessels to be used in combination with TSA's Transportation Worker Identification Credential (TWIC). Congress enacted several statutory requirements within the Security and Accountability for Every (SAFE) Port Act of 2006 to guide regulations pertaining to TWIC readers, including the need to evaluate TSA's final pilot program report as part of the TWIC reader rulemaking. During the rulemaking process, we will take into account the final pilot data and the various conditions in which TWIC readers may be employed. For example, we will consider the types of vessels and facilities that will use TWIC readers, locations of secure and restricted areas, operational constraints, and need for accessibility. Recordkeeping requirements, amendments to security plans, and the requirement for data exchanges (i.e., Canceled Card List) between TSA and vessel or facility owners/operators will also be addressed in this rulemaking.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	03/27/09	74 FR 13360
Notice of Public Meeting.	04/15/09	74 FR 17444
ANPRM Comment Period End.	05/26/09	

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Public Meeting Comment Period End.	05/26/09	
NPRM .....	03/22/13	78 FR 20558
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	05/10/13	78 FR 27335
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	06/20/13	
Final Rule .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* LT Mason Wilcox, Project Manager, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, Commandant (CG-FAC-2), 2703 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue SE., STOP 7501, Washington, DC 20593-7501, *Phone:* 202 372-1123, *Email:* mason.c.wilcox@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625-AB21

**156. Seafarers' Access to Maritime Facilities**

*Legal Authority:* 33 U.S.C. 1226; 33 U.S.C. 1231; Pub. L. 111-281, sec 811

*Abstract:* This regulatory action will implement section 811 of the Coast Guard Authorization Act of 2010 (Pub. L. 111-281), which requires the owner/operator of a facility regulated by the Coast Guard under the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 (Pub. L. 107-295) (MTSA) to provide a system that enables seafarers and certain other individuals to transit between vessels moored at the facility and the facility gate in a timely manner at no cost to the seafarer or other individual. Ensuring that such access through a facility is consistent with the security requirements in MTSA is part of the Coast Guard's Ports, Waterways, and Coastal Security (PWCS) mission.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/29/14	79 FR 77981
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	05/27/15	80 FR 30189
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/01/15	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* LCDR Kevin McDonald, Project Manager, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue SE., Commandant (CG-FAC-2), STOP 7501, Washington, DC 20593-7501, *Phone:* 202 372-1168, *Email:* kevin.j.mcdonald@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625-AC15

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)*

Long-Term Actions

**157. Numbering of Undocumented Barges**

*Legal Authority:* 46 U.S.C. 12301  
*Abstract:* Title 46 U.S.C. 12301, as amended by the Abandoned Barge Act of 1992, requires that all undocumented barges of more than 100 gross tons operating on the navigable waters of the United States be numbered. This rulemaking would establish a numbering system and user fees for an original or renewed Certificate of Number for these barges. The numbering of undocumented barges allows the Coast Guard to identify the owners of abandoned barges. This rulemaking supports the Coast Guard's broad role and responsibility of protecting natural resources.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Request for Comments.	10/18/94	59 FR 52646
Comment Period End.	01/17/95	
ANPRM .....	07/06/98	63 FR 36384
ANPRM Comment Period End.	11/03/98	
NPRM .....	01/11/01	66 FR 2385
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/11/01	
NPRM Reopening of Comment Period.	08/12/04	69 FR 49844
NPRM Reopening Comment Period End.	11/10/04	
Supplemental NPRM.	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Denise Harmon, Project Manager, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, National Vessel Documentation Center, 792 T.J. Jackson Drive, Falling Waters, WV 25419, *Phone:* 304 271-2506, *Email:* denise.e.harmon@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625-AA14

**158. Outer Continental Shelf Activities**

*Legal Authority:* 43 U.S.C. 1333(d)(1); 43 U.S.C. 1348(c); 43 U.S.C. 1356; DHS Delegation No 0170.1

*Abstract:* The Coast Guard is the lead Federal agency for workplace safety and health on facilities and vessels engaged in the exploration for, or development,

or production of, minerals on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), other than for matters generally related to drilling and production that are regulated by the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE). This project would revise the regulations on OCS activities by: (1) Adding new requirements, for OCS units for lifesaving, fire protection, training, and helidecks; (2) providing for USCG acceptance and approval of specified classification society plan reviews, inspections, audits, and surveys; and (3) requiring foreign vessels engaged in OCS activities to comply with rules similar to those imposed on U.S. vessels similarly engaged. This project would affect the owners and operators of facilities and vessels engaged in offshore activities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Request for Comments.	06/27/95	60 FR 33185
Comment Period End.	09/25/95	
NPRM .....	12/07/99	64 FR 68416
NPRM Correction	02/22/00	65 FR 8671
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	03/16/00	65 FR 14226
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	06/30/00	65 FR 40559
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/30/00	
Supplemental NPRM.	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Charles Rawson, Project Manager, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, Commandant (CG-ENG-2), 2703 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue SE., STOP 7509, Washington, DC 20593-7509, *Phone:* 202 372-1390, *Email:* charles.e.rawson@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625-AA18

**159. Updates to Maritime Security**

*Legal Authority:* 33 U.S.C. 1226; 33 U.S.C. 1231; 46 U.S.C. 701; 50 U.S.C. 191 and 192; E.O. 12656; 33 CFR 1.05-1; 33 CFR 6.04-11; 33 CFR 6.14; 33 CFR 6.16; 33 CFR 6.19; DHS Delegation No. 0170.1

*Abstract:* The Coast Guard proposes certain additions, changes, and amendments to 33 CFR subchapter H. Subchapter H is comprised of parts 101 through 106. Subchapter H implements the major provisions of the Maritime Transportation Security Act of 2002 (MTSA). This rulemaking is the first major revision to subchapter H. The proposed changes would further the

goals of domestic compliance and international cooperation by incorporating requirements from legislation implemented since the original publication of these regulations, such as the Security and Accountability for Every (SAFE) Port Act of 2006, and including international standards such as Standards of Training, Certification & Watchkeeping security training. This rulemaking has international interest because of the close relationship between subchapter H and the International Ship and Port Security Code (ISPS).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* LCDR Kevin McDonald, Project Manager, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue SE., Commandant (CG-FAC-2), STOP 7501, Washington, DC 20593-7501, *Phone:* 202 372-1168, *Email:* kevin.j.mcdonald@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625-AB38

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)*

Completed Actions

**160. Discharge Removal Equipment for Vessels Carrying Oil**

*Legal Authority:* 33 U.S.C. 1321  
*Abstract:* The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 directed the President by August 18, 1992, to require periodic inspection of discharge-removal equipment to ensure that it is available in an emergency, and to require carriage of discharge removal equipment by vessels operating in the navigable waters of the United States and carrying oil or hazardous substances. This action implemented those provisions. This project supports the Coast Guard's broad role and responsibility of maritime stewardship.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	08/30/91	56 FR 43534
ANPRM Comment Period End.	10/16/91	
NPRM .....	09/29/92	57 FR 44912
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	10/26/92	57 FR 48489
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/29/92	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	11/16/92	57 FR 48489
Interim Final Rule	12/22/93	58 FR 67988
Interim Final Rule Effective.	01/21/94	
Correction .....	01/26/94	59 FR 3749
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	02/22/94	
Notice .....	03/27/12	77 FR 18151
Notice Comment Period End.	05/29/12	
Final Rule .....	04/07/16	81 FR 20247
Final Rule Effective.	05/09/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* David A. Du Pont, Project Manager, CG-REG, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Coast Guard, Office of Standards Evaluation and Development, 2703 Martin Luther King Jr., Avenue SE., STOP 7418, Washington, DC 20593-7418, *Phone:* 202 372-1497, *Email:* david.a.dupont@uscg.mil.

*RIN:* 1625-AA02

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Customs and Border Protection (USCBP)*

Final Rule Stage

**161. Implementation of the Guam-CNMI Visa Waiver Program (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 110-229, sec 702

*Abstract:* The interim final rule (or the final rule planned for the coming year) amends Department of Homeland Security (DHS) regulations to implement section 702 of the Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008 (CNRA). This law extends the immigration laws of the United States to the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) and provides for a joint visa waiver program for travel to Guam and the CNMI. This rule implements section 702 of the CNRA by amending the regulations to replace the current Guam Visa Waiver Program with a new Guam-CNMI Visa Waiver Program. The amended regulations set forth the requirements for nonimmigrant visitors who seek admission for business or pleasure and solely for entry into and stay on Guam or the CNMI without a visa. This rule also establishes six ports of entry in the CNMI for purposes of administering and enforcing the Guam-CNMI Visa Waiver Program.

Section 702 of the Consolidated Natural Resources Act of 2008 (CNRA), subject to a transition period, extends the immigration laws of the United States to the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI) and provides for a visa waiver program for travel to Guam and/or the CNMI. On January 16, 2009, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Customs and Border Protection (CBP), issued an interim final rule in the **Federal Register** replacing the then-existing Guam Visa Waiver Program with the Guam-CNMI Visa Waiver Program and setting forth the requirements for nonimmigrant visitors seeking admission into Guam and/or the CNMI under the Guam-CNMI Visa Waiver Program. As of November 28, 2009, the Guam-CNMI Visa Waiver Program is operational. This program allows nonimmigrant visitors from eligible countries to seek admission for business or pleasure for entry into Guam and/or the CNMI without a visa for a period of authorized stay not to exceed 45 days. This rulemaking would finalize the January 2009 interim final rule.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	01/16/09	74 FR 2824
Interim Final Rule Effective.	01/16/09	
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	03/17/09	
Technical Amendment; Change of Implementation Date.	05/28/09	74 FR 25387
Final Action .....	02/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: No.

*Agency Contact:* Stephanie Watson, Supervisory Program Manager, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Office of Field Operations, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., 2.5B-38, Washington, DC 20229, Phone: 202 325-4548, Email: [stephanie.e.watson@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:stephanie.e.watson@cbp.dhs.gov).  
RIN: 1651-AA77

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Customs and Border Protection (USCBP)*

Long-Term Actions

**162. Importer Security Filing and Additional Carrier Requirements (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 109-347, sec 203; 5 U.S.C. 301; 19 U.S.C. 66; 19 U.S.C. 1431; 19 U.S.C. 1433 to 1434; 19

U.S.C. 1624; 19 U.S.C. 2071 (note); 46 U.S.C. 60105

*Abstract:* This final rule implements the provisions of section 203 of the Security and Accountability for Every Port Act of 2006. On November 25, 2008, Customs and Border Protection (CBP) published an interim final rule (CBP Dec. 08-46) in the **Federal Register** (73 FR 71730), that finalized most of the provisions proposed in the NPRM. It requires carrier and importers to provide to CBP, via a CBP approved electronic data interchange system, certain advance information pertaining to cargo brought into the United States by vessel to enable CBP to identify high-risk shipments to prevent smuggling and ensure cargo safety and security. The interim final rule did not finalize six data elements that were identified as areas of potential concern for industry during the rulemaking process and, for which, CBP provided some type of flexibility for compliance with those data elements. CBP solicited public comment on these six data elements, is conducting a structured review, and also invited comments on the revised Regulatory Assessment and Final Regulatory Flexibility Analysis. (See 73 FR 71782-85 for regulatory text and 73 CFR 71733-34 for general discussion.) The remaining requirements of the rule were adopted as final. CBP plans to issue a final rule after CBP completes a structured review of the flexibilities and analyzes the comments.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/02/08	73 FR 90
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/03/08	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	02/01/08	73 FR 6061
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/18/08	
Interim Final Rule	11/25/08	73 FR 71730
Interim Final Rule Effective.	01/26/09	
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	06/01/09	
Correction .....	07/14/09	74 FR 33920
Correction .....	12/24/09	74 FR 68376
Final Action .....	09/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Craig Clark, Program Manager, Vessel Manifest & Importer Security Filing, Office of Cargo and Conveyance Security, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20229, Phone: 202 344-3052, Email: [craig.clark@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:craig.clark@cbp.dhs.gov).

RIN: 1651-AA70

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*Transportation Security Administration (TSA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**163. Security Training for Surface Mode Employees**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 114; Pub. L. 110-53, secs 1408, 1517, and 1534

*Abstract:* This rule would require security awareness training for front-line employees for potential terrorism-related security threats and conditions pursuant to the 9/11 Act. This rule would apply to higher-risk public transportation, freight rail, and over-the-road bus owner/operators and take into consideration the many actions higher-risk owner/operators have already taken since 9/11 to enhance the baseline of security through training of their employees. The rulemaking will also propose extending security coordinator and reporting security incident requirements applicable to rail operators under current 49 CFR part 1580 to the non-rail transportation components of covered public transportation agencies and over-the-road buses.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Chandru (Jack) Kalro, Deputy Director, Surface Division, Office of Security Policy and Industry Engagement, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6028, Phone: 571 227-1145, Fax: 571 227-2935, Email: [surfacefrontoffice@tsa.dhs.gov](mailto:surfacefrontoffice@tsa.dhs.gov).

Monica Grasso Ph.D., Manager, Economic Analysis Branch—Cross Modal Division, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of Security Policy and Industry Engagement, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6028, Phone: 571 227-3329, Email: [monica.grasso@tsa.dhs.gov](mailto:monica.grasso@tsa.dhs.gov).

Traci Klemm, Assistant Chief Counsel for Multi-Modal Security Standards, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6002, Phone: 571 227-3596, Email: [traci.klemm@tsa.dhs.gov](mailto:traci.klemm@tsa.dhs.gov).

RIN: 1652-AA55

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*Transportation Security Administration (TSA)*

Long-Term Actions

**164. General Aviation Security and Other Aircraft Operator Security**

*Legal Authority:* 6 U.S.C. 469; 18 U.S.C. 842; 18 U.S.C. 845; 46 U.S.C. 70102 to 70106; 46 U.S.C. 70117; 49 U.S.C. 114; 49 U.S.C. 114(f)(3); 49 U.S.C. 5103; 49 U.S.C. 5103a; 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 44901 to 44907; 49 U.S.C. 44913 to 44914; 49 U.S.C. 44916 to 44918; 49 U.S.C. 44932; 49 U.S.C. 44935 to 44936; 49 U.S.C. 44942; 49 U.S.C. 46105

*Abstract:* On October 30, 2008, the Transportation Security Administration (TSA) issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM), proposing to amend current aviation transportation security regulations to enhance the security of general aviation by expanding the scope of current requirements, and by adding new requirements for certain large aircraft operators and airports serving those aircraft. TSA also proposed that all aircraft operations, including corporate and private charter operations, with aircraft having a maximum certificated takeoff weight (MTOW) above 12,500 pounds (large aircraft) be required to adopt a large aircraft security program. TSA also proposed to require certain airports that serve large aircraft to adopt security programs. After considering comments received on the NPRM and sponsoring public meetings with stakeholders, TSA decided to prepare a supplemental NPRM (SNPRM), which will include a comment period for public comments. TSA is considering the following proposed provisions in the SNPRM: (1) Security measures for foreign aircraft operators commensurate with measures for U.S. operators, (2) security measures for certain general aviation aircraft operations, (3) watch list matching of passengers, and (5) scope of the background check requirements and the procedures used to implement any requirement.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/30/08	73 FR 64790
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/29/08	
Notice—NPRM Comment Period Extended.	11/25/08	73 FR 71590

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Extended Comment Period End.	02/27/09	73 FR 77045
Notice—Public Meetings; Requests for Comments.	12/18/08	
Supplemental NPRM.	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Alan Paterno, Section Chief, Policy Analysis Branch, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of Security Policy and Industry Engagement, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6028, *Phone:* 571 227-5698, *Email:* alan.paterno@tsa.dhs.gov.

Monica Grasso Ph.D., Manager, Economic Analysis Branch—Cross Modal Division, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of Security Policy and Industry Engagement, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6028, *Phone:* 571 227-3329, *Email:* monica.grasso@tsa.dhs.gov.

Denise Daniels, Attorney-Advisor, Regulations and Security Standards, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6002, *Phone:* 571 227-3443, *Fax:* 571 227-1381, *Email:* denise.daniels@tsa.dhs.gov.

RIN: 1652-AA53

**165. Standardized Vetting, Adjudication, and Redress Services**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 114, 5103A, 44903 and 44936; 46 U.S.C. 70105; 6 U.S.C. 469; Pub. L. 110-53, secs 1411, 1414, 1520, 1522 and 1531

*Abstract:* The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) intends to propose new regulations to revise and standardize the procedures, adjudication criteria, and fees for most of the security threat assessments (STA) of individuals for which TSA is responsible. The scope of the rulemaking will include transportation workers who are required to undergo an STA, including surface, maritime, and aviation workers. TSA will comply with certain vetting-related requirements of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act, Public Law 110-53 (Aug. 3, 2007). TSA will propose fees to cover the cost of all STAs. TSA plans to improve the processing of STAs and streamline

existing regulations by simplifying language and removing redundancies. TSA will propose revisions to the Alien Flight Student Program (AFSP) regulations. TSA published an interim final rule for AFSP on September 20, 2004. TSA regulations require aliens seeking to train at Federal Aviation Administration-regulated flight schools to complete an application and undergo an STA prior to beginning flight training. There are four categories under which students currently fall; the nature of the STA depends on the student's category. TSA is considering changes to the AFSP that would improve the equity among fee payers and enable the implementation of new technologies to support vetting.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Chang Ellison, Branch Manager, Program Initiatives Branch, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of Intelligence and Analysis, TSA-10, HQ E6, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6010, *Phone:* 571 227-3604, *Email:* chang.ellison@tsa.dhs.gov.

Monica Grasso Ph.D., Manager, Economic Analysis Branch—Cross Modal Division, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of Security Policy and Industry Engagement, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6028, *Phone:* 571 227-3329, *Email:* monica.grasso@tsa.dhs.gov.

John Vergelli, Senior Counsel, Regulations and Security Standards Division, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6002, *Phone:* 571 227-4416, *Fax:* 571 227-1378, *Email:* john.vergelli@tsa.dhs.gov.

RIN: 1652-AA61

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*Transportation Security Administration (TSA)*

Completed Actions

**166. Passenger Screening Using Advanced Imaging Technology**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 44925

*Abstract:* The Transportation Security Administration (TSA) issued a final rule

to address whether screening and inspection of an individual, conducted to control access to the sterile area of an airport or to an aircraft, may include the use of advanced imaging technology (AIT). The notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) was published on March 26, 2013, to comply with the decision rendered by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in *Electronic Privacy Information Center (EPIC) v. U.S. Department of Homeland Security* on July 15, 2011. 653 F.3d 1 (D.C. Cir. 2011). The Court directed TSA to conduct notice and comment rulemaking on the use of AIT in the primary screening of passengers. The final rule makes clear that TSA no longer uses images of actual passengers and now uses a generic image through the use of ATR technology installed on all AIT machines. The rule emphasizes that AIT is the best available technology to detect both metallic and non-metallic objects and safeguards agency flexibility to respond to evolving threats.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/26/13	78 FR 18287
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/24/13	
Final Rule .....	03/03/16	81 FR 11363
Final Rule Effective.	05/02/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Chawanna Carrington, Project Manager, Passenger Screening Program, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of Security Capabilities, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6016, *Phone:* 571 227-2958, *Fax:* 571 227-1931, *Email:* [chawanna.carrington@tsa.dhs.gov](mailto:chawanna.carrington@tsa.dhs.gov).

Monica Grasso Ph.D., Manager, Economic Analysis Branch-Cross Modal Division, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of Security Policy and Industry Engagement, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6028, *Phone:* 571 227-3329, *Email:* [monica.grasso@tsa.dhs.gov](mailto:monica.grasso@tsa.dhs.gov).

Susan Prosnitz, Deputy Chief Counsel for Regulations and Security Standards, Department of Homeland Security, Transportation Security Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, 601 South 12th Street, Arlington, VA 20598-6002, *Phone:* 571 227-1335, *Fax:* 571 227-1381, *Email:* [susan.prosnitz@tsa.dhs.gov](mailto:susan.prosnitz@tsa.dhs.gov).

*RIN:* 1652-AA67

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (USICE)*

Completed Actions

**167. Improving and Expanding Training Opportunities for F-1 Nonimmigrant Students With STEM Degrees and Cap-Gap Relief For All Eligible F-1 Students (Completion of a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 8 U.S.C. 1101; 8 U.S.C. 1103; 8 U.S.C. 1182; 8 U.S.C. 1184; 8 U.S.C. 1221; 8 U.S.C. 1281 and 1282; 8 U.S.C. 1302 to 1305; 8 U.S.C. 1324a

*Abstract:* The Department of Homeland Security is proposing a new rule to enhance opportunities for F-1 nonimmigrant students graduating with a science, technology, engineering, or mathematics (STEM) degree from an accredited school certified by U.S. Immigration and Custom Enforcement (ICE) Student and Exchange Visitor Program (SEVP), and to further their courses of study through optional practical training (OPT) with employers enrolled in the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services' (USCIS') E-Verify employment verification program. The proposed rule would replace a 2008 interim final rule (IFR) that was invalidated and will be vacated on May 10, 2016, per a ruling by the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, in the *Washington Alliance of Technology Workers v. U.S. Department of Homeland Security* litigation.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/19/15	80 FR 63375
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/18/15	
Final Rule .....	03/11/16	81 FR 13039
Final Rule Effective.	05/10/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Molly Stubbs, ICE Regulatory Coordinator, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Office of the Director, PTN-Potomac Center North, 500 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20536, *Phone:* 202 732-6202, *Email:* [molly.stubbs@ice.dhs.gov](mailto:molly.stubbs@ice.dhs.gov).

Brad Tuttle, Attorney Advisor, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, 500 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20536, *Phone:* 202 732-5000, *Email:* [bradley.c.tuttle@ice.dhs.gov](mailto:bradley.c.tuttle@ice.dhs.gov).

Katherine H. Westerlund, Acting Unit Chief, SEVP Policy, Student and Exchange Visitor Program, Department of Homeland Security, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement, Potomac Center North, STOP 5600, 500 12th Street, SW., Washington, DC 20536-5600, *Phone:* 703 603-3400, *Email:* [sevp@ice.dhs.gov](mailto:sevp@ice.dhs.gov).

*RIN:* 1653-AA72

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY (DHS)**

*Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**168. Updates to Floodplain Management and Protection of Wetlands Regulations To Implement Executive Order 13690 and the Federal Flood Risk Management Standard**

*Legal Authority:* E.O. 11988, as amended; E.O. 13690

*Abstract:* The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) proposes to amend its regulations at 44 CFR part 9 "Floodplain Management and Protection of Wetlands" to implement Executive Order 13690, which establishes the Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS). 44 CFR part 9 describes FEMA's process for determining whether the proposed location for an action falls within a floodplain. In addition, for those projects that would fall within a floodplain, part 9 describes FEMA's framework for deciding whether and how to complete the action in the floodplain, in light of the risk of flooding. Consistent with Executive Order 13690 and the FFRMS, the proposed rule would change how FEMA defines a floodplain with respect to certain actions. Additionally, under the proposed rule, FEMA would use natural systems, ecosystem process, and nature-based approaches, where practicable, when developing alternatives to locating a proposed action in the floodplain.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kristin Fontenot, Office of Environmental and Historic Preservation, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 400 C Street, SW., Washington, DC 20472, *Phone:* 202 646-2741, *Email:* [kristin.fontenot@fema.dhs.gov](mailto:kristin.fontenot@fema.dhs.gov).

---

*RIN*: 1660-AA85

[FR Doc. 2016-12906 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-9B-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part X

Department of Housing and Urban  
Development

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

**24 CFR Subtitles A and B**

[Docket No. FR-5935-N-01]

**Semiannual Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Department of Housing and Urban Development.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with section 4(b) of Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” as amended, HUD is publishing its agenda of regulations already issued or that are expected to be issued during the next several months. The agenda also includes rules currently in effect that are under review and describes those regulations that may affect small entities, as required by section 602 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The purpose of publication of the agenda is to encourage more effective public participation in the regulatory process by providing the public with advance information about pending regulatory activities.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Aaron Santa Anna, Assistant General Counsel for Regulations, Office of General Counsel, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Room 10276, Washington, DC 20410-0500; telephone number 202-708-3055. (This is not a toll-free number.) A telecommunications device for hearing- and speech-impaired individuals (TTY) is available at 800-877-8339 (Federal Relay Service).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735), as amended, requires each department or agency to prepare semiannually an agenda of: (1) Regulations that the department or agency has issued or expects to issue, and; (2) rules currently in effect that are under departmental or agency review. The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612) requires each department or agency to publish semiannually a regulatory agenda of rules expected to be proposed or promulgated that are

likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of “small entities,” meaning small businesses, small organizations, or small governmental jurisdictions. Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act permit incorporation of the agenda required by these two authorities with any other prescribed agenda.

HUD’s regulatory agenda combines the information required by Executive Order 12866 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act. As in the past, HUD’s complete Unified Agenda will be available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov), in a format that offers users a greatly enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database. While publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), the Department notes that its Spring 2016 Unified Agenda does not list any rules expected to be proposed or promulgated that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of “small entities.”

The Department is subject to certain rulemaking requirements set forth in the Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3531 *et seq.*). Section 7(o) of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(o)) requires that the Secretary transmit to the congressional committees having jurisdictional oversight of HUD (the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs and the House Committee on Financial Services), a semiannual agenda of all rules or regulations that are under development or review by the Department. A rule appearing on the agenda cannot be published for comment before or during the first 15 calendar days after transmittal of the agenda. Section 7(o) provides that if, within that period, either committee notifies the Secretary that it intends to review any rule or regulation that appears on the agenda, the Secretary must submit to both committees a copy of the rule or regulation, in the form that it is intended to be proposed, at least 15 calendar days before it is to be

published for comment. The semiannual agenda posted on [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) is the agenda transmitted to the committees in compliance with the above requirements.

HUD has attempted to list in this agenda all regulations and regulatory reviews pending at the time of publication, except for minor and routine or repetitive actions, but some may have been inadvertently omitted, or may have arisen too late to be included in the published agenda. There is no legal significance to the omission of an item from this agenda. Also, where a date is provided for the next rulemaking action, the date is an estimate and is not a commitment to act on or by the date shown.

In some cases, HUD has withdrawn rules that were placed on previous agendas for which there has been no publication activity. Withdrawal of a rule does not necessarily mean that HUD will not proceed with the rulemaking. Withdrawal allows HUD to assess the subject matter further and determine whether rulemaking in that area is appropriate. Following such an assessment, the Department may determine that certain rules listed as withdrawn under this agenda are appropriate. If that determination is made, such rules will be included in a succeeding semiannual agenda.

In addition, for a few rules that have been published as proposed or interim rules and which, therefore, require further rulemaking, HUD has identified the timing of the next action stage as “undetermined.” These are rules that are still under review by HUD for which a determination and timing of the next action stage have not yet been made.

Since the purpose of publication of the agenda is to encourage more effective public participation in the regulatory process by providing the public with early information about the Department’s future regulatory actions, HUD invites all interested members of the public to comment on the rules listed in the agenda.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

**Tonya T. Robinson,**  
Principal Deputy General Counsel.

OFFICE OF HOUSING—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
169 .....	24 CFR 3280 Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards (FR-5739) .....	2502-AJ34

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT (HUD)***Office of Housing (OH)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**169. Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards (FR-5739)***Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 5401 *et seq.*; 42 U.S.C. 3535(d)

*Abstract:* This proposed rule would amend the Federal Manufactured Home Construction and Safety Standards by adopting certain recommendations made to HUD by the Manufactured Housing Consensus Committee (MHCC). The National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974 (the Act) requires HUD to publish all proposed revised construction and safety standards

submitted by the MHCC. This proposed rule is based on the third set of MHCC recommendations to update and improve various aspects of the Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards. HUD has reviewed those proposals and has made several editorial revisions to the proposals which were reviewed and accepted by the MHCC. This rule proposes to add new standards that would establish requirements for carbon monoxide detection, stairways, fire safety considerations for attached garages, and for draftstops when there is a usable space above and below the concealed space of a floor/ceiling assembly and would establish requirements for venting systems to ensure that proper separation is

maintained between the air intake and exhaust systems.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Richard Mendlen, Structural Engineer, Office of Manufactured Housing Programs, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Housing, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, *Phone:* 202 708-6423.

*RIN:* 2502-AJ34

[FR Doc. 2016-12907 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XI

Department of the Interior

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Office of the Secretary**

**25 CFR Ch. I**

**30 CFR Chs. II and VII**

**36 CFR Ch. I**

**43 CFR Subtitle A, Chs. I and II**

**48 CFR Ch. 14**

**50 CFR Chs. I and IV**

**Unified Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior.

**ACTION:** Unified regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** This notice provides the unified agenda of rules scheduled for review or development between spring 2016 and spring 2017. The Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866 require publication of the agenda.

**ADDRESSES:** Unless otherwise indicated, all agency contacts are located at the Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Direct all comments and inquiries to the appropriate agency contact. Direct general comments relating to the agenda to the Office of Executive Secretariat and Regulatory Affairs, Department of the Interior, at the address above or at 202-208-5257.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** With this publication, the Department satisfies the requirement of Executive Order 12866 that the Department publish an agenda of rules that we have issued or expect to issue and of currently effective rules that we have scheduled for review.

Simultaneously, the Department meets the requirement of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) to publish an agenda in April and October of each year identifying rules that will have significant economic effects on a substantial number of small entities. We have specifically identified in the agenda rules that will have these effects.

**Mark Lawyer,**  
*Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

**BUREAU OF SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
170 .....	Cost Recovery Adjustment .....	1014-AA31

**BUREAU OF SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
171 .....	Production Safety Systems and Lifecycle Analysis .....	1014-AA10

**BUREAU OF SAFETY AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
172 .....	Blowout Prevention Systems and Well Control .....	1014-AA11

**UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
173 .....	Migratory Bird Permits; Incidental Take of Migratory Birds .....	1018-BA69

**UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
174 .....	National Wildlife Refuge System; Management of Non-Federal Oil and Gas Rights .....	1018-AX36

**NATIONAL PARK SERVICE—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
175 .....	Non-Federal Oil and Gas Rights .....	1024-AD78

BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
176 .....	Title Evidence for Trust Land Acquisitions ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	1076-AF28

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
177 .....	Stream Protection Rule .....	1029-AC63

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
178 .....	Waste Prevention, Production Subject to Royalties, and Resource Conservation .....	1004-AE14
179 .....	Onshore Oil and Gas Order 4: Oil Measurement .....	1004-AE16

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**170. Cost Recovery Adjustment**

*Legal Authority:* 31 U.S.C. 9701

*Abstract:* This rule would update 31 cost recovery fees to allow the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement to recover the full costs of the services it provides to the oil and gas industry. It complies with the Independent Office Appropriations Act of 1952 which established that government services should be self-sustaining to the extent possible. Rulemaking is the only method available to update these fees and comply with the intent of Congress to recover government costs when a special benefit is bestowed on an identifiable recipient. The practice of cost recovery is well-established and this rulemaking is not expected to be controversial.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kimberly Monaco, Department of the Interior, Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240, *Phone:* 703 787-1658.

*RIN:* 1014-AA31

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE)*

Final Rule Stage

**171. Production Safety Systems and Lifecycle Analysis**

*Legal Authority:* 31 U.S.C. 9701; 43 U.S.C. 1334

*Abstract:* The Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) will amend and update the regulations regarding offshore oil and natural gas production. It will address issues such as production safety systems, subsurface safety devices, and safety device testing. BSEE has expanded the rule to differentiate the requirements for operating dry tree and wet tree production systems on the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS). This rule will also expand use of life cycle analysis of critical equipment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/22/13	78 FR 52240
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/05/13	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lakeisha Harrison, Chief, Regulations and Standards Branch, Department of the Interior, Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, VA 20166, *Phone:* 703 787-1552, *Fax:* 703 787-1555, *Email:* lakeisha.harrison@bsee.gov.

*RIN:* 1014-AA10

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE)*

Completed Actions

**172. Blowout Prevention Systems and Well Control**

*Legal Authority:* 30 U.S.C. 1751; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 43 U.S.C. 1334

*Abstract:* The Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) will amend and update regulations regarding offshore oil and natural gas production. This final rule will upgrade regulations related to the design, manufacture, and repair of blowout preventers (BOPs) in response to numerous recommendations. In addition to BOPs, the final rule will address well design, well control, safe drilling margins, casing, cementing, real-time monitoring, and subsea containment. The final rule will address many of the issues raised following the Deepwater Horizon incident and from experts through a public forum held May 22, 2012.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	04/29/16	81 FR 25887
Final Action Effective.	07/28/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lakeisha Harrison, *Phone:* 703 787-1552, *Fax:* 703 787-1555, *Email:* lakeisha.harrison@bsee.gov.

*RIN:* 1014-AA11

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*United States Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**173. Migratory Bird Permits; Incidental Take of Migratory Birds**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 703 to 712; 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* We are preparing a programmatic environmental impact statement (PEIS) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 to 4347; NEPA) to evaluate the potential environmental impacts of a proposal to authorize incidental take of migratory birds under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. 703 to 711). In drafting the PEIS, we invited input from other Federal and State agencies, tribes, nongovernmental organizations, and members of the public on the scope of the proposed NEPA analysis, the pertinent issues we should address, and alternatives to our proposed approach for authorizing incidental take. Based on this PEIS, we propose to establish regulations to govern the incidental take of migratory birds from activities under which migratory birds are killed incidental to otherwise lawful activities. These proposed regulations will establish rules for individual permits and programmatic agreements with Federal agencies and will establish the basis for future rulemaking for general authorizations for incidental take of migratory birds.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	05/26/15	80 FR 30032
Comment Period End.	07/27/15	
NPRM; NOA for DEIS.	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Stephen Earsom, Biologist–Pilot, Regions 4 & 5 Aviation Manager, Department of the Interior, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Phone: 301 980–8711, Email: [stephen\\_earsom@fws.gov](mailto:stephen_earsom@fws.gov).

RIN: 1018–BA69

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*United States Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS)*

Final Rule Stage

**174. National Wildlife Refuge System; Management of Non-Federal Oil and Gas Rights**

*Legal Authority:* 16 U.S.C. 668dd to ee; 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*; 16 U.S.C. 1131 to 1136; 40 CFR 51.300 to 51.309

*Abstract:* We anticipate publishing regulations that ensure that all operators conducting oil or gas operations within a National Wildlife Refuge System unit do so in a manner that prevents or minimizes damage to National Wildlife Refuge System resources, visitor values, and management objectives. These regulations will not result in a taking of a property interest, but rather to impose reasonable controls on operations that affect federally owned or controlled lands, and/or waters.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	02/24/14	79 FR 10080
ANPRM Comment Period End.	04/25/14	
ANPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	06/09/14	79 FR 32903
ANPRM Comment Period Reopening End.	07/09/14	
NPRM .....	12/11/15	80 FR 77200
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/09/16	
Final Action .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Brian Salem, Conservation Policy Analyst, Department of the Interior, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, 5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: NWRS, Falls Church, VA 22041–3808, Phone: 703 358–2397, Email: [brian\\_salem@fws.gov](mailto:brian_salem@fws.gov).

Scott Covington, Refuge Energy Program Coordinator, Department of the Interior, United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wildlife Refuge System, 5275 Leesburg Pike, MS: NWRS, Falls Church, VA 22041–3808, Phone: 703 358–2427, Email: [scott\\_covington@fws.gov](mailto:scott_covington@fws.gov).

RIN: 1018–AX36

BILLING CODE 4333–15–P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*National Park Service (NPS)*

Final Rule Stage

**175. Non-Federal Oil and Gas Rights**

*Legal Authority:* 54 U.S.C. 100101; 54 U.S.C. 100301; 54 U.S.C. 100302; 54 U.S.C. 100731; 54 U.S.C. 100732

*Abstract:* This rule would update National Park Service (NPS) regulations governing the exercise of non-Federal oil and gas rights within NPS unit boundaries. It would accommodate new technology and industry practices, eliminate regulatory exemptions, update requirements, remove caps on bond amounts, and allow NPS to recover administrative costs. The changes make the regulations more effective and efficient and maintain the highest level of protection compatible with park resources and values.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	11/25/09	74 FR 61596
ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/25/10	
NPRM .....	10/26/15	80 FR 65571
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/28/15	
Final Action .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Ed Kassman, Regulatory Specialist, Department of the Interior, National Park Service, 12795 West Alameda Parkway, Lakewood, CA 80225, Phone: 303 969–2146, Email: [edward\\_kassman@nps.gov](mailto:edward_kassman@nps.gov). RIN: 1024–AD78

BILLING CODE 4310–EJ–P

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA)*

Final Rule Stage

**176. • Title Evidence for Trust Land Acquisitions (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 301; 25 U.S.C. 2; 25 U.S.C. 9

*Abstract:* This rule would delete the requirement for applicants to furnish title evidence that meets the Standards for the Preparation of Title Evidence in Land Acquisitions by the United States issued by the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), and replace the requirement with a less onerous, more targeted requirement for title evidence. The DOJ standards are intended for acquisitions of Federal land, not for acquisitions of land in trust or restricted status for Indian Tribes or individuals.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	03/01/16	81 FR 10477
Interim Final Rule	03/31/16	
Comment Period End.		
Interim Final Rule—Delay of Effective Date.	04/15/16	81 FR 22183
Interim Final Rule Effective.	04/15/16	
Interim Final Rule—Confirmation of Rulemaking.	05/00/16	
Interim Final Rule—Delay of Effective Date Effective.	05/16/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* No.  
*Agency Contact:* Elizabeth Appel, Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240, *Phone:* 202 273-4680, *Email:* elizabeth.appel@bia.gov.  
*RIN:* 1076-AF28  
**BILLING CODE 4337-15-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSMRE)*  
 Final Rule Stage

**177. Stream Protection Rule**

*Legal Authority:* 30 U.S.C. 1201 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* On August 12, 2009, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia denied the Government’s request that the court vacate and remand the Excess Spoil/Stream Buffer Zone rule published on December 12, 2008. Therefore, the Department intends to initiate notice and comment rulemaking to address issues arising from previous rulemakings. The Agency also intends to prepare a new environmental impact statement.  
*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	11/30/09	74 FR 62664
ANPRM Comment Period End.	12/30/09	
NPRM .....	07/27/15	80 FR 44436

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	09/10/15	80 FR 54590
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/25/15	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	10/26/15	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.  
*Agency Contact:* Dennis Rice, Regulatory Analyst, Department of the Interior, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20240, *Phone:* 202 208-2829, *Email:* drice@osmre.gov.  
*RIN:* 1029-AC63  
**BILLING CODE 4310-05-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR (DOI)**

*Bureau of Land Management (BLM)*  
 Final Rule Stage

**178. Waste Prevention, Production Subject to Royalties, and Resource Conservation**

*Legal Authority:* 25 U.S.C. 396d; 25 U.S.C. 2107; 30 U.S.C. 189; 30 U.S.C. 306; 30 U.S.C. 359; 30 U.S.C. 1751; 43 U.S.C. 1732(b); 43 U.S.C. 1733; 43 U.S.C. 1740  
*Abstract:* The rule would update decades-old standards to reduce wasteful venting, flaring, and leaks of natural gas from onshore wells located on Federal and Indian oil and gas leases. The proposed standards would establish requirements and incentives to reduce waste of gas and clarify when royalties apply to lost gas. This action will enhance our energy security and economy by boosting America’s natural gas supplies, ensuring that taxpayers receive the royalties due to them from development of public resources, and reducing emissions.  
*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/08/16	81 FR 6616
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	04/04/16	81 FR 19110

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/08/16	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	04/22/16	
Final Action .....	11/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.  
*Agency Contact:* Steven Wells, Division Chief, Fluid Minerals Division, Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Room 2134 LM, 20 M Street SE., Washington, DC 20003, *Phone:* 202 912-7143, *Fax:* 202 912-7194, *Email:* s1wells@blm.gov.  
*RIN:* 1004-AE14

**179. Onshore Oil and Gas Order 4: Oil Measurement**

*Legal Authority:* 25 U.S.C. 396(d); 25 U.S.C. 2107; 30 U.S.C. 189; 30 U.S.C. 306; 30 U.S.C. 359; 30 U.S.C. 1751; 43 U.S.C. 1732(b); 43 U.S.C. 1733; 43 U.S.C. 1740

*Abstract:* Onshore Order 4 establishes minimum standards to ensure liquid hydrocarbons are accurately measured and reported. This Order was last updated in 1989, and since then changes in technology have allowed for more accurate fluid measurement. This order will incorporate current industry standards and allow for the use of new technology.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/30/15	80 FR 58952
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	11/23/15	80 FR 72943
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/14/15	
Final Action .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.  
*Agency Contact:* Steven Wells, Division Chief, Fluid Minerals Division, Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Room 2134 LM, 20 M Street SE., Washington, DC 20003, *Phone:* 202 912-7143, *Fax:* 202 912-7194, *Email:* s1wells@blm.gov.  
*RIN:* 1004-AE16  
 [FR Doc. 2016-12908 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4311-84-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XII

Department of Justice

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**8 CFR Ch. V**

**21 CFR Ch. I**

**27 CFR Ch. II**

**28 CFR Ch. I, V**

**Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice is publishing its spring 2016 regulatory agenda pursuant to Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” 58 FR 51735, and the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 to 612 (1988).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Robert Hinchman, Senior Counsel, Office of Legal Policy, Department of Justice, Room 4252, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530, (202) 514–8059.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Beginning with the fall 2007 edition, the Internet has been the basic means for disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda will be available online at *www.reginfo.gov* in a format that offers users a greatly enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database.

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), the Department of Justice’s printed agenda entries include only:

(1) Rules that are in the Agency’s regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because they are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and

(2) any rules that the Agency has identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act’s Agenda requirements. Additional information on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet.

Dated: March 24, 2016.

**Jonathan J. Wroblewski,**  
*Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General,*  
*Office of Legal Policy.*

**CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION—PRERULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
180 .....	Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability: Accessibility of Web Information and Services of State and Local Governments.	1190-AA65

**CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
181 .....	Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability; Movie Captioning and Audio Description .....	1190-AA63

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ)**

*Civil Rights Division (CRT)*

Prerule Stage

**180. Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability: Accessibility of Web Information and Services of State and Local Governments**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 12101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* The Department published an NPRM on July 26, 2010, RIN 1190-AA61, that addressed issues relating to proposed revisions of both the title II and title III ADA regulations in order to provide guidance on the obligations of covered entities to make programs, services and activities offered over the Web accessible to individuals with disabilities. The Department has now divided the rulemakings in the next step of the rulemaking process so as to proceed with separate notices of proposed rulemakings for title II and title III. The title III rulemaking on Web accessibility will continue under RIN 1190-AA61 and the title II rulemaking will continue under the new RIN 1190-

AA65. This rulemaking will provide specific guidance to State and local governments in order to make services, programs, or activities offered to the public via the Web accessible to individuals with disabilities. The ADA requires that State and local governments provide qualified individuals with disabilities equal access to their programs, services, or activities unless doing so would fundamentally alter the nature of their programs, services, or activities or would impose an undue burden. 42 U.S.C. 12132. The Internet as it is known today did not exist when Congress enacted the ADA; yet today the Internet is dramatically changing the way that governmental entities serve the public. Taking advantage of new technology, citizens can now use State and local government Web sites to correspond online with local officials; obtain information about government services; renew library books or driver’s licenses; pay fines; register to vote; obtain tax information and file tax returns; apply for jobs or benefits; and complete numerous other civic tasks.

These Government Web sites are important because they allow programs and services to be offered in a more dynamic, interactive way in order to increase citizen participation; increase convenience and speed in obtaining information or services; reduce costs in providing information about Government services and administering programs; reduce the amount of paperwork; and expand the possibilities of reaching new sectors of the community or offering new programs or services. Many States and localities have begun to improve the accessibility of portions of their Web sites. However, full compliance with the ADA’s promise to provide an equal opportunity for individuals with disabilities to participate in and benefit from all aspects of the programs, services, and activities provided by State and local governments in today’s technologically advanced society will only occur if it is clear to public entities that their Web sites must be accessible. Consequently, the Department intends to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) to amend its title II regulations to

expressly address the obligations of public entities to make the Web sites they use to provide programs, activities, or services or information to the public accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities under the legal framework established by the ADA. The proposed regulation will propose the scope of the obligation to provide accessibility when persons with disabilities access public Web sites, as well as propose the technical standards necessary to comply with the ADA.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	07/26/10	75 FR 43460
ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/21/11	
Second ANPRM ..	05/00/16	
Second ANPRM Comment Period End.	08/00/16	
NPRM .....	07/00/17	
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rebecca B. Bond, Chief, Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530, *Phone:* 800 514-0301.

*RIN:* 1190-AA65

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE (DOJ)**

*Civil Rights Division (CRT)*

Final Rule Stage

**181. Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability; Movie Captioning and Audio Description**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 12101, *et seq.*

*Abstract:* Following its advance notice of proposed rulemaking published on July 26, 2010, the Department plans to publish a proposed rule addressing the requirements for captioning and video description of movies exhibited in movie theatres under title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA). Title III prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability in the activities of places of public accommodation (private entities whose operations affect commerce and that fall into one of twelve categories listed in the ADA). 42 U.S.C. 12181-12189. Title III makes it unlawful for places of public accommodation, such as movie theaters, to discriminate against individuals with disabilities in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of a place of public accommodation (42 U.S.C. 12182[a]). Moreover, title III prohibits places of public accommodation from affording an unequal or lesser service to individuals or classes of individuals with disabilities than is offered to other individuals (42 U.S.C. 12182(b)(1)(A)(ii)). Title III requires places of public accommodation to take “such steps as may be necessary to

ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, such as captioning and video description, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden,” (42 U.S.C. 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii)).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	07/26/10	75 FR 43467
ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/24/11	
NPRM .....	08/01/14	79 FR 44975
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	09/08/14	79 FR 53146
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/30/14	
NPRM Extended Comment Period End.	12/01/14	
Final Action .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rebecca B. Bond, Chief, Department of Justice, Civil Rights Division, Disability Rights Section, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530, *Phone:* 800 514-0301.

*RIN:* 1190-AA63

[FR Doc. 2016-12909 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-BP-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XIII

Department of Labor

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

**Office of the Secretary**

**20 CFR Chs. I, IV, V, VI, VII, and IX**

**29 CFR Subtitle A and Chs. II, IV, V, XVII, and XXV**

**30 CFR Ch. I**

**41 CFR Ch. 60**

**48 CFR Ch. 29**

**Semiannual Agenda of Regulations**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Labor.

**ACTION:** Semiannual Regulatory Agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Internet has become the means for disseminating the entirety of the Department of Labor’s semiannual regulatory agenda. However, the Regulatory Flexibility Act requires publication of a regulatory flexibility

agenda in the **Federal Register**. This **Federal Register** Notice contains the regulatory flexibility agenda.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Kathleen Franks, Director, Office of Regulatory Policy, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Policy, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room S-2312, Washington, DC 20210; (202) 693-5959.

**Note:** Information pertaining to a specific regulation can be obtained from the agency contact listed for that particular regulation.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Executive Order 12866 requires the semiannual publication of an agenda of regulations that contains a listing of all the regulations the Department of Labor expects to have under active consideration for promulgation, proposal, or review during the coming one-year period. The entirety of the Department’s semiannual agenda is available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602) requires DOL to publish in the **Federal Register** a regulatory flexibility agenda. The Department’s Regulatory Flexibility Agenda published with this notice includes only those rules on its semiannual agenda that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and those rules identified for periodic review in keeping with the requirements of section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. Thus, the regulatory flexibility agenda is a subset of the Department’s semiannual regulatory agenda. There is only one item on the Department of Labor’s Regulatory Flexibility Agenda:

**Occupational Safety and Health Administration**

Bloodborne Pathogens (RIN 1218-AC34).

**Thomas E. Perez,**  
*Secretary of Labor.*

**WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
182 .....	Defining and Delimiting the Exemptions for Executive, Administrative, Professional, Outside Sales, and Computer Employees.	1235-AA11
183 .....	Establishing Paid Sick Leave for Contractors, Executive Order 13706 .....	1235-AA13

**EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
184 .....	Modernizing the Permanent Labor Certification Program (PERM) .....	1205-AB75

**EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
185 .....	Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act .....	1205-AB73
186 .....	Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act; Joint Rule With U.S. Department of Education for Combined and Unified State Plans, Performance Accountability, and the One-Stop System Joint Provisions.	1205-AB74

**EMPLOYEE BENEFITS SECURITY ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
187 .....	Conflict of Interest Rule—Investment Advice .....	1210-AB32

**OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION—PRERULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
188 .....	Bloodborne Pathogens ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	1218-AC34
189 .....	Combustible Dust .....	1218-AC41
190 .....	Preventing Backover Injuries and Fatalities .....	1218-AC51

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
191 .....	Occupational Exposure to Beryllium .....	1218-AB76
192 .....	Infectious Diseases .....	1218-AC46

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
193 .....	Injury and Illness Prevention Program .....	1218-AC48

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
194 .....	Occupational Exposure to Crystalline Silica .....	1218-AB70

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

*Wage and Hour Division (WHD)*

Final Rule Stage

**182. Defining and Delimiting the Exemptions for Executive, Administrative, Professional, Outside Sales, and Computer Employees**

*Legal Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 213(a)(1) (Fair Labor Standards Act)

*Abstract:* The Department proposes to update the regulations governing which executive, administrative, and professional employees (white collar workers) are entitled to the Fair Labor Standards Act's minimum wage and overtime pay protections. Key provisions of the proposed rule include: (1) Setting the standard salary level required for exemption for full-time salaried workers; (2) increasing the total annual compensation requirement needed to exempt highly compensated employees; and (3) establishing a mechanism for automatically updating the salary and compensation levels going forward to ensure that they will continue to provide a useful and effective test for exemption. The Department last updated these regulations in 2004, which, among other items, set the standard salary level at not less than \$455 per week.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/06/15	80 FR 38516
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/04/15	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mary Ziegler, Assistant Administrator, Office of

Policy, Wage and Hour (WHD), Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room S-3502, FP Building, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-0406, *Fax:* 202 693-1387.

*RIN:* 1235-AA11

**183. Establishing Paid Sick Leave for Contractors, Executive Order 13706**

*Legal Authority:* Not Yet Determined  
*Abstract:* Executive Order 13706, Establishing Paid Sick Leave for Federal Contractors (80 FR 54697) establishes paid sick leave for Federal contractors and subcontractors. The Executive order indicates that Executive Departments and agencies shall, to the extent permitted by law, ensure that new contracts, contract-like instruments, and solicitations as described in section 6 of the order, include a clause, which the contractor and any subcontractors shall incorporate into lower-tier subcontracts, specifying that all employees, in the performance of the contract or any subcontract thereunder, shall earn not less than one hour of paid sick leave for every 30 hours worked. Consistent with the Executive order, the Department of Labor will issue implementing regulations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/25/16	81 FR 9592
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/28/16	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	03/14/16	81 FR 13306
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	04/12/16	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Robert Waterman, Compliance Specialist, Department of Labor, Wage and Hour Division, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Room S-3010, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-0805, *Email:* waterman.robert@dol.gov.

*RIN:* 1235-AA13

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

*Employment and Training Administration (ETA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**184. Modernizing the Permanent Labor Certification Program (PERM)**

*Legal Authority:* 8 U.S.C. 1152(a)(5)(A)

*Abstract:* The PERM regulations govern the labor certification process for employers seeking to employ foreign workers permanently in the United States. The Department of Labor (Department) has not comprehensively examined and modified the permanent labor certification requirements and process since 2004. Over the last ten years, much has changed in our country's economy, affecting employers' demand for workers and the availability of a qualified domestic labor force. Advances in technology and information dissemination have dramatically altered common industry recruitment practices, and the Department has received ongoing feedback that the existing regulatory requirements governing the PERM process frequently do not align with worker or industry needs and practices. Therefore, the Department is engaging

in rulemaking that will consider options to modernize the PERM program to be more responsive to changes in the national workforce, to further align the program design with the objectives of the U.S. immigration system and needs of workers and employers, and to enhance the integrity of the labor certification process.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William W. Thompson II, Acting Administrator, Office of Foreign Labor Certification, Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room C-4312, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-3010.

*RIN:* 1205-AB75

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

*Employment and Training Administration (ETA)*

Final Rule Stage

**185. Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act**

*Legal Authority:* Sec. 503(f) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (Pub. L. 113-128)

*Abstract:* On July 22, 2014, the President signed the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) (Pub. L. 113-128). WIOA repeals the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA) and amends the Wagner-Peyser Act. (29 U.S.C. 2801 *et seq.*) The Department of Labor issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on April 16, 2015, that proposed to implement the changes WIOA makes to the public workforce system in regulations. Through the NPRM, the Department proposed ways to carry out the purposes of WIOA to provide workforce investment activities, through State and local workforce development systems, that increase employment, retention, and earnings of participants, meet the skill requirements of employers, and enhance the productivity and competitiveness of the Nation. The Department has analyzed the comments received and is developing a final rule.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/16/15	80 FR 20690

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/15/15	
Final Rule .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Portia Wu, Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 639-2700.

*RIN:* 1205-AB73

**186. Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act; Joint Rule With U.S. Department of Education for Combined and Unified State Plans, Performance Accountability, and the One-Stop System Joint Provisions**

*Legal Authority:* Sec. 503(f) of the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (Pub. L. 113-128)

*Abstract:* On July 22, 2014, the President signed the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) (Pub. L. 113-128) which repeals the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA). (29 U.S.C. 2801 *et seq.*) As directed by WIOA, the Departments of Education and Labor issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) on April 16, 2015, to implement the changes in regulations that WIOA makes to the public workforce system regarding Combined and Unified State Plans, performance accountability for WIOA title I, title II, title III, and title IV programs, and the one-stop delivery system.

All of the other regulations implementing WIOA were published by the Departments of Labor and Education in separate NPRMs. The Departments have analyzed the comments received and are developing a final rule.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/16/15	80 FR 20574
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/15/15	
Final Rule .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Portia Wu, Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training, Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 639-2700.

*RIN:* 1205-AB74

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

*Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA)*

Completed Actions

**187. Conflict of Interest Rule—Investment Advice**

*Legal Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 1002; ERISA sec 3(21); 29 U.S.C. 1135; ERISA sec 505

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would reduce harmful conflicts of interest by amending the regulatory definition of the term “fiduciary” set forth at 29 CFR 2510.3-21(c) to more broadly define as fiduciaries those persons who render investment advice to plans and IRAs for a fee within the meaning of section 3(21) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) and section 4975(e)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code. The amendment would take into account current practices of investment advisers, and the expectations of plan officials and participants, and IRA owners who receive investment advice, as well as changes that have occurred in the investment marketplace, and in the ways advisers are compensated that frequently subject advisers to harmful conflicts of interest.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/22/10	75 FR 65263
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/20/11	
Second NPRM ....	04/20/15	80 FR 21928
Second NPRM Comment Period End.	07/06/15	
Notice of Public Hearing and Extension of Comment Period.	06/18/15	80 FR 34869
Extension of Comment Period End.	07/21/15	
Final Action .....	04/08/16	81 FR 20945
Final Rule Effective.	06/07/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jeffrey J. Turner, Deputy Director, Office of Regulations and Interpretations, Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room N-5655, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-8500.

*RIN:* 1210-AB32

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)

Prerule Stage

**188. Bloodborne Pathogens (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 533; 5 U.S.C. 610; 29 U.S.C. 655(b)

*Abstract:* OSHA will undertake a review of the Bloodborne Pathogen Standard (29 CFR 1910.1030) in accordance with the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act and section 5 of Executive Order 12866. The review will consider the continued need for the rule; whether the rule overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other Federal, State or local regulations; and the degree to which technology, economic conditions, or other factors may have changed since the rule was evaluated.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Begin Review .....	10/22/09	
Request for Comments Published.	05/14/10	75 FR 27237
Comment Period End.	08/12/10	
End Review and Issue Findings.	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* No.

*Agency Contact:* Amanda Edens, Director, Directorate of Technical Support and Emergency Management, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room N-3653, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-2300, *Fax:* 202 693-1644, *Email:* edens.mandy@dol.gov. *RIN:* 1218-AC34

**189. Combustible Dust**

*Legal Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 655(b); 29 U.S.C. 657

*Abstract:* Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has initiated rulemaking to develop a combustible dust standard for general industry. OSHA will use information gathered, including from an upcoming SBREFA panel, to develop a comprehensive standard that addresses combustible dust hazards.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	10/21/09	74 FR 54333
Stakeholder Meetings.	12/14/09	
ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/19/10	

Action	Date	FR Cite
Stakeholders Meetings.	03/09/10	75 FR 10739
Initiate SBREFA ..	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* William Perry, Director, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room N-3718, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-1950, *Fax:* 202 693-1678, *Email:* perry.bill@dol.gov. *RIN:* 1218-AC41

**190. Preventing Backover Injuries And Fatalities**

*Legal Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 655(b)  
*Abstract:* Backing vehicles and equipment are common causes of struck-by injuries and can also cause caught-between injuries when backing vehicles and equipment pin a worker against an object. Struck-by injuries and caught-between injuries are two of the four leading causes of workplace fatalities. The Bureau of Labor Statistics reports that in 2013, 67 workers were fatally backed over while working. While many backing incidents can prove to be fatal, workers can suffer severe, non-fatal injuries as well. A review of OSHA's Integrated Management Information System (IMIS) database found that backing incidents can result in serious injury to the back and pelvis, fractured bones, concussions, amputations, and other injuries. Emerging technologies in the field of backing operations may prevent incidents. The technologies include cameras and proximity detection systems. The use of spotters and internal traffic control plans can also make backing operations safer. The Agency has held stakeholder meetings on backovers, and is conducting site visits to employers, and is developing a standard to address these hazards.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Request for Information (RFI).	03/29/12	77 FR 18973
RFI Comment Period End.	07/27/12	
Initiate SBREFA ..	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Dean Mckenzie, Acting Director, Directorate of Construction, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N-3468, FP

Building, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-2020, *Fax:* 202 693-1689, *Email:* mckenzie.dean@dol.gov. *RIN:* 1218-AC51

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)

Proposed Rule Stage

**191. Occupational Exposure to Beryllium**

*Legal Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 655(b); 29 U.S.C. 657

*Abstract:* In 1999 and 2001, OSHA was petitioned to issue an emergency temporary standard for permissible exposure limit (PEL) to beryllium by the United Steel Workers (formerly the Paper Allied-Industrial, Chemical, and Energy Workers Union), Public Citizen Health Research Group, and others. The Agency denied the petitions but stated its intent to begin data gathering to collect needed information on beryllium's toxicity, risks, and patterns of usage. On November 26, 2002, OSHA published a Request for Information (RFI) (67 FR 70707) to solicit information pertinent to occupational exposure to beryllium, including: current exposures to beryllium; the relationship between exposure to beryllium and the development of adverse health effects; exposure assessment and monitoring methods; exposure control methods; and medical surveillance. In addition, the Agency conducted field surveys of selected worksites to assess current exposures and control methods being used to reduce employee exposures to beryllium. OSHA convened a Small Business Advocacy Review Panel under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) and completed the SBREFA Report in January 2008. OSHA also completed a scientific peer review of its draft risk assessment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Request for Information (RFI).	11/26/02	67 FR 70707
RFI Comment Period End.	02/24/03	
SBREFA Report Completed.	01/23/08	
Initiated Peer Review of Health Effects and Risk Assessment.	03/22/10	
Complete Peer Review.	11/19/10	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/07/15	80 FR 47565
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/05/15	
Notice of Public Hearing; Date 02/29/2016.	12/30/15	80 FR 81475
Notice of Public Hearing; Date Change 03/21/2016.	02/16/16	81 FR 7717
Analyze Comments.	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* William Perry, Director, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room N-3718, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-1950, *Fax:* 202 693-1678, *Email:* perry.bill@dol.gov.  
*RIN:* 1218-AB76

**192. Infectious Diseases**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 533; 29 U.S.C. 657 and 658; 29 U.S.C. 660; 29 U.S.C. 666; 29 U.S.C. 669; 29 U.S.C. 673

*Abstract:* Employees in health care and other high-risk environments face long-standing infectious disease hazards such as tuberculosis (TB), varicella disease (chickenpox, shingles), and measles (rubeola), as well as new and emerging infectious disease threats, such as Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) and pandemic influenza. Health care workers and workers in related occupations, or who are exposed in other high-risk environments, are at increased risk of contracting TB, SARS, Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA), and other infectious diseases that can be transmitted through a variety of exposure routes. OSHA is concerned about the ability of employees to continue to provide health care and other critical services without unreasonably jeopardizing their health. OSHA is developing a standard to ensure that employers establish a comprehensive infection control program and control measures to protect employees from infectious disease exposures to pathogens that can cause significant disease. Workplaces where such control measures might be necessary include: Health care, emergency response, correctional facilities, homeless shelters, drug treatment programs, and other occupational settings where employees can be at increased risk of exposure to potentially infectious people. A standard could also apply to

laboratories, which handle materials that may be a source of pathogens, and to pathologists, coroners' offices, medical examiners, and mortuaries.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Request for Information (RFI).	05/06/10	75 FR 24835
RFI Comment Period End.	08/04/10	
Analyze Comments.	12/30/10	
Stakeholder Meetings.	07/05/11	76 FR 39041
Initiate SBREFA ..	06/04/14	
Complete SBREFA.	12/22/14	
NPRM .....	03/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* William Perry, Director, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room N-3718, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-1950, *Fax:* 202 693-1678, *Email:* perry.bill@dol.gov.  
*RIN:* 1218-AC46

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

*Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*

Long-Term Actions

**193. Injury and Illness Prevention Program**

*Legal Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 653; 29 U.S.C. 655(b); 29 U.S.C. 657  
*Abstract:* OSHA is developing a rule requiring employers to implement an Injury and Illness Prevention Program. It involves planning, implementing, evaluating, and improving processes and activities that protect employee safety and health. OSHA has substantial data on reductions in injuries and illnesses from employers who have implemented similar effective processes. The Agency currently has voluntary Safety and Health Program Management Guidelines (54 FR 3904 to 3916), published in 1989. An injury and illness prevention program rule would build on these guidelines as well as lessons learned from successful approaches and best practices under OSHA's Voluntary Protection Program, Safety and Health Achievement Recognition Program, and similar industry and international initiatives such as American National Standards Institute/American Industrial Hygiene Association Z10, and Occupational

Health and Safety Assessment Series 18001.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Stakeholder Meetings.	05/04/10	75 FR 23637
Notice of Additional Stakeholder Meetings.	06/22/10	75 FR 35360
SBREFA .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* William Perry, Director, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room N-3718, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693-1950, *Fax:* 202 693-1678, *Email:* perry.bill@dol.gov.  
*RIN:* 1218-AC48

**DEPARTMENT OF LABOR (DOL)**

*Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA)*

Completed Actions

**194. Occupational Exposure to Crystalline Silica**

*Legal Authority:* 29 U.S.C. 655(b); 29 U.S.C. 657  
*Abstract:* Crystalline silica is a significant component of the earth's crust, and many workers in a wide range of industries are exposed to it, usually in the form of respirable quartz or, less frequently, cristobalite. Chronic silicosis is a uniquely occupational disease resulting from exposure of employees over long periods of time (10 years or more). Exposure to high levels of respirable crystalline silica causes acute or accelerated forms of silicosis that are ultimately fatal. The current OSHA permissible exposure limit (PEL) for general industry is based on a formula proposed by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) in 1968 (PEL = 10mg/cubic meter/(% silica + 2), as respirable dust). The current PEL for construction and shipyards (derived from ACGIH's 1970 Threshold Limit Value) is based on particle counting technology, which is considered obsolete. NIOSH and ACGIH recommend 50 µg/m³ and 25 µg/m³ exposure limits, respectively, for respirable crystalline silica.  
 Both industry and worker groups have recognized that a comprehensive standard for crystalline silica is needed to provide for exposure monitoring,

medical surveillance, and worker training. ASTM International has published recommended standards for addressing the hazards of crystalline silica. The Building Construction Trades Department of the AFL–CIO has also developed a recommended comprehensive program standard. These standards include provisions for methods of compliance, exposure monitoring, training, and medical surveillance.

The NPRM was published on September 12, 2013 (78 FR 56274). OSHA received over 1,700 comments from the public on the proposed rule, and over 200 stakeholders provided testimony during public hearings on the proposal. The agency is now reviewing and considering the evidence in the rulemaking record.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite	Action	Date	FR Cite
Completed SBREFA Report.	12/19/03		Informal Public Hearing.	03/18/14	
Initiated Peer Review of Health Effects and Risk Assessment.	05/22/09		Post Hearing Briefs Ends.	08/18/14	
Completed Peer Review.	01/24/10		Final Rule .....	03/25/16	81 FR 16285
NPRM .....	09/12/13	78 FR 56274	Final Rule Effective.	06/23/16	
NPRM Comment Period Extended; Notice of Intention to Appear at Pub Hearing; Scheduling Pub Hearing.	10/31/13	78 FR 65242			
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	01/29/14	79 FR 4641			
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	02/11/14				

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* William Perry, Director, Directorate of Standards and Guidance, Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., FP Building, Room N–3718, Washington, DC 20210, *Phone:* 202 693–1950, *Fax:* 202 693–1678, *Email:* perry.bill@dol.gov.

*RIN:* 1218–AB70

[FR Doc. 2016–12911 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510–HL–P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XIV

Department of Transportation

---

Office of the Secretary

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION****Office of the Secretary**

14 CFR Chs. I–III

23 CFR Chs. I–III

33 CFR Chs. I and IV

46 CFR Chs. I–III

48 CFR Ch. 12

49 CFR Subtitle A, Chs. I–VI, and Chs. X–XII

[DOT–OST–1999–5129]

**Department Regulatory Agenda; Semiannual Summary**

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, DOT.  
**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Regulatory Agenda is a semiannual summary of all current and projected rulemakings, reviews of existing regulations, and completed actions of the Department. The intent of the Agenda is to provide the public with information about the Department of Transportation's regulatory activity planned for the next 12 months. It is expected that this information will enable the public to more effectively participate in the Department's regulatory process. The public is also invited to submit comments on any aspect of this Agenda.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:****General**

You should direct all comments and inquiries on the Agenda in general to Jonathan Moss, Assistant General Counsel for Regulation, Department of Transportation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; (202) 366–4723.

**Specific**

You should direct all comments and inquiries on particular items in the Agenda to the individual listed for the regulation or the general rulemaking contact person for the operating administration in appendix B.

**Table of Contents**

## Supplementary Information

- Background
- Significant/Priority Rulemakings
- Explanation of Information on the Agenda
- Request for Comments
- Purpose
- Appendix A—Instructions for Obtaining Copies of Regulatory Documents
- Appendix B—General Rulemaking Contact Persons
- Appendix C—Public Rulemaking Dockets

Appendix D—Review Plans for Section 610 and Other Requirements

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****Background**

Improvement of our regulations is a prime goal of the Department of Transportation (Department or DOT). Our regulations should be clear, simple, timely, fair, reasonable, and necessary. They should not be issued without appropriate involvement of the public; once issued, they should be periodically reviewed and revised, as needed, to ensure that they continue to meet the needs for which they originally were designed. To view additional information about the Department's regulatory activities online, go to <http://www.dot.gov/regulations>. Among other things, this Web site provides a report updated monthly on the status of the DOT significant rulemakings listed in the semiannual regulatory agenda.

To help the Department achieve its goals and in accordance with Executive Order (E.O.) 12866, "Regulatory Planning and Review," (58 FR 51735; Oct. 4, 1993) and the Department's Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; Feb. 26, 1979), the Department prepares a semiannual regulatory agenda. It summarizes all current and projected rulemakings, reviews of existing regulations, and completed actions of the Department. These are matters on which action has begun or is projected during the next 12 months or for which action has been completed since the last Agenda.

The Agendas are based on reports submitted by the offices initiating the rulemaking and are reviewed by OST.

The Internet is the basic means for disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda is available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) in a format that offers users a greatly enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database.

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), DOT's printed Agenda entries include only:

1. The agency's Agenda preamble;
2. Rules that are in the agency's regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because they are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and
3. Any rules that the agency has identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act's Agenda requirements. These elements are: Sequence Number; Title; Section 610 Review, if applicable; Legal Authority; Abstract; Timetable; Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required; Agency Contact; and Regulation Identifier Number (RIN). Additional information (for detailed list, see section heading "Explanation of Information on the Agenda") on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet.

**Significant Rulemakings**

The Agenda covers all rules and regulations of the Department. We have classified rules as significant in the Agenda if they are, essentially, very beneficial, controversial, or of substantial public interest under our Regulatory Policies and Procedures. All DOT significant rulemaking documents are subject to review by the Secretary of Transportation. If the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) decided a rule is subject to its review under Executive Order 12866, we have also classified it as significant in the Agenda.

**Explanation of Information on the Agenda**

An Office of Management and Budget memorandum, dated February 19, 2016, requires the format for this Agenda.

First, the Agenda is divided by initiating offices. Then the Agenda is divided into five categories: (1) Prerule stage, (2) proposed rule stage, (3) final rule stage, (4) long-term actions, and (5) completed actions. For each entry, the Agenda provides the following information: (1) Its "significance"; (2) a short, descriptive title; (3) its legal basis; (4) the related regulatory citation in the Code of Federal Regulations; (5) any legal deadline and, if so, for what action (e.g., NPRM, final rule); (6) an abstract; (7) a timetable, including the earliest expected date for when a rulemaking document may publish; (8) whether the rulemaking will affect small entities and/or levels of Government and, if so, which categories; (9) whether a Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) analysis is required (for rules that would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities); (10) a listing of any analyses an office will prepare or has prepared for the action (with minor exceptions, DOT requires an economic analysis for all its rulemakings); (11) an agency contact office or official who can provide further information; (12) a Regulation Identifier Number (RIN) assigned to identify an individual rulemaking in the

Agenda and facilitate tracing further action on the issue; (13) whether the action is subject to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act; (14) whether the action is subject to the Energy Act; and (15) whether the action is major under the congressional review provisions of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act. If there is information that does not fit in the other categories, it will be included under a separate heading entitled "Additional Information." One such example of this is the letters "SB," "IC," and "SLT." These refer to information used as part of our required reports on Retrospective Review of DOT rulemakings. A "Y" or an "N," for yes and no, respectively, follow the letters to indicate whether or not a particular rulemaking would have effects on: Small businesses (SB); information collections (IC); or State, local, or tribal (SLT) governments.

For nonsignificant regulations issued routinely and frequently as a part of an established body of technical requirements (such as the Federal Aviation Administration's Airspace Rules), to keep those requirements operationally current, we only include the general category of the regulations, the identity of a contact office or official, and an indication of the expected number of regulations; we do not list individual regulations.

In the "Timetable" column, we use abbreviations to indicate the particular documents being considered. ANPRM stands for Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, SNPRM for Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, and NPRM for Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. Listing a future date in this column does not mean we have made a decision to issue a document; it is the earliest date on which a rulemaking document may publish. In addition, these dates are based on current schedules. Information received after the issuance of this Agenda could result in a decision not to take regulatory action or in changes to proposed publication dates. For example, the need for further evaluation could result in a later publication date; evidence of a greater need for the regulation could result in an earlier publication date.

Finally, a dot (•) preceding an entry indicates that the entry appears in the Agenda for the first time.

### Request for Comments

#### General

Our agenda is intended primarily for the use of the public. Since its inception, we have made modifications and refinements that we believe provide the public with more helpful

information, as well as making the Agenda easier to use. We would like you, the public, to make suggestions or comments on how the Agenda could be further improved.

#### Reviews

We also seek your suggestions on which of our existing regulations you believe need to be reviewed to determine whether they should be revised or revoked. We particularly draw your attention to the Department's review plan in appendix D. In response to Executive Order 13563 "Retrospective Review and Analysis of Existing Rules," in 2011 we prepared a retrospective review plan providing more detail on the process we use to conduct reviews of existing rules, including changes in response to Executive Order 13563. Any updates related to our retrospective plan and review results can be found at <http://www.dot.gov/regulations>.

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Department is especially interested in obtaining information on requirements that have a "significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities" and, therefore, must be reviewed under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. If you have any suggested regulations, please submit them to us, along with your explanation of why they should be reviewed.

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, comments are specifically invited on regulations that we have targeted for review under section 610 of the Act. The phrase (sec. 610 Review) appears at the end of the title for these reviews. Please see appendix D for the Department's section 610 review plans.

#### Consultation With State, Local, and Tribal Governments

Executive Orders 13132 and 13175 require us to develop an accountable process to ensure "meaningful and timely input" by State, local, and tribal officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism or tribal implications. These policies are defined in the Executive orders to include regulations that have "substantial direct effects" on States or Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and them, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and various levels of Government or Indian tribes. Therefore, we encourage State and local Governments or Indian tribes to provide us with information about how the Department's rulemakings impact them.

### Purpose

The Department is publishing this regulatory Agenda in the **Federal Register** to share with interested members of the public the Department's preliminary expectations regarding its future regulatory actions. This should enable the public to be more aware of the Department's regulatory activity and should result in more effective public participation. This publication in the **Federal Register** does not impose any binding obligation on the Department or any of the offices within the Department with regard to any specific item on the Agenda. Regulatory action, in addition to the items listed, is not precluded.

Dated: March 23, 2016.

**Anthony R. Foxx,**

*Secretary of Transportation.*

### Appendix A—Instructions for Obtaining Copies of Regulatory Documents

To obtain a copy of a specific regulatory document in the Agenda, you should communicate directly with the contact person listed with the regulation at the address below. We note that most, if not all, such documents, including the Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, are available through the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov>. See appendix C for more information.

(Name of contact person), (Name of the DOT agency), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590. (For the Federal Aviation Administration, substitute the following address: Office of Rulemaking, ARM-1, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591.)

### Appendix B—General Rulemaking Contact Persons

The following is a list of persons who can be contacted within the Department for general information concerning the rulemaking process within the various operating administrations.

FAA—Lirio Liu, Director, Office of Rulemaking, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-7833.

FHWA—Jennifer Outhouse, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-0761.

FMCSA—Steven J. LaFreniere, Regulatory Ombudsman, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-0596.

NHTSA—Steve Wood, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-2992.

FRA—Kathryn Gresham, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue

SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 493-6063.

FTA—Bonnie Graves, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-0944.

SLSDC—Carrie Mann Lavigne, Chief Counsel, 180 Andrews Street, Massena, NY 13662; telephone (315) 764-3200.

PHMSA—Karin Christian, Office of Chief Counsel, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-4400.

MARAD—Gabriel Chavez, Office of Chief Counsel, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-5157.

OST—Jonathan Moss, Assistant General Counsel for Regulation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590; telephone (202) 366-4723.

### Appendix C—Public Rulemaking Dockets

All comments via the Internet are submitted through the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) at the following address: <http://www.regulations.gov>. The FDMS allows the public to search, view, download, and comment on all Federal agency rulemaking documents in one central online system. The above referenced Internet address also allows the public to sign up to receive notification when certain documents are placed in the dockets.

The public also may review regulatory dockets at or deliver comments on proposed rulemakings to the Dockets Office at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W12-140, Washington, DC 20590, 1-800-647-5527. Working Hours: 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

### Appendix D—Review Plans for Section 610 and Other Requirements

#### Part I—The Plan

##### General

The Department of Transportation has long recognized the importance of regularly reviewing its existing regulations to determine whether they need to be revised or revoked. Our Regulatory Policies and Procedures require such reviews. We also have responsibilities under Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” and section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act to conduct such reviews. This includes the use of plain language techniques in new rules and considering its use in existing rules when we have the opportunity and resources to permit its use. We are committed to continuing our reviews of existing rules and, if it is needed, will

initiate rulemaking actions based on these reviews.

In accordance with Executive Order 13563, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review,” issued by the President on January 18, 2011, the Department has added other elements to its review plan. The Department has decided to improve its plan by adding special oversight processes within the Department, encouraging effective and timely reviews, including providing additional guidance on particular problems that warrant review, and expanding opportunities for public participation. These new actions are in addition to the other steps described in this appendix.

#### Section 610 Review Plan

Section 610 requires that we conduct reviews of rules that: (1) Have been published within the last 10 years, and (2) have a “significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities” (SEIOSNOSE). It also requires that we publish in the **Federal Register** each year a list of any such rules that we will review during the next year. The Office of the Secretary and each of the Department’s Operating Administrations have a 10-year review plan. These reviews comply with section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

#### Changes to the Review Plan

Some reviews may be conducted earlier than scheduled. For example, to the extent resources permit, the plain language reviews will be conducted more quickly. Other events, such as accidents, may result in the need to conduct earlier reviews of some rules. Other factors may also result in the need to make changes; for example, we may make changes in response to public comment on this plan or in response to a presidentially mandated review. If there is any change to the review plan, we will note the change in the following Agenda. For any section 610 review, we will provide the required notice prior to the review.

#### Part II—The Review Process

##### The Analysis

Generally, the agencies have divided their rules into 10 different groups and plan to analyze one group each year. For purposes of these reviews, a year will coincide with the fall-to-fall schedule for publication of the Agenda. Thus, Year 1 (2008) begins in the fall of 2008 and ends in the fall of 2009; Year 2 (2009) begins in the fall of 2009 and ends in the fall of 2010, and so on. We request public comment on the timing

of the reviews. For example, is there a reason for scheduling an analysis and review for a particular rule earlier than we have? Any comments concerning the plan or particular analyses should be submitted to the regulatory contacts listed in appendix B, General Rulemaking Contact Persons.

#### Section 610 Review

The agency will analyze each of the rules in a given year’s group to determine whether any rule has a SEIOSNOSE and, thus, requires review in accordance with section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The level of analysis will, of course, depend on the nature of the rule and its applicability. Publication of agencies’ section 610 analyses listed each fall in this Agenda provides the public with notice and an opportunity to comment consistent with the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. We request that public comments be submitted to us early in the analysis year concerning the small entity impact of the rules to help us in making our determinations.

In each fall Agenda, the agency will publish the results of the analyses it has completed during the previous year. For rules that had a negative finding on SEIOSNOSE, we will give a short explanation (e.g., “these rules only establish petition processes that have no cost impact” or “these rules do not apply to any small entities”). For parts, subparts, or other discrete sections of rules that do have a SEIOSNOSE, we will announce that we will be conducting a formal section 610 review during the following 12 months. At this stage, we will add an entry to the Agenda in the prerulemaking section describing the review in more detail. We also will seek public comment on how best to lessen the impact of these rules and provide a name or docket to which public comments can be submitted. In some cases, the section 610 review may be part of another unrelated review of the rule. In such a case, we plan to clearly indicate which parts of the review are being conducted under section 610.

#### Other Reviews

The agency will also examine the specified rules to determine whether any other reasons exist for revising or revoking the rule or for rewriting the rule in plain language. In each fall Agenda, the agency will also publish information on the results of the examinations completed during the previous year.

**Part III—List of Pending Section 610 Reviews**

The Agenda identifies the pending DOT section 610 Reviews by inserting “(Section 610 Review)” after the title for the specific entry. For further

information on the pending reviews, see the Agenda entries at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov). For example, to obtain a list of all entries that are in section 610 Reviews under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, a user would select the desired responses

on the search screen (by selecting “advanced search”) and, in effect, generate the desired “index” of reviews.

*Office of the Secretary*

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 91 through 99 and 14 CFR parts 200 through 212	2008	2009
2	48 CFR parts 1201 through 1253 and new parts and subparts	2009	2010
3	14 CFR parts 213 through 232	2010	2011
4	14 CFR parts 234 through 254	2011	2012
5	14 CFR parts 255 through 298 and 49 CFR part 40	2012	2013
6	14 CFR parts 300 through 373	2013	2014
7	14 CFR parts 374 through 398	2014	2015
8	14 CFR part 399 and 49 CFR parts 1 through 11	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 17 through 28	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 29 through 39 and parts 41 through 89	2017	2018

**Year 8 (2015) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

- 14 CFR part 399—Fees and Charges for Special Services
- 49 CFR part 1—Organization and Delegation of Power and Duties
- 49 CFR part 3—Official Seal
- 49 CFR part 5—Rulemaking Procedures
- 49 CFR part 6—Implementation of Equal Access to Justice Act in Agency Proceedings
- 49 CFR part 7—Public Availability of Information
- 49 CFR part 8—Classified Information: Classification/Declassification/Access
- 49 CFR part 9—Testimony of Employees of the Department and Production of Records in Legal Proceedings
- 49 CFR part 10—Maintenance of and Access to Records Pertaining to Individuals
- 49 CFR part 11—Protection of Human Subjects

**Year 7 (2014) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 374—Implementation of the Consumer Credit Protection Act with Respect to Air Carriers and Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 374a—Extension of Credit by Airlines to Federal Political Candidates
- 14 CFR part 375—Navigation of Foreign Civil Aircraft within the United States
- 14 CFR part 377—Continuance of Expired Authorizations by Operation of Law Pending Final Determination of Applications for Renewal Thereof
- 14 CFR part 380—Public Charters
- 14 CFR part 381—Special Event Tours
- 14 CFR part 382—Nondiscrimination on The Basis of Disability in Air Travel
- 14 CFR part 383—Civil Penalties

- 14 CFR part 385—Staff Assignments and Review of Action under Assignments
- 14 CFR part 389—Fees and Charges for Special Services
- 14 CFR part 398—Guidelines for Individual Determinations of Basic Essential Air Service

**Year 6 (2013) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 300—Rules of Conduct in DOT Proceedings Under This Chapter
- 14 CFR part 302—Rules of Practice in Proceedings
- 14 CFR part 303—Review of Air Carrier Agreements
- 14 CFR part 305—Rules of Practice in Informal Nonpublic Investigations
- 14 CFR part 313—Implementation of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act
- 14 CFR part 323—Terminations, Suspensions, and Reductions of Service
- 14 CFR part 325—Essential Air Service Procedures
- 14 CFR part 330—Procedures for Compensation of Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 372—Overseas Military Personnel Charters

**Year 5 (Fall 2012) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 255—Airline Computer Reservations Systems
- 14 CFR part 256—[Reserved]
- 14 CFR part 271—Guidelines for Subsidizing Air Carriers Providing Essential Air Transportation
- 14 CFR part 272—Essential Air Service to the Freely Associated States
- 14 CFR part 291—Cargo Operations in Interstate Air Transportation
- 14 CFR part 292—International Cargo Transportation
- 14 CFR part 293—International Passenger Transportation

- 14 CFR part 294—Canadian Charter Air Taxi Operators
- 14 CFR part 296—Indirect Air Transportation of Property
- 14 CFR part 297—Foreign Air Freight Forwarders and Foreign Cooperative Shippers Associations
- 14 CFR part 298—Exemptions for Air Taxi and Commuter Air Carrier Operations

**Year 4 (Fall 2011) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 240—Inspection of Accounts and Property
- 14 CFR part 241—Uniform System of Accounts and Reports for Large Certificated Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 243—Passenger Manifest Information
- 14 CFR part 247—Direct Airport-to-Airport Mileage Records
- 14 CFR part 248—Submission of Audit Reports
- 14 CFR part 249—Preservation of Air Carrier Records

**Year 3 (Fall 2010) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 14 CFR part 213—Terms, Conditions, and Limitations of Foreign Air Carrier Permits
- 14 CFR part 214—Terms, Conditions, and Limitations of Foreign Air Carrier Permits Authorizing Charter Transportation Only
- 14 CFR part 215—Use and Change of Names of Air Carriers, Foreign Air Carriers, and Commuter Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 216—Commingling of Blind Sector Traffic by Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 217—Reporting Traffic Statistics by Foreign Air Carriers in Civilian Scheduled, Charter, and Nonscheduled Services

- 14 CFR part 218—Lease by Foreign Air Carrier or Other Foreign Person of Aircraft With Crew
- 14 CFR part 221—Tariffs
- 14 CFR part 222—Intermodal Cargo Services by Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 223—Free and Reduced-Rate Transportation
- 14 CFR part 232—Transportation of Mail, Review of Orders of Postmaster General
- 14 CFR part 234—Airline Service Quality Performance Reports

**Year 1 (Fall 2008) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

- 49 CFR part 91—International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices
- 49 CFR part 92—Recovering Debts to the United States by Salary Offset
- 49 CFR part 98—Enforcement of Restrictions on Post-Employment Activities

- 49 CFR part 99—Employee Responsibilities and Conduct
- 14 CFR part 200—Definitions and Instructions
- 14 CFR part 201—Air Carrier Authority Under Subtitle VII of Title 49 of the United States Code [Amended]
- 14 CFR part 203—Waiver of Warsaw Convention Liability Limits and Defenses
- 14 CFR part 204—Data to Support Fitness Determinations
- 14 CFR part 205—Aircraft Accident Liability Insurance
- 14 CFR part 206—Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity: Special Authorizations and Exemptions
- 14 CFR part 207—Charter Trips by U.S. Scheduled Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 208—Charter Trips by U.S. Charter Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 211—Applications for Permits to Foreign Air Carriers
- 14 CFR part 212—Charter Rules for U.S. and Foreign Direct Air Carriers

*Federal Aviation Administration*

Section 610 Review Plan

The FAA has elected to use the two-step, two-year process used by most DOT modes in past plans. As such, the FAA has divided its rules into 10 groups as displayed in the table below. During the first year (the “analysis year”), all rules published during the previous 10 years within a 10% block of the regulations will be analyzed to identify those with a SEIOSNOSE. During the second year (the “review year”), each rule identified in the analysis year as having a SEIOSNOSE will be reviewed in accordance with Section 610(b) to determine if it should be continued without change or changed to minimize impact on small entities. Results of those reviews will be published in the DOT Semiannual Regulatory Agenda.

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	14 CFR parts 119 through 129 and parts 150 through 156	2008	2009
2	14 CFR parts 133 through 139 and parts 157 through 169	2009	2010
3	14 CFR parts 141 through 147 and parts 170 through 187	2010	2011
4	14 CFR parts 189 through 198 and parts 1 through 16	2011	2012
5	14 CFR parts 17 through 33	2012	2013
6	14 CFR parts 34 through 39 and parts 400 through 405	2013	2014
7	14 CFR parts 43 through 49 and parts 406 through 415	2014	2015
8	14 CFR parts 60 through 77	2015	2016
9	14 CFR parts 91 through 105	2016	2017
10	14 CFR parts 417 through 460	2017	2018

**Year 9 (2016) List of Rules To Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

- 14 CFR part 91—General Operating and Flight Rules
- 14 CFR part 93—Special Air Traffic Rules
- 14 CFR part 95—IFR Altitudes
- 14 CFR part 97—Standard Instrument Procedures
- 14 CFR part 99—Security Control of Air Traffic
- 14 CFR part 101—Moored Balloons, Kites, Amateur Rockets and Unmanned Free Balloons
- 14 CFR part 103—Ultralight Vehicles
- 14 CFR part 105—Parachute Operations

**Year 8 (2015) List of Rules Analyzed and Summary of Results**

- 14 CFR part 60—Flight Simulation Training Device Initial and Continuing Qualification and Use
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules

- indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 61—Certification: Pilots, Flight Instructors, and Ground Instructors
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 63—Certification: Flight Crewmembers Other than Pilots
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 65—Certification: Airmen Other than Flight Crewmembers
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.

- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 67—Medical Standards and Certification
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 14 CFR part 71—Designation of Class A, B, C, D, and E Airspace Areas; Air Traffic Service Routes; and Reporting Points
  - Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial

revision.  
 14 CFR part 73—Special Use Airspace  
 • Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules

indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 14 CFR part 77—Safe, Efficient Use, and Preservation of the Navigable Airspace  
 • Section 610: The agency conducted a Section 610 review of this part and found no SEISNOSE.  
 • General: No changes are needed.

These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FAA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

*Federal Highway Administration*  
 Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	None	2008	2009
2	23 CFR parts 1 to 260	2009	2010
3	23 CFR parts 420 to 470	2010	2011
4	23 CFR part 500	2011	2012
5	23 CFR parts 620 to 637	2012	2013
6	23 CFR parts 645 to 669	2013	2014
7	23 CFR parts 710 to 924	2014	2015
8	23 CFR parts 940 to 973	2015	2016
9	23 CFR parts 1200 to 1252	2016	2017
10	New parts and subparts	2017	2018

*Federal-Aid Highway Program*

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has adopted regulations in title 23 of the CFR, chapter I, related to the Federal-Aid Highway Program. These regulations implement and carry out the provisions of Federal law relating to the administration of Federal aid for highways. The primary law authorizing Federal aid for highway is chapter I of title 23 of the U.S.C. 145 of title 23, expressly provides for a federally assisted State program. For this reason, the regulations adopted by the FHWA in title 23 of the CFR primarily relate to the requirements that States must meet to receive Federal funds for the construction and other work related to highways. Because the regulations in title 23 primarily relate to States, which are not defined as small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the FHWA believes that its regulations in title 23 do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The FHWA solicits public comment on this preliminary conclusion.

**Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results**

23 CFR part 710—Right-of-way and real estate  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: An updated rule was promulgated implementing section 1302 of MAP–21 by adding the new authorities for early acquisition of property to part 710, clarifying the Federal-aid eligibility of a broad range of real property interests that constitute less than full fee ownership, streamlining program requirements, clarifying the

Federal-State partnership, and carrying out a comprehensive update of part 710.  
 23 CFR part 750—Highway beautification  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 23 FR part 751—Junkyard control and acquisition  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 23 CFR part 752—Landscape and roadside development  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 23 CFR part 771—Environmental impact and related procedures  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: An updated rule was promulgated to conform with MAP–21, and proposes additional substantive and nonsubstantive changes to streamline or clarify this part.  
 23 CFR part 772—Procedures for abatement of highway traffic noise and construction noise

• Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 23 CFR part 773—Surface Transportation Project Delivery Program application requirements and termination  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 23 CFR part 774—Parks, recreation areas, wildlife and waterfowl refuges, and historic sites (Section 4(f))  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 23 CFR part 777—Mitigation of impacts to wetlands and natural habitat  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected  
 • General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.  
 23 CFR part 810—Mass transit and special use highway projects  
 • Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No

- small entities are affected
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. FHWA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 23 CFR part 924—Highway safety improvement program
- Section 610: No SEIOSNOSE. No small entities are affected
  - General: An updated rule was promulgated to incorporate amendments made to the program

by section 1112 of MAP–21 and to incorporate necessary changes to align with the safety performance management rulemaking.

**Year 8 (Fall 2015) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

- 23 CFR part 940—Intelligent transportation system architecture and standards
- 23 CFR part 950—Electronic toll collection
- 23 CFR part 970—National Park Service Management Systems

- 23 CFR part 971—Forest Service management systems
- 23 CFR part 972—Fish and Wildlife Service management systems
- 23 CFR part 973—Management systems pertaining to the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Indian Reservation Roads Program

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration*

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR part 372, subpart A	2008	2009
2	49 CFR part 386	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 325 and 390 (General)	2010	2011
4	49 CFR parts 390 (Small Passenger-Carrying Vehicles), 391 to 393 and 396 to 399	2011	2012
5	49 CFR part 387	2012	2013
6	49 CFR parts 360, 365, 366, 368, 374, 377, and 378	2013	2014
7	49 CFR parts 356, 367, 369, 370, 371, 372 (subparts B and C)	2014	2015
8	49 CFR parts 373, 376, and 379	2015	2016
9	49 CFR part 375	2016	2017
10	49 CFR part 395	2017	2018

**Year 6 (Fall 2013) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results**

- 49 CFR part 360—Fees for Motor Carrier Registration and Insurance
- Section 610: There is no SEISNOSE. This administrative rule allows FMCSA to collect one-time nominal registration and insurance fees for commercial motor carriers. The fees do not place any significant cost burden on small entities.
  - General: FMCSA will integrate plain language techniques to the extent possible as it rewrites various rulemakings to address Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA–LU) and MAP–21 provisions that authorize the replacement of three current identification and registration systems with a single online Federal “Unified Registration System (URS).” The authority to set and collect fees is found in 31 U.S.C. 9701 and 49 U.S.C. 13908.
- 49 CFR part 3659—Rules Governing Applications for Operating Authority
- Section 610: There is no SEISNOSE. This administrative rule describes the operating authority application process and does not require extensive time to complete. The rule also allows commercial motor vehicle (CMV) carriers to protest a rejected application for operating authority. Because no entity is obliged to file a protest; and the

filing process requires minimal time to complete, we find that the rule does not impose any significant costs upon a significant number of small entities.

- General: FMCSA will integrate plain language techniques as it rewrites these rulemakings and will integrate this part into 49 CFR part 360 consistent with the Federal “Unified Registration System.” This part is still relevant as it provides carriers with the authority to operate.
- 49 CFR part 366—Designation of Process Agent
- Section 610: There is no SEISNOSE. These rules require motor carriers to designate court-related process agents for every State in which they operate to enable the claimant to adjudicate a claim in the jurisdiction where the claim arises. Many small motor carriers contract with organizations which provide a nationwide blanket authority at a reasonable cost.
  - General: The process-agent designation is imposed by statute: 49 U.S.C. 13303 and 13304; consequently FMCSA has no discretion regarding costs associated with this rulemaking. FMCSA will integrate plain language techniques as it rewrites these rulemakings and will integrate this part into 49 CFR part 360 consistent with the Federal “Unified Registration System.”

- 49 CFR part 368—Application for a Certificate of Registration to Operate in Municipalities in the United States on the United States-Mexico International Border or Within the Commercial Zones of Such Municipalities
- Section 610: There is no SEISNOSE. The issuance of “Certificates of Registration” to Mexican motor carriers of property desiring to operate in the United States commercial border zones applies only to Mexican carriers and therefore has no cost impact to U.S. small entities.
  - General: This rule remains important since the North American Free Trade Agreement has not been fully implemented. The FMCSA will integrate plain language techniques as it rewrites these rulemakings and will integrate this part into 49 CFR part 360, consistent with SAFETEA–LU and the proposed Federal “Unified Registration System.”
- 49 CFR part 374—Discrimination in Operations of Interstate Motor Common Carriers of Passengers
- Section 610: There is no SEISNOSE. This regulation is administrative in nature and was transferred to the Department of Transportation upon the enactment of the Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act (ICCTA) of 1995. This rule prohibits certain forms of discrimination and smoking on interstate motor carriers of

passengers, ticketing requirements, and excess baggage requirements regarding commercial travel on Interstate motor carriers of passengers. These rules promote standard business practices that a prudent person should undertake in the proper management of transportation operations consistent with existing laws to include the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and the Civil Rights Amendment. There are no substantial additive costs borne by small entities as a result of this rule.

- General: These regulations are cost effective and impose minimal burden. FMCSA will rewrite the regulations using plain language techniques as resources permit.

49 CFR part 377—Payment of Transportation Charges

- Section 610: There is no SEISNOSE. The rules and regulations in this part apply to the transportation by motor vehicle of collect on delivery shipments by common carriers of property subject to 49 U.S.C. 13702, and extending credit to shippers. The rules do not constrain business decisions or impose costly fees

upon small entities.

- General: These rules support 49 U.S.C. 13702, and require certain carriers to publish tariffs in support of non-contiguous domestic trade. FMCSA will rewrite its regulations using plain language techniques as resources permit.

49 CFR part 378—Procedures Governing the Processing, Investigation, and Disposition of Overcharge, Duplicate Payment, or Over-collection Claims

- Section 610: There is no SEISNOSE. These rules involve standard business practices that a prudent carrier should undertake in the proper management of claim disputes even in the absence of the rules. The benefits of the rule justify their costs, and impose only a minimal cost burden on small entities.
- General: These rules support 49 U.S.C. 13301, 14101, 14704, 14705, and 13702(a), which regulate the management of claim disputes. FMCSA will rewrite the regulations using plain language techniques as resources permit.

**Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

49 CFR part 356—Motor Carrier Routing Regulations

49 CFR part 367—Standards for Registration with States

49 CFR part 369—Reports of Motor Carriers

49 CFR part 370—Principles and Practices for the Investigation and Voluntary Disposition of Loss and Damage Claims and Processing Salvage

49 CFR part 371—Brokers of Property

49 CFR part 372 (subparts B and C)—Exemptions, Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas.

**Year 8 (2015) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

49 CFR part 373 part 373—Receipts and Bills

49 CFR part 376—Lease and Interchange of Vehicles

49 CFR part 379—Preservation of Records

*National Highway Traffic Safety Administration*

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 571.223 through 571.500, and parts 575 and 579	2008	2009
2	23 CFR parts 1200 through 1300	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 501 through 526 and 571.213	2010	2011
4	49 CFR parts 571.131, 571.217, 571.220, 571.221, and 571.222	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 571.101 through 571.110, and 571.135, 571.138, and 571.139	2012	2013
6	49 CFR parts 529 through 578, except parts 571 and 575	2013	2014
7	49 CFR parts 571.111 through 571.129 and parts 580 through 588	2014	2015
8	49 CFR parts 571.201 through 571.212	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 571.214 through 571.219, except 571.217	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 591 through 595 and new parts and subparts	2017	2018

**Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of the Results**

49 CFR part 571.111—Rear Visibility

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 571.113—Hood Latch System

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 571.114—Theft Protection and Rollaway Prevention

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 571.116—Motor Vehicle Brake Fluids

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 571.117—Retreaded Pneumatic Tires

- Section 610: There is no

SEIOSNOSE.

- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 571.118—Power-Operated Window, Partition, and Roof Panel Systems

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA’s plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 571.119—New Pneumatic Tires For Motor Vehicles with a GVWR of More Than 4,536 Kilograms (10,000 Pounds) and Motorcycles

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.120—Tire Selection and Rims and Motor Home/ Recreation Vehicle Trailer Load Carrying Capacity Information For Motor Vehicles With a GVWR of More Than 4,536 Kilograms (10,000 Pounds)
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.121—Air Brake Systems
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.122—Motorcycle Brake Systems
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.122a—Motorcycle Brake Systems
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.123—Motorcycle Controls and Displays
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.124—Accelerator Control Systems
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden.
- NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.125—Warning Devices
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.126—Electronic Stability Control Systems
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 571.129—New Non-pneumatic Tires For Passenger Cars
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 580—Odometer Disclosure Requirements
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 581—Bumper Standard
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 582—Insurance Cost Information Regulation
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 583—Automobile Parts Content Labeling
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for
- substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 585—Phase-In Reporting Requirements
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 587—Deformable Barriers
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for substantial revision.
- 49 CFR part 588—Child Restraint Systems Recordkeeping Requirements
- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
  - General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. NHTSA's plain language review of these rules indicates no need for
- substantial revision.
- Year 8 (Fall 2015) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**
- 49 CFR part 571.201—Occupant Protection in Interior Impact
- 49 CFR part 571.202—Head Restraints; Applicable at the Manufacturers Option Until September 1, 2009
- 49 CFR part 571.202a—Head Restraints; Mandatory Applicability Begins On September 1, 2009
- 49 CFR part 571.203—Impact Protection For the Driver From the Steering Control System
- 49 CFR part 571.204—Steering Control Rearward Displacement.
- 49 CFR part 571.205—Glazing Materials
- 49 CFR part 571.205a—Glazing Equipment Manufactured Before September 1, 2006 and Glazing Materials Used In Vehicles Manufactured Before November 1, 2006
- 49 CFR part 571.206—Door Locks and Door Retention Components
- 49 CFR part 571.207—Seating Systems
- 49 CFR part 571.208—Occupant Crash Protection
- 49 CFR part 571.209—Seat Belt Assemblies
- 49 CFR part 571.210—Seat Belt Assembly Anchorages
- 49 CFR part 571.211—[Reserved]
- 49 CFR part 571.212—Windshield Mounting
- Federal Railroad Administration*  
Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 200 and 201	2008	2009
2	49 CFR parts 207, 209, 211, 215, 238, and 256	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 210, 212, 214, 217, and 268	2010	2011
4	49 CFR part 219	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 218, 221, 241, and 244	2012	2013
6	49 CFR parts 216, 228, and 229	2013	2014
7	49 CFR parts 223 and 233	2014	2015
8	49 CFR parts 224, 225, 231, and 234	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 222, 227, 235, 236, 250, 260, and 266	2016	2017
10	49 CFR parts 213, 220, 230, 232, 239, 240, and 265	2017	2018

**Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results**

49 CFR part 223—Safety Glazing Standards—Locomotives, Passenger Cars and Caboose

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: The rule provides minimum requirements for glazing materials, and is necessary to protect railroad employees and railroad passengers from injury as a result of objects striking the windows of locomotives, passenger cars and cabooses. Recent amendments with regard to the clarification existing regulations related to the use of glazing materials in the windows of locomotives, passenger cars, and cabooses are expected to reduce

paperwork and other economic burdens on the rail industry by removing a stenciling requirement for locomotives, passenger cars, and cabooses. FRA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 233—Signal Systems Reporting Requirements

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE.
- General: FRA proposed to eliminate the five-year reporting requirement in a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that was published on June 19, 2013. The final rule eliminated the regulatory requirement that each railroad file a Signal Systems Five-Year Report with FRA which became effective on September 2, 2014. This would reduce paperwork burdens, and protect public health,

welfare, safety and environment. FRA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.

**Year 8 (Fall 2015) List of Rule(s) That Will Be Analyzed During Next Year**

- 49 CFR part 224—Reflectorization of Rail Freight Rolling Stock
- 49 CFR part 225—Railroad Accidents/ Incidents: Reports Classification and Investigations
- 49 CFR part 231—Railroad Safety Appliance Standards
- 49 CFR part 234—Grade Crossing Safety, Including Signal Systems, State Action Plans, and Emergency Notification Systems

*Federal Transit Administration*  
Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR parts 604, 605, and 633	2008	2009
2	49 CFR parts 661 and 665	2009	2010
3	49 CFR part 633	2010	2011
4	49 CFR parts 609 and 611	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 613 and 614	2012	2013
6	49 CFR part 622	2013	2014
7	49 CFR part 630	2014	2015
8	49 CFR part 639	2015	2016
9	49 CFR parts 659 and 663	2016	2017
10	49 CFR part 665	2017	2018

**Year 7 (Fall 2014) List of Rules Analyzed and Summary of Results**

49 CFR part 630—National Transit Database

- Section 610: The agency has determined that the rule continues to not have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities. FTA is proposing to amend the rule to align with the statutory requirement to report performance measures and targets for the National Transit Asset Management System as required under 49 U.S.C. 5326(c)(3). Currently, the NTD reporting requirements are limited, in some instances, to recipients and

sub-recipients of section 5307 urban formula funds and 5311 rural formula funds. The proposed reporting requirements would apply to all recipients and sub-recipients of Chapter 53 funds that own, operate, or manage capital assets used in the provision of public transportation. However, FTA is not proposing to apply all existing NTD reporting requirements to all recipients of chapter 53 funds. FTA has evaluated the likely effects of the proposed rule on small entities and is requesting public comment during the rulemaking process. FTA has determined that the proposed

revisions will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

- General: The rule was promulgated to prescribe requirements and procedures for compliance with Federal data reporting requirements dictated by statute. Recently, Congress included additional reporting requirements for the management of transit asset when it enacted the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), Public Law 112-141, (2012). FTA is promulgating a notice of proposed rulemaking to implement specific reporting

requirements of the National Asset Management System in accordance with 49 U.S.C. 5326. The proposal includes revising 49 CFR part 630 to apply to all recipients of Federal public transit funds instead of being limited to just recipients of Federal

funds under 49 U.S.C. 5307 and 5311. However, the proposed rule will not extend all current reporting requirements to all recipients. This proposal will revise the regulation to be consistent with current statutory requirements.

**Year 8 (Fall 2015)—List of Rule(s) That Will Be Analyzed This Year**

49 CFR part 639—Capital Leases  
*Maritime Administration*  
Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	46 CFR parts 201 through 205	2008	2009
2	46 CFR parts 221 through 232	2009	2010
3	46 CFR parts 249 through 296	2010	2011
4	46 CFR parts 221, 298, 308, and 309	2011	2012
5	46 CFR parts 307 through 309	2012	2013
6	46 CFR part 310	2013	2014
7	46 CFR parts 315 through 340	2014	2015
8	46 CFR parts 345 through 381	2015	2016
9	46 CFR parts 382 through 389	2016	2017
10	46 CFR parts 390 through 393	2017	2018

**Year 6 (2013) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

46 CFR part 310—Merchant Marine Training

**Year 7 (2014) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

46 CFR part 315—Agency Agreements and Appointment of Agents  
46 CFR part 317—Bonding of Ship's Personnel  
46 CFR part 324—Procedural Rules for Financial Transactions Under Agency Agreements  
46 CFR part 325—Procedure to be Followed by General Agents in Preparation of Invoices and Payment of Compensation Pursuant to Provisions of NSA Order No. 47  
46 CFR part 326—Marine Protection and Indemnity Insurance Under Agreements with Agents  
46 CFR part 327—Seamen's Claims; Administrative Action and Litigation  
46 CFR part 328—Slop Chests  
46 CFR part 329—Voyage Data  
46 CFR part 330—Launch Services  
46 CFR part 332—Repatriation of Seaman  
46 CFR part 335—Authority and Responsibility of General Agents to Undertake Emergency Repairs in Foreign Ports  
46 CFR part 336—Authority and Responsibility of General Agents to

Undertake in Continental United States Ports Voyage Repairs and Service Equipment of Vessels Operated for the Account of the National Shipping Authority Under General Agency Agreement  
46 CFR part 337—General Agent's responsibility in Connection with Foreign Repair Custom's Entries  
46 CFR part 338—Procedure for Accomplishment of Vessel Repairs Under National Shipping Authority Master Lump Sum Repair Contract—NSA—Lumpsumrep  
46 CFR part 339—Procedure for Accomplishment of Ship Repairs Under National Shipping Authority Individual Contract for Minor Repairs—NSA—Worksmalrep  
46 CFR part 340—Priority Use and Allocation of Shipping Services, Container and Chassis and Port Facilities and Services for National Security and National Defense Related Operations.

**Year 8 (2015) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

46 CFR part 345—Restrictions upon the transfer or change in use or in terms governing utilization of port facilities  
46 CFR part 346—Federal port controllers  
46 CFR part 356—Requirements for vessels over 100 feet or greater in

registered length to obtain a fishery endorsement to the vessel's documentation  
46 CFR part 370—Claims  
46 CFR part 381—Cargo preference—U.S.-flag vessels

**Year 9 (2016) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

46 CFR part 382—Determination of fair and reasonable rates for the carriage of bulk and packaged preference cargoes on U.S.-flag commercial vessels  
46 CFR part 385—Research and development grant and cooperative agreements regulations  
46 CFR part 386—Regulations governing public buildings and grounds at the United States Merchant Marine Academy  
46 CFR part 387—Utilization and disposal of surplus Federal real property for development or operation of a port facility  
46 CFR part 388—Administrative waivers of the Coastwise Trade Laws  
46 CFR part 389—Determination of availability of coast-wise-qualified vessels for transportation of platform jackets  
*Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)*  
Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1	49 CFR part 178	2008	2009
2	49 CFR parts 178 through 180	2009	2010
3	49 CFR parts 172 and 175	2010	2011
4	49 CFR part 171, sections 171.15 and 171.16	2011	2012
5	49 CFR parts 106, 107, 171, 190, and 195	2012	2013

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
6 .....	49 CFR parts 174, 177, 191, and 192 .....	2013	2014
7 .....	49 CFR parts 176 and 199 .....	2014	2015
8 .....	49 CFR parts 172 and 178 .....	2015	2016
9 .....	49 CFR parts 172, 173, 174, 176, 177, and 193 .....	2016	2017
10 .....	49 CFR parts 173 and 194 .....	2017	2018

**Year 7 (Fall 2015) List of Rules Analyzed and a Summary of Results**

49 CFR part 176—Carriage by Vessel

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE. This rule prescribes minimum safety standards for the transportation of hazardous materials by vessel. Some small entities may be affected, but the economic impact on small entities will not be significant.
- General: The requirements in this rule are necessary to protect workers and the general public from the dangers associated with incidents involving hazardous materials transported by vessel. These provisions closely align with international standards for the safe transportation of dangerous goods. PHMSA works in consultation with the United States Coast Guard to promote a harmonized international

framework for the vessel transport of hazardous materials through participation in relevant international standards setting bodies including the International Maritime Organization’s Sub-Committee on Carriage of Cargoes and Containers and strives to harmonize domestic regulations with that framework wherever such harmonization provides an acceptable level of safety and is in the public interest. PHMSA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.

49 CFR part 199—Drug and Alcohol Testing

- Section 610: There is no SEIOSNOSE. Based on regulated entities, PHMSA found that the majority of operators are not small businesses. Therefore, though some small entities may be affected, the

economic impact on small entities will not be significant.

- General: No changes are needed. These regulations are cost effective and impose the least burden. PHMSA’s plain language review of this rule indicates no need for substantial revision.

**Year 8 (Fall 2016) List of Rules That Will Be Analyzed During the Next Year**

- 49 CFR part 172—Hazardous Materials Table, Special Provisions, Hazardous Materials Communications, Emergency Response Information, Training Requirements, and Security Plans
- 49 CFR part 178—Specifications for Packagings

*Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation*

Section 610 and Other Reviews

Year	Regulations to be reviewed	Analysis year	Review year
1 .....	33 CFR parts 401 through 403 .....	2008	2009

**Year 1 (Fall 2008) List of Rules With Ongoing Analysis**

33 CFR part 401—Seaway Regulations and Rules

- 33 CFR part 402—Tariff of Tolls
- 33 CFR part 403—Rules of Procedure of the Joint Tolls Review Board

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
195 .....	+ Airline Pricing Transparency and Other Consumer Protection Issues .....	2105-AE11

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—PRERULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
196 .....	+ Applying the Flight, Duty, and Rest Rules to Tail-End Ferry Operations & FAA Reauthorization .....	2120-AK26

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
197 .....	+ Airport Safety Management System .....	2120-AJ38
198 .....	+ Applying the Flight, Duty, and Rest Requirements to Ferry Flights that Follow Domestic, Flag, or Supplemental All-Cargo Operations (Reauthorization).	2120-AK22
199 .....	+ Pilot Records Database (HR 500) .....	2120-AK31
200 .....	+ Revision of Airworthiness Standards for Normal, Utility, Acrobatic, and Commuter (RRR) .....	2120-AK65
201 .....	+ Operations of Small Unmanned Aircraft Over People .....	2120-AK85

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
202 .....	+ Operation and Certification of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems .....	2120-AJ60
203 .....	Changing the Collective Risk Limits for Launches and Reentries and Clarifying the Risk Limit Used to Establish Hazard Areas for Ships and Aircraft.	2120-AK06
204 .....	Acceptance Criteria for Portable Oxygen Concentrators Used Onboard Aircraft (RRR) .....	2120-AK32
205 .....	Reciprocal Waivers of Claims for Licensed or Permitted Launch and Reentry Activities (RRR) .....	2120-AK44
206 .....	+ Registration and Marking Requirements for Small Unmanned Aircraft .....	2120-AK82

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
207 .....	+ Drug and Alcohol Testing of Certain Maintenance Provider Employees Located Outside of the United States.	2120-AK09

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
208 .....	Flight Simulation Training Device Qualification Standards for Extended Envelope and Adverse Weather Event Training (International Cooperation).	2120-AK08

## FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
209 .....	+ Commercial Drivers' License Drug and Alcohol Clearinghouse (MAP-21) .....	2126-AB18

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
210 .....	+ Carrier Safety Fitness Determination .....	2126-AB11
211 .....	+ Entry-Level Driver Training ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	2126-AB66

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL MOTOR CARRIER SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
212 .....	+ Electronic Logging Devices and Hours of Service Supporting Documents (MAP-21) (RRR) .....	2126-AB20

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
213 .....	+ Passenger Equipment Safety Standards Amendments (RRR) .....	2130-AC46

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
214 .....	+ Train Crew Staffing and Location .....	2130-AC48

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## SAINT LAWRENCE SEAWAY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
215 .....	Tariff of Tolls ( <b>Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	2135-AA38
216 .....	Seaway Regulations and Rules: Periodic Update, Various Categories ( <b>Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review</b> ).	2135-AA39

## PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
217 .....	+ Pipeline Safety: Amendments to Parts 192 and 195 to Require Valve installation and Minimum Rupture Detection Standards.	2137-AF06
218 .....	+ Hazardous Materials: Oil Spill Response Plans and Information Sharing for High-Hazard Flammable Trains.	2137-AF08

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## PIPELINE AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SAFETY ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
219 .....	+ Pipeline Safety: Safety of Hazardous Liquid Pipelines .....	2137-AE66
220 .....	Pipeline Safety: Issues related to the use of Plastic Pipe in Gas Pipeline Industry (RRR) .....	2137-AE93
221 .....	Pipeline Safety: Operator Qualification, Cost Recovery, Accident and Incident Notification, and Other Changes (RRR).	2137-AE94

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

## MARITIME ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
222 .....	+ Cargo Preference .....	2133-AB74

+ DOT-designated significant regulation.

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Office of the Secretary (OST)*

Final Rule Stage

**195. + Airline Pricing Transparency and Other Consumer Protection Issues**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 41712; 49 U.S.C. 40101; 49 U.S.C. 41702

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would address a number of proposals to enhance protections for air travelers and

to improve the air travel environment. This rulemaking would explore whether to codify in regulation a definition of the term “ticket agent,” to require airlines and ticket agents to disclose at all points of sale the fees for certain basic ancillary services associated with the air transportation consumers are buying or considering buying. The rulemaking would also consider whether to enhance airline passenger protections such as: Expanding the pool of “reporting” carriers; requiring

enhanced reporting by mainline carriers for their domestic code-share partner operations; requiring large travel agents to adopt minimum customer service standards; codifying the statutory requirement that carriers and ticket agents disclose any code-share arrangements on their Web sites; and prohibiting unfair and deceptive practices such as undisclosed biasing and post-purchase price increases. The rulemaking would also consider whether to require ticket agents to

disclose the carriers whose tickets they sell in order to avoid having consumers mistakenly believe they are searching all possible flight options for a particular city-pair market when in fact there may be other options available. Additionally, the rulemaking would correct drafting errors and make minor changes to the Department's second Enhancing Airline Passenger Protections rule to conform to guidance issued by the Department's Office of Aviation Enforcement and Proceedings (Enforcement Office) regarding its interpretation of the rule.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/23/14	79 FR 29970
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	08/06/14	79 FR 45731
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/21/14	
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	09/22/14	
NPRM Comment Period Reopened.	09/25/14	79 FR 57489
NPRM Comment Period Reopened End.	09/29/14	
Final Rule .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Blane A. Workie, Principal Deputy Assistant General Counsel, Department of Transportation, Office of the Secretary, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202-366-9342, *TDD Phone:* 202-755-7687, *Fax:* 202-366-7152, *Email:* blane.workie@dot.gov.  
*RIN:* 2105-AE11

**BILLING CODE 4910-9X-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*  
Prerule Stage

**196. +Applying the Flight, Duty, and Rest Rules to Tail-End Ferry Operations & FAA Reauthorization**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 1153; 49 U.S.C. 40101; 49 U.S.C. 40102; 49 U.S.C. 40103; 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 41706; 49 U.S.C. 44105; 49 U.S.C. 44106; 49 U.S.C. 44111; 49 U.S.C. 44701 to 44717; 49 U.S.C. 44722; 49 U.S.C. 44901; 49 U.S.C. 44903; 49 U.S.C. 44904; 49 U.S.C. 44906; 49 U.S.C. 44912; 49 U.S.C. 44914; 49 U.S.C. 44936; 49 U.S.C. 44938; 49 U.S.C. 45101 to 45105; 49 U.S.C. 46103

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would require a flightcrew member who is employed by an air carrier conducting operations under part 135, and who accepts an additional assignment for flying under part 91 from the air carrier or from any other air carrier conducting operations under part 121 or 135, to apply the period of the additional assignment toward any limitation applicable to the flightcrew member relating to duty periods or flight times under part 135.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Dale Roberts, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-5749, *Email:* dale.roberts@faa.gov.  
*RIN:* 2120-AK26

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*  
Proposed Rule Stage

**197. +Airport Safety Management System**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 44706; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 44701 to 44706; 49 U.S.C. 44709; 49 U.S.C. 44719

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would require certain airport certificate holders to develop, implement, maintain, and adhere to a safety management system (SMS) for its aviation related activities. An SMS is a formalized approach to managing safety by developing an organization-wide safety policy, developing formal methods of identifying hazards, analyzing and mitigating risk, developing methods for ensuring continuous safety improvement, and creating organization-wide safety promotion strategies.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/07/10	75 FR 62008
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	12/10/10	75 FR 76928
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/05/11	
End of Extended Comment Period.	03/07/11	

Action	Date	FR Cite
Second Extension of Comment Period.	03/07/11	76 FR 12300
End of Second Extended Comment Period.	07/05/11	
Second NPRM ....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Keri Lyons, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-8972, *Email:* kerilyons@faa.gov.  
*RIN:* 2120-AJ38

**198. +Applying the Flight, Duty, and Rest Requirements to Ferry Flights That Follow Domestic, Flag, or Supplemental All-Cargo Operations (Reauthorization)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 40119; 49 U.S.C. 41706; 49 U.S.C. 44101; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44705; 49 U.S.C. 44709 to 44711; 49 U.S.C. 44713; 49 U.S.C. 44716; 49 U.S.C. 44717

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would require a flightcrew member who accepts an additional assignment for flying under part 91 from the air carrier or from any other air carrier conducting operations under part 121 or 135 of such title, to apply the period of the additional assignment toward any limitation applicable to the flightcrew member relating to duty periods or flight times. This rule is necessary as it will make part 121 flight, duty, and rest limits applicable to tail-end ferries that follow an all-cargo flight.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Dale Roberts, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-5749, *Email:* dale.roberts@faa.gov.  
*RIN:* 2120-AK22

**199. +Pilot Records Database (HR 500)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 1155; 49 U.S.C. 40103; 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 40119; 49 U.S.C. 40120; 49 U.S.C. 41706; 49 U.S.C. 44101; 49 U.S.C. 44111; 49 U.S.C. 44701 to 44705; 49 U.S.C. 44709 to 44713; 49 U.S.C. 44715 to 44717; 49 U.S.C. 44722; 49 U.S.C. 45101 to 45105; 49 U.S.C.

46105; 49 U.S.C. 46306; 49 U.S.C. 46315; 49 U.S.C. 46316; 49 U.S.C. 46504; 49 U.S.C. 46507; 49 U.S.C. 47122; 49 U.S.C. 47508; 49 U.S.C. 47528 to 47531

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would implement a Pilot Records Database as required by Public Law 111–216 (Aug. 1, 2010). Section 203 amends the Pilot Records Improvement Act by requiring the FAA to create a pilot records database that contains various types of pilot records. These records would be provided by the FAA, air carriers, and other persons who employ pilots. The FAA must maintain these records until it receives notice that a pilot is deceased. Air carriers would use this database to perform a record check on a pilot prior to making a hiring decision.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Bradley Palmer, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267–7739, *Email:* [bradley.palmer@faa.gov](mailto:bradley.palmer@faa.gov).

*RIN:* 2120–AK31

**200. +Revision of Airworthiness Standards for Normal, Utility, Acrobatic, and Commuter (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44704

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would revise title 14, Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 23 as a set of performance based regulations for the design and certification of small transport category aircraft. This rulemaking would: (1) Reorganize part 23 into performance-based requirements by removing the detailed design requirements from part 23. The detailed design provisions that would assist applicants in complying with the new performance-based requirements would be identified in means of compliance (MOC) documents to support this effort; (2) promote the adoption of the newly created performance-based airworthiness design standard as an internationally accepted standard by the majority of other civil aviation authorities; (3) re-align the part 23 requirements to promote the development of entry-level airplanes similar to those certified under Certification Specification for Very Light Aircraft (CS–VLA); (4) enhance the FAA’s ability to address new

technology; (5) increase the general aviation (GA) level of safety provided by new and modified airplanes; (6) amend the stall, stall warning, and spin requirements to reduce fatal accidents and increase crashworthiness by allowing new methods for occupant protection; and (7) address icing conditions that are currently not included in part 23 regulations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/14/16	81 FR 13452
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/13/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lowell Foster, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 901 Locust St., Kansas City, MO 64106, *Phone:* 816–329–4125, *Email:* [lowell.foster@faa.gov](mailto:lowell.foster@faa.gov).

*RIN:* 2120–AK65

**201. • +Operations of Small Unmanned Aircraft Over People**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(f); 49 U.S.C. 40101; 49 U.S.C. 40103; 49 U.S.C. 44701; Pub. L. 112–95, sec 333; . . .

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would address the performance-based standards and means-of-compliance for operation of small unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) over people not directly participating in the operation or not under a covered structure or inside a stationary vehicle that can provide reasonable protection from a falling small unmanned aircraft. This rulemaking would provide relief from certain operational restrictions implemented in the Operation and Certification of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems final rule (hereinafter the sUAS Operation and Certification rule).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Guido Hassig, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 1 Airport Way, Rochester, NY 14624, *Phone:* 585 436–3880, *Email:* [guido.hassig@faa.gov](mailto:guido.hassig@faa.gov).

*RIN:* 2120–AK85

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*  
Final Rule Stage

**202. +Operation and Certification of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 44701; Pub. L. 112–95

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would allow the commercial operation of small unmanned aircraft systems (sUAS) in the National Airspace System (NAS). These changes would address the operation of small unmanned aircraft systems, certification of their operators, registration of the small unmanned aircraft, and display of registration markings. This action would also find airworthiness certification is not required for small unmanned aircraft system operations subject to this rulemaking.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/23/15	80 FR 9544
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/24/15	
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lance Nuckolls, Unmanned Aircraft Systems Integration Office, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 490 L’Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20024, *Phone:* 202–267–8447, *Email:* [UAS-rule@faa.gov](mailto:UAS-rule@faa.gov).

*RIN:* 2120–AJ60

**203. Changing the Collective Risk Limits for Launches and Reentries and Clarifying the Risk Limit Used To Establish Hazard Areas for Ships and Aircraft**

*Legal Authority:* 51 U.S.C. 50901 to 50923

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would revise the requirements, (1) establish quantitative public risk acceptability criteria that treat launch and reentry separately, (2) define the scope of launch and reentry mission for the purposes of quantitative risk analyses (QRA), and (3) apply QRA requirements, including uncertainty analysis, equally to all types of launch and reentry vehicles. These revisions update the current regulations and are consistent with current practices at the Federal ranges.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/21/14	79 FR 42241

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/20/14	
Analyzing comments.	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rene Rey, Licensing and Safety Division, Office of Commercial Space, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 267-7538, *Email:* rene.rey@faa.gov.

*RIN:* 2120-AK06

**204. Acceptance Criteria for Portable Oxygen Concentrators Used Onboard Aircraft (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(f); 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 1155; 49 U.S.C. 40103; 49 U.S.C. 40105; 49 U.S.C. 40109; 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 40119; 49 U.S.C. 40120; 49 U.S.C. 41706; 49 U.S.C. 44101; 49 U.S.C. 44110; 49 U.S.C. 44111; 49 U.S.C. 44502; 49 U.S.C. 44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44704; 49 U.S.C. 44705; 49 U.S.C. 44709 to 44713; 49 U.S.C. 44715 to 44717; 49 U.S.C. 44722; 49 U.S.C. 45101 to 45105; 49 U.S.C. 46102; 49 U.S.C. 46105; 49 U.S.C. 46306; 49 U.S.C. 46315; 49 U.S.C. 46316; 49 U.S.C. 46504; 49 U.S.C. 46506; 49 U.S.C. 46507; 49 U.S.C. 47122; 49 U.S.C. 47508; 49 U.S.C. 47528 to 47531; 61 Stat. 1180—Articles 12 and 29

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would replace the existing process by which the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) approves portable oxygen concentrators (POC) for use on board aircraft in air carrier operations, commercial operations, and certain other operations using large aircraft. This rulemaking would also replace the current process and allow passengers to use a POC on board an aircraft if the POC satisfies certain acceptance criteria and bears a label indicating conformance with the acceptance criteria. Additionally, this rulemaking would eliminate redundant operational requirements and paperwork requirements related to the physician's statement. As a result, this rulemaking would reduce burdens for POC manufacturers, passengers who use POCs while traveling, and affected aircraft operators.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/19/14	79 FR 56288

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/18/14	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Denise K. Deaderick, Air Transportation Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202-267-8166, *Email:* dk.deaderick@faa.gov. *RIN:* 2120-AK32

**205. Reciprocal Waivers of Claims for Licensed or Permitted Launch and Reentry Activities (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 322; 51 U.S.C. 50910 to 50923

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would extend the waiver of claims for all the customers involved in a launch or reentry, amend the requirement describing which entities are required to sign the statutorily-mandated waiver of claims, and add a new waiver template for the customer's use. This rulemaking would ease the administrative burden on the customers, licensees, permittees, and the FAA, especially when a new customer is added only a short time before the scheduled launch or reentry.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/13/15	80 FR 2015
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/16/15	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	06/15/15	80 FR 34110
Comment Period End.	07/15/15	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Shirley McBride, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-7470, *Email:* shirley.mcbride@faa.gov. *RIN:* 2120-AK44

**206. • +Registration and Marking Requirements for Small Unmanned Aircraft**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 106(f); 49 U.S.C. 41703; 49 U.S.C. 44101 to 44106; 49 U.S.C. 44110 to 44113; 49 U.S.C. 44701

*Abstract:* This interim final rule would establish an alternative, streamlined, web-based aircraft registration system for certain small unmanned

aircraft systems, to help facilitate compliance with existing statutory obligations for aircraft registration. The alternative process will help create a culture of accountability and ensure responsible use of small UAS. As evidenced by the recent reports of unsafe UAS operations, the lack of awareness of operators regarding what must be done to operate UAS safely in the NAS, and the lack of identification of UAS and their operators pose significant challenges in ensuring accountability for responsible use. Without increased awareness and knowledge of the statutory and regulatory requirements for safe operation, the risk of unsafe UAS operations will only rise. Aircraft registration, identification, and marking will assist the Department in identifying owners of UAS that are operated in an unsafe manner, so we may continue to educate these users, and when appropriate, take enforcement action. This rulemaking is based on public comment regarding the proposed aircraft registration process for small UAS in the Operation and Use of Small UAS notice of proposed rulemaking and recommendations from the UAS Registration task force.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	12/16/15	80 FR 78593
OMB approval of information collection.	12/21/15	80 FR 79255
Final Rule Effective.	12/21/15	
Comment Period End.	01/15/16	
Analyzing Comments.	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sara Mikolop, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-7776, *Email:* sara.mikolop@faa.gov. *RIN:* 2120-AK82

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*

Long-Term Actions

**207. +Drug and Alcohol Testing of Certain Maintenance Provider Employees Located Outside of the United States**

*Legal Authority:* 14 CFR; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C.

44701; 49 U.S.C. 44702; 49 U.S.C. 44707; 49 U.S.C. 44709; 49 U.S.C. 44717

**Abstract:** This rulemaking is required by the FAA Modernization and Reform Act of 2012. It would require controlled substance testing of some employees working in repair stations located outside the United States. The intended effect is to increase participation by companies outside of the United States in testing of employees who perform safety critical functions and testing standards similar to those used in the repair stations located in the United States. This action is necessary to increase the level of safety of the flying public.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	03/17/14	79 FR 14621
Comment Period Extended.	05/01/14	79 FR 24631
ANPRM Comment Period End.	05/16/14	
Comment Period End.	07/17/14	
NPRM .....	05/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

**Required:** Yes.

**Agency Contact:** Vicky Dunne, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, *Phone:* 202 267-8522, *Email:* vicky.dunne@faa.gov.

*RIN:* 2120-AK09

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)*

Completed Actions

**208. Flight Simulation Training Device Qualification Standards for Extended Envelope and Adverse Weather Event Training (International Cooperation)**

**Legal Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 49 U.S.C. 40113; 49 U.S.C. 44701; Pub. L. 111-216; 124 Stat. 2348; 49 U.S.C. 106(f)

**Abstract:** This rulemaking would amend the Qualification Performance Standards for flight simulation training devices (FSTDs) for the primary purpose of improving existing technical standards and introducing new technical standards for full stall and stick pusher maneuvers, upset recognition and recovery maneuvers, maneuvers conducted in airborne icing conditions, takeoff and landing maneuvers in gusting crosswinds, and bounced landing recovery maneuvers. These new and improved technical

standards are intended to fully define FSTD fidelity requirements for conducting new flight training tasks introduced through recent changes to the air carrier training requirements, as well as to address various National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) and Aviation Rulemaking Committee recommendations. This final rule also updates the FSTD technical standards to better align with the current international FSTD evaluation guidance and introduces a new FSTD level that expands the number of qualified flight training tasks in a fixed-base flight training device. These changes will ensure that the training and testing environment is accurate and realistic, will codify existing practice, and will provide greater harmonization with international guidance for simulation. The amendments will not apply to previously qualified FSTDs with the exception of the FSTD Directive, which codifies the new FSTD technical standards for specific training tasks.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/10/14	79 FR 39461
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	09/16/14	79 FR 55407
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/08/14	
Comment Period Extended.	01/06/15	
Final Action .....	03/03/16	81 FR 18177
Final Action Effective.	05/31/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

**Required:** Yes.

**Agency Contact:** Larry McDonald, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, GA 30320, *Phone:* 404-474-5620, *Email:* larry.e.mcdonald@faa.gov.

*RIN:* 2120-AK08

**BILLING CODE** 4910-13-P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA)*

Final Rule Stage

**209. +Commercial Drivers' License Drug and Alcohol Clearinghouse (MAP-21)**

**Legal Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 31306

**Abstract:** This rulemaking would create a central database for verified positive controlled substances and alcohol test results for commercial

driver's license (CDL) holders and refusals by such drivers to submit to testing. This rulemaking would require employers of CDL holders and service agents to report positive test results and refusals to test into the Clearinghouse. Prospective employers, acting on an application for a CDL driver position with the applicant's written consent to access the Clearinghouse, would query the Clearinghouse to determine if any specific information about the driver applicant is in the Clearinghouse before allowing the applicant to be hired and to drive CMVs. This rulemaking is intended to increase highway safety by ensuring CDL holders, who have tested positive or have refused to submit to testing, have completed the U.S. DOT's return-to-duty process before driving CMVs in interstate or intrastate commerce. It is also intended to ensure that employers are meeting their drug and alcohol testing responsibilities. Additionally, provisions in this rulemaking would also be responsive to requirements of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) Act. MAP-21 required creation of the Clearinghouse by 10/1/14.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/20/14	79 FR 9703
Comment Period End.	04/21/14	
Comment Period End.	04/22/14	79 FR 22467
Comment Period Extended.	04/22/14	79 FR 22467
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

**Required:** Yes.

**Agency Contact:** Juan Moya, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-4844, *Email:* juan.moya@dot.gov.

*RIN:* 2126-AB18

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA)*

Long-Term Actions

**210. +Carrier Safety Fitness Determination**

**Legal Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 31144; sec. 4009 of TEA-21

**Abstract:** FMCSA proposes to amend the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations (FMCSRs) to adopt revised methodologies that would result in a safety fitness determination (SFD). The

proposed methodologies would determine when a motor carrier is not fit to operate commercial motor vehicles (CMVs) in or affecting interstate commerce based on (1) the carrier's on-road safety performance in relation to five of the Agency's seven Behavioral Analysis and Safety Improvement Categories (BASICs); (2) an investigation; or (3) a combination of on-road safety data and investigation information. The intended effect of this action is to more effectively use FMCSA data and resources to identify unfit motor carriers and to remove them from the Nation's roadways.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. Next Action Unde- termined.	01/21/16 04/20/16	81 FR 3562

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* David Miller, Regulatory Development Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-5370, *Email:* david.miller@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB11

**211. +Entry-Level Driver Training (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 31136  
*Abstract:* FMCSA proposes new training standards for certain individuals applying for their initial commercial driver's license (CDL); an upgrade of their CDL (e.g., a Class B CDL holder seeking a Class A CDL); or a hazardous materials, passenger, or school bus endorsement for their license; and a "refresher" training curriculum. These individuals would be subject to the proposed entry-level driver training requirements and must complete a course of instruction provided by an entity that (1) meets the minimum qualifications for training providers, (2) covers the curriculum, (3) is listed on FMCSA's proposed Training Provider Registry, and (4) submits electronically to FMCSA the training certificate for each individual who completes the training. This NPRM responds to a Congressional mandate imposed under the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act. The proposed rule is based on consensus recommendations from the Agency's Entry-Level Driver Training Advisory Committee (ELDTAC), a negotiated rulemaking committee which held a series of meetings between February and

May 2015. The compliance date of the rule would be three years after the effective date of the final rule.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. Next Action Unde- termined.	03/07/16 04/06/16	81 FR 11944

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sean Gallagher, MC-PRR, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-3740, *Email:* sean.gallagher@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB66

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA)*

Completed Actions

**212. +Electronic Logging Devices and Hours of Service Supporting Documents (MAP-21) (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 31502; 31136(a); Pub. L. 103.311; 49 U.S.C. 31137(a)

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would establish: (1) Minimum performance and design standards for hours-of-service (HOS) electronic logging devices (ELDs); (2) requirements for the mandatory use of these devices by drivers currently required to prepare HOS records of duty status (RODS); (3) requirements concerning HOS supporting documents; and (4) measures to address concerns about harassment resulting from the mandatory use of ELDs.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. NPRM Comment Period Ex- tended. NPRM Comment Period Ex- tended End. SNPRM ..... SNPRM Comment Period End. Final Rule ..... Final Rule Effec- tive.	02/01/11 02/28/11 03/10/11 05/23/11 03/28/14 05/27/14 12/16/15 02/16/16	76 FR 5537 76 FR 13121 79 FR 17656 80 FR 78292

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brian Routhier, Department of Transportation, Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-1225, *Email:* brian.routhier@dot.gov. *RIN:* 2126-AB20

**BILLING CODE 4910-EX-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**213. +Passenger Equipment Safety Standards Amendments (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 20103  
*Abstract:* This rulemaking would amend 49 CFR part 238 to update existing safety standards for passenger rail equipment. Specifically, the proposed rulemaking would add standards for alternative compliance with requirements for tier I passenger equipment, increase the maximum authorized speed for tier II passenger equipment, and add requirements for a new tier III category of passenger equipment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. Next Action Unde- termined.	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kathryn Shelton, Trial Attorney, Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 493-6063, *Email:* kathryn.shelton@fra.dot.gov. *RIN:* 2130-AC46

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Federal Railroad Administration (FRA)*

Final Rule Stage

**214. +Train Crew Staffing and Location**

*Legal Authority:* 28 U.S.C. 2461, note; 49 CFR 1.89; 49 U.S.C. 20103; 49 U.S.C. 20107; 49 U.S.C. 21301-21302; 49 U.S.C. 21304

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would add minimum requirements for the size of different train crew staffs depending on the type of operation. The minimum crew staffing requirements would reflect the safety risks posed to railroad

employees, the general public, and the environment. This rulemaking would also establish minimum requirements for the roles and responsibilities of the second train crew member on a moving train, and promote safe and effective teamwork. Additionally, this rulemaking would permit a railroad to submit information to FRA and seek approval if it wants to continue an existing operation with a one-person train crew or start up an operation with less than two crew members.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/15/16	81 FR 13918
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/16/16	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kathryn Shelton, Trial Attorney, Department of Transportation, Federal Railroad Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 493-6063, *Email:* [kathryn.shelton@fra.dot.gov](mailto:kathryn.shelton@fra.dot.gov), *RIN:* 2130-AC48

**BILLING CODE 4910-06-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC)*

Completed Actions

**215. • Tariff of Tolls (Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 33 U.S.C. 981 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC) and the St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation (SLSMC) of Canada, under international agreement, jointly publish and currently administer the St. Lawrence Seaway Tariff of Tolls in their respective jurisdictions. The tariff sets forth the level of tolls assessed on all commodities and vessels transiting the facilities operated by the SLSDC and the SLSMC. The SLSDC is revising its regulations to reflect the fees and charges levied by the SLSMC in Canada starting in the 2006 navigation season, which are effective only in Canada. An amendment to increase the minimum charge per lock for those vessels that are not pleasure craft or subject in Canada to tolls under items 1 and 2 of the tariff for full or partial transit of the Seaway will apply in the U.S. (See Supplementary Information.)

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/09/16	81 FR 6810
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/10/16	
Final Rule .....	03/17/16	81 FR 14390
Final Rule Effective.	03/21/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.*

*Agency Contact:* Carrie Lavigne, Department of Transportation, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 315 764-3231, *Email:* [carrie.mann@dot.gov](mailto:carrie.mann@dot.gov), *RIN:* 2135-AA38

**216. • Seaway Regulations and Rules: Periodic Update, Various Categories (Rulemaking Resulting From a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 33 U.S.C. 981 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation (SLSDC) and the St. Lawrence Seaway Management Corporation (SLSMC) of Canada, under international agreement, jointly publish and currently administer the St. Lawrence Seaway Regulations and Rules (Practices and Procedures in Canada) in their respective jurisdictions. Under agreement with the SLSMC, the SLSDC is amending the joint regulations by updating the Seaway Regulations and Rules in various categories. The changes will update the following sections of the Regulations and Rules: Condition of Vessels; Preclearance and Security for Tolls; Tolls Assessment and Payment; Seaway Navigation; Dangerous Cargo; Toll Assessment and Payment; and, Information and Reports. These amendments are necessary to take account of updated procedures and will enhance the safety of transits through the Seaway. Several of the amendments are merely editorial or for clarification of existing requirements.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/05/16	81 FR 6198
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/07/16	
Final Rule .....	03/15/16	81 FR 13744
Final Rule Effective.	03/21/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.*

*Agency Contact:* Carrie Lavigne, Department of Transportation, Saint Lawrence Seaway Development Corporation, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 315 764-3231, *Email:* [carrie.mann@dot.gov](mailto:carrie.mann@dot.gov).

*RIN:* 2135-AA39

**BILLING CODE 4910-61-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**217. +Pipeline Safety: Amendments to Parts 192 and 195 To Require Valve Installation and Minimum Rupture Detection Standards**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rule would propose installation of automatic shutoff valves, remote controlled valves, or equivalent technology and establish performance based meaningful metrics for rupture detection for gas and liquid transmission pipelines. The overall intent is that rupture detection metrics will be integrated with ASV and RCV placement with the objective of improving overall incident response. Rupture response metrics would focus on mitigating large, unsafe, uncontrolled release events that have a greater potential consequence. The areas proposed to be covered include High Consequence Areas (HCA) for hazardous liquids and HCA, Class 3 and 4 for natural gas (including could affect areas).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Lawrence White, Attorney-Advisor, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-4400, *Fax:* 292 366-7041, *RIN:* 2137-AF06

**218. +Hazardous Materials: Oil Spill Response Plans and Information Sharing for High-Hazard Flammable Trains**

*Legal Authority:* 33 U.S.C. 1321; 49 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.*

*Abstract:* This rulemaking, developed in consultation with the Federal Railroad Administration, would revise PHMSA's regulations to expand the applicability of comprehensive oil spill response plans (OSRPs) based on thresholds of liquid petroleum oil that apply to an entire train. We are also

proposing to revise the format and clarify requirements of a comprehensive OSRP and to require railroads to share information about high-hazard flammable train operations with state and tribal emergency response organizations (i.e., State Emergency Response Commissions and Tribal Emergency Response Commissions) to improve community preparedness. Lastly, PHMSA is proposing an update to boiling point testing procedures to provide regulatory flexibility and promotes enhanced safety in transport through accurate packing group assignment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	08/01/14	79 FR 45079
ANPRM Comment Period End.	09/30/14	
NPRM .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Victoria Lehman, Transportation Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-8553, *Email:* victoria.lehman@dot.gov.  
*RIN:* 2137-AF08

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA)*

Final Rule Stage

**219. +Pipeline Safety: Safety of Hazardous Liquid Pipelines**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 et seq.

*Abstract:* In recent years, there have been significant hazardous liquid pipeline accidents, most notably the 2010 crude oil spill near Marshall, Michigan, during which almost one million gallons of crude oil were spilled into the Kalamazoo River. In response to accident investigation findings, incident report data and trends, and stakeholder input, PHMSA published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on October 13, 2015. Previously, Congress had enacted the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act that included several provisions that are relevant to the regulation of hazardous liquid pipelines. Shortly after the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act was passed, the National

Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) issued its accident investigation report on the Marshall, Michigan, accident. In this rulemaking action, PHMSA is amending the Pipeline Safety Regulations to improve protection of the public, property, and the environment by closing regulatory gaps where appropriate, and ensuring that operators are increasing the detection and remediation of unsafe conditions, and mitigating the adverse effects of hazardous liquid pipeline failures.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	10/18/10	75 FR 63774
Comment Period Extended.	01/04/11	76 FR 303
ANPRM Comment Period End.	01/18/11	
Extended Comment Period End.	02/18/11	
NPRM .....	10/13/15	80 FR 61610
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/08/16	
Final Rule .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John A. Gale, Transportation Regulations Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202-366-0434, *Email:* john.gale@dot.gov.  
*RIN:* 2137-AE66

**220. Pipeline Safety: Issues Related to the Use of Plastic Pipe in Gas Pipeline Industry (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 et seq.

*Abstract:* In this rule, PHMSA is amending the natural and other gas pipeline safety regulations (49 CFR part 192) to address regulatory requirements involving plastic piping systems used in gas services. These amendments are intended to correct errors, address inconsistencies, and respond to petitions for rulemaking. The requirements in several subject matter areas are affected, including incorporation of tracking and traceability provisions; design factor for polyethylene (PE) pipe; more stringent mechanical fitting requirements; updated and additional regulations for risers; expanded use of Polyamide-11 (PA-11) thermoplastic pipe; incorporation of newer Polyamide-12 (PA-12) thermoplastic pipe; and incorporation of updated and additional standards for fittings.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/21/15	80 FR 29263
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/31/15	
Final Rule .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cameron H. Satterthwaite, Transportation Regulations Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202-366-8553, *Email:* cameron.satterthwaite@dot.gov.  
*RIN:* 2137-AE93

**221. Pipeline Safety: Operator Qualification, Cost Recovery, Accident and Incident Notification, and Other Changes (RRR)**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 60101 et seq.

*Abstract:* PHMSA is amending the pipeline safety regulations to address requirements of the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty, and Job Creation Act of 2011 (2011 Act), and to update and clarify certain regulatory requirements. Under the 2011 Act, PHMSA is adding a specific time frame for telephonic or electronic notifications of accidents and incidents and adding provisions for cost recovery for design reviews of certain new projects. Among other provisions, PHMSA is adding a procedure for renewal of expiring special permits, and for submitters of information requesting PHMSA to keep some information confidential. In addition, PHMSA is amending the operator qualification (OQ) requirements, drug and alcohol testing requirements, and incorporating consensus standards by reference for in-line inspection (ILI) and Stress Corrosion Cracking Direct Assessment (SCCDA).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/10/15	80 FR 39916
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/08/15	
Final Rule .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John A. Gale, Transportation Regulations Specialist, Department of Transportation, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202-366-0434, *Email:* john.gale@dot.gov.

RIN: 2137-AE94

BILLING CODE 4910-60-P

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION (DOT)**

*Maritime Administration (MARAD)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**222. +Cargo Preference**

*Legal Authority:* 49 CFR 1.66; 46 app U.S.C. 1101; 46 app U.S.C. 1241; 46 U.S.C. 2302 (e)(1); Pub. L. 91-469

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would revise and clarify the cargo preference regulations that have not been revised substantially since 1971. The rulemaking would also implement statutory changes, including section 3511, Public Law 110-417, of The National Defense Authorization Act for FY 2009, which provides enforcement authority.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Mitch Hudson, Attorney, Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590, *Phone:* 202 366-9373, *Email:* [mitch.hudson@dot.gov](mailto:mitch.hudson@dot.gov).

RIN: 2133-AB74

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P

[FR Doc. 2016-12913 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-P





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XV

Department of the Treasury

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

**31 CFR Subtitles A and B**

**Semiannual Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Department of the Treasury.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** This notice is given pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866 (“Regulatory Planning and Review”), which require the publication by the Department of a semiannual agenda of regulations.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The Agency contact identified in the item relating to that regulation.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The semiannual regulatory agenda includes regulations that the Department has

issued or expects to issue and rules currently in effect that are under departmental or bureau review.

Beginning with the fall 2007 edition, the Internet has been the primary medium for disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda will be available online at *www.reginfo.gov* and *www.regulations.gov*, in a format that offers users an enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database. Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agenda required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), Treasury’s printed agenda entries include only:

(1) Rules that are in the regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because

they are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and

(2) Rules that have been identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act’s Agenda requirements. Additional information on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda available on the Internet.

The semiannual agenda of the Department of the Treasury conforms to the Unified Agenda format developed by the Regulatory Information Service Center (RISC).

**Brian J. Sonfield,**

*Deputy Assistant General Counsel for General Law and Regulation.*

**FINANCIAL CRIMES ENFORCEMENT NETWORK—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
223 .....	Financial Crimes Enforcement Network: Customer Due Diligence Requirements for Financial Institutions ..	1506–AB25

**CUSTOMS REVENUE FUNCTION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
224 .....	Mandated use of the Automated Commercial Environment for Submission of International Trade Data System Agency Information Accompanying Electronic Entry/Entry Summary (Cargo Release and Related Entry).	1515–AE06

**INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
225 .....	Deemed Distributions Under Section 305(c) of Stock and Rights to Acquire Stock .....	1545–BN07

**INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
226 .....	Issue Price Definition for Tax-Exempt Bonds .....	1545–BM46

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY (TREAS)**

*Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FINCEN)*

Completed Actions

**223. Financial Crimes Enforcement Network: Customer Due Diligence Requirements for Financial Institutions**

*Legal Authority:* 31 U.S.C. 5311 to 5314; 12 U.S.C. 1829(b)

*Abstract:* The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network (FinCEN), after consulting with staff from various

Federal supervisory authorities, is proposing rules under the Bank Secrecy Act to clarify and strengthen customer due diligence requirements for: (i) Banks; (ii) brokers or dealers in securities; (iii) mutual funds; and (iv) futures commission merchants and introducing brokers in commodities. The proposed rules would contain explicit customer due diligence requirements and would include a new requirement to identify beneficial owners of legal entity customers, subject to certain exemptions.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	05/11/16	81 FR 29398
Final Action Effective.	05/11/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Michael Valley, Phone: 703 905–3851, Email: *michael.valley@fincen.gov.*

*RIN:* 1506–AB25

**BILLING CODE 4810–02–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY (TREAS)**

*Customs Revenue Function (CUSTOMS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**224. • Mandated Use of the Automated Commercial Environment for Submission of International Trade Data System Agency Information Accompanying Electronic Entry/Entry Summary (Cargo Release and Related Entry)**

*Legal Authority:* Not Yet Determined  
*Abstract:* This document proposes to amend the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) regulations to reflect new requirements for filing in the Automated Commercial Environment (ACE). Pursuant to the Security and Accountability for Every (SAFE) Port Act of 2006, CBP plans to implement the International Trade Data System (ITDS) by making ACE the single window for processing of all entry and entry summary data. CBP expects that making ACE the single window for trade processing will help CBP eliminate redundant information submissions, efficiently regulate the flow of commerce, and effectively enforce laws and regulations relating to international trade, as mandated by the SAFE Port Act of 2006. To realize the full benefit of the single window, CBP proposes new regulations that will require filers submitting entry or entry summary data electronically in ACE also to file associated ITDS Agency data electronically in ACE, subject to limited exceptions. This rule will make each discrete entry or entry summary more uniform, as each transaction will be filed entirely in electronic format or entirely in paper format. This will enhance the ability of the U.S. Government to enforce the legal requirements pertaining to those transactions.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.  
*Agency Contact:* Suzanne Kingsbury, Attorney, Regulations Branch, Department of the Treasury, Office of Regulations and Rulings, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20229, *Phone:* 202 572-8763.  
*RIN:* 1515-AE06

**BILLING CODE 9111-14-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY (TREAS)**

*Internal Revenue Service (IRS)*

Proposed Rule Stage

**225. • Deemed Distributions Under Section 305(C) of Stock and Rights To Acquire Stock**

*Legal Authority:* 26 U.S.C. 7805  
*Abstract:* Provide guidance on the amount and timing of distributions under section 305(c) and 305(b), and to clarify that deemed distributions caused by changes in conversion ratios are considered a distribution of additional rights to acquire the underlying stock, and not a distribution of the underlying stock itself. Guidance is also provided to withholding agents regarding their withholding obligations, and on information reporting for such distributions under sections 860G, 861, 1441, 1461, 1471, 1473 and 6045B.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/13/16	81 FR 21795
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/12/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Maurice LaBrie, Attorney, Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20224, *Phone:* 202 317-5024.

*RIN:* 1545-BN07

**DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY (TREAS)**

*Internal Revenue Service (IRS)*

Final Rule Stage

**226. Issue Price Definition for Tax-Exempt Bonds**

*Legal Authority:* 26 U.S.C. 148(i); 26 U.S.C. 7805

*Abstract:* The final regulations define issue price for purposes of the arbitrage restrictions under section 148 of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to tax-exempt bonds and other tax-advantaged bonds.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/24/15	80 FR 36301
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/22/15	
Final Action .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Lewis Bell, Tax Attorney, Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20224, *Phone:* 202 317-4565, *Fax:* 855 574-9028, *Email:* lewis.bell@irscounsel.treas.gov.

*RIN:* 1545-BM46

[FR Doc. 2016-12914 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-01-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XVI

Architectural and Transportation Barriers  
Compliance Board

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD**

**36 CFR Ch. XI**

**Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions**

**AGENCY:** Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board submits the following agenda of proposed regulatory activities which may be conducted by the agency during the next 12 months. This regulatory agenda may be revised by the agency during the coming months as a result of action taken by the Board.

**ADDRESSES:** Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance

Board, 1331 F Street NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20004-1111.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information concerning Board regulations and proposed actions, contact Gretchen Jacobs, General Counsel, (202) 272-0040 (voice) or (202) 272-0062 (TTY).

**Gretchen Jacobs,**  
*General Counsel.*

**ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
227 .....	Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way .....	3014-AA26

**ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD—LONG-TERM ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
228 .....	Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) Accessibility Guidelines for Passenger Vessels .....	3014-AA11

**ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD (ATBCB)**

Final Rule Stage

**227. Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 12204, Americans With Disabilities Act; 29 U.S.C. 792, Rehabilitation Act

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would establish accessibility guidelines to ensure that sidewalks and pedestrian facilities in the public right-of-way are accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities. A Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking consolidated this rulemaking with RIN 3014-AA41; accessibility guidelines for shared use paths (which are multi-use paths designed primarily for use by bicyclists and pedestrians—including persons with disabilities—for transportation and recreation purposes). The U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Department of Transportation, and other Federal agencies are expected to adopt the accessibility guidelines for pedestrian facilities in the public right-of-way and for shared use paths, as enforceable standards in separate rulemakings for the construction and alteration of facilities covered by the Americans With Disabilities Act, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, and the Architectural Barriers Act.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Intent to Form Advisory Committee.	08/12/99	64 FR 43980
Notice of Appointment of Advisory Committee Members.	10/20/99	64 FR 56482
Availability of Draft Guidelines.	06/17/02	67 FR 41206
Availability of Draft Guidelines.	11/23/05	70 FR 70734
NPRM .....	07/26/11	76 FR 44664
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/23/11	
Notice Reopening Comment Period.	12/05/11	76 FR 75844
Reopening NPRM Comment Period End.	02/02/12	
Second NPRM ....	02/13/13	78 FR 10110
Second NPRM Comment Period End.	05/14/13	
Final Action .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Gretchen Jacobs, General Counsel, Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, 1331 F Street NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20004-1111, *Phone:* 202 272-0040, *TDD Phone:* 202 272-0062, *Fax:* 202 272-0081, *Email:* [jacobs@access-board.gov](mailto:jacobs@access-board.gov).

*RIN:* 3014-AA26

**ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD (ATBCB)**

Long-Term Actions

**228. Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA) Accessibility Guidelines for Passenger Vessels**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 12204, Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990

*Abstract:* This rulemaking would establish accessibility guidelines to ensure that newly constructed and altered passenger vessels covered by the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) are accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities. The U.S. Department of Transportation and U.S. Department of Justice are expected to adopt the guidelines as enforceable standards in separate rulemakings for the construction and alteration of passenger vessels covered by the ADA.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Intent to Establish Advisory Committee.	03/30/98	63 FR 15175
Establishment of Advisory Committee.	08/12/98	63 FR 43136
Availability of Draft Guidelines.	11/26/04	69 FR 69244
ANPRM .....	11/26/04	69 FR 69246
ANPRM Comment Period Extended.	03/22/05	70 FR 14435

Action	Date	FR Cite	Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM Comment Period Extended End.	07/28/05		NPRM .....	06/25/13	78 FR 38102
Availability of Draft Guidelines.	07/07/06	71 FR 38563	NPRM Comment Period Extended.	08/13/13	78 FR 49248
Notice of Intent to Establish Advisory Committee.	06/25/07	72 FR 34653	NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	01/24/14	
Establishment of Advisory Committee.	08/13/07	72 FR 45200	Final Action .....	10/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Gretchen Jacobs, General Counsel, Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board, 1331 F Street NW., Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20004-1111, *Phone:* 202 272-0040, *TDD Phone:* 202 272-0062, *Fax:* 202 272-0081, *Email:* [jacobs@access-board.gov](mailto:jacobs@access-board.gov).

*RIN:* 3014-AA11

[FR Doc. 2016-12919 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8150-01-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XVII

Environmental Protection Agency

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Ch. I

[EPA-HQ-OA-2016-0203; EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0175; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0126; EPA-HQ-OW-2015-0541; FRL 9944-15-OP]

### Spring 2016 Regulatory Agenda

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory flexibility agenda and semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publishes the semiannual regulatory agenda online (the e-Agenda) at <http://www.reginfo.gov> and at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) to update the public. This document contains information about:

- Regulations in the semiannual regulatory agenda that are under development, completed, or canceled since the last agenda;
- Retrospective reviews of existing regulations; and
- Reviews of regulations with small business impacts under Section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by the appropriate Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OA-2016-0203; EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0175; EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0126, to the *Federal eRulemaking Portal*: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or withdrawn. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions or comments about

a particular action, please get in touch with the agency contact listed in each agenda entry. If you have general questions about the semiannual regulatory agenda or retrospective review activity, please contact: Caryn Muellerleile ([muellerleile.caryn@epa.gov](mailto:muellerleile.caryn@epa.gov); 202-564-2855).

### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
  - A. EPA's Regulatory Information
  - B. What key statutes and Executive Orders guide EPA's rule and policymaking process?
  - C. How can you be involved in EPA's rule and policymaking process?
- II. Semiannual Regulatory Agenda
  - A. What actions are included in the E-Agenda and the Regulatory Agenda?
  - B. How is the E-Agenda organized?
  - C. What information is in the Regulatory Flexibility Agenda and the E-Agenda?
  - D. How can you find out about rulemakings that start up after the Regulatory Agenda is signed?
  - E. What tools are available for mining Regulatory Agenda data and for finding more about EPA rules and policies?
- III. Retrospective Review of Regulations
- IV. Review of Regulations Under 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act
  - A. Reviews of Rules With Significant Impacts on a Substantial Number of Small Entities
  - B. What other special attention does EPA give to the impacts of rules on small businesses, small governments, and small nonprofit organizations?
- V. Thank You for Collaborating With Us

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Introduction

EPA is committed to a regulatory strategy that effectively achieves the Agency's mission of protecting the environment and the health, welfare, and safety of Americans while also supporting economic growth, job creation, competitiveness, and innovation. EPA publishes the Semiannual Regulatory Agenda to update the public about regulatory activity undertaken in support of this mission. Within the Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, EPA provides notice of our plans to review, propose, and issue regulations.

In 2016, EPA is also reviewing its *Final Plan for Periodic Retrospective Reviews of Existing Regulations*, which was issued in 2011. Under Executive Order 13563, EPA committed to periodically review existing regulations to determine whether any may be modified, streamlined, expanded, or repealed in order to make the agency's regulatory program more effective or less burdensome in achieving our regulatory objectives. Consistent with our 2011 plan, EPA is again soliciting

comments on regulations that might be appropriate for retrospective review.

EPA's Semiannual Regulatory Agenda also includes information about rules that may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, and review of those regulations under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended.

Within this document, EPA explains in greater detail the types of actions and information available in the Semiannual Regulatory Agenda, the opportunity to suggest regulations that may be appropriate for retrospective review, and actions that are currently undergoing review specifically for impacts on small entities.

#### A. EPA's Regulatory Information

"E-Agenda," "online regulatory agenda," and "semiannual regulatory agenda" all refer to the same comprehensive collection of information that, until 2007, was published in the **Federal Register** but now is only available through an online database, at both [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) and [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

"Regulatory Flexibility Agenda" refers to a document that contains information about regulations that may have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. We continue to publish it in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980. This document is available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/search/home.action>.

"Unified Regulatory Agenda" refers to the collection of all agencies' agendas with an introduction prepared by the Regulatory Information Service Center facilitated by the General Service Administration.

"Regulatory Agenda Preamble" refers to the document you are reading now. It appears as part of the Regulatory Flexibility Agenda and introduces both the Regulatory Flexibility Agenda and the e-Agenda.

"Regulatory Development and Retrospective Review Tracker" refers to an online portal to EPA's priority rules and retrospective reviews of existing regulations. This portal is available at [www.epa.gov/regdarrt/](http://www.epa.gov/regdarrt/).

"Retrospective Review Plan" is EPA's plan under Executive Orders 13563 and 13610 to periodically review existing regulations to determine whether any may be modified, streamlined, expanded, or repealed in order to make the agency's regulatory program more effective or less burdensome in achieving the regulatory objectives. This Plan and subsequent progress updates are available at <https://www.epa.gov/>

### *laws-regulations/retrospective-review-history.*

“610 Review” is an action EPA is committed to reviewing within ten years of promulgating a final rule that has or may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. EPA maintains a list of these actions at <https://www.epa.gov/reg-flex/section-610-reviews>.

### *B. What key statutes and Executive Orders guide EPA's rule and policymaking process?*

A number of environmental laws authorize EPA's actions, including but not limited to:

- Clean Air Act (CAA),
- Clean Water Act (CWA),
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA, or Superfund),
- Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (EPCRA),
- Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA),
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA),
- Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), and
- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA).

Not only must EPA comply with environmental laws, but also administrative legal requirements that apply to the issuance of regulations, such as: The Administrative Procedure Act (APA), the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) as amended by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA), the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA), the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTAA), and the Congressional Review Act (CRA).

EPA also meets a number of requirements contained in numerous Executive Orders: 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review” (58 FR 51735, Oct. 4, 1993), as supplemented by Executive Order 13563, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review” (76 FR 3821, Jan. 21, 2011); 12898, “Environmental Justice” (59 FR 7629, Feb. 16, 1994); 13045, “Children's Health Protection” (62 FR 19885, Apr. 23, 1997); 13132, “Federalism” (64 FR 43255, Aug. 10, 1999); 13175, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments” (65 FR 67249, Nov. 9, 2000); 13211, “Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use” (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001).

In addition to meeting its mission goals and priorities, EPA reviews its

existing regulations under Executive Order 13563, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review” and Executive Order 13610, “Identifying and Reducing Regulatory Burdens.” These Executive Orders provide for periodic retrospective review of existing regulations and are intended to determine whether any such regulations should be modified, streamlined, expanded, or repealed, so as to make the Agency's regulatory program more effective or less burdensome in achieving its regulatory objectives.

### *C. How can you be involved in EPA's rule and policymaking process?*

You can make your voice heard by getting in touch with the contact person provided in each agenda entry. EPA encourages you to participate as early in the process as possible. You may also participate by commenting on proposed rules published in the **Federal Register** (FR).

Instructions on how to submit your comments are provided in each Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM). To be most effective, comments should contain information and data that support your position and you also should explain why EPA should incorporate your suggestion in the rule or other type of action. You can be particularly helpful and persuasive if you provide examples to illustrate your concerns and offer specific alternatives.

EPA believes its actions will be more cost effective and protective if the development process includes stakeholders working with us to help identify the most practical and effective solutions to problems. EPA encourages you to become involved in its rule and policymaking process. For more information about public involvement in EPA activities, please visit [www.epa.gov/open](http://www.epa.gov/open).

## **II. Semiannual Regulatory Agenda**

### *A. What actions are included in the E-Agenda and the Regulatory Flexibility Agenda?*

EPA includes regulations in the e-Agenda. However, there is no legal significance to the omission of an item from the agenda, and EPA generally does not include the following categories of actions:

- Administrative actions such as delegations of authority, changes of address, or phone numbers;
- Under the CAA: Revisions to State implementation plans; equivalent methods for ambient air quality monitoring; deletions from the new source performance standards source categories list; delegations of authority

to States; area designations for air quality planning purposes;

- Under FIFRA: Registration-related decisions, actions affecting the status of currently registered pesticides, and data call-ins;

• Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act: Actions regarding pesticide tolerances and food additive regulations;

• Under RCRA: Authorization of State solid waste management plans; hazardous waste delisting petitions;

• Under the CWA: State Water Quality Standards; deletions from the section 307(a) list of toxic pollutants; suspensions of toxic testing requirements under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES); delegations of NPDES authority to States;

• Under SDWA: Actions on State underground injection control programs.

Meanwhile, the Regulatory Flexibility Agenda includes:

- Actions likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

• Rules the Agency has identified for periodic review under section 610 of the RFA.

EPA is initiating two 610 reviews at this time and completing one 610 review.

### *B. How is the E-Agenda organized?*

You can choose how to organize the agenda entries online by specifying the characteristics of the entries of interest in the desired individual data fields for both the [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) and [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) versions of the e-Agenda. You can sort based on the following characteristics: EPA subagency; stage of rulemaking, which is explained below; alphabetically by title; and by the Regulation Identifier Number (RIN), which is assigned sequentially when an action is added to the agenda.

Each entry in the Agenda is associated with one of five rulemaking stages. The rulemaking stages are:

1. *Prerule Stage*—This section includes EPA actions generally intended to determine whether the agency should initiate rulemaking. Prerulemakings may include anything that influences or leads to rulemaking, such as Advance Notices of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRMs), studies, or analyses of the possible need for regulatory action.

2. *Proposed Rule Stage*—This section includes EPA rulemaking actions that are within a year of proposal (publication of Notices of Proposed Rulemakings [NPRMs]).

3. *Final Rule Stage*—This section includes rules that will be issued as a final rule within a year.

4. *Long-Term Actions*—This section includes rulemakings for which the next scheduled regulatory action is after April 2017. We urge you to explore becoming involved even if an action is listed in the Long-Term category.

5. *Completed Actions*—This section contains actions that have been promulgated and published in the **Federal Register** since publication of the fall 2015 Agenda. It also includes actions that EPA is no longer considering and has elected to “withdraw.” EPA also announces the results of any RFA section 610 review in this section of the agenda.

### C. What information is in the Regulatory Flexibility Agenda and the E-Agenda?

The Regulatory Flexibility Agenda entries include only the nine categories of information that are required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 and by **Federal Register** Agenda printing requirements: Sequence Number, RIN, Title, Description, Statutory Authority, Section 610 Review, if applicable, Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required, Schedule, and Contact Person. Note that the electronic version of the Agenda (e-Agenda) has more extensive information on each of these actions.

E-Agenda entries include:

*Title:* A brief description of the subject of the regulation. The notation “Section 610 Review” follows the title if we are reviewing the rule as part of our periodic review of existing rules under section 610 of the RFA (5 U.S.C. 610).

*Priority:* Entries are placed into one of five categories described below.

a. *Economically Significant:* Under Executive Order 12866, a rulemaking that may have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more, or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities.

b. *Other Significant:* A rulemaking that is not economically significant but is considered significant for other reasons. This category includes rules that may:

1. Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;
2. Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients; or

3. Raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles in Executive Order 12866.

c. *Substantive, Nonsignificant:* A rulemaking that has substantive impacts but is not Significant, Routine and Frequent, or Informational/Administrative/Other.

d. *Routine and Frequent:* A rulemaking that is a specific case of a recurring application of a regulatory program in the Code of Federal Regulations (e.g., certain State Implementation Plans, National Priority List updates, Significant New Use Rules, State Hazardous Waste Management Program actions, and Tolerance Exemptions). If an action that would normally be classified Routine and Frequent is reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866, then we would classify the action as either “Economically Significant” or “Other Significant.”

e. *Informational/Administrative/Other:* An action that is primarily informational or pertains to an action outside the scope of Executive Order 12866.

*Major:* A rule is “major” under 5 U.S.C. 801 if it has resulted or is likely to result in an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or meets other criteria specified in that Act.

*Unfunded Mandates:* Whether the rule is covered by section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*). The Act generally requires that federal agencies prepare a written statement, including a cost-benefit analysis, for each proposed and final rule with “federal mandates” that may result in expenditures by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of more than \$100 million in 1 year.

*Legal Authority:* The sections of the United States Code (U.S.C.), Public Law (Pub. L.), Executive Order (EO), or common name of the law that authorizes the regulatory action.

*CFR Citation:* The sections of the Code of Federal Regulations that would be affected by the action.

*Legal Deadline:* An indication of whether the rule is subject to a statutory or judicial deadline, the date of that deadline, and whether the deadline pertains to a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, a Final Action, or some other action.

*Abstract:* A brief description of the problem the action will address.

*Timetable:* The dates and citations (if available) for all past steps and a projected date for at least the next step

for the regulatory action. A date displayed in the form 10/00/16 means the agency is predicting the month and year the action will take place but not the day it will occur. For some entries, the timetable indicates that the date of the next action is “to be determined.”

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Indicates whether EPA has prepared or anticipates that it will be preparing a regulatory flexibility analysis under section 603 or 604 of the RFA. Generally, such an analysis is required for proposed or final rules subject to the RFA that EPA believes may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

*Small Entities Affected:* Indicates whether the rule is anticipated to have any effect on small businesses, small governments, or small nonprofit organizations.

*Government Levels Affected:* Indicates whether the rule may have any effect on levels of government and, if so, whether the governments are State, local, tribal, or Federal.

*Federalism Implications:* Indicates whether the action is expected to have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

*Energy Impacts:* Indicates whether the action is a significant energy action under Executive Order 13211.

*Sectors Affected:* Indicates the main economic sectors regulated by the action. The regulated parties are identified by their North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) codes. These codes were created by the Census Bureau for collecting, analyzing, and publishing statistical data on the U.S. economy. There are more than 1,000 NAICS codes for sectors in agriculture, mining, manufacturing, services, and public administration.

*International Trade Impacts:* Indicates whether the action is likely to have international trade or investment effects, or otherwise be of international interest.

*Agency Contact:* The name, address, phone number, and email address, if available, of a person who is knowledgeable about the regulation.

*Additional Information:* Other information about the action including docket information.

*URLs:* For some actions, the Internet addresses are included for reading copies of rulemaking documents, submitting comments on proposals, and getting more information about the rulemaking and the program of which it is a part. (Note: To submit comments on

proposals, you can go to the associated electronic docket, which is housed at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). Once there, follow the online instructions to access the docket in question and submit comments. A docket identification [ID] number will assist in the search for materials.)

**RIN:** The Regulation Identifier Number is used by OMB to identify and track rulemakings. The first four digits of the RIN identify the EPA office with lead responsibility for developing the action.

*D. How can you find out about rulemakings that start up after the Regulatory Agenda is signed?*

EPA posts monthly information of new rulemakings that the Agency's senior managers have decided to develop. This list is also distributed via email. You can find the current list, known as the Action Initiation List (AIL), at <http://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/actions-initiated-month> where you will also find information about how to get an email notification when a new list is posted.

*E. What tools are available for mining Regulatory Agenda data and for finding more about EPA rules, policies, and retrospective review?*

1. The <http://www.reginfo.gov/> Searchable Database

The Regulatory Information Service Center and Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs have a Federal regulatory dashboard that allows users to view the Regulatory Agenda database (<http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaMain>), which includes search, display, and data transmission options.

2. Subject Matter EPA Web Sites

Some actions listed in the Agenda include a URL that provides additional information about the action.

3. Public Dockets

When EPA publishes either an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPRM) or a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register**, the Agency typically establishes a docket to accumulate materials throughout the development process for that rulemaking. The docket serves as the repository for the collection of documents or information related to a particular Agency action or activity. EPA most commonly uses dockets for rulemaking actions, but dockets may also be used for RFA section 610 reviews of rules with significant economic impacts on a substantial number of small entities and for various non-rulemaking activities,

such as **Federal Register** documents seeking public comments on draft guidance, policy statements, information collection requests under the PRA, and other non-rule activities. Docket information should be in that action's agenda entry. All of EPA's public dockets can be located at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

4. EPA's Regulatory Development and Retrospective Review Tracker

EPA's Regulatory Development and Retrospective Review Tracker ([www.epa.gov/regdarrt](http://www.epa.gov/regdarrt)) serves as a portal to EPA's priority rules, providing you with earlier and more frequently updated information about Agency regulations than is provided by the Regulatory Agenda. Not all of EPA's Regulatory Agenda entries appear on Reg DaRRT; only priority rulemakings can be found on this Web site.

This Web site also provides information about EPA's retrospective reviews of existing regulations and semiannual progress reports on those reviews.

### III. Retrospective Review of Regulations

Recognizing the importance of reducing unnecessary red tape, Executive Order 13563 requires agencies to develop a plan to periodically review its regulations to determine whether any should be modified, streamlined, expanded, or repealed to make the agency's regulatory program more effective or less burdensome in achieving the regulatory objectives. Executive Order 13610 requires agencies to give priority to those initiatives that will produce significant monetary savings or reductions in paperwork burdens while protecting public health, welfare, safety, and our environment, and puts particular emphasis on initiatives that would reduce unjustified regulatory burdens or simplify or harmonize regulatory requirements on small businesses.

In August 2011, EPA issued its *Final Plan for Periodic Retrospective Reviews of Existing Regulations* (<http://www.epa.gov/regdarrt>). This Plan was developed after extensive public outreach that sought input on an agency plan for retrospective review, as well as on possible reforms to modify, streamline, expand or repeal existing regulations. As part of our 2011 Final Plan, the EPA committed to soliciting comments on what the public recommends for review as well as to evaluating the first review period.

Consistent with the Executive Orders and with our 2011 Plan, EPA is again soliciting comments on regulations that

might be appropriate for retrospective review. We are requesting comment on rules that were finalized more than 5 years ago and that have not already been identified for review under the 2011 Final Plan or subsequent updates to that Plan. In addition, we are specifically soliciting comments on the following questions:

- Which regulations could be updated to be less burdensome for small businesses and/or state and local governments while maintaining environmental protection?
- Which regulations, including economically significant rules, could be transitioned from paper to electronic reporting?
- How can the EPA reduce duplicative reporting requirements in existing regulations that may overlap with other federal requirements?
- How can the EPA streamline or consolidate reporting requirements to reduce burden, including reducing the frequency of reporting, while maintaining effective programs?
- Which regulations could be improved through the use of advance monitoring techniques to facilitate environmental protection?
- Are there changes that could be made to a regulation to better protect vulnerable populations?
- Which regulations (or a portion of a regulation) have achieved their original objective and become obsolete?

We request that commenters be as specific as possible, include any supporting data or other information, and provide a citation when referencing a specific regulation. In addition, in drafting comments, bear in mind that the EPA must uphold both its legal obligations under governing statutes and its mission to protect human health and the environment; and that the EPA's retrospective review will be tailored to reflect its resources, rulemaking schedule, and workload.

The EPA is accepting comments until July 11, 2016. Please send retrospective review comments to docket EPA-HQ-OA-2016-0203. Although the agency will not respond to individual comments, the EPA values and will give careful consideration to all input that it receives. Please see <https://www.epa.gov/regdarrt/retrospective/history.html> for additional information and updates.

### IV. Review of Regulations Under 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act

#### A. Reviews of Rules With Significant Impacts on a Substantial Number of Small Entities

Section 610 of the RFA requires that an agency review, within 10 years of

promulgation, each rule that has or will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. At this time, EPA is initiating two 610 reviews and concluding a third 610 review.

Review title	RIN	Docket ID #	Status
610 Review of Control of Hazardous Air Pollutants From Mobile Sources .....	2060-AS88	EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0175	New.
Section 610 Review of Lead-Based Paint Activities; Training and Certification for Renovation and Remodeling Section 402(c)(3).	2070-AK17	EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0126	New.
Section 610 Review of National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Ground Water Rule.	2040-AF58	EPA-HQ-OW-2015-0541	Completed.

EPA established official public dockets for the new 610 Reviews. If you would like to provide feedback, submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0175 or EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0126, to the *Federal eRulemaking Portal*: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or withdrawn. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA generally will not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the Web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full

EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>. EPA is no longer accepting comment on the Section 610 Review of National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Ground Water Rule.

*B. What other special attention does EPA give to the impacts of rules on small businesses, small governments, and small nonprofit organizations?*

For each of EPA's rulemakings, consideration is given to whether there will be any adverse impact on any small entity. EPA attempts to fit the regulatory requirements, to the extent feasible, to the scale of the businesses, organizations, and governmental jurisdictions subject to the regulation.

Under RFA as amended by SBREFA, the Agency must prepare a formal analysis of the potential negative impacts on small entities, convene a Small Business Advocacy Review Panel

(proposed rule stage), and prepare a Small Entity Compliance Guide (final rule stage) unless the Agency certifies a rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. For more detailed information about the Agency's policy and practice with respect to implementing RFA/SBREFA, please visit EPA's RFA/SBREFA Web site at [www.epa.gov/reg-flex](http://www.epa.gov/reg-flex).

**V. Thank You for Collaborating With Us**

Finally, we would like to thank those of you who choose to join with us in making progress on the complex issues involved in protecting human health and the environment. Collaborative efforts such as EPA's open rulemaking process are a valuable tool for addressing the problems we face, and the regulatory agenda is an important part of that process.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

**Shannon Kenny,**  
*Principal Deputy Associate Administrator,  
Office of Policy.*

**10—PRERULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
229 .....	Section 610 Review of Control of Hazardous Air Pollutants From Mobile Sources ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) ..	2060-AS88

**10—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
230 .....	Modernization of the Accidental Release Prevention Regulations Under Clean Air Act .....	2050-AG82
231 .....	General Permits and Permits by Rule for the Federal Minor New Source Review Program in Indian Country for Six Source Categories.	2060-AR98
232 .....	Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Engines and Vehicles—Phase 2.	2060-AS16
233 .....	Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New and Modified Sources .....	2060-AS30

35—PRERULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
234 .....	Section 610 Review of Lead-Based Paint Activities; Training and Certification for Renovation and Remodeling Section 402(c)(3) <b>(Section 610 Review)</b> .	2070-AK17

35—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
235 .....	Trichloroethylene (TCE); Rulemaking Under TSCA Section 6(a); Vapor Degreasing .....	2070-AK11

35—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
236 .....	Formaldehyde Emission Standards for Composite Wood Products .....	2070-AJ44

60—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
237 .....	Financial Responsibility Requirements Under CERCLA Section 108(b) for Classes of Facilities in the Hard Rock Mining Industry.	2050-AG61

72—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
238 .....	Section 610 Review of National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Ground Water Rule <b>(Completion of a Section 610 Review)</b> .	2040-AF58

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

10

Prerule Stage

**229. • Section 610 Review of Control of Hazardous Air Pollutants From Mobile Sources (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 610  
*Abstract:* The rulemaking “Control of Hazardous Air Pollutants From Mobile Sources” was finalized by the EPA in February 2007 (72 FR 8428, February 26, 2007). This program established stringent new controls on gasoline, passenger vehicles, and gas cans to further reduce emissions of benzene and other mobile source air toxics. The EPA developed a Small Entity Compliance Guide, which provides descriptions of the regulations and small entity provisions, Q&As, and other helpful compliance information. This new entry in the Regulatory Agenda announces that the EPA will review this action pursuant to section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 610) to determine if the provisions that could affect small entities should be continued

without change, or should be rescinded or amended to minimize adverse economic impacts on small entities. As part of this review, the EPA will consider and solicit comments on the following factors: (1) The continued need for the rule; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received from the public concerning the rule; (3) the complexity of the rule; (4) the extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other Federal, State, or local government rules; and (5) the degree to which the technology, economic conditions, or other factors have changed in the area affected by the rule. Comments must be received within 60 days of this notice. In submitting comments, please reference Docket ID EPA-HQ-OAR-2016-0175 and follow the instructions provided in the preamble to this issue of the Regulatory Agenda. This docket can be accessed at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	02/26/07	72 FR 8427
Begin Review .....	05/00/16	

Action	Date	FR Cite
End Review .....	11/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.*

*Agency Contact:* Tom Eagles, Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation, 6103A, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-1952, *Fax:* 202 564-1554, *Email:* [eagles.tom@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:eagles.tom@epamail.epa.gov).

*RIN:* 2060-AS88

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

10

Final Rule Stage

**230. Modernization of the Accidental Release Prevention Regulations Under Clean Air Act**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7412(r)  
*Abstract:* The EPA, in response to Executive Order 13650, is considering amending its Risk Management Program

regulations. Such revisions may include several changes to the accident prevention program requirements including an additional analysis of safer technology and alternatives for the process hazard analysis for some Program 3 processes, third-party audits and incident investigation root cause analysis for Program 2 and Program 3 processes, enhancements to the emergency preparedness requirements, increased public availability of chemical hazard information, and several other changes to certain regulatory definitions and data elements submitted in risk management plans. Such amendments are intended to improve chemical process safety, assist local emergency authorities in planning for and responding to accidents, and improve public awareness of chemical hazards at regulated sources.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/14/16	81 FR 13637
Final Rule .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jim Belke, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Land and Emergency Management, 5104A, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-8023, *Fax:* 202 564-8444, *Email:* [belke.jim@epa.gov](mailto:belke.jim@epa.gov).

Kathy Franklin, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Land and Emergency Management, 5104A, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-7987, *Fax:* 202 564-2625, *Email:* [franklin.kathy@epa.gov](mailto:franklin.kathy@epa.gov).

*RIN:* 2050-AG82

**231. General Permits and Permits By Rule for the Federal Minor New Source Review Program in Indian Country for Six Source Categories**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.* Clean Air Act

*Abstract:* The Tribal Minor New Source Review (NSR) program applies to new and modified minor sources and minor modifications at major sources of air pollution in Indian country. The program, established in 2011, is implemented through issuance of preconstruction permits that can include, among other requirements, pollutant emission limits for minor sources and emission limitations on the potential of sources to emit pollution that would otherwise be considered major sources. This minor source program for Indian country is similar to state minor NSR programs. State minor

NSR programs often use general permits and a few state programs allow permits by rule as streamlined permitting approaches for similar emission units or stationary sources. This action finalizes general permits for certain source categories of true minor sources wishing to locate or expand in Indian country. This action finalizes general permits for the following six source categories: Concrete batch plants; boilers and emergency engines, stationary spark ignition engines, stationary compression ignition engines, graphic arts and printing operations, and sawmill facilities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/17/14	79 FR 41845
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	08/19/14	79 FR 49031
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Chris Stoneman, Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation, C304-01, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, *Phone:* 919 541-0823, *Fax:* 919 541-0072, *Email:* [stoneman.chris@epa.gov](mailto:stoneman.chris@epa.gov).

Mark Sendzik, Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation, C304-03, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, *Phone:* 919 541-5534, *Fax:* 919 541-0942, *Email:* [sendzik.mark@epa.gov](mailto:sendzik.mark@epa.gov).

*RIN:* 2060-AR98

**232. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Engines and Vehicles—Phase 2**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.* Clean Air Act

*Abstract:* The EPA and the Department of Transportation, in close coordination with the California Air Resources Board, are developing a comprehensive National Program for Medium- and Heavy-Duty Vehicle Greenhouse Gas Emission and Fuel Efficiency Standards for model years beyond 2018. These standards would further reduce greenhouse gas emissions and fuel consumption from a wide range of on-road vehicles from semi-trucks to the largest pickup trucks and vans, and all types and sizes of work trucks and buses. This action is in continued response to the President's directive to take coordinated steps to produce a new generation of clean vehicles and follows the first ever Greenhouse Gas Emissions Standards and Fuel Efficiency Standards for Medium- and Heavy-Duty

Engines and Vehicles (76 FR 57106, September 15, 2011).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/13/15	80 FR 40137
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/11/15	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	07/28/15	80 FR 44863
NPRM Comment Period End Extended.	09/17/15	
Notice .....	03/02/16	81 FR 10822
Final Rule .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Matt Spears, Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation, Mail Code: ASD1, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, *Phone:* 734 214-4921, *Fax:* 734 214-4816, *Email:* [spears.mattew@epa.gov](mailto:spears.mattew@epa.gov).

Charles Moulis, Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation, NFEVL, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, *Phone:* 734 214-4826.

*RIN:* 2060-AS16

**233. Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New and Modified Sources**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.* Clean Air Act

*Abstract:* Consistent with the White House Methane Strategy and the January 14, 2015, announcement of the EPA's approach to achieving methane and volatile organic compounds (VOC) reductions from the oil and natural gas sector, this action will finalize amendments to the 2012 new source performance standards (NSPS) for this sector. The proposed rule published 9/18/15, included methane and VOC standards for sources not covered by the 2012 Oil and Gas NSPS, such as completions of hydraulically fractured oil wells, pneumatic pumps and fugitive emissions at well sites and compressor stations. The proposal also included methane standards for sources covered in the 2012 NSPS. In addition, in response to the reconsideration petitions received for the 2012 NSPS and the subsequent amendments to the NSPS, this rule addresses the issues for which the EPA is granting reconsideration.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/18/15	80 FR 56593
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	11/13/15	80 FR 70179
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Amy Hambrick, Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation, E143-05, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, *Phone:* 919 541-0964, *Fax:* 919 541-3470, *Email:* hambrick.amy@epa.gov.

David Cozzie, Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Radiation, E-143-05, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, *Phone:* 919 541-5356, *Email:* cozzie.david@epa.gov.

RIN: 2060-AS30

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

35

Prerule Stage

**234. • Section 610 Review of Lead-Based Paint Activities; Training and Certification for Renovation and Remodeling Section 402(C)(3) (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 610

*Abstract:* EPA is initiating a review of the 2008 Lead; Renovation, Repair, and Painting Program (RRP) (73 FR 21692) pursuant to section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA, 5 U.S.C. 610). The rule was amended in 2010 (75 FR 24802) and 2011 (76 FR 47918) to eliminate a provision for contractors to opt-out of prescribed work practices and to affirm the qualitative clearance of renovated or repaired spaces, respectively. Although the section 610 review only needs to address the 2008 RRP Rule, EPA will exercise its discretion to consider relevant comments to the 2010 and 2011 amendments. The RRP rule is intended to reduce exposure to lead hazard created by renovation, repair, and painting activities that disturb lead-based paint. The current rule establishes requirements for training renovators and dust sampling technicians; certifying renovators, dust sampling technicians, and renovation firms; accrediting providers of renovation and dust sampling technician training; and for renovation work practices. This new entry in the regulatory agenda announces that EPA will review this action pursuant to RFA section 610. As part of this review, EPA will consider and solicit comments on the following factors: (1) The continued need for the rule; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the rule; (3) the complexity of the rule; (4) the extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other Federal, State, or local government

rules; and (5) the degree to which the technology, economic conditions or other factors have changed in the area affected by the rule. This review will also serve as an additional opportunity to provide comment on lead test kits, field testing alternatives and other broader RRP rule concerns as referenced in 80 FR 79335 and 80 FR 27621. Comments must be received within 60 days of this notice. In submitting comments, please reference Docket ID EPA-HQ-OPPT-2016-0126 and follow the instructions provided in the preamble to this issue of the Regulatory Agenda. This docket can be accessed at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	04/22/08	73 FR 21691
Begin Review .....	05/00/16	
End Review .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: No.

*Agency Contact:* Jonathan Shafer, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, 7404T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-0789, *Email:* shafer.jonathan@epa.gov.

Michelle Price, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, 7404T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 566-0744, *Email:* price.michelle@epa.gov.  
RIN: 2070-AK17

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

35

Proposed Rule Stage

**235. • Trichloroethylene (TCE); Rulemaking Under TSCA Section 6(A); Vapor Degreasing**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 2605 Toxic Substances Control Act

*Abstract:* Section 6(a) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) provides authority for the EPA to ban or restrict the manufacture (including import), processing, distribution in commerce, and use of chemicals, as well as any manner or method of disposal. The EPA identified trichloroethylene (TCE) for risk evaluation as part of its Work Plan for Chemical Assessment under TSCA. TCE is used in industrial and commercial processes, and also has some limited uses in consumer products. In the June 2014 TSCA Work Plan Chemical Risk Assessment for TCE,

the EPA identified risks associated with commercial vapor degreasing. EPA is initiating rulemaking under TSCA section 6 to address these risks, if the EPA finds that there is a reasonable basis to conclude that the risks to human health or the environment are unreasonable. A separate Regulatory Agenda entry (RIN 2070-AK03) covers the EPA's consideration of a rulemaking to address the risks associated with TCE when used as a spotting agent in dry cleaning and in commercial and consumer aerosol spray degreasers.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Toni Krasnic, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, 7405M, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-0984, *Email:* krasnic.toni@epa.gov.

Joel Wolf, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, 7404T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-2228, *Fax:* 202 566-0471, *Email:* wolf.joel@epa.gov.  
RIN: 2070-AK11

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

35

Final Rule Stage

**236. Formaldehyde Emission Standards for Composite Wood Products**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 2697 Toxic Substances Control Act

*Abstract:* The EPA is developing a final rule under the Formaldehyde Standards for Composite Wood Products Act that was enacted in 2010 as title VI of Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), 15 U.S.C. 2697. In 2013, EPA issued a proposed rule to establish a framework for a TSCA title VI Third-Party Certification Program whereby third-party certifiers (TPCs) are accredited by accreditation bodies (ABs) so that they may certify composite wood product panel producers under TSCA title VI. That proposed rule identified the roles and responsibilities of the groups involved in the TPC process (EPA, ABs, and TPCs), as well as the criteria for participation in the program. EPA also proposed general requirements for TPCs, such as conducting and verifying formaldehyde emission tests,

inspecting and auditing panel producers, and ensuring that panel producers' quality assurance and quality control procedures comply with the regulations set forth in the proposed rule. A separate proposed rule issued in 2013 under RIN 2070-AJ92 covered the implementation of the statutory formaldehyde emission standards for hardwood plywood, medium-density fiberboard, and particleboard sold, supplied, offered for sale, or manufactured (including imported) in the United States. Pursuant to TSCA section 3(7), the definition of "manufacture" includes import. As required by title VI, these regulations apply to hardwood plywood, medium-density fiberboard, and particleboard. TSCA title VI also directs EPA to promulgate supplementary provisions to ensure compliance with the emissions standards, including provisions related to labeling; chain of custody requirements; sell-through provisions; ultra low-emitting formaldehyde resins; no-added formaldehyde-based resins; finished goods; third-party testing and certification; auditing and reporting of third-party certifiers; recordkeeping; enforcement; laminated products; and exceptions from the requirements of regulations promulgated pursuant to this subsection for products and components containing de minimis amounts of composite wood products. As noted in the previously published Regulatory Agenda entry for each rulemaking, EPA has decided to issue a single final rule that addresses both of these proposals. As such, EPA also combined the entries for the Regulatory Agenda.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	12/03/08	73 FR 73620
Second ANPRM ..	01/30/09	74 FR 5632
NPRM .....	06/10/13	78 FR 34795
NPRM Comment	07/23/13	78 FR 44090
Period Ex- tended.		
NPRM Comment	08/21/13	78 FR 51696
Period Ex- tended.		
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sara Kemme, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, 7404T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 566-0511, *Fax:* 202 566-0473, *Email:* kemme.sara@epa.gov.

Robert Courtnege, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, 7404T,

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 566-1081, *Email:* courtnege.robert@epa.gov. *RIN:* 2070-AJ44

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

60

Proposed Rule Stage

**237. Financial Responsibility Requirements Under CERCLA Section 108(b) for Classes of Facilities in the Hard Rock Mining Industry**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.; 42 U.S.C. 9608(b)

*Abstract:* Section 108(b) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, establishes certain authorities concerning financial responsibility requirements. The Agency has identified classes of facilities within the Hard Rock mining industry as those for which financial responsibility requirements will be first developed. The EPA intends to include requirements for financial responsibility, as well as notification and implementation.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice .....	07/28/09	74 FR 37213
NPRM .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Barbara Foster, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Land and Emergency Management, 5304P, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 703 308-7057, *Email:* foster.barbara@epa.gov.

Scott Palmer, Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Land and Emergency Management, 5305P, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 703 308-8621, *Email:* palmer.scott@epa.gov.

*RIN:* 2050-AG61

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA)**

72

Completed Actions

**238. Section 610 Review of National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Ground Water Rule (Completion of a Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 610

*Abstract:* EPA published the Ground Water Rule (GWR) in the **Federal Register** on November 08, 2006. The purpose of the rule is to provide for increased protection against microbial pathogens in public water systems that use ground water sources. EPA is particularly concerned about ground water systems that are susceptible to fecal contamination since disease-causing pathogens may be found in fecal contamination. The GWR applies to public water systems that serve ground water. The rule also applies to any system that mixes surface and ground water if the ground water is added directly to the distribution system and provided to consumers without treatment. EPA reviewed this action in the fall of 2015 through the spring of 2016, pursuant to section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 610). As part of this review, EPA solicited comments for consideration on the following factors: (1) The continued need for the rule; (2) the nature of complaints or comments received concerning the rule; (3) the complexity of the rule; (4) the extent to which the rule overlaps, duplicates, or conflicts with other federal, state, or local government rules; and (5) the degree to which the technology, economic conditions or other factors have changed in the area affected by the rule. EPA has completed its review and concluded that the rule needs no revisions at this time to minimize impacts on small entities. The results of the review are summarized in a report and placed in the Water Docket under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2015-0541. You can access the docket at the Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	11/08/06	71 FR 65573
Begin Review .....	11/09/15	
End Review .....	04/13/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: No.*

*Agency Contact:* Philip Berger, Environmental Protection Agency, Water, 4607M, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-5255, *Email:* berger.philip@epa.gov.

Crystal Rodgers-Jenkins, Environmental Protection Agency, Water, 4607M, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20460, *Phone:* 202 564-5275, *Fax:* 202 564-3767, *Email:* rodgers-jenkins.crystal@epa.gov.

---

*RIN*: 2040-AF58

[FR Doc. 2016-12921 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XVIII

General Services Administration

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

**41 CFR Chs. 101, 102, 300, and 301**

**48 CFR Chapter 5**

**Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions**

**AGENCY:** General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Semiannual Regulatory Agenda.

**SUMMARY:** This agenda announces the proposed regulatory actions that GSA plans for the next 12 months and those that were completed since the fall 2015 edition. This agenda was developed under the guidelines of Executive Order 12866 “Regulatory Planning and Review.” GSA’s purpose in publishing this agenda is to allow interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process. GSA also invites interested persons to recommend

existing significant regulations for review to determine whether they should be modified or eliminated. Proposed rules may be reviewed in their entirety at the Government’s rulemaking Web site at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

Since the fall 2007 edition, the Internet has been the basic means for disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda will be available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov), in a format that offers users a greatly enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database.

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), GSA’s printed agenda entries include only:

(1) Rules that are in the Agency’s regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, because they are likely to have a significant economic impact

on a substantial number of small entities; and

(2) Any rules that the Agency has identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act’s Agenda requirements. Additional information on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet. In addition, for fall editions of the Agenda, the entire Regulatory Plan will continue to be printed in the **Federal Register**, as in past years, including GSA’s regulatory plan.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Hada Flowers, Division Director, Regulatory Secretariat Division at (202) 501-4755.

Dated: March 28, 2016.

**Troy Cribb,**

*Associate Administrator, Office of Government-wide Policy.*

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
239 .....	General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2010–G511, Purchasing by Non-Federal Entities.	3090–AJ43
240 .....	General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2013–G504, Transactional Data Reporting.	3090–AJ51

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
241 .....	General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2015–G512, Unenforceable Commercial Supplier Agreement Terms.	3090–AJ67

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
242 .....	General Services Administration Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2015–G508, Removal of Unnecessary Construction Clauses and Editorial Changes.	3090–AJ57

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (GSA)**

*Office of Acquisition Policy*

Final Rule Stage

**239. General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2010–G511, Purchasing by Non-Federal Entities**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c)

*Abstract:* The General Services Administration (GSA) is amending the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to

implement the Federal Supply Schedules Usage Act of 2010 (FSSUA), the Native American Housing Assistance and Self-Determination Reauthorization Act of 2008 (NAHASDA), the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (NDAA), and the Local Preparedness Acquisition Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (LPAA), to provide increased access to GSA’s Federal Supply Schedules (Schedules). GSA is also amending the Federal Supply Schedule Contracting and Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses, in regard to this

statutory implementation. This case is included in GSA’s retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in GSA’s retrospective review (2016), available at: [www.gsa.gov/improvingregulations](http://www.gsa.gov/improvingregulations).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/17/14	79 FR 21691
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/16/14	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Dana L. Munson, Procurement Analyst, General Services Administration, 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 357-9652, *Email:* dana.munson@gsa.gov. *RIN:* 3090-AJ43

**240. General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2013-G504, Transactional Data Reporting**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c)

*Abstract:* The General Services

Administration (GSA) is issuing a final rule amending the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to require vendors to report transactional data from orders and prices paid by ordering activities. This includes orders placed against both Federal Supply Schedule (FSS) contract vehicles and GSA's non-FSS contract vehicles, Governmentwide Acquisition Contracts (GWACs) and Multi-Agency Contracts (MACs).

Once implemented, the new GSAR transactional data reporting clauses will enable GSA to provide Federal agencies with further market intelligence and expert guidance in procuring goods and services in each category of GSA acquisition vehicles. The new requirement will not affect the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) FSS contract holders.

The proposed amendment to the GSAR will add an alternate version of the existing GSAR clause 552.238-74 Industrial Funding Fee and Sales Reporting (IFF) (Federal Supply Schedule) and a new GSAR clause 552.216-75 Sales Reporting and Fee Remittance. Under the FSS program, vendors that agree to the new transactional reporting requirement will have their contracts modified with an alternate version of clause 552.238-75 Price Reductions; the alternate version of clause 552.238-75 does not require the vendor to monitor and provide price reductions to the Government when the customer or category of customer upon which the contract was predicated receives a discount.

GSA will implement the new transactional data reporting requirements in phases, beginning with specific contract vehicles, including a few select Federal Supply Schedules, or Special Item Numbers that show the greatest potential to optimize transactional data via category management and reduced price variability. GSA will engage

stakeholders throughout the phases of the implementation.

GSA is reviewing the public comments received and analyzing alternatives for collecting transactional data, including the potential publication of a final rule. This case is included in GSA's retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in GSA's retrospective review (2016), available at: [www.gsa.gov/improvingregulations](http://www.gsa.gov/improvingregulations).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/04/15	80 FR 11619
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/04/15	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	05/06/15	80 FR 25994
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	05/11/15	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Mr. Matthew McFarland, Program Analyst, General Services Administration, 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 690-9232, *Email:* matthew.mcfarland@gsa.gov. *RIN:* 3090-AJ51

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (GSA)**

*Office of Acquisition Policy*

Long-Term Actions

**241. General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2015-G512, Unenforceable Commercial Supplier Agreement Terms**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c)

*Abstract:* GSA is amending the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to streamline the evaluation process to award contracts containing commercial supplier agreements. Government and industry often spend significant time negotiating elements common in almost every commercial supplier agreement where the terms conflict with federal law. Past negotiations would always lead to deleting the terms from the contract, but only after several rounds of legal review by both parties. This case would explore methods for automatically nullifying these common terms out of contracts.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Janet Fry, Program Analyst, General Services Administration, 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 703 605-3167, *Email:* janet.fry@gsa.gov. *RIN:* 3090-AJ67

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION (GSA)**

Completed Actions

**242. General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR); GSAR Case 2015-G508, Removal of Unnecessary Construction Clauses and Editorial Changes**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c)

*Abstract:* The General Services Administration (GSA) is amending the General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation (GSAR) to revise GSAR part 536, Construction and Architect-Engineer Contracts, and corresponding provisions and clauses in GSAR part 552, Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses, to remove unnecessary construction clauses. These provisions and clauses are now covered in the FAR or are otherwise no longer necessary for the agency. Removing these clauses simplifies contract terms and conditions, reduces regulatory burden to contractors, and eliminates any conflict with language contained in construction contract technical specifications.

*Completed:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	01/27/16	81 FR 4593
Final Rule Effective.	01/27/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Christina Mullins, *Phone:* 202 969-4966, *Email:* christina.mullins@gsa.gov.

*RIN:* 3090-AJ57

[FR Doc. 2016-12924 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-P





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XIX

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**14 CFR Ch. V**

**Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** NASA’s regulatory agenda describes those regulations being considered for development or

amendment by NASA, the need and legal basis for the actions being considered, the name and telephone number of the knowledgeable official, whether a regulatory analysis is required, and the status of regulations previously reported.

**ADDRESSES:** Deputy Associate Administrator, Office Mission Support Directorate, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cheryl E. Parker, (202) 358–0252.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** OMB guidelines dated February 19, 2016, “Spring 2016 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions,” require a regulatory agenda of those regulations under development and review to be published in the **Federal Register** each spring and fall.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

**Daniel Tenney,**

*Deputy Associate Administrator, Office of the Mission Support Directorate.*

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
243 .....	Processing of Monetary Claims ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	2700–AD83

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION (NASA)**

Final Rule Stage

**243. Processing of Monetary Claims (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 31 U.S.C. sec 3711

*Abstract:* NASA is amending its regulations at 14 CFR 1261 to make non-substantive changes in the amount to collect installment payments from \$20,000 to \$100,000 to align with title 31 subchapter II Claims of the United States Government section 3711 (a)(2) Collection and Compromise. Subpart 4 prescribes standards for the administrative collection compromise

suspension or termination of collection and referral to the Government Accountability Office (GAO) and/or to the Department of Justice for litigation of civil claims as defined by 31 U.S.C. 3701(b) arising out of the activities of designated NASA officials authorized to effect actions and requires compliance with GAO/DOJ joint regulations at 4 CFR parts 101–105 and the Office of Personnel Management regulations at 5 CFR part 550 subpart K. There are also some statute citation and terminology updates. The revisions to this rule are part of NASA’s retrospective plan under Executive Order 13563 completed in August 2011.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Direct Final Rule	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* No.

*Agency Contact:* Laura Burns, Law Librarian, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Office of the General Counsel, 300 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20546, *Phone:* 202 358–2078, *Fax:* 202 358–4955 *Email:* [laura.burns-1@nasa.gov](mailto:laura.burns-1@nasa.gov).

*RIN:* 2700–AD83

[FR Doc. 2016–12925 Filed 6–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510–13–P**



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XX

Small Business Administration

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**13 CFR Ch. I**

**Semiannual Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA).

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** This Regulatory Agenda is a semiannual summary of all current and projected rulemakings and completed actions of the Small Business Administration (SBA). SBA expects that this summary information will enable the public to be more aware of, and effectively participate in, SBA’s regulatory activity. SBA invites the public to submit comments on any aspect of this Agenda.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

**General**

Please direct general comments or inquiries to Imelda A. Kish, Law Librarian, U.S. Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW.,

Washington, DC 20416, (202) 205–6849, [imelda.kish@sba.gov](mailto:imelda.kish@sba.gov).

**Specific**

Please direct specific comments and inquiries on individual regulatory activities identified in this Agenda to the individual listed in the summary of the regulation as the point of contact for that regulation.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** SBA provides this notice under the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 to 612 and Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” which require each agency to publish a semiannual agenda of regulations. The Regulatory Agenda is a summary of all current and projected Agency rulemakings, as well as actions completed since the publication of the last Regulatory Agenda. SBA’s last Semiannual Regulatory Agenda was published on December 15, 2015, at 80 FR 78039. The Semiannual Agenda of the SBA conforms to the Unified Agenda format

developed by the Regulatory Information Service Center. The complete Unified Agenda will be available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov) in a format that greatly enhances a user’s ability to obtain information about the rules in SBA’s Agenda.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act requires federal agencies to publish those regulatory actions that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities in their regulatory flexibility agendas in the **Federal Register**. SBA’s Regulatory Agenda includes regulatory actions that are in the SBA’s regulatory flexibility agenda. Publication of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act’s Agenda requirements. Additional information on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet.

**Maria Contreras-Sweet,**  
*Administrator.*

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
244 .....	Small Business Development Center Program Revisions .....	3245–AE05
245 .....	Miscellaneous Amendments to Surety Bond, 7(a), and 504 Regulations .....	3245–AF85
246 .....	Immediate, Expedited, and Private Disaster Assistance Loan Programs .....	3245–AF99
247 .....	Office of Women Owned Business: Women’s Business Center Program .....	3245–AG02
248 .....	Small Business HUBZone Program; Government Contracting Programs; Office of Hearings and Appeals .....	3245–AG38
249 .....	Record Disclosure and Privacy .....	3245–AG52
250 .....	Small Business Timber Set-Aside Program .....	3245–AG69
251 .....	Women-Owned Small Business and Economically Disadvantaged Women-Owned Small Business—Certification.	3245–AG75

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
252 .....	Small Business Mentor Protégé Programs .....	3245–AG24
253 .....	Agent Revocation and Suspension Procedures .....	3245–AG40
254 .....	Small Business Government Contracting and National Defense Authorization Act of 2013 Amendments ...	3245–AG58
255 .....	Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) Program—Impact SBICs .....	3245–AG66
256 .....	Small Business Investment Companies; Passive Business Expansion & Technical Clarifications .....	3245–AG67
257 .....	Credit for Lower Tier Small Business Subcontracting .....	3245–AG71
258 .....	Affiliation for Business Loan Programs and Surety Bond Guarantee Program .....	3245–AG73

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
259 .....	Small Business Size Standards; Alternative Size Standard for 7(a), 504, and Disaster Loan Programs .....	3245–AG16

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
260 .....	Small Business Size Standards: Employee Based Size Standards in Wholesale Trade and Retail Trade ...	3245–AG49
261 .....	Small Business Size Standards for Manufacturing .....	3245–AG50

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION—COMPLETED ACTIONS—Continued

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
262 .....	Small Business Size Standards: Industries With Employee Based Size Standards Not Part of Manufacturing, Wholesale Trade, or Retail Trade.	3245–AG51
263 .....	Small Business Size Standards: Inflation Adjustment to Monetary Based Size Standards .....	3245–AG60
264 .....	Surety Bond Guarantee Program; Miscellaneous Amendments .....	3245–AG70

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (SBA)**

Proposed Rule Stage

**244. Small Business Development Center Program Revisions**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 634(b)(6); 15 U.S.C. 648

*Abstract:* Updates the SBDC program regulations by proposing to amend: (1) Procedures for approving applications for new Host SBDCs; (2) approval procedures for travel outside the continental U.S. and U.S. territories; (3) procedures and requirements regarding findings and disputes resulting from financial exams, programmatic reviews, accreditation reviews, and other SBA oversight activities; (4) requirements for new or renewal applications for SBDC grants, including the requirements for electronic submission through the approved electronic Government submission facility; (5) procedures regarding the determination to affect suspension, termination or non-renewal of an SBDC’s cooperative agreement; and (6) provisions regarding the collection and use of the individual SBDC client data.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	04/02/15	80 FR 17708
ANPRM Comment Period End.	06/01/15	
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Victoria Mundt, Acting Deputy Associate Administrator, Office of Small Business Development Centers, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205–7176, *Email:* victoria.mundt@sba.gov. *RIN:* 3245–AE05

**245. Miscellaneous Amendments to Surety Bond, 7(A), and 504 Regulations**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 636(a); 15 U.S.C. 694b

*Abstract:* Certain lenders have been delegated the authority to make loan decisions without prior approval from SBA under certain circumstances. SBA plans to formalize such delegated

authorities in this proposed rule. Several minor modifications to the 504 Loan Program and governance rules for Certified Development Company (CDC) are also proposed in a follow-on to the Final Rule: 504 and 7(a) Loan Program Updates (March 21, 2014), along with alignment of terminology for 7(a) lenders that are federally regulated to synchronize with existing industry requirements. SBA plans to propose several other miscellaneous amendments to improve oversight and operations of its finance programs.

This rule proposes to make four changes to the Surety Bond Guarantee (SBG) Program. The first would change the threshold for notification to SBA of changes in the contract or bond amount. Second, the change would require sureties to submit quarterly contract completion reports. Third, SBA proposes to increase the eligible contract limit for the Quick Bond Application and Agreement from \$250,000 to \$400,000. Finally, SBA proposes to increase the guarantee percentage in the Preferred Surety Bond program to reflect the statutory change made by the National Defense Authorization Act of 2016. The guarantee percentage will increase from 70% to 80% or 90%, depending on contract size and socioeconomic factors currently in effect in the Prior Approval Program.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Dianna L. Seaborn, Acting Director, Office of Financial Assistance, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205–3645, *Email:* dianna.seaborn@sba.gov. *RIN:* 3245–AF85

**246. Immediate, Expedited, and Private Disaster Assistance Loan Programs**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 636(c); 15 U.S.C. 636j; 15 U.S.C. 657n

*Abstract:* Through this advanced notice of proposed rulemaking, SBA solicited comments from potential

lenders and the public on three guaranteed disaster loan programs: (1) The expedited disaster assistance program (EDAP), under which the SBA would guarantee short-term loans of up to \$150,000 made by private lenders to eligible small businesses located in a catastrophic disaster area; (2) the private disaster assistance program (PDAP), under which SBA would guarantee loans of up to \$2 million made by private lenders to eligible small businesses and homeowners located in a catastrophic disaster area; and (3) the immediate disaster assistance program (IDAP), under which the SBA would guarantee interim loans of up to \$25,000 made by private lenders to eligible small businesses, which would then be repaid with the proceeds of SBA direct disaster loans. SBA will seek input on what program features would be required for lenders to participate in these guaranteed disaster loan programs. SBA plans to use this feedback in drafting proposed rules for the EDAP and PDAP programs and in considering changes to the existing IDAP regulations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	10/21/15	80 FR 63715
ANPRM Comment Period End.	12/21/15	
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Dianna L. Seaborn, Acting Director, Office of Financial Assistance, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205–3645, *Email:* dianna.seaborn@sba.gov. *RIN:* 3245–AF99

**247. Office of Women Owned Business: Women’s Business Center Program**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 656

*Abstract:* SBA’s Office of Women’s Business Ownership (OWBO) oversees a network of SBA-funded Women’s Business Centers (WBCs) throughout the United States and its territories. WBCs provide management and technical assistance to small business concerns both nascent and established, with a focus on such businesses that are owned

and controlled by women, or on women planning to start a business, especially women who are economically or socially disadvantaged. The training and counseling provided by the WBCs encompass a comprehensive array of topics, such as finance, management and marketing in various languages. This rule would propose to codify the requirements and procedures that govern the delivery, funding and evaluation of the management and technical assistance provided under the WBC Program. The rule would address, among other things, the eligibility criteria for selection as a WBC, use of Federal funds, standards for effectively carrying out program duties and responsibilities, and the requirements for reporting on financial and programmatic performance.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	04/22/15	80 FR 22434
ANPRM Comment Period End.	06/22/15	
NPRM .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Bruce D. Purdy, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Women’s Business Ownership, Small Business Administration, Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205–7532, *Email:* [bruce.purdy@sba.gov](mailto:bruce.purdy@sba.gov), *RIN:* 3245–AG02

**248. Small Business Hubzone Program; Government Contracting Programs; Office of Hearings and Appeals**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 657a

*Abstract:* SBA has been reviewing its processes and procedures for implementing the HUBZone program and has determined that several of the regulations governing the program should be amended in order to resolve certain issues that have arisen. As a result, the proposed rule would constitute a comprehensive revision of part 126 of SBA’s regulations to clarify current HUBZone Program regulations, and implement various new procedures. The amendments will make it easier for participants to comply with the program requirements and enable them to maximize the benefits afforded by participation. In developing this proposed rule, SBA will focus on the principles of Executive Order 13563 to determine whether portions of regulations should be modified, streamlined, expanded or repealed to make the HUBZone program more effective and/or less burdensome on small business concerns. At the same

time, SBA will maintain a framework that helps identify and reduce waste, fraud, and abuse in the program.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mariana Pardo, Director, Office of HUBZone, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205–2985, *Email:* [mariana.pardo@sba.gov](mailto:mariana.pardo@sba.gov), *RIN:* 3245–AG38

**249. Record Disclosure and Privacy**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 301, 552 and 552(a); 31 U.S.C. 9701; 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*; E.O. 12600; 52 FR 23781

*Abstract:* SBA proposes to amend its Record Disclosure and Privacy regulations to implement the Openness Promotes Effectiveness in our National Government Act. The amendments, among other things, will update the Agency’s Freedom of Information Act regulations to adjust the time for the public to submit an appeal of SBA’s decision regarding a request for information, correct an obsolete address and provide applicable Web site addresses, and clarify the definition of news media for purposes of assessing processing fees.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Laura Magere, Director, Freedom of Information Act Office, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205–6837, *Email:* [laura.magere@sba.gov](mailto:laura.magere@sba.gov), *RIN:* 3245–AG52

**250. Small Business Timber Set-Aside Program**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 631; 15 U.S.C. 644(a)

*Abstract:* The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA or Agency) is proposing to amend its Small Business Timber Set-Aside Program (the Program) regulations. The Small Business Timber Set-Aside Program is rooted in the Small Business Act, which tasked SBA with ensuring that small businesses receive a fair proportion of the total sales of government property. Accordingly, the Program requires Timber sales to be set aside for small

business when small business participation falls below a certain amount. SBA is considering comments received during the ANPRM process, including on issues such as, but not limited to, whether the saw timber volume purchased through stewardship timber contracts should be included in calculations, and whether the appraisal point used in set-aside sales should be the nearest small business mill. In addition, SBA is considering data from the timber industry to help evaluate the current program and economic impact of potential changes.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	03/25/15	80 FR 15697
ANPRM Comment Period End.	05/26/15	
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* David W. Loines, Area Director, Office of Government Contracting, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205–7311, *Email:* [david.loines@sba.gov](mailto:david.loines@sba.gov), *RIN:* 3245–AG69

**251. Women-Owned Small Business and Economically Disadvantaged Women-Owned Small Business— Certification**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 113–291, sec 825; 15 U.S.C. 637(m)

*Abstract:* Section 825 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (NDAA), Public Law 113–291, 128 Stat. 3292, Dec. 19, 2014, included language requiring that women-owned small business concerns and economically disadvantaged women-owned small business concerns are certified by a Federal agency, a State government, the Administrator, or national certifying entity approved by the Administrator as a small business concern owned and controlled by women. SBA is issuing this Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to get public feedback on how best to implement this statutory provision. SBA intends to request information on whether SBA should: Create its own certification program, rely on private certifiers, allow Federal agencies to create their own certification systems, or create a hybrid system. SBA also intends to request information from the public concerning State government certification programs.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	12/18/15	80 FR 78984
ANPRM Comment Period End.	02/16/16	
NPRM .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Kenneth Dodds, Director, Office of Government Contracting, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 619-1766, *Fax:* 202 481-2950, *Email:* kenneth.dodds@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG75

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (SBA)**

Final Rule Stage

**252. Small Business Mentor Protégé Programs**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-240; sec 1347; 15 U.S.C. 657r  
*Abstract:* The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA or Agency) is amending its regulations to implement provisions of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 and the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013. Based on authorities provided in these two statutes, the rule will establish a Government-wide mentor-protégé program for all small business concerns, consistent with SBA's mentor-protégé program for Participants in SBA's 8(a) Business Development (BD) program. The rule will also make minor changes to the mentor-protégé provisions for the 8(a) Business Development program in order to make the mentor-protégé rules for each of the programs as consistent as possible. The rule will amend the current joint venture provisions to clarify the conditions for creating and operating joint venture partnerships, including the effect of such partnerships on any mentor-protégé relationships. Finally, the rule will make several additional changes to current size, 8(a) Office of Hearings and Appeals or HUBZone regulations, concerning among other things, ownership and control, changes in primary industry, standards of review and interested party status for some appeals.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/05/15	80 FR 6618
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/06/15	
NPRM Comment Period Extension.	04/07/15	80 FR 18556

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/06/15	
Final Rule .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Brenda J. Fernandez, Procurement Analyst, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205-7337, *Email:* brenda.fernandez@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG24

**253. Agent Revocation and Suspension Procedures**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 634; 15 U.S.C. 642  
*Abstract:* This rule establishes detailed procedures for the suspension and revocation of an Agent's privilege to do business with the United States Small Business Administration (SBA) within a single Part of the Code of Federal Regulations; removes 8(a) program specific procedures for Agent suspension and revocation; clarifies existing and related regulations as to suspension, revocation, and debarment; and removes Office of Hearings and Appeals jurisdiction over Agent suspensions and revocations and government-wide debarment and suspension actions. This rule will also conform SBA suspension and revocation procedures for Agents with general government-wide nonprocurement suspension and debarment procedures.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/16/14	79 FR 62060
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	12/12/14	79 FR 73853
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/15/14	
Second NPRM Comment Period End.	02/14/15	
Final Rule .....	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Debra Mayer, Chief, Supervision and Enforcement, Office of Credit Risk Management, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205-7577, *Email:* debra.mayer@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG40

**254. Small Business Government Contracting and National Defense Authorization Act of 2013 Amendments**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 631; Pub. L. 112-239

*Abstract:* The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA or Agency) is amending its regulations to implement provisions of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2013, which pertain to performance requirements applicable to small business and socioeconomic program set aside contracts and small business subcontracting. SBA will make changes to its regulations concerning the nonmanufacturer rule and affiliation rules. Further, SBA will allow a joint venture to qualify as small for any government procurement as long as each partner to the joint venture qualifies individually as small under the size standard corresponding to the NAICS code assigned in the solicitation.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/29/14	79 FR 77955
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/27/15	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	03/09/15	80 FR 12353
Second NPRM Comment Period End.	04/06/15	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Brenda J. Fernandez, Procurement Analyst, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205-7337, *Email:* brenda.fernandez@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG58

**255. Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) Program—Impact SBICS**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 681  
*Abstract:* This rule establishes a regulatory structure for the SBIC Programs Impact Investment Fund, which is currently being implemented through a policy memorandum to interested applicants. The rule establishes in the regulations a new type of SBIC license called the Impact SBIC license and will include application and examination fee considerations to incentivize Impact Investment Fund participation. Impact SBICs may also be able to access Early Stage leverage on the same terms as Early Stage SBICs without applying through the Early Stage call process defined in 107.310. This will allow Impact SBICs with early stage strategies to apply for the program. The new license will be available to investment funds that meet the SBIC Programs licensing qualifications and commit to invest at least 50 percent of their invested capital in impact

investments as defined in the rule. The rule would also outline reporting and performance measures for licensed funds to maintain Impact Investment Fund designation. The goal of the Impact Investment Fund is to support small business investment strategies that maximize financial returns while also yielding enhanced social environmental or economic impacts as part of the SBIC Programs overall effort to supplement the flow of private equity and long-term loan funds to small businesses whose capital needs are not being met.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/03/16	81 FR 5666
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/04/16	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Nate T. Yohannes, Senior Advisor, Office of Investments, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205-6714, *Email:* nate.yohannes@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG66

**256. Small Business Investment Companies; Passive Business Expansion and Technical Clarifications**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 681 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The SBA is revising the regulations for the Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) program to further expand the use of Passive Businesses and provide needed protections for SBA with regard to such investments. SBICs are generally prohibited from investing in passive businesses under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 as amended as well as by regulations. Current program regulations provided for two exceptions that allow an SBIC to structure an investment utilizing a passive small business as a pass-through. The first exception identified in 107.720(b)(2) provides that an SBIC may structure an investment utilizing two pass-through entities to make an investment into an active business. The second exception identified in 107.720(b)(3) allows partnership SBICs with SBA prior approval to invest in a wholly owned passive business that in turn provides financing to an active small business only if a direct financing would cause its investors to incur Unrelated Business Taxable Income (UBTI). The second exception is commonly known as a blocker corporation. The current rule creates unnecessary complications in

defining two exceptions and does not provide SBA with sufficient protections. SBA is simplifying the rule to allow a more flexible two pass-through entity structure but provides SBA certain protections to offset risks associated with passive investment structures. As part of the rule, SBA will also make technical corrections and clarifications, including conforming the regulation to the new “family of funds” statutory provision.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/05/15	80 FR 60077
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/04/15	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Theresa M. Jamerson, Senior Policy Advisor, Investment Division, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW., Washington, DC 20461, *Phone:* 202 205-7563, *Email:* theresa.jamerson@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG67

**257. Credit for Lower Tier Small Business Subcontracting**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 113-66, sec 1614

*Abstract:* The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA or Agency) is amending its regulations to implement Section 1614 of the National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) of 2014, Public Law 113-66, December 26, 2013. Under the statute, when an other than small prime contractor has an individual subcontracting plan for a contract, the large business may receive credit towards its small business subcontracting goals for subcontract awards made to small business concerns at any tier. Currently, other than small business prime contractors only report on their performance awarding subcontracts to small businesses at the first tier level.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/06/15	80 FR 60300
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/07/15	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kenneth Dodds, Director, Office of Government Contracting, Small Business Administration, 409 3rd Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 619-

1766, *Fax:* 202 481-2950, *Email:* kenneth.dodds@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG71

**258. Affiliation for Business Loan Programs and Surety Bond Guarantee Program**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 634(b)(6)  
*Abstract:* The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) has determined that changing conditions in the American economy and a constantly evolving small business community compel it to seek ways to improve program efficiency for its Surety Bond Guarantee (SBG) Program, and the business loan programs consisting of the 7(a) Loan Program, the Business Disaster Loan Programs (the Economic Injury Disaster Loans, Reservist Injury Disaster Loans, Physical Disaster Business Loans, Immediate Disaster Assistance Program loans), the Microloan Program, and the Development Company Program (the 504 Loan Program). As a result, SBA is simplifying guidelines for determining affiliation for eligibility based on size as it relates to these programs. This rule would redefine affiliation for all five Programs, thereby simplifying eligibility determinations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/02/15	80 FR 59667
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/01/15	
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Dianna L. Seaborn, Acting Director, Office of Financial Assistance, Small Business Administration, 409 Third Street SW., Washington, DC 20416, *Phone:* 202 205-3645, *Email:* dianna.seaborn@sba.gov.  
*RIN:* 3245-AG73

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (SBA)**

Long-Term Actions

**259. Small Business Size Standards; Alternative Size Standard for 7(a), 504, and Disaster Loan Programs**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-240, sec 1116

*Abstract:* SBA will amend its size eligibility criteria for Business Loans, certified development company (CDC) loans under title V of the Small Business Investment Act (504) and economic injury disaster loans (EIDL). For the SBA 7(a) Business Loan Program and the 504 program, the amendments will provide an alternative size standard

for loan applicants that do not meet the small business size standards for their industries. The Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (Jobs Act) established alternative size standards that apply to both of these programs until SBA's Administrator establishes other alternative size standards. For the disaster loan program, the amendments will provide an alternative size standard for loan applicants that do not meet the Small Business Size Standard for their industries. These alternative size standards do not affect other Federal Government programs, including Federal procurement.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Khem Raj Sharma, Phone: 202 205-7189, Fax: 202 205-6390, Email: khem.sharma@sba.gov. RIN: 3245-AG16

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION (SBA)**

Completed Actions

**260. Small Business Size Standards: Employee Based Size Standards in Wholesale Trade and Retail Trade**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 632(a)  
*Abstract:* The U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) published a rule to increase employee based size standards in 46 industries in North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Sector 42, Wholesale Trade, and in one industry in Sector 44-45, Retail Trade. As a part of its comprehensive size standards review required by the Small Business Jobs Act of 2012, SBA reviewed all 71 industries in Sector 42 and two industries with employee based size standards in Sector 44-45 to determine whether their size standards should be retained or revised. The revisions primarily affect eligibility for SBA's financial assistance programs. This is one of the rules that will examine industries grouped by an NAICS Sector. SBA has applied its "Size Standards Methodology," which is available on its Web site at <http://www.sba.gov/size>, to this purposed rule. SBA expects to publish the final rule in the near future.

NOTE: The title for this rule has been changed since the rule was first reported in the Regulatory Agenda on January 8, 2013, from "Small Business Size Standards for Wholesale Trade" to

"Small Business Size Standards: Employee Based Size Standards for Wholesale Trade and Retail Trade." The title was changed to make it clear that the rule also addresses industries with employee based size standards in Retail Trade.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	01/25/16	81 FR 3941
Final Rule Effective.	02/26/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Khem Raj Sharma, Phone: 202 205-7189, Fax: 202 205-6390, Email: khem.sharma@sba.gov.

RIN: 3245-AG49

**261. Small Business Size Standards for Manufacturing**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 632(a)

*Abstract:* This rule increases employee based size standards for 209 industries in North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Section 31-33, Manufacturing. SBA also increases the refining capacity component of the Petroleum Refiners (NAICS 324110) size standard to 200,000 barrels per calendar day total capacity for businesses that are primarily engaged in petroleum refining. The rule also eliminates the requirement that 90 percent of a refiner's output being delivered should be refined by the bidder. As a part of its comprehensive size standards review required by the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010, SBA evaluated all 364 industries in NAICS Sector 31-33 to determine whether their size standards should be retained or revised. This is one of the rules that examined industries grouped by an NAICS Sector. SBA has applied its "Size Standards Methodology," which is available on its Web site at <http://www.sba.gov/size>, to this proposed rule.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	01/26/16	81 FR 4469
Final Rule Effective.	02/26/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Khem Raj Sharma, Phone: 202 205-7189, Fax: 202 205-6390, Email: khem.sharma@sba.gov.

RIN: 3245-AG50

**262. Small Business Size Standards: Industries With Employee Based Size Standards Not Part of Manufacturing, Wholesale Trade, or Retail Trade**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 632(a)

*Abstract:* This rule increases the employee-based size standards for 30 industries and three exceptions and decrease them for three industries that are not a part of NAICS Sector 31-33 (Manufacturing) Sector 42 (Wholesale Trade) and Sector 44-45 (Retail Trade). Additionally, SBA proposes to remove the Information Technology Value Added Resellers exception under NAICS 541519 (Other Computer Related Services) together with its 150-employee size standard. Similarly, SBA proposes to eliminate the Offshore Marine Air Transportation Services exception under NAICS 481211 and 481212 and Offshore Marine Services exception under NAICS Subsector 483 and their \$30.5 million receipts based size standard. As part of its comprehensive size standards review required by the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 SBA evaluated 57 industries and five exceptions with employee based size standards that are not in NAICS Sectors 31-33 42 or 4445. This is one of the rules that examined industries grouped by an NAICS Sector. SBA has applied its Size Standards Methodology, which is available on its Web site at <http://www.sba.gov/size> to this proposed rule.

Please Note: The title for this rule has been changed since it was first announced in the Regulatory Agenda on January 8, 2013 to add the words or Retail Trade at the end of the previous title. This change makes it clear that industries in the retail trade with employee based size standards are also not addressed in the rule.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	01/26/16	81 FR 4436
Final Rule Effective.	02/26/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Khem Raj Sharma, Phone: 202 205-7189, Fax: 202 205-6390, Email: khem.sharma@sba.gov.

RIN: 3245-AG51

**263. Small Business Size Standards: Inflation Adjustment to Monetary Based Size Standards**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 632(a)

*Abstract:* SBA issued a final rule with to adjust its monetary small business size standards (i.e., receipts, net income, net worth, and financial assets), for the effects of inflation that have occurred

since the last inflation adjustment. The final rule aims to restore small business eligibility to businesses that have lost their small business status due to inflation. The Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 (Jobs Act) requires SBA to review and adjust (as necessary) all size standards within five years of its enactment. SBA's Small Business Size Regulations at 13 CFR 121.102(c) require the same quinquennial (or less) review and adjustment. The rule did not increase the \$750,000 size standard for agricultural enterprises, which is established by the Small Business Act (§ 3(a)(1)). The alternate size standard used in the 7(a) and 504 business loan programs is unaffected by this adjustment.

Completed:

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	01/25/16	81 FR 3949
Final Rule Effective.	01/25/16	

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Khem Raj Sharma, Phone: 202 205-7189, Fax: 202 205-6390, Email: khem.sharma@sba.gov. RIN: 3245-AG60

264. Surety Bond Guarantee Program; Miscellaneous Amendments

Legal Authority: 15 U.S.C. 694b

Abstract: This rule will change the regulations for SBA's Surety Bond Guarantee Program in four areas. First, as a condition for participating in the Prior Approval and Preferred Programs, the rule will clarify that a Surety must directly employ underwriting and claims staffs sufficient to perform and manage these functions, and final settlement authority for claims and recovery is vested only in salaried employees of the Surety. Second, the rule will provide that all costs incurred by the Surety's salaried claims staff are ineligible for reimbursement by SBA, but the Surety may seek reimbursement for amounts paid for specialized services that are provided by outside consultants in connection with the processing of a claim. Third, the rule will modify the criteria for determining when a Principal that caused a Loss to SBA is ineligible for a bond guaranteed by SBA. Fourth, the rule will modify the criteria for admitting Sureties to the Preferred Surety Bond Guarantee Program by increasing the Surety's underwriting limitation, as certified by the U.S. Treasury Department on its list

of acceptable sureties, from at least \$2 million to at least \$6.5 million.

Completed:

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	04/22/16	81 FR 23563
Final Rule Effective.	05/23/16	

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Barbara J. Brannan, Phone: 202 205-6545, Email: barbara.brannan@sba.gov.

RIN: 3245-AG70

[FR Doc. 2016-12927 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-P



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXI

Department of Defense

---

General Services Administration

---

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

**GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION**

**NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

**48 CFR Ch. 1**

**Semiannual Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense (DoD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** This agenda provides summary descriptions of regulations being developed by the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council and the Defense

Acquisition Regulations Council in compliance with Executive Order 12866 “Regulatory Planning and Review.” This agenda is being published to allow interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process.

The Regulatory Secretariat Division has attempted to list all regulations pending at the time of publication, except for minor and routine or repetitive actions; however, unanticipated requirements may result in the issuance of regulations that are not included in this agenda. There is no legal significance to the omission of an item from this listing.

Published proposed rules may be reviewed in their entirety at the Government’s rulemaking Web site at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hada Flowers, Division Director, Regulatory Secretariat Division, 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, or via telephone at 202–501–4755.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** DoD, GSA, and NASA, under their several statutory authorities, jointly issue and maintain the FAR through periodic issuance of changes published in the **Federal Register** and produced electronically as Federal Acquisition Circulars (FACs). The electronic version of the FAR, including changes, can be accessed on the FAR Web site at <http://www.acquisition.gov/far>.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

**William Clark,**  
*Director, Office of Government-wide, Acquisition Policy, Office of Acquisition Policy, Office of Government-wide Policy.*

**DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR)—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
265 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–014; Prohibition on Providing Funds to the Enemy	9000–AN03
266 .....	Federal Regulation Acquisition (FAR); FAR Case 2015–024, Public Disclosure of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Reduction Goals-Representation.	9000–AN20

**DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR)—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
267 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2011–001; Organizational Conflicts of Interest and Unequal Access to Information.	9000–AL82
268 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2010–013; Privacy Training .....	9000–AM02
269 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2011–020; Basic Safeguarding of Contractor Information Systems.	9000–AM19
270 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2012–022; Contracts Under the Small Business Administration 8(a) Program.	9000–AM68
271 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014–012; Limitation on Allowable Government Contractor Compensation Costs.	9000–AM75
272 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014–025; Fair Pay and Safe Workplaces .....	9000–AM81
273 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014–026; High Global Warming Potential Hydrofluorocarbons.	9000–AM87
274 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014–003; Small Business Subcontracting Improvements.	9000–AM91
275 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014–015; Consolidation and Bundling .....	9000–AM92
276 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–016; Prohibition on Reimbursement for Congressional Investigations and Inquiries.	9000–AM97
277 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014–004; Payment of Subcontractors .....	9000–AM98
278 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–012; Contractor Employee Internal Confidentiality Agreements.	9000–AN04
279 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–011; Prohibition on Contracting With Corporations With Delinquent Taxes or a Felony Conviction.	9000–AN05
280 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation; FAR Case 2015–020, Simplified Acquisition Threshold for Overseas Acquisitions in Support of Humanitarian or Peacekeeping Operations.	9000–AN09
281 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2016–007; Non-Retaliation for Disclosure of Compensation Information.	9000–AN10
282 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–032, Sole Source Contracts for Women-Owned Small Businesses.	9000–AN13
283 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–036, Updating Federal Contractor Reporting of Veterans’ Employment.	9000–AN14

DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR)—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
284 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2013–015; Pilot Program for Enhancement of Contractor Employee Whistleblower Protections.	9000–AM56
285 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2013–020; Information on Corporate Contractor Performance and Integrity.	9000–AM74
286 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–003; Establishing a Minimum Wage for Contractors.	9000–AM82
287 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–019; Definition of Multiple Award Contract .....	9000–AM96
288 .....	Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–013; Further Amendment to Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO).	9000–AN01

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE/  
GENERAL SERVICES  
ADMINISTRATION/NATIONAL  
AERONAUTICS AND SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION (FAR)**

Proposed Rule Stage

**265. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015–014; Prohibition on Providing Funds to the Enemy**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement subtitle E of title VIII of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) for fiscal year (FY) 2015, which prohibits providing funds to the enemy. It also provides additional access to records to the extent necessary to ensure that funds available under the contract are not made available to the enemy.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cecelia L. Davis, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 219–0202, *Email:* [cecelia.davis@gsa.gov](mailto:cecelia.davis@gsa.gov).  
*RIN:* 9000–AN03

**266. • Federal Regulation Acquisition (FAR); FAR Case 2015–024, Public Disclosure of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Reduction Goals—Representation**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are proposing to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to create an annual representation within the System for Award Management for vendors to indicate if and where they publicly disclose greenhouse gas

emissions and greenhouse gas reduction goals or targets. This information will help the Government assess supplier greenhouse gas management practices and assist agencies in developing strategies to engage with contractors to reduce supply chain emissions, as directed in the Executive Order on Planning for Federal Sustainability in the Next Decade.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Chuck Gray, Program Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 208–6766.  
*RIN:* 9000–AN20

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE/  
GENERAL SERVICES  
ADMINISTRATION/NATIONAL  
AERONAUTICS AND SPACE  
ADMINISTRATION (FAR)**

Final Rule Stage

**267. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2011–001; Organizational Conflicts of Interest and Unequal Access to Information**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to provide revised regulatory coverage on organizational conflicts of interest (OCIs), and add related provisions and clauses. Coverage on contractor access to protected information has been moved to a new proposed rule, FAR Case 2012–029 now FAR Case 2014–021. Section 841 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2009 (Pub. L. 110–417) required a review of the FAR coverage on OCIs. The proposed rule was

developed as a result of a review conducted in accordance with section 841 by the Civilian Agency Acquisition Council, the Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, and the Office of Federal Procurement Policy, in consultation with the Office of Government Ethics. The proposed rule was preceded by an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, under FAR Case 2007–018 (73 FR 15962), to gather comments from the public with regard to whether and how to improve the FAR coverage on OCIs. This case is included in the FAR retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2016), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/26/11	76 FR 23236
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/27/11	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	06/29/11	76 FR 38089
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	07/27/11	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cecelia L. Davis, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 219–0202, *Email:* [cecelia.davis@gsa.gov](mailto:cecelia.davis@gsa.gov).  
*RIN:* 9000–AL82

**268. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2010–013; Privacy Training**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to ensure that all contractors are required to complete training in the protection of privacy and the handling and

safeguarding of Personally Identifiable Information (PII). The proposed FAR language provides flexibility for agencies to conduct the privacy training or require the contractor to conduct the privacy training. This case is included in the FAR retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2016), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/14/11	76 FR 63896
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/13/11	
Final Rule .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Charles Gray, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 208-6726.

*RIN:* 9000-AM02

**269. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2011-020; Basic Safeguarding of Contractor Information Systems**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to add a new subpart and contract clause for the basic safeguarding of contractor information systems that contain, transmit, or process Federal contract information. This case is included in the FAR retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2016), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/24/12	77 FR 51496
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/23/12	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cecelia L. Davis, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 219-0202, *Email:* [cecelia.davis@gsa.gov](mailto:cecelia.davis@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM19

**270. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2012-022; Contracts Under the Small Business Administration 8(a) Program**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement revisions made by the Small Business Administration to its regulations implementing section 8(a) of the Small Business Act, and to provide additional FAR coverage regarding protesting an 8(a) participant's eligibility or size status, procedures for releasing a requirement for non-8(a) procurements, and the ways a participant could exit the 8(a) Business Development program.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/03/14	79 FR 6135
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/14/14	
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mahruba Uddowla, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 703 605-2868, *Email:* [mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov](mailto:mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM68

**271. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014-012; Limitation on Allowable Government Contractor Compensation Costs**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation to implement section 702 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013. In accordance with section 702, the interim rule revises the allowable cost limit relative to the compensation of contractor and subcontractor employees. Also, in accordance with section 702, this interim rule implements the possible exception to this allowable cost limit for narrowly targeted scientists, engineers, or other specialists upon an agency determination that such exceptions are needed to ensure that the executive agency has continued access to needed skills and capabilities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	06/24/14	79 FR 35865
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	08/25/14	

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kathy Hopkins, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 969-7226, *Email:* [kathlyn.hopkins@gsa.gov](mailto:kathlyn.hopkins@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM75

**272. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014-025; Fair Pay and Safe Workplaces**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation which implements Executive Order 13673, Fair Pay and Safe Workplaces, seeks to increase efficiency in the work performed by Federal contractors by ensuring that they understand and comply with labor laws designed to promote safe, healthy, fair and effective workplaces.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/28/15	80 FR 30548
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/27/15	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	07/14/15	80 FR 40968
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	08/11/15	
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	08/05/15	80 FR 46531
NPRM Comment Period Extended End.	08/26/15	
Final Rule .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Edward Loeb, Program Manager, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 501-0650, *Email:* [edward.loeb@gsa.gov](mailto:edward.loeb@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM81

**273. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014-026; High Global Warming Potential Hydrofluorocarbons**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DDoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement Executive branch policy in the President's Climate Action Plan to procure, when feasible, alternatives to

high global warming potential (GWP) hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs). This final rule will allow agencies to better meet the greenhouse gas emission reduction goals and reporting requirements of the Executive Order on Planning for Sustainability in the Next Decade.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/11/15	80 FR 26883
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/10/15	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Charles Gray, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 208-6726.

*RIN:* 9000-AM87

**274. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014-003; Small Business Subcontracting Improvements**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement regulatory changes made by the Small Business Administration (SBA) in its final rule, concerning small business subcontracting. Among other things, SBA's final rule implements the statutory requirements set forth at sections 1321 and 1322 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010. This case is included in the FAR retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2016), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/10/15	80 FR 32909
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/10/15	
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mahruba Uddowla, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 703 605-2868, *Email:* [mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov](mailto:mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM91

**275. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014-015; Consolidation and Bundling**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal

Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement sections of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 and regulatory changes made by the Small Business Administration, which provide for a Governmentwide policy on consolidation and bundling. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2016), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/03/15	80 FR 31561
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/03/15	
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mahruba Uddowla, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 703 605-2868, *Email:* [mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov](mailto:mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM92

**276. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-016; Prohibition on Reimbursement for Congressional Investigations and Inquiries**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement section 857 of the Carl Levin and Howard P. 'Buck' McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015. This section provides additional requirements relative to the allowability of costs incurred by a contractor in connection with a congressional investigation or inquiry.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/17/16	81 FR 8031
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/18/16	
Final Rule .....	03/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kathy Hopkins, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 969-7226, *Email:* [kathlyn.hopkins@gsa.gov](mailto:kathlyn.hopkins@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM97

**277. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2014-004; Payment of Subcontractors**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal

Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement a section of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010. This statute requires contractors to notify the contracting officer in writing if the contractor pays a reduced price to a small business subcontractor, or if the contractor's payment to a small business contractor is more than 90 days past due. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2016), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/20/16	81 FR 3087
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/21/16	
Final Rule .....	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Curtis Glover, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 501-1448, *Email:* [curtis.glover@gsa.gov](mailto:curtis.glover@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM98

**278. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-012; Contractor Employee Internal Confidentiality Agreements**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement a section of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015, that prohibits the use of funds, appropriated or otherwise made available, for a contract with an entity that requires employees or subcontractors to sign an internal confidentiality agreement that restricts such employees or subcontractors from lawfully reporting waste, fraud, or abuse to a designated Government representative authorized to receive such information.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/22/16	81 FR 3763
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/22/16	
Final Rule .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cecelia L. Davis, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 219-0202, *Email:* [cecilia.davis@gsa.gov](mailto:cecilia.davis@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AN04

**279. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-011; Prohibition on Contracting With Corporations With Delinquent Taxes or a Felony Conviction**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement sections of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015, to prohibit the Federal Government from entering into a contract with any corporation having a delinquent Federal tax liability or a felony conviction under any Federal law, unless the agency has considered suspension or debarment of the corporation and has made a determination that this further action is not necessary to protect the interests of the Government.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	12/04/15	80 FR 75903
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	02/02/16	
Interim Final Rule Effective.	02/26/16	
Final Rule .....	08/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cecelia L. Davis, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 219-0202, *Email:* [cecelia.davis@gsa.gov](mailto:cecelia.davis@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AN05

**280. Federal Acquisition Regulation; FAR Case 2015-020, Simplified Acquisition Threshold for Overseas Acquisitions in Support of Humanitarian or Peacekeeping Operations**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. chapter 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement 41 U.S.C. 153, which establishes a higher simplified acquisition threshold for overseas acquisitions in support of humanitarian or peacekeeping operations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/08/15	80 FR 60832
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/07/15	
Final Rule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Ms. Camara Francis, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 550-0935, *Email:* [camara.francis@gsa.gov](mailto:camara.francis@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AN09

**281. • Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2016-007; Non-Retaliation for Disclosure of Compensation Information**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing an interim rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement Executive Order (E.O.) 13665, entitled, Non-Retaliation for Disclosure of Compensation Information,” and a final rule issued by the Department of Labor at 41 CFR part 60-1.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Zenaida Delgado, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 969-7207, *Email:* [zenaida.delgado@gsa.gov](mailto:zenaida.delgado@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AN10

**282. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-032, Sole Source Contracts for Women-Owned Small Businesses**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement regulatory changes made by the Small Business Administration, which provide for authority to award sole source contracts to economically disadvantaged women-owned small business concerns and to women-owned small business concerns eligible under the Women-Owned Small Business Program. This case is included in the FAR retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2016), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	12/31/15	80 FR 81888
Interim Final Rule Effective.	12/31/15	

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	02/29/16	
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mahruba Uddowla, Procurement Analyst, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 703 605-2868.

*RIN:* 9000-AN13

**283. • Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-036, Updating Federal Contractor Reporting of Veterans' Employment**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch. 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA are issuing a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement a final rule issued by the Department of Labor's (DOL) Veterans' Employment and Training Service (VETS), which replaced the VETS-100A Federal Contractor Veterans' Employment Report forms with the new VETS-4212, Federal Contractor Veterans' Employment Report form.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Interim Final Rule	12/04/15	80 FR 75908
Interim Final Rule Comment Period End.	02/02/16	
Interim Final Rule Effective.	02/26/16	
Final Rule .....	07/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Edward Loeb, Program Manager, DOD/GSA/NASA (FAR), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, *Phone:* 202 501-0650, *Email:* [edward.loeb@gsa.gov](mailto:edward.loeb@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AN14

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE/ GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION/NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION (FAR)**

Completed Actions

**284. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2013-015; Pilot Program for Enhancement of Contractor Employee Whistleblower Protections**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA issued a final rule of the Federal

Acquisition Regulation to implement a statutory pilot program whistleblower protections for enhancement of contractor employee.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	12/04/15	80 FR 75911
Final Rule Effective.	12/04/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cecelia L. Davis, Phone: 202 219-0202, Email: [cecelia.davis@gsa.gov](mailto:cecelia.davis@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM56

**285. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2013-020; Information on Corporate Contractor Performance and Integrity**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA issued a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation to implement a section of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 to include in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, to the extent practicable, identification of any immediate owner or subsidiary, and all predecessors of an offeror that held a Federal contract or grant within the last three years. The objective is to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the performance and integrity of the corporation in awarding Federal contracts.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	03/07/16	81 FR 11988
Final Rule Effective.	04/06/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cecelia L. Davis, Phone: 202 219-0202, Email: [cecelia.davis@gsa.gov](mailto:cecelia.davis@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM74

**286. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-003; Establishing a Minimum Wage for Contractors**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA issued a final rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement Executive Order 13658, Establishing a Minimum Wage for Contractors, and a final rule issued by the Department of Labor (DOL) at 29 CFR part 10. This case is included in the FAR retrospective review of existing regulations under Executive Order 13563. Additional information is located in the FAR final plan (2015), available at: <https://www.acquisition.gov/>.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	12/04/15	80 FR 75915
Final Rule Effective.	12/04/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Edward Loeb, Phone: 202 501-0650, Email: [edward.loeb@gsa.gov](mailto:edward.loeb@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM82

**287. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-019; Definition of Multiple Award Contract**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA issued a final rule to amend the Federal Acquisition Regulation to implement section 1311 of the Small Business Jobs Act of 2010 and Small Business Administration implementing regulations. Section 1311 provided a new definition of the term "multiple award contract" in the Small Business Act at 15 U.S.C. 632.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	12/31/15	80 FR 81887

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule Effective.	02/01/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mahruba Uddowla, Phone: 703 605-2868, Email: [mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov](mailto:mahruba.uddowla@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AM96

**288. Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); FAR Case 2015-013; Further Amendment to Equal Employment Opportunity (EEO)**

*Legal Authority:* 40 U.S.C. 121(c); 10 U.S.C. ch 137; 51 U.S.C. 20113

*Abstract:* DoD, GSA, and NASA have adopted as final, without change, an interim rule amending the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) to implement Executive Order 13672, entitled, Further Amendments to Executive Order 11487, Equal Employment Opportunity in the Federal Government, and Executive Order 11246, Equal Employment Opportunity," and a final rule issued by the Department of Labor at 41 CFR part 60.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	11/04/15	80 FR 75907
Final Rule Effective.	12/04/15	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Edward Loeb, Phone: 202 501-0650, Email: [edward.loeb@gsa.gov](mailto:edward.loeb@gsa.gov).

*RIN:* 9000-AN01

[FR Doc. 2016-12928 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-EP-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXII

Commodity Futures Trading Commission

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION**

**17 CFR Ch. I**

**Regulatory Flexibility Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (Commission), in accordance with the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, is publishing a semiannual agenda of rulemakings that the Commission expects to propose or promulgate over the next year. The Commission welcomes comments from small entities and others on the agenda.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christopher J. Kirkpatrick, Secretary of the Commission, (202) 418-5964, [ckirkpatrick@cftc.gov](mailto:ckirkpatrick@cftc.gov), Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20581.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, includes a requirement that each agency publish semiannually in the **Federal Register** a regulatory flexibility agenda. Such agendas are to contain the following elements, as specified in 5 U.S.C. 602(a):

1. A brief description of the subject area of any rule that the agency expects to propose or promulgate, which is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities;

2. A summary of the nature of any such rule under consideration for each subject area listed in the agenda, the objectives and legal basis for the issuance of the rule, and an approximate schedule for completing action on any rule for which the agency has issued a general notice of proposed rulemaking; and

3. The name and telephone number of an agency official knowledgeable about the items listed in the agenda.

Accordingly, the Commission has prepared an agenda of rulemakings that

it presently expects may be considered during the course of the next year. Subject to a determination for each rule, it is possible as a general matter that some of these rules may have some impact on small entities.<sup>1</sup> The Commission notes also that, under the RFA, it is not precluded from considering or acting on a matter not included in the regulatory flexibility agenda, nor is it required to consider or act on any matter that is listed in the agenda. See 5 U.S.C. 602(d).

The Commission's spring 2016 regulatory flexibility agenda is included in the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions. The complete Unified Agenda will be available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov), in a format that offers users enhanced ability to obtain information from the Agenda database.

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 5, 2016, by the Commission.

**Robert N. Sidman,**  
*Deputy Secretary of the Commission.*

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
289 .....	Regulation Automated Trading .....	3038-AD52

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION—COMPLETED ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
290 .....	Trade Options .....	3038-AE26

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION (CFTC)**

Final Rule Stage

**289. Regulation Automated Trading**

*Legal Authority:* 7 U.S.C. 1a(23), 7 U.S.C. 6c(a); 7 U.S.C. 7(d); and 7 U.S.C. 12(a)(5)

*Abstract:* On December 17, 2015, the Commission published a notice of proposed rulemaking titled Regulation Automated Trading (“Regulation AT”). Regulation AT proposes a series of risk controls, transparency measures and other safeguards to enhance the regulatory regime for automated trading on U.S. designated contract markets.

The comment period is open through March 16, 2016. Staff will review comments and consider next steps for regulatory action in this area, including the possibility of a final rulemaking in one or more areas discussed in Regulation AT.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	09/12/13	78 FR 56542
ANPRM Comment Period End.	12/11/13	
ANPRM Comment Period Extended.	01/24/14	79 FR 4104

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM Comment Period Extended End.	02/14/14	
NPRM .....	12/17/15	80 FR 78824
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/16/16	
Final Action .....	08/00/16	
Final Action Effective.	10/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Marilee Dahlman, Special Counsel, Division of Market Oversight, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre,

<sup>1</sup> The Commission published its definition of a “small entity” for purposes of rulemaking proceedings at 47 FR 18618 (April 30, 1982). Pursuant to that definition, the Commission is not required to list—but nonetheless does—many of the items contained in this regulatory flexibility agenda. See also 5 U.S.C. 602(a)(1). Moreover, for certain items listed in this agenda, the Commission

has previously certified, under section 605 of the RFA, 5 U.S.C. 605, that those items will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. For these reasons, the listing of a rule in this regulatory flexibility agenda should not be taken as a determination that the rule, when proposed or promulgated, will in fact require a regulatory flexibility analysis. Rather, the

Commission has chosen to publish an agenda that includes significant and other substantive rules, regardless of their potential impact on small entities, to provide the public with broader notice of new or revised regulations the Commission may consider and to enhance the public's opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process.

1155 21st Street NW., Washington, DC  
20581, *Phone:* 202 418-5264, *Email:*  
*mdahlman@cftc.gov.*  
*RIN:* 3038-AD52

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING  
COMMISSION (CFTC)**

Completed Actions

**290. Trade Options**

*Legal Authority:* CEA secs 4(c)(b) and  
8(a)(5)

*Abstract:* The Commission has amended the trade option exemption in 32.3 of its regulations in the following subject areas: (1) Reporting requirements for trade option counterparties that are not swap dealers or major swap participants; (2) recordkeeping requirements for trade option counterparties that are not swap dealers or major swap participants; and (3) certain technical amendments.

*Completed:*

Reason	Date	FR Cite
Final Action .....	03/21/16	81 FR 14966
Final Action Effective.	03/21/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis  
Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* David Pepper,  
*Phone:* 202 418-5565, *Email:* *dpepper@*  
*cftc.gov.*

*RIN:* 3038-AE26

[FR Doc. 2016-12930 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6351-01-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXIII

Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**BUREAU OF CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION****12 CFR CH. X****Semiannual Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (CFPB or Bureau) is publishing this agenda as part of the Spring 2016 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions. The CFPB reasonably anticipates having the regulatory matters identified below under consideration during the period from May 1, 2016, to April 30, 2017. The next agenda will be published in fall 2016 and will update this agenda through fall 2017. Publication of this agenda is in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*).

**DATES:** This information is current as of March 25, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection, 1700 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20552.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A staff contact is included for each regulatory item listed herein.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The CFPB is publishing its spring 2016 agenda as part of the Spring 2016 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions, which is coordinated by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866. The CFPB's participation in the Unified Agenda is voluntary. The complete Unified Agenda is available to the public at the following Web site: <http://www.reginfo.gov>.

Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, Public Law 111–203, 124 Stat. 1376 (Dodd-Frank Act), the CFPB has rulemaking, supervisory, enforcement, and other authorities relating to consumer financial products and services. These authorities include the ability to issue regulations under more than a dozen federal consumer financial laws, which transferred to the CFPB from seven federal agencies on July 21, 2011. The CFPB is working on a wide range of initiatives to address issues in markets for consumer financial products and services that are not reflected in this notice because the Unified Agenda is limited to rulemaking activities.

The CFPB reasonably anticipates having the regulatory matters identified below under consideration during the period from May 1, 2016, to April 30,

2017.<sup>1</sup> Among the Bureau's more significant regulatory efforts are the following.

**Bureau Regulatory Efforts in Various Consumer Markets**

The Bureau is working on a number of rulemakings to address important consumer protection issues in a wide variety of markets for consumer financial products and services.

For example, the Bureau is preparing to issue a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking this spring concerning the use of agreements between a covered person and a consumer for a consumer financial product or service providing for arbitration of any future disputes. The rulemaking follows on a report that the Bureau issued to Congress in March 2015, as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, as well as on preliminary results of arbitration research that were released by the Bureau in December 2013. In fall 2015, the Bureau began the rulemaking process by releasing an outline explaining that it was considering whether to limit arbitration agreements from being used to compel arbitration of consumer class actions and whether to require the reporting of certain information concerning consumer arbitrations to the Bureau to facilitate monitoring. The Bureau convened a panel under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) in conjunction with the Office of Management and Budget and the Small Business Administration's Chief Counsel for Advocacy to consult with small businesses that may be affected by the policy proposals under consideration. The Bureau has also gathered extensive feedback from other stakeholders in preparation for the rulemaking.

The Bureau also expects to release later this spring a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to address consumer harms from practices related to payday loans, auto title loans, and other similar credit products, including failure to determine whether consumers have the ability to repay without default or reborrowing and certain payment collection practices. The Bureau convened a SBREFA panel in April 2015, along with the Office of Management and Budget and the Small Business Administration's Chief Counsel for Advocacy to meet with small lenders that may be affected by the rulemaking, and has gathered extensive feedback

<sup>1</sup> The listing does not include certain routine, frequent, or administrative matters. Further, certain of the information fields for the listing are not applicable to independent regulatory agencies, including the CFPB, and, accordingly, the CFPB has indicated responses of "no" for such fields.

from other stakeholders in the last year to obtain feedback on the proposals. This rulemaking builds on Bureau research, including a white paper the Bureau published on these products in April 2013, a data point providing additional research in March 2014, and ongoing analysis.

The Bureau also expects to issue a final rule in early summer to create a comprehensive set of consumer protections for prepaid financial products, such as general purpose reloadable cards and other similar products, which are increasingly being used by consumers in place of traditional checking accounts or credit cards. The Bureau issued a proposed rule in November 2014, to bring prepaid products expressly within the ambit of Regulation E (which implements the Electronic Fund Transfer Act) as prepaid accounts and create new provisions specific to such accounts. The Bureau also proposed to amend Regulation E and Regulation Z (which implements the Truth in Lending Act) to regulate prepaid accounts with overdraft services or credit features.

The Bureau also expects to issue a proposal to amend Regulation P, which implements the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (GLBA). Congress recently amended the GLBA to provide an exception to the requirement for financial institutions to deliver annual privacy notices when certain conditions are met. The Bureau plans to make conforming amendments to Regulation P for consistency with the statutory amendment.

Building on Bureau research and other sources, the Bureau is also engaged in policy analysis and further research initiatives in preparation for a rulemaking on overdraft programs on checking accounts. The CFPB issued a white paper in June 2013, and a report in July 2014, based on supervisory data from several large banks that highlighted a number of possible consumer protection concerns, including how consumers opt in to overdraft coverage for ATM and one-time debit card transactions, overdraft coverage limits, transaction posting order practices, overdraft and insufficient funds fee structure, and involuntary account closures. The CFPB is continuing to engage in additional research and has begun consumer testing initiatives relating to the opt-in process.

The Bureau is also engaged in policy analysis and research initiatives in preparation for a rulemaking on debt collection activities, which are the single largest source of complaints to the Federal Government of any industry. Building on the Bureau's November

2013, Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the CFPB is in the process of analyzing the results of a survey to obtain information from consumers about their experiences with debt collection. The Bureau is also undertaking consumer testing initiatives to determine what information would be useful for consumers to have about debt collection and their debts and how that information should be provided to them.

The Bureau is also continuing rulemaking activities that will further establish the Bureau’s nonbank supervisory authority by defining larger participants of certain markets for consumer financial products and services. Larger participants of such markets, as the Bureau defines by rule, are subject to the Bureau’s supervisory authority. The Bureau expects that its next larger participant rulemaking will focus on the markets for consumer installment loans and vehicle title loans for purposes of supervision. The Bureau is also considering whether rules to require registration of these or other non-depository lenders would facilitate supervision, as has been suggested to the Bureau by both consumer advocates and industry groups.

The Bureau is also continuing to develop research on other critical markets to help implement statutory directives and to assess whether regulation of other consumer financial products and services may be warranted. For example, the Bureau is starting its work to implement section 1071 of the Dodd-Frank Act, which amends the Equal Credit Opportunity Act to require financial institutions to report information concerning credit applications made by women-owned, minority-owned, and small businesses. The Bureau will focus on outreach and research to develop its understanding of the players, products, and practices in the small business lending market and of the potential ways to implement section 1071. The CFPB then expects to begin developing proposed regulations concerning the data to be collected and determining the appropriate procedures and privacy protections needed for information-gathering and public disclosure

**Implementing Dodd-Frank Act Mortgage Protections**

The Bureau is also continuing efforts to implement critical consumer protections under the Dodd-Frank Act to guard against mortgage market practices that contributed to the nation’s most significant financial crisis in several decades. Since 2013, the Bureau has issued regulations as directed by the Dodd-Frank Act to implement certain protections for mortgage originations and servicing, integrate various federal mortgage disclosures, and amend mortgage reporting requirements under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA). The Bureau is continuing intensive work to facilitate implementation of the new requirements, including follow-up rulemaking where warranted.

For example, the Bureau expects this summer to release a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to make small clarifications and provide further regulatory guidance concerning its rule combining several federal mortgage disclosures that consumers receive in connection with applying for and closing on a mortgage loan under the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) and the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA). The project to integrate and streamline the disclosures was mandated under the Dodd-Frank Act and took effect in October 2015. The rule is the cornerstone of the Bureau’s broader “Know Before You Owe” mortgage initiative.

The Bureau also expects to issue a final rule in the summer to amend various provisions of its January 2013, mortgage servicing rules in Regulation X (which implements RESPA) and Regulation Z. The Bureau has proposed clarifications of the applicability of certain provisions when the borrower is in bankruptcy, additional enhancements to loss mitigation requirements, provisions to address the applicability of certain rules to successors in interest, and other amendments. The Bureau has been conducting consumer testing of certain disclosures as it prepares the final rule.

The Bureau is also working intensely to conduct outreach with industry and coordinate with other agencies to monitor and facilitate implementation of its rule to implement Dodd-Frank

amendments to HMDA. The Bureau has already released a small entity compliance guide in connection with the rule, which was finalized in October 2015. Certain elements of the rule take effect in January 2017, and most new data collection requirements begin in January 2018. The Bureau is working to streamline and modernize HMDA data collection and reporting processes in conjunction with implementation.

The Bureau is also continuing to facilitate the full implementation of and compliance with other rules that it issued in January 2013, to implement Dodd-Frank Act requirements concerning mortgage originations. Most recently, the Bureau has adjusted the thresholds defining small creditors and small creditors operating in rural and underserved areas for purposes of certain special rules concerning balloon loans, escrows, and other topics. The Bureau issued a final rule adjusting the thresholds for small creditors in October 2015. The Bureau then issued two rules to implement the Helping Expand Lending Practices in Rural Communities Act. The first rule, which the Bureau issued on March 2, 2016, established a process for creditors to apply to the Bureau for an area to be designated as rural. The second rule, which the Bureau issued on March 22, 2016, was an interim final rule that revised the test to determine which small creditors operate in rural areas in light of the Congressional amendments to the underlying statutory language.

**Further Planning**

Finally, the Bureau is continuing to conduct outreach and research to assess issues in various other markets for consumer financial products and services. As this work continues, the Bureau will evaluate possible policy responses, including possible rulemaking actions, taking into account the critical need for and effectiveness of various policy tools. The Bureau will update its regulatory agenda in fall 2016, to reflect the results of this further prioritization and planning.

Dated: March 25, 2016.

**Kelly Thompson Cochran,**  
Assistant Director for Regulations, Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection.

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU—PRERULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
291 .....	Business Lending Data (Regulation B) .....	3170-AA09

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU—PROPOSED RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
292 .....	Payday Loans and Deposit Advance Products .....	3170-AA40

CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
293 .....	The Expedited Funds Availability Act (Regulation CC) .....	3170-AA31

**CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU (CFPB)**

Prerule Stage

**291. Business Lending Data (Regulation B)**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 1691c-2  
*Abstract:* Section 1071 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank Act) amends the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA) to require financial institutions to report information concerning credit applications made by women-owned, minority-owned, and small businesses. The amendments to ECOA made by the Dodd-Frank Act require that certain data be collected and maintained, including the number of the application and date the application was received; the type and purpose of loan or credit applied for; the amount of credit applied for and approved; the type of action taken with regard to each application and the date of such action; the census tract of the principal place of business; the gross annual revenue of the business; and the race, sex, and ethnicity of the principal owners of the business. The Dodd-Frank Act also provides authority for the CFPB to require any additional data that the CFPB determines would aid in fulfilling the purposes of this section. The Bureau will focus on outreach and research to develop its understanding of the players, products, and practices in the small business lending market and of the potential ways to implement section 1071. The CFPB then expects to begin developing proposed regulations concerning the data to be collected and appropriate procedures, information safeguards, and privacy protections for information-gathering under this section.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Prerule Activities	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Elena Grigera Babinecz, Office of Regulations, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *Phone:* 202 435-7700.  
*RIN:* 3170-AA09

**CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU (CFPB)**

Proposed Rule Stage

**292. Payday Loans and Deposit Advance Products**

*Legal Authority:* Not Yet Determined  
*Abstract:* The Bureau is conducting a rulemaking to address consumer harms from practices related to payday loans and other similar credit products, including failure to determine whether consumers have the ability to repay without default or reborrowing and certain payment collection practices. Under the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA), the Bureau released in March 2015 an outline of proposals under consideration for the rulemaking. As part of the SBREFA process, in April 2015, the Bureau along with the Office of Management and Budget and the Small Business Administration's Chief Counsel for Advocacy, met with small lenders that may be affected by the rulemaking to obtain feedback on the proposals. This rulemaking builds on Bureau research, including a white paper the Bureau published on these products in April 2013, a data point providing additional research in March 2014, and ongoing analysis.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Mark Morelli, Office of Regulations, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *Phone:* 202 435-7700.  
*RIN:* 3170-AA40

**CONSUMER FINANCIAL PROTECTION BUREAU (CFPB)**

Final Rule Stage

**293. The Expedited Funds Availability Act (Regulation CC)**

*Legal Authority:* 12 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*  
*Abstract:* The Expedited Funds Availability Act (EFA Act), implemented by Regulation CC, governs availability of funds after a check deposit and check collection and return processes. Section 1086 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act amended the EFA Act to provide the CFPB with joint rulemaking authority with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) over certain consumer-related EFA Act provisions. The Board proposed amendments to Regulation CC in March 2011, to facilitate the banking industry's ongoing transition to fully-electronic interbank check collection and return. The Board's proposal includes some provisions that are subject to the CFPB's joint rulemaking authority, including the period for funds availability and revising model form disclosures. In addition, in December 2013, the Board proposed revised amendments to certain Regulation CC provisions that are not subject to the CFPB's authority and extended the comment period to May 2014. The CFPB will work with the Board to issue jointly a final rule that includes provisions within the CFPB's authority.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/25/11	76 FR 16862
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/03/11	
Final Rule .....	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Joseph Baressi, Office of Regulations, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, *Phone:* 202 435-7700.

---

*RIN*: 3170-AA31

[FR Doc. 2016-12931 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-AM-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXIV

Consumer Product Safety Commission

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

**16 CFR Ch. II**

**Semiannual Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** In this document, the Commission publishes its semiannual regulatory flexibility agenda. In addition, this document includes an agenda of regulatory actions that the Commission expects to be under development or review by the agency during the next year. This document meets the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12866. The Commission welcomes comments on the agenda and on the individual agenda entries.

**DATES:** Comments should be received in the Office of the Secretary on or before July 1, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments on the regulatory flexibility agenda should be captioned, “Regulatory Flexibility Agenda,” and emailed to: *cpsc-os@cpsc.gov*. Comments may also be mailed or delivered to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 820, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814–4408.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information on the agenda in general, contact Eileen Williams, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814–4408; *ewilliams@cpsc.gov*. For further information regarding a particular item on the agenda, consult the individual listed in the column headed, “Contact,” for that particular item.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (5 U.S.C. 601 to 612) contains several provisions intended to reduce unnecessary and disproportionate regulatory requirements on small businesses, small governmental organizations, and other small entities.

Section 602 of the RFA (5 U.S.C. 602) requires each agency to publish, twice each year, a regulatory flexibility agenda containing a brief description of the subject area of any rule expected to be proposed or promulgated, which is likely to have a “significant economic impact” on a “substantial number” of small entities. The agency must also provide a summary of the nature of the rule and a schedule for acting on each rule for which the agency has issued a notice of proposed rulemaking.

The regulatory flexibility agenda also is required to contain the name and address of the agency official knowledgeable about the items listed. Furthermore, agencies are required to provide notice of their agendas to small entities and to solicit their comments by direct notification or by inclusion in publications likely to be obtained by such entities.

Additionally, Executive Order 12866 requires each agency to publish, twice each year, a regulatory agenda of regulations under development or review during the next year, and the Executive order states that such an agenda may be combined with the agenda published in accordance with the RFA. The regulatory flexibility agenda lists the regulatory activities expected to be under development or review during the next 12 months. It includes all such activities, whether or not they may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This agenda also includes regulatory activities that appeared in the fall 2015 agenda and have been completed by the Commission prior to publication of this agenda. Although CPSC, as an independent regulatory agency, is not required to comply with Executive orders, the Commission does follow Executive Order 12866 with respect to the publication of its regulatory agenda.

The agenda contains a brief description and summary of each regulatory activity, including the objectives and legal basis for each; an approximate schedule of target dates,

subject to revision, for the development or completion of each activity; and the name and telephone number of a knowledgeable agency official concerning particular items on the agenda.

Beginning in fall 2007, the Internet became the basic means of disseminating the Unified Agenda. The complete Unified Agenda will be available online at: *www.reginfo.gov*, in a format that offers users a greatly enhanced ability to obtain information from the agenda database.

Because publication in the **Federal Register** is mandated for the regulatory flexibility agendas required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 602), the Commission’s printed agenda entries include only:

(1) Rules that are in the Agency’s regulatory flexibility agenda, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act because they are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities; and

(2) Rules that the Agency has identified for periodic review under section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Printing of these entries is limited to fields that contain information required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act’s agenda requirements. Additional information on these entries is available in the Unified Agenda published on the Internet.

The agenda reflects an assessment of the likelihood that the specified event will occur during the next year; the precise dates for each rulemaking are uncertain and new information, changes of circumstances or of law may alter anticipated timing. In addition, no final determination by staff or the Commission regarding the need for, or the substance of, any rule or regulation should be inferred from this agenda.

Dated: March 16, 2016.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**  
*Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION—PRERULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
294 .....	Rule Review of: Standard for the Flammability (Open Flame) of Mattress Sets ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	3041–AD47

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
295 .....	Recreational Off-Road Vehicles .....	3041–AC78

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
296 .....	Standard for Sling Carriers .....	3041-AD28

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
297 .....	Petition to Amend Test Procedures for Glazing Materials in Architectural Products .....	3041-AD26
298 .....	Third Party Testing Burden Reduction .....	3041-AD46

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION (CPSC)**

Prerule Stage

**294. Rule Review of: Standard for the Flammability (Open Flame) of Mattress Sets (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 1193, Flammable Fabrics Act; 5 U.S.C. 610, Regulatory Flexibility Act

*Abstract:* The Commission published the Standard for the Flammability (Open Flame) of Mattress Sets in March 2006. The Standard sets open flame performance measures on all mattress sets entered into commerce on or after the effective date in July 2007. The purpose of the rule review is to assess the impact of the rule on small entities and to determine whether the rule should be continued without change, or should be amended or rescinded to make the rule more effective or less burdensome while still maintaining safety objectives. CPSC staff solicited comments on the rule through a **Federal Register** Notice. Staff also conducted economic and fire loss data analyses to review the impact and effectiveness of the rule. A staff briefing package is being developed and will be submitted to the Commission in FY 2016.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice for Comment Published in the <b>Federal Register</b> .	04/03/15	80 FR 18218
Comment Period End.	06/02/15	
Staff Sends Briefing Package to Commission.	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Undetermined.

*Agency Contact:* Lisa Scott, Project Manager, Directorate for Laboratory Sciences, Consumer Product Safety Commission, National Product Testing and Evaluation Center, 5 Research

Place, Rockville, MD 20850, *Phone:* 301 987-2064, *Email:* lscott@cpsc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3041-AD47

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION (CPSC)**

Proposed Rule Stage

**295. Recreational Off-Road Vehicles**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 2056; 15 U.S.C. 2058

*Abstract:* The Commission is considering whether recreational off-road vehicles (ROVs) present an unreasonable risk of injury that should be regulated. ROVs are motorized vehicles having four or more low-pressure tires designed for off-road use and intended by the manufacturer primarily for recreational use by one or more persons. The salient characteristics of an ROV include a steering wheel for steering control, foot controls for throttle and braking, bench or bucket seats, a roll-over protective structure, and a maximum speed greater than 30 mph. On October 21, 2009, the Commission voted (4-0-1) to publish an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) in the **Federal Register**. The ANPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on October 28, 2009, and the comment period ended December 28, 2009. The Commission received two letters requesting an extension of the comment period. The Commission extended the comment period until March 15, 2010. Staff conducted testing and evaluation programs to develop performance requirements addressing vehicle stability, vehicle handling, and occupant protection. On October 29, 2014, the Commission voted (3-2) to publish an NPRM proposing standards addressing vehicle stability, vehicle handling, and occupant protection. The NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on November 19, 2014. On January 23, 2015, the Commission published a notice of extension of the comment period for the NPRM,

extending the comment period to April 8, 2015. The Omnibus Appropriations Bill provides that during fiscal year 2016, none of the amounts made available by the Appropriations Bill may be used to finalize or implement the Safety Standard for Recreational Off-Highway Vehicles published by the CPSC in the **Federal Register** on November 19, 2014 (79 FR 68964) (ROV NPRM) until after the National Academy of Sciences completes a study to determine specific information as set forth in the Appropriations Bill. Staff has ceased work on a Final Rule briefing package that would implement the ROV NPRM, but continues to engage in the development of voluntary standards associated with ROVs. In FY 2016, staff will prepare a briefing package assessing the voluntary standards for Commission consideration.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Staff Sends ANPRM Briefing Package to Commission.	10/07/09	
Commission Decision.	10/21/09	
ANPRM .....	10/28/09	74 FR 55495
ANPRM Comment Period Extended.	12/22/09	74 FR 67987
Extended Comment Period End.	03/15/10	
Staff Sends NPRM Briefing Package to Commission.	09/24/14	
Staff Sends Supplemental Information on ROVs to Commission.	10/17/14	
Commission Decision.	10/29/14	
NPRM Published in <b>Federal Register</b> .	11/19/14	79 FR 68964
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	01/23/15	80 FR 3535

Action	Date	FR Cite
Extended Comment Period End.	04/08/15	
Staff Sends Briefing Package Assessing Voluntary Standards to Commission.	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Caroleene Paul, Project Manager, Directorate for Engineering Sciences, Consumer Product Safety Commission, National Product Testing and Evaluation Center, 5 Research Place, Rockville, MD 20850, Phone: 301 987-2225, Email: cpaul@cpsc.gov.  
 RIN: 3041-AC78

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION (CPSC)**

Final Rule Stage

**296. Standard for Sling Carriers**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 110-314, sec 104

*Abstract:* Section 104 of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA) requires the Commission to issue consumer product safety standards for durable infant or toddler products. The Commission is directed to assess the effectiveness of applicable voluntary standards, and in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act, promulgate consumer product safety standards that are substantially the same as the voluntary standard, or more stringent than the voluntary standard if the Commission determines that more stringent standards would further reduce the risk of injury associated with the product. The CPSIA requires that not later than August 14, 2009, the Commission begin rulemaking for at least two categories of durable infant or toddler products and promulgate two such standards every six months thereafter. The Commission proposed a consumer product safety standard for infant sling carriers as part of this series of standards for durable infant and toddler products. On June 13, 2014, staff sent an NPRM briefing package to the Commission. The Commission voted unanimously (3-0) to approve publication of the NPRM in the **Federal Register**. The NPRM was published in the **Federal Register** on July 23, 2014. Following review of the comments, staff will prepare a Final Rule briefing package for the Commission's consideration.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Staff Sends NPRM Briefing Package to the Commission.	06/13/14	
Commission Decision to Publish NPRM.	07/09/14	
NPRM .....	07/23/14	79 FR 42724
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/06/14	
Staff Sends Final Rule Briefing Package to Commission.	09/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Hope Nesteruk, Project Manager, Directorate for Engineering Sciences, Consumer Product Safety Commission, National Product Testing and Evaluation Center, 5 Research Place, Rockville, MD 20850, Phone: 301 987-2579, Email: hnesteruk@cpsc.gov.  
 RIN: 3041-AD28

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION (CPSC)**

Completed Actions

**297. Petition To Amend Test Procedures for Glazing Materials in Architectural Products**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 553; 15 U.S.C. 2056; 15 U.S.C. 2058  
*Abstract:* The Commission received correspondence from William M. Hannay, counsel for the Safety Glazing Certification Council, requesting that the Commission initiate rulemaking to replace the current testing procedures for glazing materials codified at 16 CFR 1201.4 with those contained in ANSI Z97.1, "American National Standard for Safety Glazing Materials Used in Building-Safety Performance Specifications and Methods of Test." The request was docketed as Petition CP 12-3, under the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA). On August 23, 2012, the Commission voted unanimously to approve publication of a notice in the **Federal Register** to solicit public comments on the petition. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on August 30, 2012. The comment period closed on October 29, 2012. CPSC staff reviewed the submitted comments and sent a briefing package to the Commission on April 3, 2013. The Commission voted unanimously on

April 9, 2013, to grant the petition. Staff prepared an NPRM briefing package for Commission consideration. The briefing package went to the Commission on May 6, 2015. The Commission voted to issue the NPRM on May 15, 2015. The NPRM published in the **Federal Register** on May 22, 2015. The comment period closed on July 21, 2015. Staff sent a Final Rule briefing package to the Commission on March 2, 2016. The Commission voted unanimously (5-0) on March 8, 2016, to approve publication in the **Federal Register** of the Final Rule, as drafted, to amend the Commission's regulations at 16 CFR part 1201, by replacing the testing procedures provided at 16 CFR 1201.4, with the voluntary standard, ANSI Z97.1-2015, *American National Standard for Safety Glazing Materials Used in Buildings-Safety Performance Specifications and Methods of Test*.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Petition Docketed	08/17/12	
Notice for Comment Published in <b>Federal Register</b> .	08/30/12	77 FR 52625
Comment Period End.	10/29/12	
Staff Sends Briefing Package to Commission.	04/03/13	
Commission Decision.	04/09/13	
Staff Sends NPRM Briefing Package to Commission.	05/15/15	
NPRM .....	05/22/15	80 FR 29555
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/21/15	
Staff Sends Final Rule Briefing Package to Commission.	03/02/16	
Commission Decision to Approve Publication of Final Rule in the <b>Federal Register</b> .	03/08/16	
Final Rule Published in the <b>Federal Register</b> .	03/23/16	81 FR 15427
Final Rule Effective.	04/22/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brian M. Baker, Project Manager, Directorate for Laboratory Sciences Mechanical, Consumer Product Safety Commission, National Product Testing and Evaluation Center, 5 Research Place,

Rockville, MD 20850, Phone: 301 987-2289, Email: [bbaker@cpsc.gov](mailto:bbaker@cpsc.gov).  
RIN: 3041-AD26

### 298. Third Party Testing Burden Reduction

*Legal Authority:* Sec 3, Pub. L. 110-314, 122 Stat. 3016; 15 U.S.C. 2063(d)(3)(B)

*Abstract:* Section 14(i)(3) of the Consumer Product Safety Act requires the Commission to seek opportunities to reduce the cost of third party testing requirements consistent with assuring compliance with any applicable children's product safety rule. In the FY 2015 Operating Plan, the Commission directed staff to submit a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) briefing package to the Commission addressing items to reduce third party testing burdens. On June 30, 2015, staff sent a briefing package to the Commission for a Direct Final Rule (DFR) and an NPRM for a determination regarding heavy elements limits for unfinished and untreated wood. On July 10, 2015, the Commission voted to publish the DFR and NPRM in the **Federal Register**. On July 17, 2015, the DFR and NPRM were published in the **Federal Register** with the comment period closing on August 17, 2015. On August 26, 2015, staff sent a draft **Federal Register** Notice withdrawing the DFR because the Commission received adverse comments regarding the DFR.

On September 1, 2015, the Commission voted to publish a notice of withdrawal of the DFR in the **Federal Register**. The notice of withdrawal published in the **Federal Register** on September 10, 2015. On December 8, 2015, staff sent a briefing package for a Final Rule for a determination regarding heavy elements for unfinished and untreated wood. On December 8, 2015, the Commission voted to publish the final rule in the **Federal Register**. On December 17, 2015, the Final Rule published in the **Federal Register** with an effective date of January 19, 2016.

On September 30, 2015, staff sent a briefing package to the Commission for a DFR and NPRM to clarify when component part testing can be used and which textile products have been determined not to exceed the allowable lead content limits. On October 8, 2015, the Commission voted to publish the DFR and the NPRM in the **Federal Register**. On October 14, 2015, the DFR and NPRM published in the **Federal Register**. On November 19, 2015, the Commission published a notice in the **Federal Register** delaying the effective date and extending the comment period for the DFR. On January 4, 2016, the Commission published a Notice in the **Federal Register** delaying the effective date and reopening the comment period for the DFR. The DFR became final with an effective date of February 12, 2016.

#### *Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Commission Votes to Publish DFR and NPRM in <b>Federal Register</b> .	07/10/15	
NPRM Published in the <b>Federal Register</b> .	07/17/15	80 FR 42438
DFR Published in the <b>Federal Register</b> .	07/17/15	80 FR 42376
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/17/15	
DFR Comment Period End.	08/17/15	
Staff Sends Notice of Withdrawal to Commission.	08/26/15	
Commission Votes to Publish Notice of Withdrawal of DFR.	09/01/15	
Notice of Withdrawal of DFR Published in <b>Federal Register</b> .	09/10/15	80 FR 54417

Action	Date	FR Cite
Staff Sends DFR/NPRM Briefing Package to Commission.	09/30/15	
Commission Votes to Publish DFR/NPRM in <b>Federal Register</b> .	10/08/15	
DFR and NPRM Published in the <b>Federal Register</b> .	10/24/15	80 FR 61729, 80 FR 61773
Notice Delaying Effective Date.	11/19/15	
Staff Sends Final Rule Briefing Package to Commission.	12/08/15	
Commission Votes to Publish Final Rule in <b>Federal Register</b> .	12/08/15	
Final Rule Published in the <b>Federal Register</b> .	12/17/15	80 FR 78651
Notice Delaying Effective Date and Reopening Comment Period.	01/04/16	
Final Rule Effective.	02/12/16	81 FR 2

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Randy Butturini, Project Manager, Office of Hazard Identification and Reduction, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814, Phone: 301 504-7562, Email: [rbutturini@cpsc.gov](mailto:rbutturini@cpsc.gov).

RIN: 3041-AD46

[FR Doc. 2016-12932 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-P





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXV

Federal Communications Commission

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**47 CFR Ch. I**

**Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions—Spring 2016**

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** Twice a year, in spring and fall, the Commission publishes in the **Federal Register** a list in the Unified Agenda of those major items and other significant proceedings under development or review that pertain to the Regulatory Flexibility Act. (U.S.C. 602). The Unified Agenda also provides the Code of Federal Regulations citations and legal authorities that govern these proceedings. The complete Unified Agenda will be published on the Internet in a searchable format at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Maura McGowan, Telecommunications Specialist, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, (202) 418-0990.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Unified Agenda of Major and Other Significant Proceedings**

The Commission encourages public participation in its rulemaking process. To help keep the public informed of significant rulemaking proceedings, the Commission has prepared a list of important proceedings now in progress. The General Services Administration publishes the Unified Agenda in the **Federal Register** in the spring and fall of each year.

The following terms may be helpful in understanding the status of the proceedings included in this report:

**Docket Number**—assigned to a proceeding if the Commission has issued either a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking or a Notice of Inquiry concerning the matter under consideration. The Commission has used docket numbers since January 1, 1978. Docket numbers consist of the last two digits of the calendar year in which the docket was established plus a sequential number that begins at 1 with the first docket initiated during a calendar year (e.g., Docket No. 96-1 or Docket No. 99-1). The abbreviation for the responsible bureau usually precedes the docket number, as in “MB Docket No. 96-222,” which indicates that the responsible bureau is the Media Bureau. A docket number consisting of only five digits (e.g., Docket No. 29622) indicates that the docket was established before January 1, 1978.

**Notice of Inquiry (NOI)**—issued by the Commission when it is seeking information on a broad subject or trying to generate ideas on a given topic. A comment period is specified during which all interested parties may submit comments.

**Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM)**—issued by the Commission when it is proposing a specific change to Commission rules and regulations. Before any changes are actually made, interested parties may submit written comments on the proposed revisions.

**Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM)**—issued by the Commission when additional comment in the proceeding is sought.

**Memorandum Opinion and Order (MO&O)**—issued by the Commission to deny a petition for rulemaking, conclude an inquiry, modify a decision, or address a petition for reconsideration of a decision.

**Rulemaking (RM) Number**—assigned to a proceeding after the appropriate bureau or office has reviewed a petition for rulemaking, but before the Commission has taken action on the petition.

**Report and Order (R&O)**—issued by the Commission to state a new or amended rule or state that the Commission rules and regulations will not be revised.

**Marlene H. Dortch,**  
*Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.*

**CONSUMER AND GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS BUREAU—LONG-TERM ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
299 .....	Implementation of the Telecom Act of 1996; Access to Telecommunications Service, Telecommunications Equipment, and Customer Premises Equipment by Persons With Disabilities (WT Docket No. 96-198).	3060-AG58
300 .....	Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) of 1991 (CG Docket No. 02-278).	3060-AI14
301 .....	Rules and Regulations Implementing Section 225 of the Communications Act (Telecommunications Relay Service) (CG Docket No. 03-123).	3060-AI15
302 .....	Consumer Information, Disclosure, and Truth in Billing and Billing Format .....	3060-AI61
303 .....	Closed-Captioning of Video Programming; CG Docket Nos. 05-231 and 06-181 ( <b>Section 610 Review</b> ) .....	3060-AI72
304 .....	Accessibility of Programming Providing Emergency Information; MB Docket No. 12-107 .....	3060-AI75
305 .....	Empowering Consumers to Avoid Bill Shock (Docket No. 10-207) .....	3060-AJ51
306 .....	Contributions to the Telecommunications Relay Services Fund (CG Docket No. 11-47) .....	3060-AJ63
307 .....	Empowering Consumers to Prevent and Detect Billing for Unauthorized Charges (“Cramming”) .....	3060-AJ72
308 .....	Implementation of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012/Establishment of a Public Safety Answering Point Do-Not-Call Registry.	3060-AJ84
309 .....	Implementation of Sections 716 and 717 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Enacted by the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (CG Docket No. 10-213).	3060-AK00
310 .....	Misuse of Internet Protocol (IP) Captioned Telephone Service; Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services; CG Docket No. 13-24.	3060-AK01

**OFFICE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY—LONG-TERM ACTIONS**

Sequence No	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
311 .....	New Advanced Wireless Services (ET Docket No. 00-258) .....	3060-AH65
312 .....	Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields (ET Docket No. 10-97) .....	3060-AI17

## OFFICE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY—LONG-TERM ACTIONS—Continued

Sequence No	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
313 .....	Unlicensed Operation in the TV Broadcast Bands (ET Docket No. 04–186) .....	3060–AI52
314 .....	Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service (ET Docket No. 10–142) .....	3060–AJ46
315 .....	Radio Experimentation and Market Trials Under Part 5 of the Commission’s Rules and Streamlining Other Related Rules (ET Docket No. 10–236).	3060–AJ62
316 .....	Operation of Radar Systems in the 76–77 GHz Band (ET Docket No. 11–90) .....	3060–AJ68
317 .....	WRC–07 Implementation (ET Docket No. 12–338) .....	3060–AJ93
318 .....	Federal Earth Stations-Non Federal Fixed Satellite Service Space Stations; Spectrum for Non-Federal Space Launch Operations; ET Docket No. 13–115.	3060–AK09
319 .....	Authorization of Radiofrequency Equipment; ET Docket No. 13–44 .....	3060–AK10
320 .....	Operation of Radar Systems in the 76–77 GHz Band (ET Docket No. 15–26) .....	3060–AK29
321 .....	Spectrum Access for Wireless Microphone Operations (GN Docket Nos. 14–166 and 12–268) .....	3060–AK30

## INTERNATIONAL BUREAU—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
322 .....	Space Station Licensing Reform (IB Docket No. 02–34) .....	3060–AH98
323 .....	International Settlements Policy Reform (IB Docket No. 11–80) .....	3060–AJ77
324 .....	Comprehensive Review of Licensing and Operating Rules for Satellite Services (IB Docket No. 12–267) .....	3060–AJ98
325 .....	Expanding Broadband and Innovation through Air-Ground Mobile Broadband Secondary Service for Passengers Aboard Aircraft in the 14.0–14.5 GHz Band; GN Docket No. 13–114.	3060–AK02
326 .....	Terrestrial Use of the 2473–2495 MHz Band for Low-Power Mobile Broadband Networks; Amendments to Rules of Mobile Satellite Service System; IB Docket No. 13–213.	3060–AK16
327 .....	Review of Foreign Ownership Policies for Broadcast, Common Carrier and Aeronautical Radio Licensees under Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (Docket No. 15–236).	3060–AK47

## INTERNATIONAL BUREAU—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
328 .....	Reform of Rules and Policies on Foreign Carrier Entry Into the U.S. Telecommunications Market (IB Docket 12–299).	3060–AJ97

## MEDIA BUREAU—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
329 .....	Broadcast Ownership Rules .....	3060–AH97
330 .....	Establishment of Rules for Digital Low-Power Television, Television Translator, and Television Booster Stations (MB Docket No. 03–185).	3060–AI38
331 .....	Promoting Diversification of Ownership in the Broadcast Services (MB Docket No. 07–294) .....	3060–AJ27
332 .....	Amendment of the Commission’s Rules Related to Retransmission Consent (MB Docket No. 10–71) .....	3060–AJ55
333 .....	Closed Captioning of Internet Protocol-Delivered Video Programming: Implementation of the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (MB Docket No. 11–154).	3060–AJ67
334 .....	Accessibility of User Interfaces and Video Programming Guides and Menus (MB Docket No. 12–108) .....	3060–AK11
335 .....	Network Non-Duplication and Syndicated Exclusivity Rule (MB Docket No. 14–29) .....	3060–AK18
336 .....	Expansion of Online Public File Obligations To Cable and Satellite TV Operators and Broadcast and Satellite Radio Licensees; MB Docket No. 14–127.	3060–AK23
337 .....	Channel Sharing by Full Power and Class A Stations Outside of the Incentive Auction Context; (MB Docket No. 15–137).	3060–AK42
338 .....	Preserving Vacant Channels in the UHF Television Band for Unlicensed Use; (MB Docket No. 15–68) .....	3060–AK43

## OFFICE OF MANAGING DIRECTOR—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
339 .....	Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees .....	3060–AI79
340 .....	Amendment of Part 1 of the Commission’s Rules, Concerning Practice and Procedure, Amendment of CORES Registration System; MD Docket No. 10–234.	3060–AJ54

## PUBLIC SAFETY AND HOMELAND SECURITY BUREAU—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
341	Revision of the Rules To Ensure Compatibility With Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems (CC Docket No. 94–102; PS Docket No. 07–114).	3060–AG34
342	Enhanced 911 Services for Wireline and Multi-Line Telephone Systems; PS Docket Nos. 10–255 and 07–114	3060–AG60
343	In the Matter of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act	3060–AG74
344	Implementation of 911 Act (CC Docket No. 92–105, WT Docket No. 00–110)	3060–AH90
345	Commission Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications (PS Docket No. 11–82)	3060–AI22
346	E911 Requirements for IP-Enabled Service Providers (Dockets Nos. GN 11–117, PS 07–114, WC 05–196, WC 04–36).	3060–AI62
347	Wireless E911 Location Accuracy Requirements; PS Docket No. 07–114	3060–AJ52
348	700 MHz Public Safety Broadband—First Net (PS Docket Nos. 12–94 & 06–229 and WT 06–150)	3060–AJ99
349	Proposed Amendments to Service Rules Governing Public Safety Narrowband Operations in the 769–775 and 799–805 MHz Bands.	3060–AK19
350	Improving Outage Reporting for Submarine Cables and Enhancing Submarine Cable Outage Data; GN Docket No. 15–206.	3060–AK39
351	Amendments to Part 4 of the Commission’s Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications; PS Docket No. 15–80.	3060–AK40
352	New Part 4 of the Commission’s Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications; ET Docket No. 04–35	3060–AK41

## WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
353	Reexamination of Roaming Obligations of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers	3060–AH83
354	Review of Part 87 of the Commission’s Rules Concerning Aviation (WT Docket No. 01–289)	3060–AI35
355	Implementation of the Commercial Spectrum Enhancement Act (CSEA) and Modernization of the Commission’s Competitive Bidding Rules and Procedures (WT Docket No. 05–211).	3060–AI88
356	Facilitating the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational, and Other Advanced Services in the 2150–2162 and 2500–2690 MHz Bands.	3060–AJ12
357	Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 2155–2175 MHz Band; WT Docket No. 13–185	3060–AJ19
358	Amendment of the Commission’s Rules to Improve Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, and to Consolidate the 800 MHz and 900 MHz Business and Industrial/Land Transportation Pool Channels.	3060–AJ22
359	Amendment of Part 101 to Accommodate 30 MHz Channels in the 6525–6875 MHz Band and Provide Conditional Authorization on Channels in the 21.8–22.0 and 23.0–23.2 GHz Band (WT Docket No. 04–114).	3060–AJ28
360	Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission’s Rules	3060–AJ37
361	Amendment of Part 101 of the Commission’s Rules for Microwave Use and Broadcast Auxiliary Service Flexibility.	3060–AJ47
362	Universal Service Reform Mobility Fund (WT Docket No. 10–208)	3060–AJ58
363	Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service Bands at 1525–1559 MHz and 1626.5–1660.5 MHz, 1610–1626.5 MHz and 2483.5–2500 MHz, and 2000–2020 MHz and 2180–2200 MHz.	3060–AJ59
364	Improving Spectrum Efficiency Through Flexible Channel Spacing and Bandwidth Utilization for Economic Area-Based 800 MHz Specialized Mobile Radio Licensees (WT Docket Nos. 12–64 and 11–110).	3060–AJ71
365	Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 2000–2020 MHz and 2180–2200 MHz Bands	3060–AJ73
366	Expanding the Economic and Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum Through Incentive Auctions; Docket No. 12–268.	3060–AJ82
367	Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 Related to the 1915–1920 MHz and 1995–2000 MHz Bands (WT Docket No. 12–357).	3060–AJ86
368	Amendment of Parts 1, 2, 22, 24, 27, 90 and 95 of the Commission’s Rules to Improve Wireless Coverage Through the Use of Signal Boosters (WT Docket No. 10–4).	3060–AJ87
369	Amendment of the Commission’s Rules Governing Certain Aviation Ground Station Equipment (Squitter) (WT Docket Nos. 10–61 and 09–42).	3060–AJ88
370	Amendment of the Commission’s Rules Concerning Commercial Radio Operators (WT Docket No. 10–177)	3060–AJ91
371	Radiolocation Operations in the 78–81 GHz Band; WT Docket No. 11–202	3060–AK04
372	Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission’s Rules to Permit Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA) Technology; WT Docket No. 11–6.	3060–AK05
373	Promoting Technological Solutions to Combat Wireless Contraband Device Use in Correctional Facilities	3060–AK06
374	Enabling Small Cell Use in the 3.5 GHz band	3060–AK12
375	800 MHz Cellular Telecommunications Licensing Reform; Docket No. 12–40	3060–AK13
376	Updating Competitive Bidding Rules	3060–AK28

## WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
377	Rules Authorizing the Operation of Low Power Auxiliary Stations in the 698–806 MHz Band (WT Docket No. 08–166) Public Interest Spectrum Coalition, Petition for Rulemaking Regarding Low Power Auxiliary.	3060–AJ21
378	In the Matter of Service Rules for the 698 to 746, 747 to 762, and 777 to 792 MHz Bands	3060–AJ35

WIRELESS TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUREAU—COMPLETED ACTIONS—Continued

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
379 .....	2004 and 2006 Biennial Regulatory Reviews—Streamlining and Other Revisions of the Commission's Rules Governing Construction, Marking, and Lighting of Antenna Structures.	3060-AJ50
380 .....	Promoting Interoperability in the 700 MHz Commercial Spectrum; Requests for Waiver and Extension of Lower 700 MHz Band Interim Construction Benchmark Deadlines (WT Docket Nos. 12-69 & 12-332).	3060-AJ78

WIRELINE COMPETITION BUREAU—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
381 .....	Implementation of the Universal Service Portions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act .....	3060-AF85
382 .....	2000 Biennial Regulatory Review—Telecommunications Service Quality Reporting Requirements .....	3060-AH72
383 .....	National Exchange Carrier Association Petition .....	3060-AI47
384 .....	IP-Enabled Services; WC Docket No. 04-36 .....	3060-AI48
385 .....	Jurisdictional Separations .....	3060-AJ06
386 .....	Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, Infrastructure and Operating Data Gathering (WC Docket Nos. 08-190, 07-139, 07-204, 07-273, 07-21).	3060-AJ14
387 .....	Development of Nationwide Broadband Data To Evaluate Reasonable and Timely Deployment of Advanced Services to All Americans.	3060-AJ15
388 .....	Local Number Portability Porting Interval and Validation Requirements (WC Docket No. 07-244) .....	3060-AJ32
389 .....	Implementation of Section 224 of the Act; A National Broadband Plan for Our Future (WC Docket No. 07-245, GN Docket No. 09-51).	3060-AJ64
390 .....	Rural Call Completion; WC Docket No. 13-39 .....	3060-AJ89
391 .....	Rates for Inmate Calling Services; WC Docket No. 12-375 .....	3060-AK08
392 .....	Comprehensive Review of the Part 32 Uniform System of Accounts (WC Docket No. 14-130) .....	3060-AK20
393 .....	Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet; (WC Docket No. 14-28) .....	3060-AK21
394 .....	Emerging Wireline Networks and Services; GN Docket No 13-5, WC Docket No. 05-25 .....	3060-AK32
395 .....	Modernizing Common Carrier Rules, WC Docket No 15-33 .....	3060-AK33
396 .....	Numbering Policies for Modern Communications, WC Docket No. 13-97 .....	3060-AK36

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)

Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau

Long-Term Actions

**299. Implementation of the Telecom Act of 1996; Access to Telecommunications Service, Telecommunications Equipment, and Customer Premises Equipment by Persons With Disabilities (WT Docket No. 96-198)**

Legal Authority: 47 U.S.C. 255; 47 U.S.C. 251(a)(2)

Abstract: These proceedings implement the provisions of sections 255 and 251(a)(2) of the Communications Act and related sections of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 regarding the accessibility of telecommunications equipment and services to persons with disabilities.

Timetable:

Action	Date	FR Cite
R&O .....	08/14/96	61 FR 42181
NOI .....	09/26/96	61 FR 50465
NPRM .....	05/22/98	63 FR 28456
R&O .....	11/19/99	64 FR 63235
Further NOI .....	11/19/99	64 FR 63277
Public Notice .....	01/07/02	67 FR 678
R&O .....	08/06/07	72 FR 43546
Petition for Waiver .....	11/01/07	72 FR 61813
Public Notice .....	11/01/07	72 FR 61882

Action	Date	FR Cite
Final Rule .....	04/21/08	73 FR 21251
Public Notice .....	08/01/08	73 FR 45008
Extension of Waiver.	05/15/08	73 FR 28057
Extension of Waiver.	05/06/09	74 FR 20892
Public Notice .....	05/07/09	74 FR 21364
Extension of Waiver.	07/29/09	74 FR 37624
NPRM .....	03/14/11	76 FR 13800
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	04/12/11	76 FR 20297
FNPRM .....	12/30/11	76 FR 82240
Comment Period End.	03/14/12	
R&O .....	12/30/11	76 FR 82354
Announcement of Effective Date.	04/25/12	77 FR 24632
2nd R&O .....	05/22/13	78 FR 30226
FNPRM .....	12/20/13	78 FR 77074
FNPRM Comment Period End.	02/18/14	
Next Action Undetermined.		

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Cheryl J. King, Deputy Chief, Disability Rights Office, Federal Communications Commission, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-2284, TDD Phone: 202 418-0416, Fax:

202 418-0037, Email: cheryl.king@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060-AG58

**300. Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) of 1991 (CG Docket No. 02-278)**

Legal Authority: 47 U.S.C. 227

Abstract: On July 3, 2003, the Commission released a Report and Order establishing, along with the FTC, a national do-not-call registry. The Commission's Report and Order also adopted rules on the use of predictive dialers, the transmission of caller ID information by telemarketers, and the sending of unsolicited fax advertisements. On September 21, 2004, the Commission released an Order amending existing safe harbor rules for telemarketers subject to the do-not-call registry to require such telemarketers to access the do-not-call list every 31 days, rather than every three months. On April 5, 2006, the Commission adopted a Report and Order and Third Order on Reconsideration amending its facsimile advertising rules to implement the Junk Fax Protection Act of 2005. On October 14, 2008, the Commission released an Order on Reconsideration addressing certain issues raised in petitions for reconsideration and/or clarification of the Report and Order and Third Order

on Reconsideration. On January 4, 2008, the Commission released a Declaratory Ruling, clarifying that autodialed and prerecorded message calls to wireless numbers that are provided by the called party to a creditor in connection with an existing debt are permissible as calls made with the “prior express consent” of the called party. Following a December 4, 2007, NPRM, on June 17, 2008, the Commission released a Report and Order amending its rules to require sellers and/or telemarketers to honor registrations with the National Do-Not-Call Registry indefinitely, unless the registration is cancelled by the consumer or the number is removed by the database administrator. Following a January 22, 2010, NPRM, the Commission released a Report and Order (on February 15, 2012) requiring telemarketers to obtain prior express written consent, including by electronic means, before making an autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing call to a wireless number or before making a prerecorded telemarketing call to a residential line; eliminating the “established business relationship” exemption to the consent requirement for prerecorded telemarketing calls to residential lines; requiring telemarketers to provide an automated, interactive “opt-out” mechanism during autodialed or prerecorded telemarketing calls to wireless numbers and during prerecorded telemarketing calls to residential lines; and requiring that the abandoned call rate for telemarketing calls be calculated on a “per-campaign” basis. On November 29, 2012, the Commission released a Declaratory Ruling clarifying that sending a one-time text message confirming a consumer’s request that no further text messages be sent does not violate the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA) or the Commission’s rules as long as the confirmation text only confirms receipt of the consumer’s opt-out request, and does not contain marketing, solicitations, or an attempt to convince the consumer to reconsider his or her opt-out decision. The ruling applies only when the sender of the text messages has obtained prior express consent, as required by the TCPA and Commission rules, from the consumer to be sent text messages using an automatic telephone dialing system. On May 9, 2013, the Commission released a declaratory ruling clarifying that while a seller does not generally “initiate” calls made through a third-party telemarketer, within the meaning of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA), it nonetheless may be held vicariously liable under Federal

common law principles of agency for violations of either section 227(b) or section 227(c) that are committed by third-party telemarketers.

On July 10, 2015, the commission released a Declaratory Ruling and Order resolving 21 separate requests for clarification or other action regarding the TCPA. It clarified, among other things, that: nothing in the Communications Act of the Commission’s rules prohibits carriers or other service providers from implementing consumer-initiated call-blocking technologies; equipment meets the TCPA’s definition of “autodialer” if it has the “capacity” to store or produce random sequential numbers, and to dial them, even if it is not presently used for that purpose; an “app” provider that plays a minimal role in making a call, such as just proving the app itself, is not the maker of the call for TCPA purposes; consumers who have previously consented to robocalls may revoke that consent at any time and through any reasonable means; the TCPA requires the consent of the party called—the subscriber to a phone number or the customary user of the number—not the intended recipient of the call; and callers who make calls without knowledge or reassignment of a wireless phone number and with a reasonable basis to believe that they have valid consent to make the call to the wireless number should be able to initiate one call after reassignment as an additional opportunity to gain actual or constructive knowledge of the reassignment and cease future calls to the new subscriber. The Commission also exempted certain financial and healthcare-related calls, when free to the consumer, from the TCPA’s consumer-consent requirement.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/08/02	67 FR 62667
FNPRM .....	04/03/03	68 FR 16250
Order .....	07/25/03	68 FR 44144
Order Effective .....	08/25/03	
Order on Reconsideration.	08/25/03	68 FR 50978
Order .....	10/14/03	68 FR 59130
FNPRM .....	03/31/04	69 FR 16873
Order .....	10/08/04	69 FR 60311
Order .....	10/28/04	69 FR 62816
Order on Reconsideration.	04/13/05	70 FR 19330
Order .....	06/30/05	70 FR 37705
NPRM .....	12/19/05	70 FR 75102
Public Notice .....	04/26/06	71 FR 24634
Order .....	05/03/06	71 FR 25967
NPRM .....	12/14/07	72 FR 71099
Declaratory Ruling	02/01/08	73 FR 6041
R&O .....	07/14/08	73 FR 40183
Order on Reconsideration.	10/30/08	73 FR 64556

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/22/10	75 FR 13471
R&O .....	06/11/12	77 FR 34233
Public Notice .....	06/30/10	75 FR 34244
Public Notice (Reconsideration Petitions Filed).	10/03/12	77 FR 60343
Announcement of Effective Date.	10/16/12	77 FR 63240
Opposition End Date.	10/18/12	
Rule Corrections	11/08/12	77 FR 66935
Declaratory Ruling (Release Date).	11/29/12	
Declaratory Ruling and Order.	10/09/15	80 FR 61129
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kristi Lemoine, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-2467, Email: kristi.lemoine@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060-A114

**301. Rules and Regulations Implementing Section 225 of the Communications Act (Telecommunications Relay Service) (CG Docket No. 03-123)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 225

*Abstract:* This proceeding established a new docket flowing from the previous telecommunications relay service (TRS) history, CC Docket No. 98-67. This proceeding continues the Commission’s inquiry into improving the quality of TRS and furthering the goal of functional equivalency, consistent with Congress’ mandate that TRS regulations encourage the use of existing technology and not discourage or impair the development of new technology. In this docket, the Commission explores ways to improve emergency preparedness for TRS facilities and services, new TRS technologies, public access to information and outreach, and issues related to payments from the Interstate TRS Fund.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/25/03	68 FR 50993
R&O, Order on Reconsideration.	09/01/04	69 FR 53346
FNPRM .....	09/01/04	69 FR 53382
Public Notice .....	02/17/05	70 FR 8034
Declaratory Ruling/Interpretation.	02/25/05	70 FR 9239
Public Notice .....	03/07/05	70 FR 10930
Order .....	03/23/05	70 FR 14568

Action	Date	FR Cite	Action	Date	FR Cite	Action	Date	FR Cite
Public Notice/Announcement of Date.	04/06/05	70 FR 17334	Final Rule (Order)	09/27/11	76 FR 59551	Public Notice .....	01/20/16	81 FR 3085
Order .....	07/01/05	70 FR 38134	Final Rule; Announcement of Effective Date.	11/22/11	76 FR 72124	Public Notice Comment Period End.	02/16/16	
Order on Reconsideration.	08/31/05	70 FR 51643	Proposed Rule (Public Notice).	02/28/12	77 FR 11997	Next Action Undetermined.		
R&O .....	08/31/05	70 FR 51649	Proposed Rule (FNPRM).	02/01/12	77 FR 4948			
Order .....	09/14/05	70 FR 54294	First R&O .....	07/25/12	77 FR 43538	<i>Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.</i>		
Order .....	09/14/05	70 FR 54298	Public Notice .....	10/29/12	77 FR 65526	<i>Agency Contact: Karen Peltz Strauss, Deputy Chief, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-2388, Email: karen.strauss@fcc.gov.</i>		
Public Notice .....	10/12/05	70 FR 59346	Order on Reconsideration.	12/26/12	77 FR 75894	<i>RIN: 3060-A115</i>		
R&O/Order on Reconsideration.	12/23/05	70 FR 76208	Order .....	02/05/13	78 FR 8030	<b>302. Consumer Information, Disclosure, and Truth in Billing and Billing Format</b>		
Order .....	12/28/05	70 FR 76712	Order (Interim Rule).	02/05/13	78 FR 8032	<i>Legal Authority: 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 258</i>		
Order .....	12/29/05	70 FR 77052	NPRM .....	02/05/13	78 FR 8090	<i>Abstract: In 1999, the Commission adopted truth-in-billing rules to address concerns that there is consumer confusion relating to billing for telecommunications services. On March 18, 2005, the Commission released an Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) to further facilitate the ability of telephone consumers to make informed choices among competitive service offerings. On August 28, 2009, the Commission released a Notice of Inquiry that asks questions about information available to consumers at all stages of the purchasing process for all communications services, including: (1) Choosing a provider; (2) choosing a service plan; (3) managing use of the service plan; and (4) deciding whether and when to switch an existing provider or plan. On October 14, 2010, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) proposing rules that would require mobile service providers to provide usage alerts and information that will assist consumers in avoiding unexpected charges on their bills. On July 12, 2011, the Commission released an NPRM proposing rules that would assist consumers in detecting and preventing the placement of unauthorized charges on their telephone bills, an unlawful and fraudulent practice, commonly referred to as "cramming." On April 27, 2012, the Commission adopted rules to address "cramming" on wireline telephone bills and released an FNPRM seeking comment on additional measures to protect wireline and wireless consumers from unauthorized charges.</i>		
NPRM .....	02/01/06	71 FR 5221	Announcement of Effective Date.	03/07/13	78 FR 14701	<i>Timetable:</i>		
Declaratory Ruling/Clarification.	05/31/06	71 FR 30818	NPRM Comment Period End.	03/13/13				
FNPRM .....	05/31/06	71 FR 30848	FNPRM .....	07/05/13	78 FR 40407			
FNPRM .....	06/01/06	71 FR 31131	FNPRM Comment Period End.	09/18/13				
Declaratory Ruling/Dismissal of Petition.	06/21/06	71 FR 35553	R&O .....	07/05/13	78 FR 40582			
Clarification .....	06/28/06	71 FR 36690	R&O .....	08/15/13	78 FR 49693			
Declaratory Ruling on Reconsideration.	07/06/06	71 FR 38268	FNPRM .....	08/15/13	78 FR 49717			
Order on Reconsideration.	08/16/06	71 FR 47141	FNPRM Comment Period End.	09/30/13				
MO&O .....	08/16/06	71 FR 47145	R&O .....	08/30/13	78 FR 53684			
Clarification .....	08/23/06	71 FR 49380	FNPRM .....	09/03/13	78 FR 54201			
FNPRM .....	09/13/06	71 FR 54009	NPRM .....	10/23/13	78 FR 63152			
Final Rule; Clarification.	02/14/07	72 FR 6960	FNPRM Comment Period End.	11/18/13				
Order .....	03/14/07	72 FR 11789	Petition for Reconsideration; Request for Comment.	12/16/13	78 FR 76096			
R&O .....	08/06/07	72 FR 43546	Petition for Reconsideration; Request for Comment.	12/16/13	78 FR 76097			
Public Notice .....	08/16/07	72 FR 46060	Request for Clarification; Request for Comment; Correction.	12/30/13	78 FR 79362			
Order .....	11/01/07	72 FR 61813	Petition for Reconsideration	01/10/14				
Public Notice .....	01/04/08	73 FR 863	Request for Clarification; Request for Comment.	01/21/14				
R&O/Declaratory Ruling.	01/17/08	73 FR 3197	NPRM Comment Period End.	07/11/14	79 FR 40003			
Order .....	02/19/08	73 FR 9031	Announcement of Effective Date.	08/28/14	79 FR 51446			
Order .....	04/21/08	73 FR 21347	Announcement of Effective Date.	08/28/14	79 FR 51450			
R&O .....	04/21/08	73 FR 21252	Correction—Announcement of Effective Date.	08/28/14	79 FR 51450			
Order .....	04/23/08	73 FR 21843	Technical Amendments.	09/09/14	79 FR 53303			
Public Notice .....	04/30/08	73 FR 23361	Public Notice .....	09/15/14	79 FR 54979			
Order .....	05/15/08	73 FR 28057	R&O and Order ...	10/21/14	79 FR 62875			
Declaratory Ruling	07/08/08	73 FR 38928	FNPRM .....	10/21/14	79 FR 62935			
FNPRM .....	07/18/08	73 FR 41307	FNPRM Comment Period End.	12/22/14				
R&O .....	07/18/08	73 FR 41286	Final Action (Announcement of Effective Date).	10/30/14	79 FR 64515			
Public Notice .....	08/01/08	73 FR 45006	Final Rule Effective.	10/30/14				
Public Notice .....	08/05/08	73 FR 45354	FNPRM .....	11/08/15	80 FR 72029			
Public Notice .....	10/10/08	73 FR 60172	FNPRM Comment Period End.	01/01/16				
Order .....	10/23/08	73 FR 63078						
2nd R&O and Order on Reconsideration.	12/30/08	73 FR 79683						
Order .....	05/06/09	74 FR 20892						
Public Notice .....	05/07/09	74 FR 21364						
NPRM .....	05/21/09	74 FR 23815						
Public Notice .....	05/21/09	74 FR 23859						
Public Notice .....	06/12/09	74 FR 28046						
Order .....	07/29/09	74 FR 37624						
Public Notice .....	08/07/09	74 FR 39699						
Order .....	09/18/09	74 FR 47894						
Order .....	10/26/09	74 FR 54913						
Public Notice .....	05/12/10	75 FR 26701						
Order Denying Stay Motion (Release Date).	07/09/10							
Order .....	08/13/10	75 FR 49491						
Order .....	09/03/10	75 FR 54040						
NPRM .....	11/02/10	75 FR 67333						
NPRM .....	05/02/11	76 FR 24442						
Order .....	07/25/11	76 FR 44326						

Action	Date	FR Cite
FNPRM .....	05/25/05	70 FR 30044
R&O .....	05/25/05	70 FR 29979
NOI .....	08/28/09	
Public Notice .....	05/20/10	75 FR 28249
Public Notice .....	06/11/10	75 FR 33303
NPRM .....	11/26/10	75 FR 72773
NPRM .....	08/23/11	76 FR 52625
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/21/11	
Order (Reply Comment Period Extended).	11/30/11	76 FR 74017
Reply Comment Period End.	12/05/11	
R&O .....	05/24/12	77 FR 30915
FNPRM .....	05/24/12	77 FR 30972
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/09/12	
Order (Comment Period Extended).	07/17/12	77 FR 41955
Comment Period End.	07/20/12	
Announcement of Effective Dates.	10/26/12	77 FR 65230
Correction of Final Rule.	11/30/12	77 FR 71353
Correction of Final Rule.	11/30/12	77 FR 71354
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Richard D Smith, Special Counsel, Consumer Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 717 338-2797, *Fax:* 717 338-2574, *Email:* richard.smith@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AI61

**303. Closed-Captioning of Video Programming; CG Docket Nos. 05-231 and 06-181 (Section 610 Review)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 613  
*Abstract:* The Commission's closed-captioning rules are designed to make video programming more accessible to deaf and hard-of-hearing Americans. This proceeding resolves some issues regarding the Commission's closed-captioning rules that were raised for comment in 2005, and also seeks comment on how a certain exemption from the closed-captioning rules should be applied to digital multicast broadcast channels.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/03/97	62 FR 4959
R&O .....	09/16/97	62 FR 48487
Order on Reconsideration.	10/20/98	63 FR 55959
NPRM .....	09/26/05	70 FR 56150
Order and Declaratory Ruling.	01/13/09	74 FR 1594

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/13/09	74 FR 1654
Final Rule Correction.	09/11/09	74 FR 46703
Final Rule (Announcement of Effective Date).	02/19/10	75 FR 7370
Order .....	02/19/10	75 FR 7368
Order Suspending Effective Date.	02/19/10	75 FR 7369
Waiver Order .....	10/04/10	75 FR 61101
Public Notice .....	11/17/10	75 FR 70168
Interim Final Rule (Order).	11/01/11	76 FR 67376
Final Rule (MO&O).	11/01/11	76 FR 67377
NPRM .....	11/01/11	76 FR 67397
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/16/11	
Public Notice .....	05/04/12	77 FR 26550
Public Notice .....	12/15/12	77 FR 72348
Final Rule Effective.	03/16/15	
FNPRM .....	03/27/14	79 FR 17094
R&O .....	03/31/14	79 FR 17911
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/25/14	
Final Action (Announcement of Effective Date).	12/29/14	79 FR 77916
2nd FNPRM Comment Period End.	12/31/14	79 FR 78768
1/30/15		
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Eliot Greenwald, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2235, *Email:* eliot.greenwald@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AI72

**304. Accessibility of Programming Providing Emergency Information; MB Docket No. 12-107**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 613  
*Abstract:* In this proceeding, the Commission adopted rules detailing how video programming distributors must make emergency information accessible to persons with hearing and visual disabilities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
FNPRM .....	01/21/98	63 FR 3070
NPRM .....	12/01/99	64 FR 67236
NPRM Correction	12/22/99	64 FR 71712
Second R&O .....	05/09/00	65 FR 26757
R&O .....	09/11/00	65 FR 54805
Final Rule; Correction.	09/20/00	65 FR 5680
NPRM .....	11/28/12	77 FR 70970
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	12/20/12	77 FR 75404

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period Extension End.	01/07/13	
R&O .....	05/24/13	78 FR 31770
FNPRM .....	05/24/13	78 FR 31800
FNPRM .....	12/20/13	78 FR 77074
FNPRM Comment Period End.	02/18/14	
NPRM .....	06/18/13	78 FR 36478
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/07/13	
R&O .....	12/20/13	78 FR 77210
Petition for Reconsideration.	01/31/14	79 FR 5364
Comment Period End.	02/25/14	
Correcting Amendments.	02/10/14	79 FR 7590
Announcement of Effective Date.	04/16/14	79 FR 21399
Final Action (Announcement of Effective Date).	01/26/15	80 FR 3913
Final Action Effective.	01/26/15	
2nd R&O .....	07/10/15	80 FR 39698
2nd FNPRM .....	07/10/15	80 FR 39722
2nd FNPRM Comment Period End.	09/08/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Eliot Greenwald, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2235, *Email:* eliot.greenwald@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AI75

**305. Empowering Consumers To Avoid Bill Shock (Docket No. 10-207)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 332  
*Abstract:* On October 14, 2010, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking which proposes a rule that would require mobile service providers to provide usage alerts and information to help consumers avoid unexpected charges on their bills.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Public Notice .....	05/20/10	75 FR 28249
NPRM .....	11/26/10	75 FR 72773
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Richard D Smith, Special Counsel, Consumer Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554,

Phone: 717 338-2797, Fax: 717 338-2574, Email: richard.smith@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AJ51

**306. Contributions to the Telecommunications Relay Services Fund (CG Docket No. 11-47)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 225; 47 U.S.C. 616  
*Abstract:* The Commission prescribes by regulation the obligations of each provider of interconnected and non-interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) service to participate in and contribute to the Interstate Telecommunications Relay Services Fund in a manner that is consistent with and comparable to such fund.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/04/11	76 FR 18490
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/04/11	
Final Rule .....	10/25/11	76 FR 65965
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rosaline Crawford, Attorney, Disability Rights Office, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-2075, Email: rosaline.crawford@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AJ63

**307. Empowering Consumers To Prevent and Detect Billing for Unauthorized Charges (“Cramming”)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 332  
*Abstract:* On July 12, 2011, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing rules that would help consumers detect and prevent the placement of unauthorized charges on telephone bills, an unlawful and fraudulent practice commonly referred to as “cramming.” On April 27, 2012, the Commission adopted rules to address “cramming” on wireline telephone bills and released a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeking comment on additional measures to protect wireline and wireless consumers from unauthorized charges.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/23/11	76 FR 52625
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/21/11	
Order (Extends Reply Comment Period).	11/30/11	76 FR 74017
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/05/11	

Action	Date	FR Cite
FNPRM .....	05/24/12	77 FR 30972
R&O .....	05/24/12	77 FR 30915
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/09/12	
Order (Extends Reply Comment Period).	07/17/12	77 FR 41955
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/20/12	
Announcement of Effective Dates.	10/26/12	77 FR 65230
Correction of Final Rule.	11/30/12	77 FR 71354
Correction of Final Rule.	11/30/12	77 FR 71353
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Richard D. Smith, Special Counsel, Consumer Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 717 338-2797, Fax: 717 338-2574, Email: richard.smith@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AJ72

**308. Implementation of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012/Establishment of a Public Safety Answering Point Do-Not-Call Registry**

*Legal Authority:* Public Law 112-96, sec 6507

*Abstract:* The Commission issued, on May 22, 2012, an NPRM to initiate a proceeding to create a Do-Not-Call registry for public safety answer points (PSAPs), as required by section 6507 of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012. The statute requires the Commission to establish a registry that allows PSAPs to register their telephone numbers on a do-not-call list; prohibit the use of automatic dialing equipment to contact registered numbers; and implement a range of monetary penalties for disclosure of registered numbers and for use of automatic dialing equipment to contact such numbers. On October 17, 2012, the Commission adopted final rules implementing the statutory requirements described above.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/21/12	77 FR 37362
R&O .....	10/29/12	77 FR 71131
Correction Amendments.	02/13/13	78 FR 10099
Announcement of Effective Date.	03/26/13	78 FR 18246
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Richard D. Smith, Special Counsel, Consumer Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 717 338-2797, Fax: 717 338-2574, Email: richard.smith@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AJ84

**309. Implementation of Sections 716 and 717 of the Communications Act of 1934, as Enacted by the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (CG Docket No. 10-213)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 255; 47 U.S.C. 617; 47 U.S.C. 618; 47 U.S.C. 619

*Abstract:* These proceedings implement sections 716, 717, and 718 of the Communications Act, which were added by the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (CVAA), related to the accessibility of advanced communications services and equipment (section 716), recordkeeping and enforcement requirements for entities subject to sections 255, 716, and 718 (section 717), and accessibility of Internet browsers built into mobile phones (section 718).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/14/11	76 FR 13800
NPRM Comment Period Extended.	04/12/11	76 FR 20297
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/13/11	
FNPRM .....	12/30/11	76 FR 82240
R&O .....	12/30/11	76 FR 82354
FNPRM Comment Period End.	03/14/12	
Announcement of Effective Date.	04/25/12	77 FR 24632
2nd R&O .....	05/22/13	78 FR 30226
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rosaline Crawford, Attorney, Disability Rights Office, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-2075, Email: rosaline.crawford@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AK00

**310. Misuse of Internet Protocol (IP) Captioned Telephone Service; Telecommunications Relay Services and Speech-to-Speech Services; CG Docket No. 13–24**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 225

*Abstract:* The FCC initiated this proceeding in its effort to ensure that IP CTS is available for eligible users only. In doing so, the FCC released an Interim Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) to address certain practices related to the provision and marketing of Internet Protocol Captioned Telephone Service (IP CTS). IP CTS is a form of relay service designed to allow people with hearing loss to speak directly to another party on a telephone call and to simultaneously listen to the other party and read captions of what that party is saying over an IP-enabled device. To ensure that IP CTS is provided efficiently to persons who need to use this service, this new Order establishes several requirements on a temporary basis from March 7, 2013, to September 3, 2013.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/05/13	78 FR 8090
Order (Interim Rule).	02/05/13	78 FR 8032
Order .....	02/05/13	78 FR 8030
Announcement of Effective Date.	03/07/13	78 FR 14701
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/12/13	
R&O .....	08/30/13	78 FR 53684
FNPRM .....	09/30/13	78 FR 54201
FNPRM Comment Period End.	11/18/13	
Petition for Reconsideration Request for Comment.	12/16/13	78 FR 76097
Petition for Reconsideration Comment Period End.	01/10/14	
Announcement of Effective Date.	08/28/14	79 FR 51446
Correction—Announcement of Effective Date.	08/28/14	79 FR 51450
Technical Amendments.	09/09/14	79 FR 53303
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Greg Hlibok, Chief, Disability Rights Office, Federal Communications Commission, Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 559–

5158, *TDD Phone:* 202 418–0413, *Email:* gregory.hlibok@fcc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3060–AK01

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*Office of Engineering and Technology*

Long-Term Actions

**311. New Advanced Wireless Services (ET Docket No. 00–258)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 157(a); 47 U.S.C. 303(c); 47 U.S.C. 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(g); 47 U.S.C. 303(r)

*Abstract:* This proceeding explores the possible uses of frequency bands below 3 GHz to support the introduction of new advanced wireless services, including third generations as well as future generations of wireless systems. Advanced wireless systems could provide for a wide range of voice data and broadband services over a variety of mobile and fixed networks. The Third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking discusses the frequency bands that are still under consideration in this proceeding and invites additional comments on their disposition. Specifically, it addresses the Unlicensed Personal Communications Service (UPCS) band at 1910–1930 MHz, the Multipoint Distribution Service (MDS) spectrum at 2155–2160/62 MHz bands, the Emerging Technology spectrum, at 2160–2165 MHz, and the bands reallocated from MSS 91990–2000 MHz, 2020–2025 MHz, and 2165–2180 MHz. We seek comment on these bands with respect to using them for paired or unpaired Advance Wireless Service (AWS) operations or as relocation spectrum for existing services. The seventh Report and Order facilitates the introduction of Advanced Wireless Service (AWS) in the band 1710–1755 MHz—an integral part of a 90 MHz spectrum allocation recently reallocated to allow for such new and innovative wireless services. We largely adopt the proposals set forth in our recent AWS Fourth NPRM in this proceeding that are designed to clear the 1710–1755 MHz band of incumbent Federal Government operations that would otherwise impede the development of new nationwide AWS services. These actions are consistent with previous actions in this proceeding and with the United States Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) 2002 Viability Assessment, which addressed relocation and reaccommodation options for Federal

Government operations in the band. The eighth Report and Order reallocated the 2155–2160 MHz band for fixed and mobile services and designates the 2155–2175 MHz band for Advanced Wireless Service (AWS) use. This proceeding continues the Commission’s ongoing efforts to promote spectrum utilization and efficiency with regard to the provision of new services, including Advanced Wireless Services. The Order requires Broadband Radio Service (BRS) licensees in the 2150–2160/62 MHz band to provide information on the construction status and operational parameters of each incumbent BRS system that would be the subject of relocation. The Notice of Proposed Rule Making requested comments on the specific relocation procedures applicable to Broadband Radio Service (BRS) operations in the 2150–2160/62 MHz band, which the Commission recently decided will be relocated to the newly restructured 2495–2690 MHz band. The Commission also requested comments on the specific relocation procedures applicable to Fixed Microwave Service (FS) operations in the 2160–2175 MHz band. The Office of Engineering and Technology (OET) and the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau (WTB) set forth the specific data that Broadband Radio Service (BRS) licensees in the 2150–2160/62 MHz band must file along with the deadline date and procedures for filing this data on the Commission’s Universal Licensing System (ULS). The data will assist in determining future AWS licensees’ relocation obligations. The ninth Report and Order established procedures for the relocation of Broadband Radio Service (BRS) operations from the 2150–2160/62 MHz band, as well as for the relocation of Fixed Microwave Service (FS) operations from the 2160–2175 MHz band, and modified existing relocation procedures for the 2110–2150 MHz and 2175–2180 MHz bands. It also established cost-sharing rules to identify the reimbursement obligations for Advanced Wireless Service (AWS) and Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) entrants benefiting from the relocation of incumbent FS operations in the 2110–2150 MHz and 2160–2200 MHz bands and AWS entrants benefiting from the relocation of BRS incumbents in the 2150–2160/62 MHz band. The Commission continues its ongoing efforts to promote spectrum utilization and efficiency with regard to the provision of new services, including AWS. The Order dismisses a petition for reconsideration filed by the Wireless Communications Association

International, Inc. (WCA) as moot. Two petitions for reconsideration were filed in response to the ninth Report and Order. The Report and Orders and Declaratory Ruling concludes the Commission's longstanding efforts to relocate the Broadcast Auxiliary Service (BAS) from the 1990–2110 MHz band to the 2025–2110 MHz band, freeing up 35 megahertz of spectrum in order to foster the development of new and innovative services. This decision addresses the outstanding matter of Sprint Nextel Corporation's (Sprint Nextel) inability to agree with Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) operators in the band on the sharing of the costs to relocate the BAS incumbents. To resolve this controversy, the Commission applied its time-honored relocation principles for emerging technologies previously adopted for the BAS band to the instant relocation process, where delays and unanticipated developments have left ambiguities and misconceptions among the relocating parties. In the process, the Commission balances the responsibilities for and benefits of relocating incumbent BAS operations among all the new entrants in the different services that will operate in the band. The Commission proposed to modify its cost-sharing requirements for the 2 GHz BAS band because the circumstances surrounding the BAS transition are very different than what was expected when the cost-sharing requirements were adopted. The Commission believed that the best course of action was to propose new requirements that would address the ambiguity of applying the literal language of the current requirements to the changed circumstances, as well as balance the responsibilities for and benefits of relocating incumbent BAS operations among all new entrants in the band based on the Commission's relocation policies set forth in the Emerging Technologies proceeding. The Commission proposed to eliminate, as of January 1, 2009, the requirement that Broadcast Auxiliary Service (BAS) licensees in the 30 largest markets and fixed BAS links in all markets be transitioned before the Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) operators can begin offering service. The Commission also sought comments on how to mitigate interference between new MSS entrants and incumbent BAS licensees who had not completed relocation before the MSS entrants begin offering service. In addition, the Commission sought comments on allowing MSS operators to begin providing service in those markets where BAS incumbents have been transitioned. In the Further Notice of

Proposed Rule Making the Commission proposed to modify its cost sharing requirements for the 2 GHz BAS band because the circumstances surrounding the BAS transition are very different than what was expected when the cost sharing requirements were adopted. The Commission believes that the best course of action is to propose new requirements that will address the ambiguity of applying the literal language of the current requirements to the changed circumstances, as well as balance the responsibilities for and benefits of relocating incumbent BAS operations among all new entrants in the band based on the Commission's relocation policies set forth in the Emerging Technologies proceeding.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/23/01	66 FR 7438
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/09/01	
Final Report .....	04/11/01	66 FR 18740
FNPRM .....	09/13/01	66 FR 47618
MO&O .....	09/13/01	66 FR 47591
First R&O .....	10/25/01	66 FR 53973
Petition for Re-consideration.	11/02/01	66 FR 55666
Second R&O .....	01/24/03	68 FR 3455
Third NPRM .....	03/13/03	68 FR 12015
Seventh R&O .....	12/29/04	69 FR 7793
Petition for Re-consideration.	04/13/05	70 FR 19469
Eighth R&O .....	10/26/05	70 FR 61742
Order .....	10/26/05	70 FR 61742
NPRM .....	10/26/05	70 FR 61752
Public Notice .....	12/14/05	70 FR 74011
Ninth R&O and Order.	05/24/06	71 FR 29818
Petition for Re-consideration.	07/19/06	71 FR 41022
FNPRM .....	03/31/08	73 FR 16822
R&O and NPRM	06/23/09	74 FR 29607
FNPRM .....	06/23/09	74 FR 29607
5th R&O, 11th R&O, 6th R&O, and Declaratory Ruling.	11/02/10	75 FR 67227
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Rodney Small, Economist, Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2452, *Fax:* 202 418–1944, *Email:* [rodney.small@fcc.gov](mailto:rodney.small@fcc.gov).  
*RIN:* 3060–AH65

**312. Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields (ET Docket No. 10–97)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 302 and 303; 47 U.S.C. 309(j); 47 U.S.C. 336

*Abstract:* In the Report and Order the Federal Communications Commission (Commission) resolved several issues regarding compliance with its regulations for conducting environmental reviews under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) as they relate to the guidelines for human exposure to RF electromagnetic fields. More specifically, the Commission clarifies evaluation procedures and references to determine compliance with its limits, including specific absorption rate (SAR) as a primary metric for compliance, consideration of the pinna (outer ear) as an extremity, and measurement of medical implant exposure. The Commission also elaborates on mitigation procedures to ensure compliance with its limits, including labeling and other requirements for occupational exposure classification, clarification of compliance responsibility at multiple transmitter sites, and labeling of fixed consumer transmitters.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/08/03	68 FR 52879
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/08/03	
R&O .....	06/04/13	78 FR 33634
Petition for Recon Next Action Undetermined.	08/27/13	78 FR 52893

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Ira Keltz, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0616, *Fax:* 202 418–1944, *Email:* [ikeltz@fcc.gov](mailto:ikeltz@fcc.gov).  
*RIN:* 3060–A117

**313. Unlicensed Operation in the TV Broadcast Bands (ET Docket No. 04–186)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 302; 47 U.S.C. 303(e) and 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 307

*Abstract:* The Commission adopted rules to allow unlicensed radio transmitters to operate in the broadcast television spectrum at locations where that spectrum is not being used by licensed services (this unused TV spectrum is often termed “white spaces”). This action will make a significant amount of spectrum available for new and innovative products and services, including broadband data and other services for businesses and consumers. The actions taken are a conservative first step that

includes many safeguards to prevent harmful interference to incumbent communications services. Moreover, the Commission will closely oversee the development and introduction of these devices to the market and will take whatever actions may be necessary to avoid, and if necessary, correct any interference that may occur. The Second Memorandum Opinion and Order finalizes rules to make the unused spectrum in the TV bands available for unlicensed broadband wireless devices. This particular spectrum has excellent propagation characteristics that allow signals to reach farther and penetrate walls and other structures. Access to this spectrum could enable more powerful public Internet connections—super Wi-Fi hot spots—with extended range, fewer dead spots, and improved individual speeds as a result of reduced congestion on existing networks. This type of “opportunistic use” of spectrum has great potential for enabling access to other spectrum bands and improving spectrum efficiency. The Commission’s actions here are expected to spur investment and innovation in applications and devices that will be used not only in the TV band, but eventually in other frequency bands as well. This Order addressed five petitions for reconsideration of the Commission’s decisions in the Second Memorandum Opinion and Order (“Second MO&O”) in this proceeding and modified rules in certain respects. In particular, the Commission: (1) Increased the maximum height above average terrain (HAAT) for sites where fixed devices may operate; (2) modified the adjacent channel emission limits to specify fixed rather than relative levels; and (3) slightly increased the maximum permissible power spectral density (PSD) for each category of TV bands device. These changes will result in decreased operating costs for fixed TVBDs and allow them to provide greater coverage, thus increasing the availability of wireless broadband services in rural and underserved areas without increasing the risk of interference to incumbent services. The Commission also revised and amended several of its rules to better effectuate the Commission’s earlier decisions in this docket and to remove ambiguities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/18/04	69 FR 34103
First R&O .....	11/17/06	71 FR 66876
FNPRM .....	11/17/06	71 FR 66897
R&O and MO&O	02/17/09	74 FR 7314
Petitions for Re-consideration.	04/13/09	74 FR 16870

Action	Date	FR Cite
Second MO&O ....	12/06/10	75 FR 75814
Petitions for Re-consideration.	02/09/11	76 FR 7208
3rd MO&O and Order.	05/17/12	77 FR 28236
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Hugh Van Tuyl, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–7506, *Fax:* 202 418–1944, *Email:* hugh.vantuyl@fcc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3060–A152

**314. Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service (ET Docket No. 10–142)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 301; 47 U.S.C. 303(c) and 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(r) and 303(y); 47 U.S.C. 310

*Abstract:* The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposed to take a number of actions to further the provision of terrestrial broadband services in the MSS bands. In the 2 GHz MSS band, the Commission proposed to add co-primary Fixed and Mobile allocations to the existing Mobile-Satellite allocation. This would lay the groundwork for providing additional flexibility in use of the 2 GHz spectrum in the future. The Commission also proposed to apply the terrestrial secondary market spectrum leasing rules and procedures to transactions involving terrestrial use of the MSS spectrum in the 2 GHz, Big LEO, and L-bands in order to create greater certainty and regulatory parity with bands licensed for terrestrial broadband service. The Commission also asked, in a notice of inquiry, about approaches for creating opportunities for full use of the 2 GHz band for standalone terrestrial uses. The Commission requested comment on ways to promote innovation and investment throughout the MSS bands while also ensuring market-wide mobile satellite capability to serve important needs like disaster recovery and rural access.

In the Report and Order, the Commission amended its rules to make additional spectrum available for new investment in mobile broadband networks while also ensuring that the United States maintains robust mobile satellite service capabilities. First, the Commission adds co-primary Fixed and Mobile allocations to the Mobile Satellite Service (MSS) 2 GHz band, consistent with the International Table

of Allocations, allowing more flexible use of the band, including for terrestrial broadband services, in the future. Second, to create greater predictability and regulatory parity with the bands licensed for terrestrial mobile broadband service, the Commission extends its existing secondary market spectrum manager spectrum leasing policies, procedures, and rules that currently apply to wireless terrestrial services to terrestrial services provided using the Ancillary Terrestrial Component (ATC) of an MSS system. Petitions for Reconsideration have been filed in the Commission’s rulemaking proceeding concerning Fixed and Mobile Services in the Mobile Satellite Service Bands at 1525–1559 MHz and 1626.5–1660.5 MHz, 1610–1626.5 MHz and 2483.5–2500 MHz, and 2000–2020 MHz and 2180–2200 MHz, and published pursuant to 47 CFR 1.429(e). See 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission’s rules.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/16/10	75 FR 49871
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/15/10	
Reply Comment Period End.	09/30/10	
R&O .....	05/31/11	76 FR 31252
Petitions for Re-consideration.	08/10/11	76 FR 49364
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Nicholas Oros, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0636, *Email:* nicholas.oros@fcc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3060–A146

**315. Radio Experimentation and Market Trials Under Part 5 of the Commission’s Rules and Streamlining Other Related Rules (ET Docket No. 10–236)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 301 and 303

*Abstract:* The Commission initiated this proceeding to promote innovation and efficiency in spectrum use in the Experimental Radio Service (ERS). For many years, the ERS has provided fertile ground for testing innovative ideas that have led to new services and new devices for all sectors of the economy. The Commission proposed to leverage the power of experimental radio licensing to accelerate the rate at which these ideas transform from prototypes to consumer devices and services. Its goal is to inspire researchers to dream,

discover, and deliver the innovations that push the boundaries of the broadband ecosystem. The resulting advancements in devices and services available to the American public and greater spectrum efficiency over the long term will promote economic growth, global competitiveness, and a better way of life for all Americans.

In the Report and Order (R&O), the Commission revised and streamlined its rules to modernize the Experimental Radio Service (ERS). The rules adopted in the R&O updated the ERS to a more flexible framework to keep pace with the speed of modern technological change while continuing to provide an environment where creativity can thrive. To accomplish this transition, the Commission created three new types of ERS licenses—the program license, the medical testing license, and the compliance testing license—to benefit the development of new technologies, expedite their introduction to the marketplace, and unleash the full power of innovators to keep the United States at the forefront of the communications industry. The Commission’s actions also modified the market trial rules to eliminate confusion and more clearly articulate its policies with respect to marketing products prior to equipment certification. The Commission believes that these actions will remove regulatory barriers to experimentation, thereby permitting institutions to move from concept to experimentation to finished product more rapidly and to more quickly implement creative problem-solving methodologies.

The Memorandum Opinion and Order responds to three petitions for reconsideration seeking to modify certain rules adopted in the Report and Order in this proceeding. In response, the Commission modifies its rules, consistent with past practice, to permit conventional Experimental Radio Service (ERS) licensees and compliance testing licensees to use bands exclusively allocated to the passive services in some circumstances; clarifies that some cost recovery is permitted for the testing and operation of experimental medical devices that take place under its market trial rules; and adds a definition of emergency notification providers to its rules to clarify that all participants in the Emergency Alert System (EAS) are such providers. However, the Commission declines to expand the eligibility for medical testing licenses.

In the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking the Commission proposes to modify the rules for program experimental licenses to permit experimentation for radio frequency

(RF)-based medical devices, if the device being tested is designed to comply with all applicable service rules in part 18, Industrial, Scientific, and Medical Equipment; part 95, Personal Radio Services subpart H Wireless Medical Telemetry Service; or part 95, subpart I Medical Device Radiocommunication Service. This proposal is designed to establish parity between all qualified medical device manufacturers for conducting basic research and clinical trials with RF-based medical devices as to permissible frequencies of operation.

This Memorandum Opinion and Order responds to three petitions for reconsideration seeking to modify certain rules adopted in the Report and Order in this proceeding. In response, the Commission modifies its rules, consistent with past practice, to permit conventional Experimental Radio Service (ERS) licensees and compliance testing licensees to use bands exclusively allocated to the passive services in some circumstances; clarifies that some cost recovery is permitted for the testing and operation of experimental medical devices that take place under its market trial rules; and adds a definition of emergency notification providers: to its rules to clarify that all participants in the Emergency Alert System (EAS) are such providers. However, the Commission declines to expand the eligibility for medical testing licenses.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/08/11	76 FR 6928
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/10/11	
R&O .....	04/29/13	78 FR 25138
FNPRM .....	08/31/15	80 FR 52437
MO&O .....	08/31/15	80 FR 52408
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Nnake Nweke, Chief, Experimental Licensing Branch, Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0785, *Email:* [nnake.nweke@fcc.gov](mailto:nnake.nweke@fcc.gov), *RIN:* 3060–AJ62

**316. Operation of Radar Systems in the 76–77 GHz Band (ET Docket No. 11–90)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 302; 47 U.S.C. 303(f)

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed to amend its rules to enable enhanced vehicular radar technologies in the 76–

77 GHz band to improve collision avoidance and driver safety. Vehicular radars can determine the exact distance and relative speed of objects in front of, beside, or behind a car to improve the driver’s ability to perceive objects under bad visibility conditions or objects that are in blind spots. These modifications to the rules will provide more efficient use of spectrum, and enable the automotive and fixed radar application industries to develop enhanced safety measures for drivers and the general public. The Commission takes this action in response to petitions for rulemaking filed by Toyota Motor Corporation (“TMC”) and Era Systems Corporation (“Era”). The Report and Order amends the Commission’s rules to provide a more efficient use of the 76–77 GHz band, and to enable the automotive and aviation industries to develop enhanced safety measures for drivers and the general public. Specifically, the Commission eliminated the in-motion and not-in-motion distinction for vehicular radars, and instead adopted new uniform emission limits for forward, side, and rear-looking vehicular radars. This will facilitate enhanced vehicular radar technologies to improve collision avoidance and driver safety. The Commission also amended its rules to allow the operation of fixed radars at airport locations in the 76–77 GHz band for purposes of detecting foreign object debris on runways and monitoring aircraft and service vehicles on taxiways and other airport vehicle service areas that have no public vehicle access. The Commission took this action in response to petitions for rulemaking filed by Toyota Motor Corporation (“TMC”) and Era Systems Corporation (“Era”). Petitions for Reconsideration were filed by Navtech Radar, Ltd. and Honeywell International Inc.

Navtech Radar, Ltd. and Honeywell International, Inc., filed petitions for reconsideration in response to the *Vehicular Radar R&O* that modified the Commission’s part 15 rules to permit vehicular radar technologies and airport-based fixed radar applications in the 76–77 GHz band.

The Commission denied Honeywell’s petition. Section 1.429(b) of the Commission’s rules provides three ways in which a petition for reconsideration can be granted, and none of these have been met. Honeywell has not shown that its petition relies on facts regarding fixed radar use which had not previously been presented to the Commission, nor does it show that its petition relies on facts that relate to events that changed since Honeywell

had the last opportunity to present its facts regarding fixed radar use.

The Commission stated in the Vehicular Radar R&O, “that no parties have come forward to support fixed radar applications beyond airport locations in this band,” and it decided not to adopt provisions for unlicensed fixed radar use other than those for FOD detection applications at airport locations. Because Navtech first participated in the proceeding when it filed its petition well after the decision was published, its petition fails to meet the timeliness standard of section 1.429(d).

In connection with the Commission’s decision to deny the petitions for reconsideration discussed above, the Commission terminates ET Docket Nos. 10–28 and 11–90 (pertaining to vehicular radar).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/16/11	76 FR 35176
R&O .....	08/13/12	77 FR 48097
Petition for Re-consideration.	11/11/12	77 FR 68722
Reconsideration Order.	03/06/15	80 FR 12120
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Aamer Zain, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2437, *Email:* aamer.zain@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060–A]68

**317. WRC–07 Implementation (ET Docket No. 12–338)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 302(a); 47 U.S.C. 303

*Abstract:* In the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), the Commission proposed to amend parts 1, 2, 74, 78, 87, 90, and 97 of its rules to implement allocation decisions from the World Radiocommunication Conference (Geneva, 2007) (WRC 07) concerning portions of the radio frequency (RF) spectrum between 108 MHz and 20.2 GHz and to make certain updates to its rules in this frequency range. The NPRM follows the Commission’s July 2010 WRC–07 Table Clean-up Order, 75 FR 62924, October 13, 2010, which made certain nonsubstantive, editorial revisions to the Table of Frequency Allocations (Allocation Table) and to other related rules. The Commission also addressed the recommendations for implementation of the WRC–07 Final Acts that the National

Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) submitted to the Commission in August 2009. As part of its comprehensive review of the Allocation Table, the Commission also proposed to make allocation changes that are not related to the WRC–07 Final Acts and update certain service rules, and requested comment on other allocation issues that concern portions of the RF spectrum between 137.5 kHz and 54.25 GHz.

In the Report and Order the Commission implemented allocation changes from the World Radiocommunication Conference (Geneva, 2007) (WRC–07) and updated related service rules. The Commission took this action in order to conform its rules, to the extent practical, to the decisions that the international community made at WRC–07. This action will promote the advancement of new and expanded services and provide significant benefits to the American people. In addition, the Commission revised the International Table of Frequency Allocations within its rules to generally reflect the allocation changes made at the World Radiocommunication Conference (Geneva, 2012) (WRC–12).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/27/12	77 FR 76250
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/25/13	
Report and Order Next Action Undetermined.	04/23/15	80 FR 38811

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Tom Mooring, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2450, *Fax:* 202 418–1944, *Email:* tom.mooring@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060–A]93

**318. Federal Earth Stations—Non-Federal Fixed Satellite Service Space Stations; Spectrum for Non-Federal Space Launch Operations; ET Docket No. 13–115**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 302(a); 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 336

*Abstract:* The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposes to make spectrum allocation proposals for three different space related purposes. The Commission makes two alternative proposals to modify the Allocation Table to provide interference protection for Fixed-Satellite Service (FSS) and

Mobile-Satellite Service (MSS) earth stations operated by Federal agencies under authorizations granted by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) in certain frequency bands. The Commission also proposes to amend a footnote to the Allocation Table to permit a Federal MSS system to operate in the 399.9–400.05 MHz band; it also makes alternative proposals to modify the Allocation Table to provide access to spectrum on an interference protected basis to Commission licensees for use during the launch of launch vehicles (*i.e.*, rockets). The Commission also seeks comment broadly on the future spectrum needs of the commercial space sector. The Commission expects that, if adopted, these proposals would advance the commercial space industry and the important role it will play in our Nation’s economy and technological innovation now and in the future.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/01/13	78 FR 39200
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Nicholas Oros, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0636, *Email:* nicholas.oros@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060–AK09

**319. Authorization of Radiofrequency Equipment; ET Docket No. 13–44**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 157(a); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(g); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 307(e); 47 U.S.C. 332

*Abstract:* The Commission is responsible for an equipment authorization program for radiofrequency (RF) devices under part 2 of its rules. This program is one of the primary means that the Commission uses to ensure that the multitude of RF devices used in the United States operate effectively without causing harmful interference and otherwise comply with the Commission rules. All RF devices subject to equipment authorization must comply with the Commission’s technical requirement before they can be imported or marketed. The Commission or a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) must approve some of these devices before they can be imported or marketed, while others do not require

such approval. The Commission last comprehensively reviewed its equipment authorization program more than 10 years ago. The rapid innovation in equipment design since that time has led to ever-accelerating growth in the number of parties applying for equipment approval. The Commission therefore believes that the time is now right for us to comprehensively review our equipment authorization processes to ensure that they continue to enable this growth and innovation in the wireless equipment market. In May of 2012, the Commission began this reform process by issuing an Order to increase the supply of available grantee codes. With this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), the Commission continues its work to review and reform the equipment authorization processes and rules. This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposes certain changes to the Commission's part 2 equipment authorization processes to ensure that they continue to operate efficiently and effectively. In particular, it addresses the role of TCBs in certifying RF equipment and post-market surveillance, as well as the Commission's role in assessing TCB performance. The NPRM also addressed the role of test laboratories in the RF equipment approval process, including accreditation of test labs and the Commission's recognition of laboratory accreditation bodies, and measurement procedures used to determine RF equipment compliance. Finally, it proposes certain modifications to the rules regarding TCBs that approve terminal equipment under part 68 of the rules that are consistent with our proposed modifications to the rules for TCBs that approve RF equipment. Specifically, the Commission proposes to recognize the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) as the organization that designates TCBs in the United States and to modify the rules to reference the current International Organization for Standardization and International Electrotechnical Commission (ISO/IEC) guides used to accredit TCBs.

This Report and Order updates the Commission's radiofrequency (RF) equipment authorization program to build on the success realized by its use of Commission-recognized Telecommunications Certification Bodies (TCBs). The rules the Commission is adopting will facilitate the continued rapid introduction of new and innovative products to the market while ensuring that these products do not cause harmful interference to each

other or to other communications devices and services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/03/13	78 FR 25916
R&O .....	06/12/15	80 FR 33425
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Hugh Van Tuyl, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7506, *Fax:* 202 418-1944, *Email:* hugh.vantuyl@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AK10

**320. Operation of Radar Systems in the 76-77 GHz Band (ET Docket No. 15-26)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 1; 47 U.S.C. 4(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 302; 47 U.S.C. 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 332; 47 U.S.C. 337

*Abstract:* The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposes to authorize radar applications in the 76-81 GHz band. The Commission seeks to develop a flexible and streamlined regulatory framework that will encourage efficient, innovative uses of the spectrum and to allow various services to operate on an interference-protected basis. In doing so, it further seeks to adopt service rules that will allow for the deployment of the various radar applications in this band, both within and outside the U.S. The Commission takes this action in response to a petition for rulemaking filed by Robert Bosch, LLC (Bosch) and two petitions for reconsideration of the 2012 Vehicular Radar R&O.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/06/15	80 FR 12120
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/06/15	
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	04/20/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Aamer Zain, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2437, *Email:* aamer.zain@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AK29

**321. Spectrum Access for Wireless Microphone Operations (GN Docket Nos. 14-166 and 12-268)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 157(a); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(g); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 307(e); 47 U.S.C. 332

*Abstract:* The Notice of Proposed Rule Making initiated a proceeding to address how to accommodate the long-term needs of wireless microphone users. Wireless microphones play an important role in enabling broadcasters and other video programming networks to serve consumers, including as they cover breaking news and broadcast live sports events. They enhance event productions in a variety of settings including theaters and music venues, film studios, conventions, corporate events, houses of worship, and internet webcasts. They also help create high quality content that consumers demand and value. Recent actions by the Commission, and in particular the repurposing of broadcast television band spectrum for wireless services set forth in the Incentive Auction R&O, will significantly alter the regulatory environment in which wireless microphones operate, which necessitates our addressing how to accommodate wireless microphone users in the future.

In the Report and Order, the Commission takes several steps to accommodate the long-term needs of wireless microphone users. Wireless microphones play an important role in enabling broadcasters and other video programming networks to serve consumers, including as they cover breaking news and live sports events. They enhance event productions in a variety of settings including theaters and music venues, film studios, conventions, corporate events, houses of worship, and internet webcasts. They also help create high quality content that consumers demand and value. In particular, the Commission provide additional opportunities for wireless microphone operations in the TV bands following the upcoming incentive auction, and the Commission provide new opportunities for wireless microphone operations to access spectrum in other frequency bands where they can share use of the bands without harming existing users.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/21/14	79 FR 69387
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/05/15	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	01/26/15	
R&O ..... Next Action Undetermined.	11/17/15	80 FR 71702

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Paul Murray, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, Office of Engineering and Technology, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-0688, Fax: 202 418-7447, Email: paul.murray@fcc.gov. RIN: 3060-AK30

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*International Bureau*

Long-Term Actions

**322. Space Station Licensing Reform (IB Docket No. 02-34)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 157; 47 U.S.C. 303(c); 47 U.S.C. 303(g)

*Abstract:* The Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) to streamline its procedures for reviewing satellite license applications. Before 2003, the Commission used processing rounds to review those applications. In a processing round, when an application is filed, the International Bureau (Bureau) issued a Public Notice establishing a cutoff date for other mutually exclusive satellite applications, and then considered all those applications together. In cases where sufficient spectrum to accommodate all the applications was not available, the Bureau directed the applicants to negotiate a mutually agreeable solution. Those negotiations took a long time, and delayed provision of satellite services to the public. The NPRM invited comment on two alternatives for expediting the satellite application process. One alternative was to replace the processing round procedure with a “first-come, first-served” procedure that would allow the Bureau to issue a satellite license to the first party filing a complete, acceptable application. The other alternative was to streamline the processing round procedure by adopting one or more of the following proposals: (1) Place a time limit on negotiations; (2) establish criteria to select among competing applicants; (3) divide the available spectrum evenly among the applicants. In the First Report and Order in this

proceeding, the Commission determined that different procedures were better suited for different kinds of satellite applications. For most geostationary orbit (GSO) satellite applications, the Commission adopted a first-come, first-served approach. For most non-geostationary orbit (NGSO) satellite applications, the Commission adopted a procedure in which the available spectrum is divided evenly among the qualified applicants. The Commission also adopted measures to discourage applicants from filing speculative applications, including a bond requirement, payable if a licensee misses a milestone. The bond amounts originally were \$5 million for each GSO satellite, and \$7.5 million for each NGSO satellite system. These were interim amounts. Concurrently with the First Report and Order, the Commission adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) to determine whether to revise the bond amounts on a long-term basis. In the Second Report and Order, the Commission adopted a streamlined procedure for certain kinds of satellite license modification requests. In the Third Report and Order, the Commission adopted a standardized application form for satellite licenses, and adopted a mandatory electronic filing requirement for certain satellite applications. In the Fourth Report and Order, the Commission revised the bond amounts based on the record developed in response to FNPRM. The bond amounts were changed to \$3 million for each GSO satellite, and \$5 million for each NGSO satellite system.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/19/02	67 FR 12498
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/02/02	
Second R&O (Release Date).	06/20/03	68 FR 62247
Second FNPRM (Release Date).	07/08/03	68 FR 53702
Third R&O (Release Date).	07/08/03	68 FR 63994
FNPRM .....	08/27/03	68 FR 51546
First R&O .....	08/27/03	68 FR 51499
FNPRM Comment Period End.	10/27/03	
Fourth R&O (Release Date).	04/16/04	69 FR 67790
Fifth R&O, First Order on Reconsideration.	08/20/04	69 FR 51586
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Clay DeCell, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th

Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-0803, Email: clay.decell@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060-AH98

**323. International Settlements Policy Reform (IB Docket No. 11-80)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 205; 47 U.S.C. 208; 47 U.S.C. 211; 47 U.S.C. 214; 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 403

*Abstract:* The FCC is reviewing the International Settlements Policy (ISP). It governs how U.S. carriers negotiate with foreign carriers for the exchange of international traffic, and is the structure by which the Commission has sought to respond to concerns that foreign carriers with market power are able to take advantage of the presence of multiple U.S. carriers serving a particular market. In 2011, the FCC released an NPRM which proposed to further deregulate the international telephony market and enable U.S. consumers to enjoy competitive prices when they make calls to international destinations. First, it proposed to remove the ISP from all international routes, except Cuba. Second, the FCC sought comment on a proposal to enable the Commission to better protect U.S. consumers from the effects of anticompetitive conduct by foreign carriers in instances necessitating Commission intervention. In 2012, the FCC adopted a Report and Order which eliminated the ISP on all routes, but maintained the nondiscrimination requirement of the ISP on the U.S.-Cuba route and codified it at 47 CFR 63.22(f). In the Report and Order the FCC also adopted measures to protect U.S. consumers from anticompetitive conduct by foreign carriers. In 2016, the FCC released an FNPRM proposing to remove the nondiscrimination requirement on the U.S.-Cuba route.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/13/11	76 FR 42625
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/02/11	
Report and Order	02/15/13	78 FR 11109
FNPRM .....	03/04/16	81 FR 11500
FNPRM Comment Period End.	04/18/16	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* David Krech, Assoc. Chief, Telecommunications & Analysis Div., Federal Communications Commission, International Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554,

Phone: 202 418-7443, Fax: 202 418-2824, Email: david.krech@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AJ77

**324. Comprehensive Review of Licensing and Operating Rules for Satellite Services (IB Docket No. 12-267)**

Legal Authority: 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 157(a); 47 U.S.C. 161; 47 U.S.C. 303(c); 47 U.S.C. 303(g); 47 U.S.C. 303(r)

Abstract: The Commission adopted a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) as part of its ongoing efforts to update and streamline regulatory requirements. The NPRM initiated a comprehensive review of Part 25 of the Commission's rules, which governs the licensing and operation of space stations and earth stations. The Commission proposed amendments to modernize the rules to better reflect evolving technology, to eliminate unnecessary technical and information filing requirements, and to reorganize and simplify existing requirements. In the ensuing Report and Order, the Commission adopted most of its proposed changes and revised over 150 rule provisions. Several proposals raised by commenters in the proceeding, however, were not within the scope of the original NPRM. To address these and other issues, the Commission released a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM). The FNPRM proposed additional rule changes to facilitate international coordination of proposed satellite networks, to revise system implementation milestones and the associated bond, and to expand the applicability of routine licensing standards. Following the FNPRM, the Commission issued a Second Report and Order adopting most of its proposals in the FNPNRM. Among other changes, the Commission established a two-step licensing procedure for most geostationary satellite applicants to facilitate international coordination, simplified the satellite development milestones, adopted an escalating bond requirement to discourage speculation, and refined the two-degree orbital spacing policy for most geostationary satellites to protect existing services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/08/12	77 FR 67172
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/24/12	
Reply Comment Period End.	01/22/13	
Report and Order	02/12/14	79 FR 8308
FNPRM Comment Period End.	03/02/14	
FNPRM .....	10/21/14	79 FR 65106
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Clay DeCell, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-0803, Email: clay.decell@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AJ98

**325. Expanding Broadband and Innovation Through Air-Ground Mobile Broadband Secondary Service for Passengers Aboard Aircraft in the 14.0-14.5 GHz Band; GN Docket No. 13-114**

Legal Authority: 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 302; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 324

Abstract: In this docket, the Commission establishes a secondary allocation for the Aeronautical Mobile Service in the 14.0-14.5 GHz band and establishes service, technical, and licensing rules for air-ground mobile broadband. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking requests public comment on a secondary allocation and service, technical, and licensing rules for air-ground mobile broadband.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM (Release Date). Next Action Undetermined.	05/09/13	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Sean O'More, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, International Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-2453, Email: sean.omore@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AK02

**326. Terrestrial Use of the 2473-2495 MHz Band for Low-Power Mobile Broadband Networks; Amendments to Rules of Mobile Satellite Service System; IB Docket No. 13-213**

Legal Authority: Not Yet Determined

Abstract: In this docket, the Commission proposes modified rules for the operation of the Ancillary Terrestrial Component of the single Mobile-Satellite Service system operating in the Big GEO S band. The changes would allow Globalstar, Inc. to deploy a low power broadband network using its licensed spectrum at 2483.5-2495 MHz under certain limited technical criteria, and with the same equipment utilize spectrum in the adjacent 2473-2483.5 MHz band, pursuant to technical rules for unlicensed operations in that band.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/19/14	79 FR 9445
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/05/14	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Stephen Duall, Chief, Satellite Policy Branch, Federal Communications Commission, International Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-1103, Fax: 202 418-0748, Email: stephen.duall@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AK16

**327. • Review of Foreign Ownership Policies for Broadcast, Common Carrier and Aeronautical Radio Licensees Under Section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as Amended (Docket No. 15-236)**

Legal Authority: 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 211; 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 310; 47 U.S.C. 403

Abstract: The FCC proposes to extend its foreign ownership rules and procedures that apply to common carrier licensees to broadcast licensees, with certain modifications to tailor them to the broadcast context. The FCC also seeks comment on whether and how to revise the methodology a licensee should use to assess its compliance with the 25 percent foreign ownership benchmark in section 310(b)(4) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, in order to reduce regulatory burdens on applicants and licensees. Finally, the FCC makes several proposals to clarify and update existing foreign ownership policies and procedures for broadcast, common carrier and aeronautical licensees.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/06/15	80 FR 68815
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/20/16	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

Required: Yes.

Agency Contact: Kimberly Cook, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-7532, Email: kimberly.cook@fcc.gov.  
RIN: 3060-AK47

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*International Bureau*

Completed Actions

**328. Reform of Rules and Policies on Foreign Carrier Entry Into the U.S. Telecommunications Market (IB Docket 12–299)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) to (j); 47 U.S.C. 201 to 205

*Abstract:* FCC is considering proposed changes in the criteria under which it considers certain applications from foreign carriers or affiliates of foreign carriers for entry into the U.S. market for international telecommunications services. It proposes to eliminate or in the alternative simplify the effective competitive opportunities test (ECO Test) adopted in 1995 for Commission review of foreign carrier applications.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/26/12	77 FR 70400
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/26/12	
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	01/15/13	
R&O .....	06/03/14	79 FR 31873
Final Rule (Announcement of Effective Date).	03/03/15	80 FR 11326
Final Rule Effective.	03/03/15	
Correction .....	08/03/15	80 FR 45898

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* David Krech, Assoc. Chief, Telecommunications & Analysis Div., Federal Communications Commission, International Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418–7443, Fax: 202 418–2824, Email: david.krech@fcc.gov. RIN: 3060–AJ97

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*Media Bureau*

Long-Term Actions

**329. Broadcast Ownership Rules**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152(a); 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 309 and 310

*Abstract:* Section 202(h) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 requires the Commission to review its ownership rules every four years and determine whether any such rules are

necessary in the public interest as the result of competition. In 2002, the Commission undertook a comprehensive review of its broadcast multiple and cross-ownership limits examining: Cross-ownership of TV and radio stations; local TV ownership limits; national TV cap; and dual network rule. The Report and Order replaced the newspaper/broadcast cross-ownership and radio and TV rules with a tiered approach based on the number of television stations in a market. In June 2006, the Commission adopted a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking initiating the 2006 review of the broadcast ownership rules. The further notice also sought comment on how to address the issues raised by the Third Circuit. Additional questions are raised for comment in a Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. In the Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration, the Commission adopted rule changes regarding newspaper/broadcast cross-ownership, but otherwise generally retained the other broadcast ownership rules currently in effect. For the 2010 quadrennial review, five of the Commission’s media rules are the subject of review: The local TV ownership rule; the local radio ownership rule; the newspaper broadcast cross-ownership rule; the radio/TV cross-ownership rule; and the dual network rule.

In the 2014 review, the Commission incorporated the record of the 2010 review, and sought additional data on market conditions and competitive indicators. The Commission also sought comment on whether to eliminate restrictions on newspaper/radio combined ownership and whether to eliminate the radio/television cross-ownership rule in favor of reliance on the local radio rule and the local television rule.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/05/01	66 FR 50991
R&O .....	08/05/03	68 FR 46286
Public Notice .....	02/19/04	69 FR 9216
FNPRM .....	08/09/06	71 FR 4511
Second FNPRM ..	08/08/07	72 FR 44539
R&O and Order on Reconsideration.	02/21/08	73 FR 9481
Notice of Inquiry ..	06/11/10	75 FR 33227
NPRM .....	01/19/12	77 FR 2868
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/19/12	
FNPRM .....	05/20/14	79 FR 29010
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Brendan Holland, Chief, Industry Analysis Div., Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418–2757, Email: brendan.holland@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060–AH97

**330. Establishment of Rules for Digital Low-Power Television, Television Translator, and Television Booster Stations (MB Docket No. 03–185)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 336

*Abstract:* This proceeding initiates the digital television conversion for low-power television (LPTV) and television translator stations. The rules and policies adopted as a result of this proceeding provide the framework for these stations’ conversion from analog to digital broadcasting. The Report and Order adopts definitions and permissible use provisions for digital TV translator and LPTV stations. The Second Report and Order takes steps to resolve the remaining issues in order to complete the low-power television digital transition. The third Notice of Proposed Rulemaking seeks comment on a number of issues related to the potential impact of the incentive auction and the repacking process.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/26/03	68 FR 55566
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/25/03	
R&O .....	11/29/04	69 FR 69325
FNPRM and MO&O.	10/18/10	75 FR 63766
2nd R&O .....	07/07/11	76 FR 44821
3rd NPRM .....	11/28/14	79 FR 70824
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/29/14	
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/29/14	
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	01/12/15	
3rd R&O .....	02/01/16	81 FR 5041
4th NPRM .....	02/01/16	81 FR 5086
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Shaun Maher, Attorney, Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554. Phone: 202 418–2324, Fax: 202 418–2827, Email: shaun.maher@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060–A138

**331. Promoting Diversification of Ownership in the Broadcast Services (MB Docket No. 07–294)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152(a); 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and (j); 47 U.S.C. 257; 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 307 to 310; 47 U.S.C. 336; 47 U.S.C. 534 and 535

*Abstract:* Diversity and competition are longstanding and important Commission goals. The measures proposed, as well as those adopted in this proceeding, are intended to promote diversity of ownership of media outlets. In the Report and Order and Third FNPRM, measures are enacted to increase participation in the broadcasting industry by new entrants and small businesses, including minority- and women-owned businesses. In the Report and Order and Fourth FNPRM, the Commission adopts improvements to its data collection in order to obtain an accurate and comprehensive assessment of minority and female broadcast ownership in the United States. The Memorandum Opinion & Order addressed petitions for reconsideration of the rules, and also sought comment on a proposal to expand the reporting requirements to non attributable interests. In 2014, the Commission proposed a new type of FCC registration number for individuals to use on broadcast ownership reports. In 2015, the Commission proposed additional improvements to the collection of data reported on form 323.

Pursuant to a remand from the Third Circuit, the measures adopted in the 2009 Diversity Order were put forth for comment in the NPRM for the 2010 review of the Commission’s Broadcast Ownership rules. The Commission sought additional comment in 2014. As directed by the court, the Commission considered a socially and economic disadvantaged business definition as a possible oasis for favorable regulatory treatment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
R&O .....	05/16/08	73 FR 28361
Third FNPRM .....	05/16/08	73 FR 28400
R&O .....	05/27/09	74 FR 25163
Fourth FNPRM .....	05/27/09	74 FR 25305
MO&O .....	10/30/09	74 FR 56131
NPRM .....	01/19/12	77 FR 2868
5th NPRM .....	01/15/13	78 FR 2934
6th FNPRM .....	01/15/13	78 FR 2925
FNPRM .....	05/20/14	79 FR 29010
7th FNPRM .....	02/26/15	80 FR 10442
Comment Period End.	03/30/15	
Reply Comment Period End.	04/30/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brendan Holland, Chief, Industry Analysis Div., Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2757, *Email:* [brendan.holland@fcc.gov](mailto:brendan.holland@fcc.gov).

*RIN:* 3060–AJ27

**332. Amendment of the Commission’s Rules Related to Retransmission Consent (MB Docket No. 10–71)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 325; 47 U.S.C. 534

*Abstract:* Cable systems and other multichannel video programming distributors are not entitled to retransmit a broadcast station’s signal without the station’s consent. This consent is known as “retransmission consent.” Since Congress enacted the retransmission consent regime in 1992, there have been significant changes in the video programming marketplace. In this proceeding, comment is sought on a series of proposals to streamline and clarify the Commission’s rules concerning or affecting retransmission consent negotiations.

In the 2014 Report and Order, the Commission adopted a rule providing that it is a violation of the duty to negotiate retransmission consent in good faith for a television station that is ranked among the top four stations to negotiate retransmission consent jointly with another such station if the stations are not commonly owned and serve the same geographic market.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/28/11	76 FR 17071
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/27/11	
R&O .....	05/19/14	79 FR 28615
NPRM .....	10/02/15	80 FR 59706
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Diana Sokolow, Attorney, Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2120, *Email:* [diana.sokolow@fcc.gov](mailto:diana.sokolow@fcc.gov).

*RIN:* 3060–AJ55

**333. Closed Captioning of Internet Protocol-Delivered Video Programming: Implementation of the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010 (MB Docket No. 11–154)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 330(b); 47 U.S.C. 613; 47 U.S.C. 617

*Abstract:* Pursuant to the Commission’s responsibilities under the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act of 2010, this proceeding was initiated to adopt rules to govern the closed captioning requirements for the owners, providers, and distributors of video programming delivered using Internet protocol.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/28/11	76 FR 59963
R&O .....	03/20/12	77 FR 19480
Order on Recon, FNPRM.	07/02/13	78 FR 39691
2nd Order on Recon.	08/05/14	79 FR 45354
2nd FNPRM .....	08/05/14	79 FR 45397
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Maria Mullarkey, Attorney, Policy Division, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1067, *Email:* [maria.mullarkey@fcc.gov](mailto:maria.mullarkey@fcc.gov). *RIN:* 3060–AJ67

**334. Accessibility of User Interfaces and Video Programming Guides and Menus (MB Docket No. 12–108)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 303(aa); 47 U.S.C. 303(bb)

*Abstract:* This proceeding was initiated to implement sections 204 and 205 of the Twenty-First Century Communications and Video Accessibility Act. These sections generally require that user interfaces on digital apparatus and navigation devices used to view video programming be accessible to and usable by individuals who are blind or visually impaired.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/18/13	78 FR 36478
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/15/13	
R&O .....	12/20/13	78 FR 77210
FNPRM .....	12/20/13	78 FR 77074
2nd FNPRM .....	02/04/16	81 FR 5971
2nd R&O .....	02/04/16	81 FR 5921
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Maria Mullarkey, Attorney, Policy Division, Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1067, *Email:* maria.mullarkey@fcc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3060-AK11

**335. Network Non-Duplication and Syndicated Exclusivity Rule (MB Docket No. 14-29)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303(R); 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 339(b); 47 U.S.C. 573(b)

*Abstract:* In this proceeding, the Commission continues to examine whether to eliminate or modify the network no-duplication and syndicated exclusivity rules in light of changes in the video marketplace in the more than 40 years since these rules were adopted.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. Next Action Unde- termined.	04/10/14 05/12/14	79 FR 19849

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Kathy Berthot, Attorney, Policy Division Media Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2120, *Email:* kathy.berthot@fcc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3060-AK18

**336. Expansion of Online Public File Obligations to Cable and Satellite TV Operators and Broadcast and Satellite Radio Licensees; MB Docket No. 14-127**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i)

*Abstract:* In this proceeding, the Commission expands to cable operators, satellite TV providers, broadcast radio licensees, and satellite radio licensees the requirement that public inspection files be posted to the FCC's online database. In 2012, the Commission adopted online public file rules for broadcast television stations that required them to post public file documents to a central, FCC-hosted online database rather than maintain the files locally at their main studios. Expanding the online file to other media entities extends the benefits of improved public access to public inspection files and ultimately reduce the burden of maintaining these files.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. R&O ..... Next Action Unde- termined.	02/13/15 03/16/15  02/29/16	80 FR 8031  81 FR 10105

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Kim Matthews, Attorney, Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2154, *Fax:* 202 418-2053, *Email:* kim.matthews@fcc.gov  
*RIN:* 3060-AK23

**337. Channel Sharing by Full Power and Class A Stations Outside of the Incentive Auction Context (MB Docket No. 15-137)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 308; 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 310; 47 U.S.C. 316; 47 U.S.C. 319; 47 U.S.C. 338; 47 U.S.C. 403; 47 U.S.C. 614; 47 U.S.C. 615

*Abstract:* In this proceeding, the Commission considers rules to enable full power and Class A television stations to share a channel with another licensee outside of the incentive auction context.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. NPRM Reply Comment Pe- riod End. 1st Order on Recon. 2nd Order on Recon. Next Action Unde- termined.	07/14/15 08/13/15  08/28/15  11/02/15  11/12/15	80 FR 40957     80 FR 67337  80 FR 67344

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Kim Matthews, Attorney, Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2154, *Fax:* 202 418-2053, *Email:* kim.matthews@fcc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3060-AK42

**338. Preserving Vacant Channels in the UHF Television Band for Unlicensed Use (MB Docket No. 15-68)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 157; 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 308; 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 310; 47

U.S.C. 316; 47 U.S.C. 319; 47 U.S.C. 332; 47 U.S.C. 336; 47 U.S.C. 403

*Abstract:* In this proceeding, the Commission considers proposals to preserve vacant television channels in the UHF television band for shared use by white space devices and wireless microphones following the repacking of the band after the conclusion of the Incentive Auction. In the NPRM, the Commission proposed preserving in each area of the country at least one vacant television channel. In the Public Notice, the Commission notes that a limited number of broadcast television stations may be reassigned during the incentive auction and repacking process to channels within the duplex gap established as part of the 600 MHz Band Plan, resulting in a restriction on the ability of white space devices and wireless microphone to use this spectrum. To address this concern, the Public Notice tentatively concluded that a second available television channel should be preserved in the remaining television band in such areas for shared use by white space devices and wireless microphones, in addition to the one such channel proposed in the NPRM.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM ..... NPRM Comment Period End. NPRM Reply Comment Pe- riod End. Public Notice ..... Next Action Unde- termined.	07/02/15 08/03/15  08/31/15  09/01/15	80 FR 38158   80 FR 52715

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*  
*Agency Contact:* Shaun Maher, Attorney, Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Media Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2324, *Fax:* 202 418-2827, *Email:* shaun.maher@fcc.gov.  
*RIN:* 3060-AK43

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*Office of Managing Director*

Long-Term Actions

**339. Assessment and Collection of Regulatory Fees**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 159  
*Abstract:* Section 9 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 159, requires the FCC to recover the cost of its activities

by assessing and collecting annual regulatory fees from beneficiaries of the activities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/06/06	71 FR 17410
R&O .....	08/02/06	71 FR 43842
NPRM .....	05/02/07	72 FR 24213
R&O .....	08/16/07	72 FR 45908
FNPRM .....	08/16/07	72 FR 46010
NPRM .....	05/28/08	73 FR 30563
R&O .....	08/26/08	73 FR 50201
FNPRM .....	08/26/08	73 FR 50285
2nd R&O .....	05/12/09	74 FR 22104
NPRM and Order	06/02/09	74 FR 26329
R&O .....	08/11/09	74 FR 40089
NPRM .....	04/26/10	75 FR 21536
R&O .....	07/19/10	75 FR 41932
NPRM .....	05/26/11	76 FR 30605
R&O .....	08/10/11	76 FR 49333
NPRM .....	05/17/12	77 FR 29275
R&O .....	08/03/12	77 FR 46307
NPRM .....	08/17/12	77 FR 49749
NPRM .....	06/10/13	78 FR 34612
R&O .....	08/23/13	78 FR 52433
NPRM .....	07/03/14	79 FR 37982
R&O .....	09/11/14	79 FR 54190
NPRM .....	06/30/15	80 FR 37206
R&O .....	07/21/15	80 FR 43019
Final Rule .....	09/17/15	80 FR 55775
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Roland Helvajian, Office of the Managing Director, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-0444, *Email:* roland.helvajian@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-A179

**340. Amendment of Part 1 of the Commission's Rules, Concerning Practice and Procedure, Amendment of Cores Registration System; MD Docket No. 10-234**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 158(c)(2); 47 U.S.C. 159(c)(2); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 5 U.S.C. 5514; 31 U.S.C. 7701(c)(1)

*Abstract:* This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposes revisions intended to make the Commission's Registration System (CORES) more feature-friendly and improve the Commission's ability to comply with various statutes that govern debt collection and the collection of personal information by the Federal Government. The proposed modifications to CORES partly include requiring entities and individuals to rely primarily upon a single FRN that may, at their discretion, be linked to subsidiary or associated accounts; allowing entities to identify multiple points of contact; eliminating some of our exceptions to the requirement that entities and

individuals provide their Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN) at the time of registration; requiring FRN holders to provide their email addresses; modifying CORES log-in procedures; adding attention flags and automated notices that would inform FRN holders of their financial standing before the Commission; and adding data fields to enable FRN holders to indicate their tax-exempt status and notify the Commission of pending bankruptcy proceedings.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/01/11	76 FR 5652
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/03/11	
Public Notice .....	02/15/11	
NPRM .....	02/26/15	80 FR 10442
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/30/15	
FNPRM (Release Date).	02/27/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Warren Firschein, Attorney, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-0844, *Email:* warren.firschein@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ54

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau*

Long-Term Actions

**341. Revision of the Rules To Ensure Compatibility With Enhanced 911 Emergency Calling Systems (CC Docket No. 94-102; PS Docket No. 07-114)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 134(i); 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 208; 47 U.S.C. 215; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 309

*Abstract:* In a series of orders in several related proceedings issued since 1996, the Federal Communications Commission has taken action to improve the quality and reliability of 911 emergency services for wireless phone users. Rules have been adopted governing the availability of basic 911 services and the implementation of enhanced 911 (E911) for wireless services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
FNPRM .....	08/02/96	61 FR 40374

Action	Date	FR Cite
R&O .....	08/02/96	61 FR 40348
MO&O .....	01/16/98	63 FR 2631
Second R&O .....	06/28/99	64 FR 34564
Third R&O .....	11/04/99	64 FR 60126
Second MO&O .....	12/29/99	64 FR 72951
Fourth MO&O .....	10/02/00	65 FR 58657
FNPRM .....	06/13/01	66 FR 31878
Order .....	11/02/01	66 FR 55618
R&O .....	05/23/02	67 FR 36112
Public Notice .....	07/17/02	67 FR 46909
Order to Stay .....	07/26/02	
Order on Reconsideration.	01/22/03	68 FR 2914
FNPRM .....	01/23/03	68 FR 3214
R&O, Second FNPRM.	02/11/04	69 FR 6578
Second R&O .....	09/07/04	69 FR 54037
NPRM .....	06/20/07	72 FR 33948
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/18/07	
R&O .....	02/14/08	73 FR 8617
Public Notice .....	09/25/08	73 FR 55473
Comment Period End.	10/18/08	
Public Notice .....	11/18/09	74 FR 59539
Comment Period End.	12/04/09	
FNPRM, NOI .....	11/02/10	75 FR 67321
Second R&O .....	11/18/10	75 FR 70604
Order, Comment Period Extension.	01/07/11	76 FR 1126
Comment Period End.	02/18/11	
Final Rule .....	04/28/11	76 FR 23713
NPRM .....	08/04/11	76 FR 47114
Second FNPRM ..	08/04/11	76 FR 47114
3rd R&O .....	09/28/11	76 FR 59916
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/02/11	
3rd FNPRM .....	03/28/14	79 FR 17820
Order Extending Comment Period.	06/10/14	79 FR 33163
3rd FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/14/14	
Public Notice (release date).	11/20/14	
Public Notice Comment Period End.	12/17/14	
4th R&O .....	03/04/15	80 FR 11806
Final Rule .....	08/03/15	80 FR 45897
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Tim May, Policy and Licensing Div., Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1463, *Email:* tim.may@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AG34

**342. Enhanced 911 Services for Wireline and Multi-Line Telephone Systems; PS Docket Nos. 10–255 and 07–114**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 222; 47 U.S.C. 251

*Abstract:* The policies set forth in the Report and Order will assist State governments in drafting legislation that will ensure that multi-line telephone systems are compatible with the enhanced 911 network. The Public Notice seeks comment on whether the Commission, rather than States, should regulate multiline telephone systems, and whether part 68 of the Commission's rules should be revised.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/11/94	59 FR 54878
FNPRM .....	01/23/03	68 FR 3214
Second FNPRM ..	02/11/04	69 FR 6595
R&O .....	02/11/04	69 FR 6578
Public Notice .....	01/13/05	70 FR 2405
Comment Period End.	03/29/05	
NOI .....	01/13/11	76 FR 2297
NOI Comment Period End.	03/14/11	
Public Notice (Release Date).	05/21/12	
Public Notice Comment Period End.	08/06/12	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Tim May, Policy and Licensing Div., Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1463, *Email:* tim.may@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060–AG60

**343. In the Matter of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 229; 47 U.S.C. 1001 to 1008

*Abstract:* All of the decisions in this proceeding thus far are aimed at implementation of provisions of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/10/97	62 FR 63302
Order .....	01/13/98	63 FR 1943
FNPRM .....	11/16/98	63 FR 63639
R&O .....	01/29/99	64 FR 51462
Order .....	03/29/99	64 FR 14834
Second R&O .....	09/23/99	64 FR 51462
Third R&O .....	09/24/99	64 FR 51710

Action	Date	FR Cite
Order on Reconsideration.	09/28/99	64 FR 52244
Policy Statement	10/12/99	64 FR 55164
Second Order on Reconsideration.	05/04/01	66 FR 22446
Order .....	10/05/01	66 FR 50841
Order on Remand	05/02/02	67 FR 21999
NPRM .....	09/23/04	69 FR 56976
First R&O .....	10/13/05	70 FR 59704
Second R&O .....	07/05/06	71 FR 38091
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jane Kelly, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2832, *Email:* jane.kelly@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AG74

**344. Implementation of 911 Act (CC Docket No. 92–105, WT Docket No. 00–110)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 157; 47 U.S.C. 160; 47 U.S.C. 202; 47 U.S.C. 208; 47 U.S.C. 210; 47 U.S.C. 214; 47 U.S.C. 251(e); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 308 to 309(j); 47 U.S.C. 310

*Abstract:* This proceeding was separate from the Commission's proceeding on Enhanced 911 Emergency Systems (E911) in that it intended to implement provisions of the Wireless Communications and Public Safety Act of 1999 through the promotion of public safety by the deployment of a seamless, nationwide emergency communications infrastructure that includes wireless communications services. More specifically, the chief goal of the proceeding is to ensure that all emergency calls are routed to the appropriate local emergency authority to provide assistance. The E911 proceeding goes a step further and was aimed at improving the effectiveness and reliability of wireless 911 dispatchers with additional information on wireless 911 calls.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Fourth R&O, Third NPRM.	09/19/00	65 FR 56752
NPRM .....	09/19/00	65 FR 56757
Fifth R&O, First R&O, and MO&O.	01/14/02	67 FR 1643
Final Rule .....	01/25/02	67 FR 3621
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Tim May, Policy and Licensing Div., Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1463, *Email:* tim.may@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AH90

**345. Commission Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications (PS Docket No. 11–82)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 155; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 251

*Abstract:* The 2004 Report and Order extended the Commission's outage reporting requirements to non-wireline carriers and streamlined reporting through a new electronic template. A Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding the unique communications needs of airports also remains pending. The 2012 Report and Order extended the Commission's outage reporting requirements to interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol services where there is a complete loss of connectivity that has the potential to affect at least 900,000 user minutes. Interconnected VoIP services providers must now file outage reports through the same electronic mechanism as providers of other services. The Commission indicated that the technical issues involved in identifying and reporting significant outages of broadband Internet services require further study.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/26/04	69 FR 15761
FNPRM .....	11/26/04	69 FR 68859
R&O .....	12/03/04	69 FR 70316
Announcement of Effective Date and Partial Stay.	12/30/04	69 FR 78338
Petition for Reconsideration.	02/15/05	70 FR 7737
Amendment of Delegated Authority.	02/21/08	73 FR 9462
Public Notice .....	08/02/10	
NPRM .....	06/09/11	76 FR 33686
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/08/11	
R&O .....	04/27/12	77 FR 25088
Final Rule; Correction.	01/30/13	78 FR 6216
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Scott Mackoul, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–7498, *Email:* scott.mackoul@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060–AI22

**346. E911 Requirements for IP-Enabled Service Providers (Dockets Nos. GN 11-117, PS 07-114, WC 05-196, WC 04-36)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 251(e); 47 U.S.C. 303(r)

*Abstract:* The notice seeks comment on what additional steps the Commission should take to ensure that providers of Voice over Internet Protocol services that interconnect with the public switched telephone network to provide ubiquitous and reliable enhanced 911 service.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/29/04	69 FR 16193
NPRM .....	06/29/05	70 FR 37307
R&O .....	06/29/05	70 FR 37273
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/12/05	
NPRM .....	06/20/07	72 FR 33948
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/18/07	
FNPRM, NOI .....	11/02/10	75 FR 67321
Order, Extension of Comment Period.	01/07/11	76 FR 1126
Comment Period End.	02/18/11	
2nd FNPRM, NPRM.	08/04/11	76 FR 47114
2nd FNPRM, NPRM Comment Period End.	11/02/11	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Tim May, Policy and Licensing Div., Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1463, *Email:* tim.may@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AI62

**347. Wireless E911 Location Accuracy Requirements; PS Docket No. 07-114**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 332

*Abstract:* This is related to the proceedings in which the FCC has previously acted to improve the quality of all emergency services. Wireless carriers must provide specific automatic location information in connection with 911 emergency calls to Public Safety Answering Points (PSAPs). Wireless licensees must satisfy Enhanced 911 location accuracy standards at either a county-based or a PSAP-based geographic level.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/20/07	72 FR 33948

Action	Date	FR Cite
R&O .....	02/14/08	73 FR 8617
Public Notice .....	09/25/08	73 FR 55473
FNPRM; NOI .....	11/02/10	75 FR 67321
Public Notice .....	11/18/09	74 FR 59539
2nd R&O .....	11/18/10	75 FR 70604
Second NPRM .....	08/04/11	76 FR 47114
Second NPRM Comment Period End.	11/02/11	
Final Rule .....	04/28/11	76 FR 23713
NPRM, 3rd R&O, and 2nd FNPRM.	09/28/11	76 FR 59916
3rd FNPRM .....	03/28/14	79 FR 17820
Order Extending Comment Period.	06/10/14	79 FR 33163
3rd FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/14/14	
Public Notice (Release Date).	11/20/14	
Public Notice Comment Period End.	12/17/14	
4th R&O .....	03/04/15	80 FR 11806
Final Rule .....	08/03/15	80 FR 45897
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Tim May, Policy and Licensing Div., Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1463, *Email:* tim.may@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AJ52

**348. 700 MHz Public Safety Broadband—First Net (PS Docket Nos. 12-94 & 06-229 and WT 06-150)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 301 to 303; 47 U.S.C. 307 to 309; Public Law 112-96

*Abstract:* This action proposes technical rules to protect against harmful radio frequency interference in the spectrum designated for public safety services under the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/24/13	78 FR 24138
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/24/13	
R&O .....	01/06/14	79 FR 588
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Roberto Mussenden, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1428, *Email:* roberto.mussenden@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AJ99

**349. Proposed Amendments to Service Rules Governing Public Safety Narrowband Operations in the 769-775 and 799-805 MHz Bands**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 160; 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 337(a); 47 U.S.C. 403

*Abstract:* This proceeding seeks to amend the Commission's rules to promote spectrum efficiency, interoperability, and flexibility in 700 MHz public safety narrowband operations (769775/799805 MHz).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/19/13	78 FR 23529
Final Rule .....	12/20/14	79 FR 71321
Final Rule Effective.	01/02/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Brian Marenco, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-0838, *Email:* brian.marenco@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AK19

**350. Improving Outage Reporting for Submarine Cables and Enhancing Submarine Cable Outage Data; GN Docket No. 15-206**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 34-39; 47 U.S.C. 301

*Abstract:* This proceeding takes steps toward assuring the reliability and resiliency of submarine cables, a critical piece of the Nation's communications infrastructure, by proposing to require submarine cable licensees to report to the Commission when outages occur and communications are disrupted. The Commission's intent is to enhance national security and emergency preparedness by these actions.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM (Release Date).	09/17/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Michael Saperstein, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7008, *Email:* michael.saperstein@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060-AK39

**351. Amendments to Part 4 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications; PS Docket No. 15-80**

*Legal Authority:* 47 CFR 0; 47 CFR 4; 47 CFR 63

*Abstract:* The 2004 Report and Order extended the Commission's communication disruptions reporting rules to non-wireline carriers and streamlined reporting through a new electronic template, see docket ET Docket 04-35. In 2015, this proceeding, PS Docket 15-80, was opened to amend the original communications disruption reporting rules from 2004 in order to reflect technology transitions observed throughout the telecommunications sector. The Commission seeks to further study the possibility to share the reporting database information and access with state and other federal entities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/16/15	80 FR 34321
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/31/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brenda Villanueva, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-7005. RIN: 3060-AK40

**352. New Part 4 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Disruptions to Communications; ET Docket No. 04-35**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 155; 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 251; 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 316

*Abstract:* The proceeding creates a new part 4 in title 47, and amends part 63.100. The proceeding updates the Commission's communication disruptions reporting rules for wireline providers formerly found in 47 CFR 63.100, and extends these rules to other non-wireline providers. Through this proceeding, the Commission streamlines the reporting process through an electronic template. The Report and Order received several petitions for reconsideration, of which two were eventually withdrawn, and in 2015, seven are addressed in an Order on Reconsideration. Two petitions remain pending regarding NORS database sharing with states and communication disruptions at airports. The former is addressed in a separate proceeding, PS

Docket 15-80. To the extent the communication disruption rules cover VoIP, the Commission studies and addresses these questions in a separate docket, PS Docket 11-82.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/26/04	69 FR 15761
R&O .....	11/26/04	69 FR 68859
Denial for Petition for Partial Stay.	12/02/04	
Seek Comment on Petition for Recon.	02/02/10	
Reply Period End	03/19/10	
Seek Comment on Broadband and Inter-connected VOIP Service Providers.	07/02/10	
Reply Period End R&O and Order on Recon.	08/16/12	
Next Action Undetermined.	06/16/15	80 FR 34321

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brenda Villanueva, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-7005. RIN: 3060-AK41

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*Wireless Telecommunications Bureau*

Long-Term Actions

**353. Reexamination of Roaming Obligations of Commercial Mobile Radio Service Providers**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152(n); 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 201(b); 47 U.S.C. 251(a); 47 U.S.C. 253; 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 332(c)(1)(B); 47 U.S.C. 309

*Abstract:* This rulemaking considers whether the Commission should adopt an automatic roaming rule for voice services for Commercial Mobile Radio Services and whether the Commission should adopt a roaming rule for mobile data services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/21/00	65 FR 69891
NPRM .....	09/28/05	70 FR 56612
NPRM .....	01/19/06	71 FR 3029
FNPRM .....	08/30/07	72 FR 50085
Final Rule .....	08/30/07	72 FR 50064
Final Rule .....	04/28/10	75 FR 22263
FNPRM .....	04/28/10	75 FR 22338

Action	Date	FR Cite
2nd R&O .....	05/06/11	76 FR 26199
Order on Recon ..	06/25/14	79 FR 43956
Declaratory Ruling (release date).	12/18/14	
Comment Period End.	02/14/15	
Reply Comment Period End.	02/19/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jennifer Salhus, Attorney, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-2823, Email: jsalhus@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060-AH83

**354. Review of Part 87 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Aviation (WT Docket No. 01-289)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307(e)

*Abstract:* This proceeding is intended to streamline, consolidate, and revise our part 87 rules governing the Aviation Radio Service. The rule changes are designed to ensure these rules reflect current technological advances.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/16/01	66 FR 64785
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/14/02	
R&O and FNPRM	10/16/03	
FNPRM .....	04/12/04	69 FR 19140
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/12/04	
R&O .....	06/14/04	69 FR 32577
NPRM .....	12/06/06	71 FR 70710
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/06/07	
Final Rule .....	12/06/06	71 FR 70671
3rd R&O .....	03/29/11	76 FR 17347
Stay Order .....	03/29/11	76 FR 17353
3rd FNPRM .....	01/30/13	78 FR 6276
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jeff Tobias, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, Phone: 202 418-0680, Email: jeff.tobias@fcc.gov.

RIN: 3060-AI35

**355. Implementation of the Commercial Spectrum Enhancement Act (CSEA) and Modernization of the Commission's Competitive Bidding Rules and Procedures (WT Docket No. 05–211)**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 79; 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and (j); 47 U.S.C. 155; 47 U.S.C. 155(c); 47 U.S.C. 157; 47 U.S.C. 225; 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 309(j); 47 U.S.C. 325(e); 47 U.S.C. 334; 47 U.S.C. 336; 47 U.S.C. 339; 47 U.S.C. 554

*Abstract:* This proceeding implements rules and procedures needed to comply with the Commercial Spectrum Enhancement Act (CSEA). It establishes a mechanism for reimbursing Federal agencies' out-of-spectrum auction proceeds for the cost of relocating their operations from certain "eligible frequencies" that have been reallocated from Federal to non-Federal use. It also seeks to improve the Commission's ability to achieve Congress' directives with regard to designated entities and to ensure that, in accordance with the intent of Congress, every recipient of its designated entity benefits is an entity that uses its licenses to directly provide facilities-based telecommunications services for the benefit of the public.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/14/05	70 FR 43372
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/26/05	
Declaratory Ruling R&O .....	06/14/05	70 FR 43322
FNPRM .....	01/24/06	71 FR 6214
FNPRM Comment Period End.	02/03/06	71 FR 6992
Second R&O .....	02/24/06	
Order on Reconsideration of Second R&O.	04/25/06	71 FR 26245
NPRM .....	06/21/06	71 FR 35594
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/21/06	
Reply Comment Period End.	09/19/06	
Second Order and Reconsideration of Second R&O.	04/04/08	73 FR 18528
Order .....	02/01/12	77 FR 16470
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Kelly Quinn, Assistant Chief, Auctions and Spectrum Access Division, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0660, *Email:* kelly.quinn@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–A188

**356. Facilitating the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational, and Other Advanced Services in the 2150–2162 and 2500–2690 MHz Bands**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 301 to 303; 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 332; 47 U.S.C. 336 and 337

*Abstract:* The Commission seeks comment on whether to assign Educational Broadband Service (EBS) spectrum in the Gulf of Mexico. It also seeks comment on how to license unassigned and available EBS spectrum. Specifically, we seek comment on whether it would be in the public interest to develop a scheme for licensing unassigned EBS spectrum that avoids mutual exclusivity; we ask whether EBS eligible entities could participate fully in a spectrum auction; we seek comment on the use of small business size standards and bidding credits for EBS if we adopt a licensing scheme that could result in mutually exclusive applications; we seek comment on the proper market size and size of spectrum blocks for new EBS licenses; and we seek comment on issuing one license to a State agency designated by the Governor to be the spectrum manager, using frequency coordinators to avoid mutually exclusive EBS applications, as well as other alternative licensing schemes. The Commission must develop a new licensing scheme for EBS in order to achieve the Commission's goal of facilitating the development of new and innovative wireless services for the benefit of students throughout the Nation. In addition, the Commission has sought comment on a proposal intended to make it possible to use wider channel bandwidths for the provision of broadband services in these spectrum bands. The proposed changes may permit operators to use spectrum more efficiently, and to provide higher data rates to consumers, thereby advancing key goals of the National Broadband Plan.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/02/03	68 FR 34560
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/08/03	
FNPRM .....	07/29/04	69 FR 72048
FNPRM Comment Period End.	01/10/03	
R&O .....	07/29/04	69 FR 72020
MO&O .....	04/27/06	71 FR 35178
FNPRM .....	03/20/08	73 FR 26067
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/07/08	
MO&O .....	03/20/08	73 FR 26032
MO&O .....	09/28/09	74 FR 49335

Action	Date	FR Cite
FNPRM .....	09/28/09	74 FR 49356
FNPRM Comment Period End.	10/13/09	
R&O .....	06/03/10	75 FR 33729
FNPRM .....	05/27/11	76 FR 32901
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/22/11	
R&O .....	07/16/14	79 FR 41448
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* John Schauble, Deputy Chief, Broadband Division, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0797, *Email:* john.schauble@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060–A112

**357. Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services in the 2155–2175 MHz Band; WT Docket No. 13–185**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 and 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 157; 47 U.S.C. 160; 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 214; 47 U.S.C. 301

*Abstract:* This proceeding explores the possible uses of the 2155–2175 MHz frequency band (AWS–3) to support the introduction of new advanced wireless services, including third generation and future generations of wireless systems. Advanced wireless systems could provide for a wide range of voice data and broadband services over a variety of mobile and fixed networks. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) sought comment on what service rules should be adopted in the AWS–3 band. We requested comment on rules for licensing this spectrum in a manner that will permit it to be fully and promptly used to bring advanced wireless services to American consumers. Our objective is to allow for the most effective and efficient use of the spectrum in this band, while also encouraging development of robust wireless broadband services. We proposed to apply our flexible, market-oriented rules to the band to do so. Thereafter, the Commission released a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM), seeking comment on the Commission's proposed AWS–3 rules, which include adding 5 megahertz of spectrum (2175–80 MHz) to the AWS–3 band, and requiring licensees of that spectrum to provide—using up to 25 percent of its wireless network capacity—free, two-way broadband Internet service at engineered data rates of at least 768 kbps downstream.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/14/07	72 FR 64013
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/14/08	
FNPRM .....	06/25/08	73 FR 35995
FNPRM Comment Period End.	08/11/08	
FNPRM .....	08/20/13	78 FR 51559
FNPRM Comment Period End.	10/16/13	
R&O .....	06/04/14	79 FR 32366
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Peter Daronco, Deputy Division Chief, Broadband Division, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7235, *Email:* peter.daronco@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ19

**358. Amendment of the Commission's Rules To Improve Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, and To Consolidate the 800 MHz and 900 MHz Business and Industrial/Land Transportation Pool Channels**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 332

*Abstract:* This action adopts rules that retain the current site-based licensing paradigm for the 900 MHz B/ILT "white space"; adopts interference protection rules applicable to all licensees operating in the 900 MHz B/ILT spectrum; and lifts, on a rolling basis, the freeze placed on applications for new 900 MHz B/ILT licenses in September 2004—the lift being tied to the completion of rebanding in each 800 MHz National Public Safety Planning Advisory Committee (NPSPAC) region.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/18/05	70 FR 13143
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/12/05	70 FR 23080
Final Rule .....	12/16/08	73 FR 67794
Petition for Reconsideration.	03/12/09	74 FR 10739
Order on Reconsideration.	07/17/13	78 FR 42701
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Joyce Jones, Attorney Advisor, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1327, *Email:* joyce.jones@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AJ22

**359. Amendment of Part 101 To Accommodate 30 MHz Channels in the 6525-6875 MHz Band and Provide Conditional Authorization on Channels in the 21.8-22.0 and 23.0-23.2 GHz Band (WT Docket No. 04-114)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 and 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 157; 47 U.S.C. 160; 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 214; 47 U.S.C. 301 to 303; 47 U.S.C. 307 to 310; 47 U.S.C. 319; 47 U.S.C. 324; 47 U.S.C. 332 and 333

*Abstract:* The Commission seeks comments on modifying its rules to authorize channels with bandwidths of as much as 30 MHz in the 6525-6875 MHz band. We also propose to allow conditional authorization on additional channels in the 21.8-22.0 and 23.0-23.2 GHz bands.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/29/09	74 FR 36134
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/22/09	
R&O .....	06/11/10	75 FR 41767
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Schauble, Deputy Chief, Broadband Division, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-0797, *Email:* john.schauble@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ28

**360. Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 303

*Abstract:* This proceeding considers rule changes impacting miscellaneous part 90 Private Land Mobile Radio rules.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/13/07	72 FR 32582
FNPRM .....	04/14/10	75 FR 19340
Order on Reconsideration.	05/27/10	75 FR 29677
5th R&O .....	05/16/13	78 FR 28749
Petition for Reconsideration.	07/23/13	78 FR 44091
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rodney P Conway, Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th

Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2904, *Fax:* 202 418-1944, *Email:* rodney.conway@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ37

**361. Amendment of Part 101 of the Commission's Rules for Microwave Use and Broadcast Auxiliary Service Flexibility**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 and 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 157; 47 U.S.C. 160 and 201; 47 U.S.C. 214; 47 U.S.C. 301 to 303; 47 U.S.C. 307 to 310; 47 U.S.C. 319 and 324; 47 U.S.C. 332 and 333

*Abstract:* In this document, the Commission commences a proceeding to remove regulatory barriers to the use of spectrum for wireless backhaul and other point-to-point and point-to-multipoint communications.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/05/10	75 FR 52185
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/22/10	
R&O .....	09/27/11	76 FR 59559
FNPRM .....	09/27/11	76 FR 59614
FNPRM Comment Period End.	10/25/11	
R&O .....	09/05/12	77 FR 54421
FNPRM .....	09/05/12	77 FR 54511
FNPRM Comment Period End.	10/22/12	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Schauble, Deputy Chief, Broadband Division, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-0797, *Email:* john.schauble@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ47

**362. Universal Service Reform Mobility Fund (WT Docket No. 10-208)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 155; 47 U.S.C. 160; 47 U.S.C. 201; 47 U.S.C. 205; 47 U.S.C. 225; 47 U.S.C. 254; 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 303(c); 47 U.S.C. 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 303(y); 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 310

*Abstract:* This proceeding establishes the Mobility Fund which provides an initial infusion of funds toward solving persistent gaps in mobile services through targeted, one-time support for the build-out of current and next-generation wireless infrastructure in areas where these services are unavailable.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/14/10	75 FR 67060
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/18/11	
R&O .....	11/29/11	76 FR 73830
FNPRM .....	12/16/11	76 FR 78384
R&O .....	12/28/11	76 FR 81562
2nd R&O .....	07/03/12	77 FR 39435
4th Order on Recon.	08/14/12	77 FR 48453
FNPRM .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis  
Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Scott Mackoul,  
Attorney Advisor, Federal  
Communications Commission, Public  
Safety and Homeland Security Bureau,  
445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC  
20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7498, *Email:*  
*scott.mackoul@fcc.gov.*  
*RIN:* 3060-AJ58

**363. Fixed and Mobile Services in the  
Mobile Satellite Service Bands at 1525-  
1559 MHz and 1626.5-1660.5 MHz,  
1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500  
MHz, and 2000-2020 MHz and 2180-  
2200 MHz**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 and  
154; 47 U.S.C. 303 and 310

*Abstract:* The Commission proposes  
steps making additional spectrum  
available for new investment in mobile  
broadband networks while ensuring that  
the United States maintains robust  
mobile satellite service capabilities.  
Mobile broadband is emerging as one of  
America's most dynamic innovation and  
economic platforms. Yet tremendous  
demand growth soon will test the limits  
of spectrum availability. Some 90  
megahertz of spectrum allocated to the  
Mobile Satellite Service (MSS)—in the 2  
GHz band, Big LEO band, and L-band—  
are potentially available for terrestrial  
mobile broadband use. The Commission  
seeks to remove regulatory barriers to  
terrestrial use, and to promote  
additional investments, such as those  
recently made possible by a transaction  
between Harbinger Capital Partners and  
SkyTerra Communications, while  
retaining sufficient market-wide MSS  
capability. The Commission proposes to  
add co-primary Fixed and Mobile  
allocations to the 2 GHz band,  
consistent with the International Table  
of Allocations. This allocation  
modification is a precondition for more  
flexible licensing of terrestrial services  
within the band. Second, the  
Commission proposes to apply the  
Commission's secondary market  
policies and rules applicable to  
terrestrial services to all transactions  
involving the use of MSS bands for  
terrestrial services to create greater

predictability and regulatory parity with  
bands licensed for terrestrial mobile  
broadband service. The Commission  
also requests comment on further steps  
we can take to increase the value,  
utilization, innovation, and investment  
in MSS spectrum generally.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/15/10	75 FR 49871
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/30/10	
R&O .....	04/06/11	76 FR 31252
Next Action Unde- termined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis  
Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Blaise Scinto, Chief,  
Broadband Div., WTB, Federal  
Communications Commission, 445 12th  
Street SW., Washington, DC 20554,  
*Phone:* 202 418-1380, *Email:*  
*blaise.scinto@fcc.gov.*  
*RIN:* 3060-AJ59

**364. Improving Spectrum Efficiency  
Through Flexible Channel Spacing and  
Bandwidth Utilization for Economic  
Area-Based 800 MHz Specialized  
Mobile Radio Licensees (WT Docket  
Nos. 12-64 and 11-110)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47  
U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 301;  
47 U.S.C. 302(a); 47 U.S.C. 303; 47  
U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 308

*Abstract:* This proceeding was  
initiated to allow EA-based 800 MHz  
SMR licensees in 813.5-824/858.5-869  
MHz to exceed the channel spacing and  
bandwidth limitation in section 90.209  
of the Commission's rules, subject to  
conditions.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/29/12	77 FR 18991
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/13/12	
R&O .....	05/24/12	77 FR 33972
Petition for Recon Public Notice.	08/16/12	77 FR 53163
Petition for Recon PN Comment Period End.	09/27/12	
Next Action Unde- termined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis  
Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Linda Chang,  
Attorney, Deputy Div. Chief, Federal  
Communications Commission, Wireless  
Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th  
Street SW., Washington, DC 20554,  
*Phone:* 202 418-1339, *Fax:* 202 418-  
7447, *Email:* *linda.chang@fcc.gov.*  
*RIN:* 3060-AJ71

**365. Service Rules for Advanced  
Wireless Services in the 2000-2020  
MHz and 2180-2200 MHz Bands**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47  
U.S.C. 153; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C.  
227; 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 302; 47  
U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 308;  
47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 310; 47 U.S.C.  
316; 47 U.S.C. 319; 47 U.S.C. 324; 47  
U.S.C. 332; 47 U.S.C. 333

*Abstract:* In the Report and Order, the  
Commission increased the Nation's  
supply of spectrum for mobile  
broadband by removing unnecessary  
barriers to flexible use of spectrum  
currently assigned to the Mobile  
Satellite Service (MSS) in the 2 GHz  
band. This action carries out a  
recommendation in the National  
Broadband Plan that the Commission  
enable the provision of standalone  
terrestrial services in this spectrum. We  
do so by adopting service, technical,  
assignment, and licensing rules for this  
spectrum. These rules are designed to  
provide for flexible use of this spectrum,  
encourage innovation and investment in  
mobile broadband, and provide a stable  
regulatory environment in which  
broadband deployment could develop.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/17/12	
NPRM .....	04/17/12	77 FR 22720
R&O .....	05/05/13	78 FR 8229
Next Action Unde- termined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis  
Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Peter Daronco,  
Deputy Division Chief, Broadband  
Division, Federal Communications  
Commission, Wireless  
Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th  
Street SW., Washington, DC 20554,  
*Phone:* 202 418-7235, *Email:*  
*peter.daronco@fcc.gov.*  
*RIN:* 3060-AJ73

**366. Expanding the Economic and  
Innovation Opportunities of Spectrum  
Through Incentive Auctions; Docket  
No. 12-268**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C.  
309(j)(8)(G); 47 U.S.C. 1452

*Abstract:* In February 2012, the  
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job  
Creation Act was enacted (Pub. L. 112-  
96, 126 Stat. 156 (2012)). Title VI of that  
statute, commonly known as the  
Spectrum Act, provides the Commission  
with the authority to conduct incentive  
auctions to meet the growing demand  
for wireless broadband. Pursuant to the  
Spectrum Act, the Commission may

conduct incentive auctions that will offer new initial spectrum licenses subject to flexible-use service rules on spectrum made available by licensees that voluntarily relinquish some or all of their spectrum usage rights in exchange for a portion, based on the value of the relinquished rights as determined by an auction, of the proceeds of bidding for the new licenses. In addition to granting the Commission general authority to conduct incentive auctions, the Spectrum Act requires the Commission to conduct an incentive auction of broadcast TV spectrum and sets forth special requirements for such an auction.

The incentive auction will consist of a reverse auction” to determine the amount of compensation that each broadcast television licensee would accept in return for voluntarily relinquishing some or all of its spectrum usage rights and a forward auction” that will allow mobile broadband providers to bid for licenses in the reallocated spectrum. Broadcast television licensees who elect voluntarily to participate in the auction have three basic options: Voluntarily go off the air, share their spectrum, or move channels in exchange for receiving part of the proceeds from auctioning that spectrum to wireless providers.

In June 2014, the Commission adopted a Report and Order that laid out the broad rules for the incentive auction. Consistent with past practice, in December 2014, a public notice was issued asking for comment specific key components related to implementing the June 2014 Report and Order. The public notice asking for comment will be followed by a public notice with the specific procedures about how to participate in the incentive auction. The start of the Incentive Auction is planned for early 2016.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/21/12	77 FR 69933
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/02/13	
R&O .....	08/15/14	79 FR 48441
Notice .....	01/29/15	80 FR 4816
Notice Comment Period End.	03/13/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Rachel Kazan, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1500, *Email:* rachel.kazan@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–A]82

**367. Service Rules for Advanced Wireless Services of the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 Related to the 1915–1920 MHz and 1995–2000 MHz Bands (WT Docket No. 12–357)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 302; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 308; 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 310

*Abstract:* The Commission proposes rules for the Advanced Wireless Services (AWS) H Block that would make available 10 megahertz of flexible use. The proposal would extend the widely deployed Personal Communications Services (PCS) band, which is used by the four national providers as well as regional and rural providers to offer mobile service across the nation. The additional spectrum for mobile use will help ensure that the speed, capacity, and ubiquity of the Nation’s wireless networks keeps pace with the skyrocketing demand for mobile services.

Today’s action is a first step to implement the congressional directive in the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012 (Spectrum Act) to grant new initial licenses for the 1915–1920 MHz and 1995–2000 MHz bands (the Lower H Block and Upper H Block, respectively) through a system of competitive bidding, unless doing so would cause harmful interference to commercial mobile service licenses in the 1930–1985 MHz (PCS downlink) band. The potential for harmful interference to the PCS downlink band relates only to the Lower H Block transmissions, and may be addressed by appropriate technical rules, including reduced power limits on H Block devices. We, therefore, propose to pair and license the Lower H Block and the Upper H Block for flexible use, including mobile broadband, aiming to assign the licenses through competitive bidding in 2013. In the event that we conclude that the Lower H Block cannot be used without causing harmful interference to PCS, we propose to license the Upper H Block for full power, and seek comment on appropriate use for the Lower H Block, including Unlicensed PCS.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/08/13	78 FR 1166
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/06/13	
R&O .....	08/16/13	78 FR 50213
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Peter Daronco, Deputy Division Chief, Broadband Division, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–7235, *Email:* peter.daronco@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–A]86

**368. Amendment of Parts 1, 2, 22, 24, 27, 90 and 95 of the Commission’s Rules To Improve Wireless Coverage Through the Use of Signal Boosters (WT Docket No. 10–4)**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 79; 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 155; 47 U.S.C. 157; 47 U.S.C. 225; 47 U.S.C. 227; 47 U.S.C. 303(r)

*Abstract:* This action adopts new technical, operational, and registration requirements for signal boosters. It creates two classes of signal boosters—consumer and industrial—with distinct regulatory requirements for each, thereby establishing a two-step transition process for equipment certification for both consumer and industrial signal boosters sold and marketed in the United States.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/10/11	76 FR 26983
R&O .....	04/11/13	78 FR 21555
Petition for Reconsideration.	06/06/13	78 FR 34015
Order on Reconsideration.	11/08/14	79 FR 70790
FNPRM .....	11/28/14	79 FR 70837
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Amanda Huetinck, Attorney Advisor, WTB, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–7090, *Email:* amanda.huetinck@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–A]87

**369. Amendment of the Commission’s Rules Governing Certain Aviation Ground Station Equipment (Squitter) (WT Docket Nos. 10–61 and 09–42)**

*Legal Authority:* 48 Stat 1066, 1082 as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307(e); 47 U.S.C. 151 to 156; 47 U.S.C. 301

*Abstract:* This action amends part 87 rules to authorize new ground station technologies to promote safety and allow use of frequency 1090 MHz by aeronautical utility mobile stations for

airport surface detection equipment (commonly referred to as “squitters”) to help reduce collisions between aircraft and airport ground vehicles.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/28/10	75 FR 22352
R&O .....	03/01/13	78 FR 61023
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Tim Maguire, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2155, *Fax:* 202 418–7247, *Email:* tim.maguire@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AJ88

**370. Amendment of the Commission’s Rules Concerning Commercial Radio Operators (WT Docket No. 10–177)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 332(a)2

*Abstract:* This action amends parts 0, 1, 13, 80, and 87 of the Commission’s rules concerning commercial radio operator licenses for maritime and aviation radio stations in order to reduce administrative burdens on the telecom industry.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/29/10	75 FR 66709
R&O .....	05/29/13	78 FR 32165
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Stanislava Kimball, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1306, *Email:* stanislava.kimball@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AJ91

**371. Radiolocation Operations in the 78–81 GHz Band; WT Docket No. 11–202**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 307(e)

*Abstract:* We amend our rules to permit the certification, licensing, and use of foreign object debris (FOD) detection radar equipment in the 78–81 GHz band. The presence of FOD on airport runways, taxiways, aprons, and ramps poses a significant threat to the safety of air travel. FOD detection radar equipment will be authorized on a licensed basis under part 90 of our rules. Authorization of other potential

radiolocation uses of the 78–81 GHz band will be considered in other proceedings.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/11/12	77 FR 1661
R&O .....	07/26/13	78 FR 45072
NPRM .....	03/06/15	80 FR 12120
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Tim Maguire, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2155, *Fax:* 202 418–7247, *Email:* tim.maguire@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AK04

**372. Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission’s Rules To Permit Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA) Technology; WT Docket No. 11–6**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 161; 47 U.S.C. 303(g); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 332(c)(7)

*Abstract:* We modify our rules to permit the certification and use of Terrestrial Trunked Radio (TETRA) equipment under part 90 of our rules. TETRA is a spectrally efficient digital technology with the potential to provide valuable benefits to land mobile radio users, such as higher security and lower latency than comparable technologies. It does not, however, conform to all of our current part 90 technical rules. In the Notice of Proposed Rule Making and Order (NPRM) in this proceeding, the Commission proposed to amend part 90 to accommodate TETRA technology. We conclude that modifying the part 90 rules to permit the certification and use of TETRA equipment in two bands—the 450–470 MHz portion of the UHF band (421–512 MHz) and Business/Industrial Land Transportation 800 MHz band channels (809–824/854–869 MHz) that are not in the National Public Safety Planning Advisory Committee (NPSPAC) portion of the band—will give private land mobile radio (PLMR) licensees additional equipment alternatives without increasing the potential for interference or other adverse effects on other licensees.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/11/11	76 FR 27296
R&O .....	10/10/12	77 FR 61535
Order on Reconsideration.	08/09/13	78 FR 48627
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Tim Maguire, Electronics Engineer, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2155, *Fax:* 202 418–7247, *Email:* tim.maguire@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AK05

**373. Promoting Technological Solutions To Combat Wireless Contraband Device Use in Correctional Facilities**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 303(a); 47 U.S.C. 303(b); 47 U.S.C. 307; 47 U.S.C. 308; 47 U.S.C. 309; 47 U.S.C. 310; 47 U.S.C. 332

*Abstract:* In this proceeding, the Commission proposes rules to encourage development of multiple technological solutions to combat the use of contraband wireless devices in correctional facilities nationwide. The Commission proposes to streamline rules governing lease agreement modifications between wireless providers and managed access system operators. It also proposes to require wireless providers to terminate service to a contraband wireless device.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/18/13	78 FR 36469
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/08/13	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Melissa Conway, Attorney Advisor, Wireless Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2887, *Email:* melissa.conway@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AK06

**374. Enabling Small Cell Use in the 3.5 GHz Band**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 302(a); 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 304; 47 U.S.C. 307(e); 47 U.S.C. 316

*Abstract:* The NPRM proposed to create a Citizens Broadband Service, licensed-by-rule pursuant to section 307(e) of the Communications Act and classified as a Citizens Band Service under part 95 of the Commission’s rules. Access to and use of the 3.5 GHz band would be managed by a spectrum access system (SAS), incorporating a geo-location enabled dynamic database (similar to TVWS).

The Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposes to create a new Citizens Broadband Radio Service in the 3550–3650 MHz band to be governed by a new part 96 of the Commission’s rules. Access to and use of the 3550–3650 MHz band would be managed by a spectrum access system, incorporating a geo-location enabled dynamic database.

The Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking adopted by the Commission established a new Citizens Broadband Radio Service for shared wireless broadband use of the 3550–3700 MHz band. The Citizens Broadband Radio Service is governed by a three-tiered spectrum authorization framework to accommodate a variety of commercial uses on a shared basis with incumbent federal and non-federal users of the band. Access and operations will be managed by a dynamic spectrum access system. The three tiers are: Incumbent Access, Priority Access, and General Authorized Access. Rules governing the Citizens Broadband Radio Service are found in Part 96 of the Commission’s rules.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/08/13	78 FR 1188
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/19/13	
FNPRM .....	06/02/14	79 FR 31247
FNPRM Comment Period End.	08/15/14	
R&O and 2nd FNPRM.	06/15/15	80 FR 34119
2nd FNPRM Comment Period End.	08/14/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Paul Powell, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1613, *Email:* paul.powell@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AK12

**375. 800 MHz Cellular Telecommunications Licensing Reform; Docket No. 12–40**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 301 to 303; 47 U.S.C. 308; 47 U.S.C. 309(j); 47 U.S.C. 332

*Abstract:* The proceeding was launched to revisit and update various rules governing licensing for the 800 MHz cellular radiotelephone service. Most notably, the current site-based model for issuing licenses is under review, mindful of the evolution of this

commercial wireless mobile service since its inception more than 30 years ago and the licensing models used for newer wireless telecommunications services.

On November 10, 2014, the FCC released a Report and Order (R&O) and a companion Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM) to revise rules governing the 800 MHz Cellular Service. In the R&O, the FCC eliminated various regulatory requirements and streamlined requirements remaining in place, while retaining Cellular Service licensees’ ability to expand into an area that is not yet licensed. In the FNPRM, the FCC proposes and seeks comment on additional Cellular Service reforms of licensing rules and the radiated power rules, to promote flexibility and help foster the deployment of newer technologies such as LTE.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/16/12	77 FR 15665
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/15/12	
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	06/14/12	
R&O .....	12/05/14	79 FR 72143
FNPRM .....	12/22/14	79FR 76268
Final Rule Effective (with 3 exceptions).	01/05/15	
FNPRM Comment Period End.	01/21/15	
FNPRM Reply Comment Period End.	02/20/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Nina Shafran, Attorney Advisor, Wireless Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2781, *Email:* nina.shafran@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AK13

**376. Updating Competitive Bidding Rules**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 309(j); 47 U.S.C. 316

*Abstract:* This proceeding was initiated to revise some of the Commission’s general part 1 rules governing competitive bidding for spectrum licenses to reflect changes in the marketplace, including the challenges faced by new entrants, as well as to advance the statutory directive to ensure that small businesses, rural telephone companies, and businesses owned by members of

minority groups and women are given the opportunity to participate in the provision of spectrum-based services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/14/14	79 FR 68172
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/06/15	
Public Notice .....	03/16/15	80 FR 15715
R&O .....	07/21/15	80 FR 56764
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Kelly Quinn, Assistant Chief, Auctions and Spectrum Access Division, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0660, *Email:* kelly.quinn@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AK28

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*Wireless Telecommunications Bureau*

**Completed Actions**

**377. Rules Authorizing the Operation of Low Power Auxiliary Stations in the 698–806 MHz Band (WT Docket No. 08–166) Public Interest Spectrum Coalition, Petition for Rulemaking Regarding Low Power Auxiliary**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 and 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 301 and 302(a); 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 304; 47 U.S.C. 307 to 309; 47 U.S.C. 316; 47 U.S.C. 332; 47 U.S.C. 336 and 337

*Abstract:* In 2010, the Commission: Prohibited the distribution and sale of wireless microphones that operate in the 700 MHz Band (TV channels 52–69); ordered that the band be cleared of these devices; authorized unlicensed wireless microphone operations subject to conditions; and sought comment on issues including the operation of low power auxiliary stations including wireless microphones in the core TV bands (channels 52–36, 38–51), and on license eligibility.

On June 2, 2014, the Commission released a Second Report and Order to provide a limited expansion of the types of entities eligible for a low power auxiliary station license under part 74 of its rules to include qualifying professional sound companies, as well as owners and operators of large venues, as further explained in the order. The Commission also: (1) Denied requests to expand eligibility under part 74 to

include nuclear power plants, but modified a previous waiver concerning the operation of unlicensed low power auxiliary devices both inside and outside the plants; (2) adopted provisions to condition any new LPAS licenses on the requirement to cease operating in repurposed UHF spectrum in connection with the Commission's Incentive Auction Report and Order in GN Docket No. 12–268 (FCC 14–50); and (3) provided newly eligible licensees with an initial and renewal license term not to exceed 10 years.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/03/08	73 FR 51406
NPRM Comment Period End.	10/20/08	
R&O .....	01/22/10	75 FR 3622
FNPRM .....	01/22/10	75 FR 3682
FNPRM Comment Period End.	03/22/10	
Public Notice .....	10/05/12	
Second R&O .....	07/14/14	79 FR 40680

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* G. William Stafford, Attorney, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–0563, *Email:* bill.stafford@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AJ21

**378. In the Matter of Service Rules for the 698 to 746, 747 to 762, and 777 to 792 MHz Bands**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 309

*Abstract:* This is one of several docketed proceedings involved in the establishment of rules governing wireless licenses in the 698–806 MHz band (the 700 MHz band). This spectrum is being vacated by television broadcasters in TV channels 52–69. It is being made available for wireless services, including public safety and commercial services, as a result of the digital television (DTV) transition. This docket has to do with service rules for the commercial services, and is known as the 700 MHz Commercial Services proceeding.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/03/06	71 FR 48506
NPRM .....	09/20/06	
FNPRM .....	05/02/07	72 FR 24238
FNPRM Comment Period End.	05/23/07	
R&O .....	07/31/07	72 FR 48814
Order on Reconsideration.	09/24/07	72 FR 56015
Second FNPRM ..	05/14/08	73 FR 29582

Action	Date	FR Cite
Second FNPRM Comment Period End.	06/20/08	
Third FNPRM .....	09/05/08	73 FR 57750
Third FNPRM Comment Period End.	11/03/08	
Second R&O .....	02/20/09	74 FR 8868
Final Rule .....	03/04/09	74 FR 8868
Order on Reconsideration.	03/01/13	78 FR 19424

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Paul D'Ari, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1550, *Email:* paul.dari@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AJ35

**379. 2004 and 2006 Biennial Regulatory Reviews—Streamlining and Other Revisions of the Commission's Rules Governing Construction, Marking, and Lighting of Antenna Structures**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i)–(j) and 161; 47 U.S.C. 303(q)

*Abstract:* In this NPRM, in WT Docket No. 10–88, the Commission seeks comment on revisions to part 17 of the Commission's rules governing construction, marking, and lighting of antenna structures. The Commission initiated this proceeding to update and modernize the part 17 rules. These proposed revisions are intended to improve compliance with these rules and allow the Commission to enforce them more effectively, helping to better ensure the safety of pilots and aircraft passengers nationwide. The proposed revisions also would remove outdated and burdensome requirements without compromising the Commission's statutory responsibility to prevent antenna structures from being hazards or menaces to air navigation.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/21/10	75 FR 28517
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/20/10	
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	08/19/10	
R&O .....	09/24/14	79 FR 56968

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Paul D'Ari, Spectrum and Competition Policy Division, Federal Communications Commission, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC

20554, *Phone:* 202 418–1550, *Email:* paul.dari@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AJ50

**380. Promoting Interoperability in the 700 MHz Commercial Spectrum; Requests for Waiver and Extension of Lower 700 MHz Band Interim Construction Benchmark Deadlines (WT Docket Nos. 12–69 & 12–332)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 301; 47 U.S.C. 302(a); 47 U.S.C. 303(b); 47 U.S.C. 303(e); 47 U.S.C. 303(f); 47 U.S.C. 303(g); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 304; 47 U.S.C. 307(a); 47 U.S.C. 309(j)(3); 47 U.S.C. 316(a)(1); 47 CFR 1.401 et seq.

*Abstract:* In the Report and Order, the Commission took steps to implement an industry solution to provide interoperable Long Term Evolution (LTE) service in the lower 700 MHz band in an efficient and effective manner to improve choice and quality for consumers of mobile services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/02/12	77 FR 19575
NPRM Comment Period End.	06/01/12	
R&O and Order of Proposed Modification.	11/05/13	78 FR 66298

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Jennifer Salhus, Attorney, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418–2823, *Email:* jsalhus@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060–AJ78

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION (FCC)**

*Wireline Competition Bureau*

Long-Term Actions

**381. Implementation of the Universal Service Portions of the 1996 Telecommunications Act**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 et seq.

*Abstract:* The Telecommunications Act of 1996 expanded the traditional goal of universal service to include increased access to both telecommunications and advanced services such as high-speed Internet for all consumers at just, reasonable and affordable rates. The Act established principles for universal service that specifically focused on increasing access to evolving services for consumers living in rural and insular

areas, and for consumers with low-incomes. Additional principles called for increased access to high-speed Internet in the Nation's schools, libraries and rural health care facilities. The FCC established four programs within the Universal Service Fund to implement the statute. The four programs are: Connect America Fund (formally known as High-Cost Support) for rural areas; Lifeline (for low-income consumers), including initiatives to expand phone service for Native Americans; Schools and Libraries (E-rate); and Rural Health Care.

The Universal Service Fund is paid for by contributions from telecommunications carriers, including wireline and wireless companies, and interconnected Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) providers, including cable companies that provide voice service, based on an assessment on their interstate and international end-user revenues. The Universal Service Administrative Company, or USAC, administers the four programs and collects monies for the Universal Service Fund under the direction of the FCC.

On October 16, 2014, the Commission released a Public Notice seeking comments on proposed methodology for Connect America Fund recipients to measure and report speed and latency performance to fixed locations.

On December 18, 2014, the Commission released a Report and Order finalizing decisions necessary to proceed to Phase II of the Connect America Fund.

On December 19, 2014, the Commission released a Second E-rate Modernization Order adjusting program rules and support levels in order to meet long-term program goals for high speed connectivity.

On January 30, 2015, the Commission released a Public Notice seeking comment on the Alliance of Rural Broadband applicants petition for limited waiver of certain RBE letter of credit requirements.

On February 4, 2015, the Commission released a Public Notice seeking comments on NTCA's emergency petition for limited waiver of RBE letter of credit bank eligibility requirements.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Recommended Decision Federal-State Joint Board, Universal Service.	11/08/96	61 FR 63778
First R&O .....	05/08/97	62 FR 32862
Second R&O .....	05/08/97	62 FR 32862

Action	Date	FR Cite	Action	Date	FR Cite
Order on Reconsideration.	07/10/97	62 FR 40742	Order .....	08/06/03	68 FR 46500
R&O and Second Order on Reconsideration.	07/18/97	62 FR 41294	Order and Order on Reconsideration.	08/19/03	68 FR 49707
Second R&O, and FNPRM.	08/15/97	62 FR 47404	Order on Remand, MO&O, FNPRM.	10/27/03	68 FR 69641
Third R&O .....	10/14/97	62 FR 56118	R&O, Order on Reconsideration, FNPRM.	11/17/03	68 FR 74492
Second Order on Reconsideration.	11/26/97	62 FR 65036	R&O, FNPRM .....	02/26/04	69 FR 13794
Fourth Order on Reconsideration.	12/30/97	62 FR 2093	R&O, FNPRM .....	04/29/04	
Fifth Order on Reconsideration.	06/22/98	63 FR 43088	NPRM .....	05/14/04	69 FR 3130
Fifth R&O .....	10/28/98	63 FR 63993	NPRM .....	06/08/04	69 FR 40839
Eighth Order on Reconsideration.	11/21/98		Order .....	06/28/04	69 FR 48232
Second Recommended Decision.	11/25/98	63 FR 67837	Order on Reconsideration & Fourth R&O.	07/30/04	69 FR 55983
Thirteenth Order on Reconsideration.	06/09/99	64 FR 30917	Fifth R&O and Order.	08/13/04	69 FR 55097
FNPRM .....	06/14/99	64 FR 31780	Order .....	08/26/04	69 FR 57289
FNPRM .....	09/30/99	64 FR 52738	Second FNPRM ..	09/16/04	69 FR 61334
Fourteenth Order on Reconsideration.	11/16/99	64 FR 62120	Order & Order on Reconsideration.	01/10/05	70 FR 10057
Fifteenth Order on Reconsideration.	11/30/99	64 FR 66778	Sixth R&O .....	03/14/05	70 FR 19321
Tenth R&O .....	12/01/99	64 FR 67372	R&O .....	03/17/05	70 FR 29960
Ninth R&O and Eighteenth Order on Reconsideration.	12/01/99	64 FR 67416	MO&O .....	03/30/05	70 FR 21779
Nineteenth Order on Reconsideration.	12/30/99	64 FR 73427	NPRM & FNPRM	06/14/05	70 FR 41658
Twentieth Order on Reconsideration.	05/08/00	65 FR 26513	Order .....	10/14/05	70 FR 65850
Public Notice .....	07/18/00	65 FR 44507	Order .....	10/27/05	
Twelfth R&O, MO&O and FNPRM.	08/04/00	65 FR 47883	NPRM .....	01/11/06	71 FR 1721
FNPRM and Order.	11/09/00	65 FR 67322	Report Number 2747.	01/12/06	71 FR 2042
FNPRM .....	01/26/01	66 FR 7867	Order .....	02/08/06	71 FR 6485
R&O and Order on Reconsideration.	03/14/01	66 FR 16144	FNPRM .....	03/15/06	71 FR 13393
NPRM .....	05/08/01	66 FR 28718	R&O and NPRM	07/10/06	71 FR 38781
Order .....	05/22/01	66 FR 35107	Order .....	01/01/06	71 FR 6485
Fourteenth R&O and FNPRM.	05/23/01	66 FR 30080	Order .....	05/16/06	71 FR 30298
FNPRM and Order.	01/25/02	67 FR 7327	MO&O and FNPRM.	05/16/06	71 FR 29843
NPRM .....	02/15/02	67 FR 9232	R&O .....	06/27/06	71 FR 38781
NPRM and Order	02/15/02	67 FR 10846	Public Notice .....	08/11/06	71 FR 50420
FNPRM and R&O	02/26/02	67 FR 11254	Order .....	09/29/06	71 FR 65517
NPRM .....	04/19/02	67 FR 34653	Public Notice .....	03/12/07	72 FR 36706
Order and Second FNPRM.	12/13/02	67 FR 79543	Public Notice .....	03/13/07	72 FR 40816
NPRM .....	02/25/03	68 FR 12020	Public Notice .....	03/16/07	72 FR 39421
Public Notice .....	02/26/03	68 FR 10724	Notice of Inquiry ..	04/16/07	
Second R&O and FNPRM.	06/20/03	68 FR 36961	NPRM .....	05/14/07	72 FR 28936
Twenty-Fifth Order on Reconsideration, R&O, Order, and FNPRM.	07/16/03	68 FR 41996	Recommended Decision.	11/20/07	
NPRM .....	07/17/03	68 FR 42333	Order .....	02/14/08	73 FR 8670
Order .....	07/24/03	68 FR 47453	NPRM .....	03/04/08	73 FR 11580
			NPRM .....	03/04/08	73 FR 11591
			R&O .....	05/05/08	73 FR 11837
			Public Notice .....	07/02/08	73 FR 37882
			NPRM .....	08/19/08	73 FR 48352
			Notice of Inquiry ..	10/14/08	73 FR 60689
			Order on Remand, R&O, FNPRM.	11/12/08	73 FR 66821
			R&O .....	05/22/09	74 FR 2395
			Order & NPRM .....	03/24/10	75 FR 10199
			R&O and MO&O	04/08/10	75 FR 17872
			NOI and NPRM ..	05/13/10	75 FR 26906
			Order and NPRM	05/28/10	75 FR 30024
			NPRM .....	06/09/10	75 FR 32699
			NPRM .....	08/09/10	75 FR 48236
			NPRM .....	09/21/10	75 FR 56494
			R&O .....	12/03/10	75 FR 75393
			Order .....	01/27/11	76 FR 4827
			NPRM .....	03/02/11	76 FR 11407
			NPRM .....	03/02/11	76 FR 11632
			NPRM .....	03/23/11	76 FR 16482
			Order and NPRM	06/27/11	76 FR 37307

Action	Date	FR Cite
R&O .....	12/28/11	76 FR 81562
Order .....	03/09/12	77 FR 14297
R&O .....	03/30/12	77 FR 19125
Order .....	05/23/12	77 FR 30411
3rd Order on Re-consideration.	05/24/12	77 FR 30904
Public Notice .....	05/31/12	77 FR 32113
FNPRM .....	06/07/12	77 FR 33896
Public Notice .....	07/26/12	77 FR 43773
Order .....	08/30/12	77 FR 52616
Public Notice .....	02/28/12	77 FR 76345
Public Notice .....	08/29/12	77 FR 52279
Public Notice .....	12/12/12	77 FR 74010
5th Order on Re-consideration.	01/17/13	78 FR 3837
Public Notice .....	02/07/13	78 FR 9020
Public Notice .....	02/21/13	78 FR 12006
Public Notice .....	02/22/13	78 FR 12269
Public Notice .....	03/15/13	78 FR 16456
6th Order on Re-consideration and MO&O.	03/19/13	78 FR 16808
MO&O .....	05/08/13	78 FR 26705
R&O .....	05/06/13	78 FR 26269
R&O .....	06/03/13	78 FR 32991
Public Notice .....	06/13/13	78 FR 35632
R&O .....	06/26/13	78 FR 38227
Order on Reconsideration.	08/08/13	78 FR 48622
Order .....	03/01/13	78 FR 13935
Public Notice .....	12/19/13	78 FR 76789
Order .....	02/28/14	79 FR 11366
Public Notice .....	03/11/14	79 FR 13599
Public Notice .....	03/17/14	79 FR 17070
Public Notice .....	04/18/14	79 FR 21924
R&O .....	05/21/14	79 FR 29111
Order .....	05/23/14	79 FR 33705
FNPRM .....	07/09/14	79 FR 39163
R&O .....	07/31/14	79 FR 44352
R&O .....	08/19/14	79 FR 49160
Public Notice .....	11/20/14	79 FR 69091
R&O .....	03/17/15	80 FR 4446
2nd R&O .....	02/04/15	80 FR 5961
Public Notice .....	02/27/15	80 FR 10658
2nd FNPRM .....	06/22/15	80 FR 40923
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Nakesha Woodward, Program Support Assistant, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1502, *Email:* [nakesha.woodward@fcc.gov](mailto:nakesha.woodward@fcc.gov).  
*RIN:* 3060-AF85

**382. 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review—Telecommunications Service Quality Reporting Requirements**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 201(b); 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 U.S.C. 403

*Abstract:* The notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) proposed to eliminate our current service quality reports (Automated Reporting Management Information System (ARMIS) Report 43-05 and 43-06) and replace them with a more consumer-

oriented report. The NPRM proposed to reduce the reporting categories from more than 30 to six, and addressed the needs of carriers, consumers, State public utility commissions, and other interested parties. On February 15, 2005, the Commission adopted an Order that extended the Federal-State Joint Conference on Accounting Issues until March 1, 2007. On September 6, 2008, the Commission adopted a Memorandum Opinion and Order granting conditional forbearance from the ARMIS 43-05 and 43-06 reporting requirements to all carriers that are required to file these reports.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/04/00	65 FR 75657
Order .....	02/06/02	67 FR 5670
Order .....	03/22/05	70 FR 14466
MO&O .....	10/15/08	73 FR 60997
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cathy Zima, Deputy Chief, Industry Analysis Division, WCB, Federal Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7380, *Fax:* 202 418-6768, *Email:* [cathy.zima@fcc.gov](mailto:cathy.zima@fcc.gov).  
*RIN:* 3060-AH72

**383. National Exchange Carrier Association Petition**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 and 152; 47 U.S.C. 201 and 202; . . .

*Abstract:* In a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) released on July 19, 2004, the Commission initiated a rulemaking proceeding to examine the proper number of end user common line charges (commonly referred to as subscriber line charges or SLCs) that carriers may assess upon customers that obtain derived channel T-1 service where the customer provides the terminating channelization equipment and upon customers that obtain Primary Rate Interface (PRI) Integrated Service Digital Network (ISDN) service.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	08/13/04	69 FR 50141
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/12/04	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Douglas Slotten, Attorney Advisor, Federal

Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1572, *Email:* [douglas.slotten@fcc.gov](mailto:douglas.slotten@fcc.gov).

*RIN:* 3060-AI47

**384. IP-Enabled Services; WC Docket No. 04-36**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 and 152; . . .

*Abstract:* The notice seeks comment on ways in which the Commission might categorize or regulate IP-enabled services. It poses questions regarding the proper allocation of jurisdiction over each category of IP-enabled service. The notice then requests comment on whether the services comprising each category constitute “telecommunications services” or “information services” under the definitions set forth in the Act. Finally, noting the Commission’s statutory forbearance authority and title I ancillary jurisdiction, the notice describes a number of central regulatory requirements (including, for example, those relating to access charges, universal service, E911, and disability accessibility), and asks which, if any, should apply to each category of IP-enabled services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/29/04	69 FR 16193
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/14/04	
First R&O .....	06/03/05	70 FR 37273
Public Notice .....	06/16/05	70 FR 37403
First R&O Effective.	07/29/05	70 FR 43323
Public Notice .....	08/31/05	70 FR 51815
R&O .....	07/10/06	71 FR 38781
R&O and FNPRM	06/08/07	72 FR 31948
FNPRM Comment Period End.	07/09/07	72 FR 31782
R&O .....	08/06/07	72 FR 43546
Public Notice .....	08/07/07	72 FR 44136
R&O .....	08/16/07	72 FR 45908
Public Notice .....	11/01/07	72 FR 61813
Public Notice .....	11/01/07	72 FR 61882
Public Notice .....	12/13/07	72 FR 70808
Public Notice .....	12/20/07	72 FR 72358
R&O .....	02/21/08	73 FR 9463
NPRM .....	02/21/08	73 FR 9507
Order .....	05/15/08	73 FR 28057
Order .....	07/29/09	74 FR 37624
R&O .....	08/07/09	74 FR 39551
Public Notice .....	10/14/09	74 FR 52808
Announcement of Effective Date.	03/19/10	75 FR 13235
Public Notice .....	05/20/10	75 FR 28249
Public Notice .....	06/11/10	75 FR 33303
NPRM, Order, & NOI.	06/19/13	78 FR 36679
R&O (release date).	06/22/15	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Melissa Kirkel, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7958, *Fax:* 202 418-1413, *Email:* melissa.kirkel@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-A148

**385. Jurisdictional Separations**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) and 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 205; 47 U.S.C. 221(c); 47 U.S.C. 254; 47 U.S.C. 403; 47 U.S.C. 410

*Abstract:* Jurisdictional separations is the process, pursuant to part 36 of the Commission's rules, by which incumbent local exchange carriers apportion regulated costs between the intrastate and interstate jurisdictions. In 1997, the Commission initiated a proceeding seeking comment on the extent to which legislative changes, technological changes, and market changes warrant comprehensive reform of the separations process. In 2001, the Commission adopted the Federal-State Joint Board on Jurisdictional Separations' recommendation to impose an interim freeze on the part 36 category relationships and jurisdictional cost allocation factors for a period of five years, pending comprehensive reform of the part 36 separations rules. In 2006, the Commission adopted an Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, which extended the separations freeze for a period of three years and sought comment on comprehensive reform. In 2009, the Commission adopted a Report and Order extending the separations freeze an additional year to June 2010. In 2010, the Commission adopted a Report and Order extending the separations freeze for an additional year to June 2011. In 2011, the Commission adopted a Report and Order extending the separations freeze for an additional year to June 2012. In 2012, the Commission adopted a Report and Order extending the separations freeze for an additional two years to June 2014. In 2014, the Commission adopted a Report and Order extending the separations freeze for an additional three years to June 2017.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/05/97	62 FR 59842
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/10/97	
Order .....	06/21/01	66 FR 33202
Order and FNPRM.	05/26/06	71 FR 29882

Action	Date	FR Cite
Order and FNPRM Comment Period End.	08/22/06	
R&O .....	05/15/09	74 FR 23955
R&O .....	05/25/10	75 FR 30301
R&O .....	05/27/11	76 FR 30840
R&O .....	05/23/12	77 FR 30410
R&O .....	06/13/14	79 FR 36232
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Hunter, Attorney—Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1520, *Email:* john.hunter@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ06

**386. Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, Infrastructure and Operating Data Gathering (WC Docket Nos. 08-190, 07-139, 07-204, 07-273, 07-21)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151 to 155; 47 U.S.C. 160 and 161; 47 U.S.C. 20 to 205; 47 U.S.C. 215; 47 U.S.C. 218 to 220; 47 U.S.C. 251 to 271; 47 U.S.C. 303(r) and 332; 47 U.S.C. 403; 47 U.S.C. 502 and 503

*Abstract:* This notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) tentatively proposes to collect infrastructure and operating data that is tailored in scope to be consistent with Commission objectives from all facilities-based providers of broadband and telecommunications. Similarly, the NPRM also tentatively proposes to collect data concerning service quality and customer satisfaction from all facilities-based providers of broadband and telecommunications. The NPRM seeks comment on the proposals, on the specific information to be collected, and on the mechanisms for collecting information. On June 27, 2013, the Commission adopted a Report and Order addressing collection of broadband deployment data from facilities-based providers.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/15/08	73 FR 60997
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/14/08	
Reply Comment Period End.	12/15/08	
NPRM .....	02/28/11	76 FR 12308
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/30/11	
Reply Comment Period End.	04/14/11	
R&O .....	08/13/13	78 FR 49126

Action	Date	FR Cite
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Cathy Zima, Deputy Chief, Industry Analysis Division, WCB, Federal Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7380, *Fax:* 202 418-6768, *Email:* cathy.zima@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ14

**387. Development of Nationwide Broadband Data To Evaluate Reasonable and Timely Deployment of Advanced Services to All Americans**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 251; 47 U.S.C. 252; 47 U.S.C. 257; 47 U.S.C. 271; 47 U.S.C. 1302; 47 U.S.C. 160(b); 47 U.S.C. 161(a)(2)

*Abstract:* The Report and Order streamlined and reformed the Commission's Form 477 Data Program, which is the Commission's primary tool to collect data on broadband and telephone services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/16/07	72 FR 27519
Order .....	07/02/08	73 FR 37861
Order .....	10/15/08	73 FR 60997
NPRM .....	02/08/11	76 FR 10827
Order .....	06/27/13	78 FR 49126
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Ms Chelsea Fallon, Assistant Division Chief, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7991, *Email:* chelsea.fallon@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AJ15

**388. Local Number Portability Porting Interval and Validation Requirements (WC Docket No. 07-244)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 251; 47 U.S.C. 303(r)

*Abstract:* In 2007, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in WC Docket No. 07-244. The Notice sought comment on whether the Commission should adopt rules specifying the length of the porting intervals or other details of the porting process. It also tentatively concluded that the Commission should adopt rules reducing the porting interval for wireline-to-wireline and intermodal simple port requests, specifically, to a 48-hour porting interval.

In the Local Number Portability Porting Interval and Validation Requirements First Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, released on May 13, 2009, the Commission reduced the porting interval for simple wireline and simple intermodal port requests, requiring all entities subject to its local number portability (LNP) rules to complete simple wireline-to-wireline and simple intermodal port requests within one business day. In a related Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM), the Commission sought comment on what further steps, if any, the Commission should take to improve the process of changing providers.

In the LNP Standard Fields Order, released on May 20, 2010, the Commission adopted standardized data fields for simple wireline and intermodal ports. The Order also adopts the NANC's recommendations for porting process provisioning flows and for counting a business day in the context of number porting.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/21/08	73 FR 9507
R&O and FNPRM	07/02/09	74 FR 31630
R&O .....	06/22/10	75 FR 35305
Public Notice .....	12/21/11	76 FR 79607
Public Notice .....	06/06/13	78 FR 34015
R&O .....	05/26/15	80 FR 29978
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Melissa Kinkel, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7958, *Fax:* 202 418-1413, *Email:* melissa.kinkel@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AJ32

**389. Implementation of Section 224 of the Act; A National Broadband Plan for Our Future (WC Docket No. 07-245, GN Docket No. 09-51)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 224

*Abstract:* In 2010, the Commission released an Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that implemented certain pole attachment recommendations of the National Broadband Plan and sought comment regarding others. On April 7, 2011, the Commission adopted a Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration that sets forth a comprehensive regulatory scheme for access to poles,

and modifies existing rules for pole attachment rates and enforcement.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/06/08	73 FR 6879
FNPRM .....	07/15/10	75 FR 41338
Declaratory Ruling	08/03/10	75 FR 45494
R&O .....	05/09/11	76 FR 26620
Order on Recon ..	02/03/16	81 FR 5605
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Michael Ray, Attorney, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-0357.

*RIN:* 3060-AJ64

**390. Rural Call Completion; WC Docket No. 13-39**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 201(b); 47 U.S.C. 202(a); 47 U.S.C. 218; 47 U.S.C. 220(a); 47 U.S.C. 257(a); 47 U.S.C. 403

*Abstract:* The recordkeeping, retention, and reporting requirements in the Report and Order improve the Commission's ability to monitor problems with completing calls to rural areas, and enforce restrictions against blocking, choking, reducing, or restricting calls. The Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking sought comment on additional measures intended to further ensure reasonable and nondiscriminatory service to rural areas. The Report and Order applies new recordkeeping, retention, and reporting requirements to providers of long-distance voice service that make the initial long-distance call path choice for more than 100,000 domestic retail subscriber lines which, in most cases, is the calling party's long-distance provider. Covered providers are required to file quarterly reports and retain the call detail records for at least six calendar months. Qualifying providers may certify that they meet a Safe Harbor which reduces their reporting and retention obligations, or seek a waiver of these rules from the Wireline Competition Bureau, in consultation with the Enforcement Bureau. The Report and Order also adopts a rule prohibiting all originating and intermediate providers from causing audible ringing to be sent to the caller before the terminating provider has signaled that the called party is being alerted.

On February 13, 2015, the Wireline Competition Bureau provided additional guidance regarding how providers must categorize information.

The Commission also adopted an Order on Reconsideration addressing petitions for reconsideration. Reports have been due quarterly beginning with the second quarter of 2015.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/12/13	78 FR 21891
Public Notice .....	05/07/13	78 FR 26572
NPRM Comment Period End.	05/28/13	
R&O and FNPRM	12/17/13	78 FR 76218
PRA 60 Day Notice.	12/30/13	78 FR 79448
FNPRM Comment Period End.	02/18/14	
PRA Comments Due.	03/11/14	
Public Notice .....	05/06/14	79 FR 25682
Order on Reconsideration.	12/10/14	79 FR 73227
Erratum .....	01/08/15	80 FR 1007
Public Notice .....	03/04/15	80 FR 11954
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Richard B. Hovey, Telecom Policy and Technology Specialist, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2582, *Email:* richard.hovey@fcc.gov.

*RIN:* 3060-AJ89

**391. Rates for Inmate Calling Services; WC Docket No. 12-375**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) to (j); 47 U.S.C. 225; 47 U.S.C. 276; 47 U.S.C. 303(r); 47 CFR 64

*Abstract:* In the Report and Order portion of this document, the Federal Communications Commission adopts rule changes to ensure that rates for both interstate and intrastate inmate calling services (ICS) are fair, just, and reasonable, as required by statute, and limits ancillary service charges imposed by ICS providers. In the Report and Order, the Commission sets caps on all interstate and intrastate calling rates for ICS, establishes a tiered rate structure based on the size and type of facility being served, limits the types of ancillary services that ICS providers may charge for and caps the charges for permitted fees, bans flat-rate calling, facilitates access to ICS by people with disabilities by requiring providers to offer free or steeply discounted rates for calls using TTY, and imposes reporting and certification requirements to facilitate continued oversight of the ICS market. In the Further Notice portion of the item, the Commission seeks comment on ways to promote competition for ICS, video visitation, rates for international calls, and

considers an array of solutions to further address areas of concern in the ICS industry.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/22/13	78 FR 4369
FNPRM .....	11/13/13	78 FR 68005
R&O .....	11/13/13	78 FR 67956
FNPRM Comment Period End.	12/20/13	
Announcement of Effective Date.	06/20/14	79 FR 33709
2nd FNPRM .....	11/21/14	79 FR 69682
2nd FNPRM Comment Period End.	01/15/15	
2nd FNPRM Reply Comment Period End.	01/20/15	
3rd FNPRM .....	12/18/15	80 FR 79020
2nd R&O .....	12/18/15	80 FR 79136
3rd FNPRM Comment Period End.	01/19/16	
3rd FNPRM Reply Comment Period End.	02/08/16	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Gil Strobel, Deputy Pricing Policy Div. Chief, WCB, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-7084. *RIN:* 3060-AK08.

**392. Comprehensive Review of the Part 32 Uniform System of Accounts (WC Docket No. 14-130)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 201(b); 47 U.S.C. 219; 47 U.S.C. 220

*Abstract:* The Commission initiates a rulemaking proceeding to review the Uniform System of Accounts (USOA) to consider ways to minimize the compliance burdens on incumbent local exchange carriers while ensuring that the agency retains access to the information it needs to fulfill its regulatory duties. In light of the Commission's actions in areas of price cap regulation, universal service reform, and intercarrier compensation reform, the Commission stated that it is likely appropriate to streamline the existing rules even though those reforms may not have eliminated the need for accounting data for some purposes.

The Commission's analysis and proposals are divided into three parts. First, the Commission proposes to streamline the USOA accounting rules while preserving their existing structure. Second, the Commission seeks more focused comment on the

accounting requirements needed for price cap carriers to address our statutory and regulatory obligations. Third, the Commission seeks comment on several related issues, including state requirements, rate effects, implementation, continuing property records, and legal authority.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	09/15/14	79 FR 54942
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/14/14	
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	12/15/14	
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Robin Cohn, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2747, *Email:* robin.cohn@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AK20

**393. Protecting and Promoting the Open Internet; (WC Docket No. 14-28)**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 151 ; 47 U.S.C. 154(i) to (j); 47 U.S.C. 201(b)

*Abstract:* In January of 2014, the D.C. Circuit in *Verizon v. FCC* struck down the no-blocking and no-unreasonable discrimination rules contained in the 2010 *Open Internet Order*, invalidating the Commission's attempt to create legally enforceable standards to preserve the open Internet. In response to *Verizon*, in May 2014, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (2014 *Open Internet NPRM*) that sought comment on a fundamental question: What is the right public policy to ensure that the Internet remains open? After careful review of the record generated by the 2014 *Open Internet NPRM*, the Commission issued a combined Report and Order on Remand, Declaratory Ruling, and Order in this proceeding. The Report and Order established bright-line rules banning three specific practices that invariably harm the open Internet: Blocking, Throttling, and Paid Prioritization, and applied those rules to both fixed and mobile broadband Internet access service. In addition, the Report and Order put in place a general conduct standard to prevent a broadband service provider from unreasonably interfering with or disadvantaging the ability of end users to access content, applications, services or devices offered by edge providers. The Report and Order also

strengthened the transparency rules that remained in place following *Verizon*.

In order to provide the best possible legal foundation for these rules, the Commission's Declaratory Ruling reclassified broadband Internet access service as a telecommunications service subject to title II of the Communications Act. Finally, in order to tailor title II to the 21st century broadband ecosystem, the Commission issued an Order forbearing from the majority of title II provisions, leaving in place a light-touch regime that will support regulatory action while simultaneously encouraging broadband investment, innovation, and deployment.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/01/14	79 FR 37448
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/18/14	
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	09/15/14	
R&O on Remand, Declaratory Ruling, and Order.	04/13/15	80 FR 19737
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Zachary Ross, Attorney Advisor, Competition Policy Division, WCB, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1033, *Email:* zachary.ross@fcc.gov. *RIN:* 3060-AK21

**394. Emerging Wireline Networks and Services; GN Docket No. 13-5, WC Docket No. 05-25**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 214; 47 U.S.C. 251; . . .

*Abstract:* This proceeding seeks to strengthen public safety, pro-consumer and pro-competition policies and protections in a manner appropriate for technology transitions that are underway and for networks and services that emerge from those transitions. The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposed new rules to ensure reliable backup power for consumers of IP-based voice and data services across networks that provide residential fixed service that substitutes for and improves upon the kind of traditional telephony used by people to dial 911. It also proposed new and revised rules to protect consumers by ensuring they are informed about their choices and the services provided to them when carriers retire legacy facilities (e.g., copper networks) and seek to discontinue

legacy services (e.g., basic voice service). Finally, it proposed revised rules to protect competition where it exists today, so that the mere change of a network facility or discontinuance of a legacy service does not deprive small- and medium-size business, schools, libraries, and other enterprises of the ability to choose the kinds of innovative services that best suit their needs.

The Report and Order, Order on Reconsideration and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: (i) Adopted rules updating the process by which incumbent LECs notify interconnecting entities of planned copper retirements; (ii) clarified that a carrier must obtain Commission approval before discontinuing, reducing, or impairing a service used as a wholesale input, but only when the carrier's actions will discontinue, reduce, or impair service to end users, including a carrier-customer's retail end users; (iii) adopted an interim rule requiring that to receive authority to discontinue, reduce, or impair a legacy TDM-based service special access service or commercial wholesale platform service that is used as a wholesale input by competitive providers, an incumbent LEC must as a condition to obtaining discontinuance authority commit to providing competitive carriers wholesale access on reasonably comparable rates, terms, and conditions; (iv) proposed specific criteria for the Commission to consider in determining whether to authorize carriers to discontinue a legacy retail service in favor of a retail service based on a newer technology; (v) sought comment on updating the rules governing the discontinuance process, including regarding the timing of notice to consumers, the method for providing that notice, and providing notice to Tribal governments; (vi) sought comment on extending the end point of the interim rule adopted in the Report and Order as it applies to the commercial wholesale platform service; and (vii) sought comment on whether to adopt objective criteria to measure an ILEC's good faith in responding to competitive LEC requests for additional information in connection with a copper retirement notice and whether a planned copper retirement should be postponed when an ILEC has failed to fulfill the new good faith communication requirement adopted in the Report and Order.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	01/06/15	80 FR 450
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/05/15	

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM Reply Comment Period End.	03/09/15	
R&O ..... Next Action Undetermined.	09/25/15	80 FR 57768

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Michele Levy Berlove, Attorney Advisor, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1477, *email:* [michele.berlove@fcc.gov](mailto:michele.berlove@fcc.gov).  
*RIN:* 3060-AK32

**395. Modernizing Common Carrier Rules, WC Docket No. 15-33**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 152(a); 47 U.S.C. 154(j); 47 U.S.C. 154(i); 47 U.S.C. 160 to 161; 47 U.S.C. 201 to 205; 47 U.S.C. 214; 47 U.S.C. 218 to 221; 47 U.S.C. 225 to 228; 47 U.S.C. 254; 47 U.S.C. 303; 47 U.S.C. 308; 47 U.S.C. 403; 47 U.S.C. 410; 47 U.S.C. 571; 47 U.S.C. 1302; 52 U.S.C. sec 30141

*Abstract:* The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice) seeks to update our rules to better reflect current requirements and technology by removing outmoded regulations from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The Notice proposes to update the CFR by (1) eliminating certain rules from which the Commission has forborn, and (2) eliminating references to telegraph service in certain rules. We propose to eliminate several rules from which the Commission has granted unconditional forbearance for all carriers. These are: (1) Section 64.804(c)-(g), which governs a carrier's recordkeeping and other obligations when it extends to federal candidates unsecured credit for communications service; (2) sections 42.4, 42.5, and 42.7, which require carriers to preserve certain records; (3) section 64.301, which requires carriers to provide communications service to foreign governments for international communications; (4) section 64.501, governing telephone companies' obligations when recording telephone conversations; (5) section 64.5001(a)-(c)(2), and (c)(4), which imposes certain reporting and certification requirements for prepaid calling card providers; and (6) section 64.1, governing traffic damage claims for carriers engaged in radio-telegraph, wire-telegraph, or ocean-cable service. We also propose to remove references to telegraph from certain sections of the Commission's rules. This proposal is consistent with Recommendation 5.38 of the Process Reform Report. Specifically, we propose

to remove telegraph from: (1) Section 36.126 (separations); (2) section 54.706(a)(13) (universal service contributions); and (3) sections 63.60(c), 63.61, 63.62, 63.65(a)(4), 63.500(g), 63.501(g), and 63.504(k) (discontinuance).

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/06/15	80 FR 25989
Next Action Undetermined.		

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Daniel Kahn, Deputy Division Chief, Competition Policy, Federal Communications Commission, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-1407, *Email:* [daniel.kahn@fcc.gov](mailto:daniel.kahn@fcc.gov).  
*RIN:* 3060-AK33

**396. Numbering Policies for Modern Communications, WC Docket No. 13-97**

*Legal Authority:* 47 U.S.C. 151; 47 U.S.C. 153; 47 U.S.C. 154; 47 U.S.C. 201-205; 47 U.S.C. 251; 47 U.S.C. 303(r)

*Abstract:* This Order establishes a process to authorize interconnected VoIP providers to obtain North American Numbering Plan (NANP) telephone numbers directly from the Numbering Administrators, rather than through intermediaries. Section 52.15(g)(2)(i) of the Commission's rules limits access to telephone numbers to entities that demonstrate they are authorized to provide service in the area for which the numbers are being requested. The Commission has interpreted this rule as requiring evidence of either a state certificate of public convenience and necessity (CPCN) or a Commission license. Neither authorization is typically available in practice to interconnected VoIP providers. Thus, as a practical matter, generally only telecommunications carriers are able to provide the proof of authorization required under our rules, and thus able to obtain numbers directly from the Numbering Administrators. This Order establishes an authorization process to enable interconnected VoIP providers that choose direct access to request numbers directly from the Numbering Administrators. Next, the Order sets forth several conditions designed to minimize number exhaust and preserve the integrity of the numbering system.

The Order requires interconnected VoIP providers obtaining numbers to comply with the same requirements applicable to carriers seeking to obtain numbers. These requirements include

any state requirements pursuant to numbering authority delegated to the states by the Commission, as well as industry guidelines and practices, among others. The Order also requires interconnected VoIP providers to comply with facilities readiness requirements adapted to this context, and with numbering utilization and optimization requirements. As conditions to requesting and obtaining numbers directly from the Numbering Administrators, interconnected VoIP providers are also required to: (1) Provide the relevant state commissions with regulatory and numbering contacts when requesting numbers in those states, (2) request numbers from the Numbering Administrators under their own unique OCN, (3) file any requests for numbers with the relevant state

commissions at least 30 days prior to requesting numbers from the Numbering Administrators, and (4) provide customers with the opportunity to access all abbreviated dialing codes (N11 numbers) in use in a geographic area.

Finally, the Order also modifies Commission's rules in order to permit VoIP Positioning Center (VPC) providers to obtain pseudo-Automatic Number Identification (p-ANI) codes directly from the Numbering Administrators for purposes of providing E911 services.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/19/13	78 FR 36725
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/19/13	

Action	Date	FR Cite
R&O ..... Next Action Undetermined.	10/29/15	80 FR 66454

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Marilyn Jones, Attorney, Federal Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, 445 12th Street SW., Washington, DC 20554, *Phone:* 202 418-2357, *fax:* 202 418-2345, *email:* [marilyn.jones@fcc.gov](mailto:marilyn.jones@fcc.gov).

*RIN:* 3060-AK36

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

[FR Doc. 2016-12933 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXVI

Federal Reserve System

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM**

**12 CFR Ch. II**

**Semiannual Regulatory Flexibility Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Board is issuing this agenda under the Regulatory Flexibility Act and the Board’s Statement of Policy Regarding Expanded Rulemaking Procedures. The Board anticipates having under consideration regulatory matters as indicated below during the period May 1, 2016 through October 31, 2016. The next agenda will be published in fall 2016.

**DATES:** Comments about the form or content of the agenda may be submitted any time during the next 6 months.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to Robert deV. Frierson, Secretary of the Board, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A staff contact for each item is indicated with the regulatory description below.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Board is publishing its spring 2016 agenda as part of the Spring 2016 Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions, which is coordinated by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Order 12866. The agenda also identifies rules the Board has selected for review under section 610(c) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, and public comment is invited on those entries. The complete Unified Agenda will be available to the public at the following Web site: [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov). Participation

by the Board in the Unified Agenda is on a voluntary basis.

The Board’s agenda is divided into four sections. The first, Pre-rule Stage, reports on matters the Board is considering for future rulemaking. The second section, Proposed Rule Stage, reports on matters the Board may consider for public comment during the next 6 months. The third section, Final Rule Stage, reports on matters that have been proposed and are under Board consideration. And a fourth section, Completed Actions, reports on regulatory matters the Board has completed or is not expected to consider further.

A dot (•) preceding an entry indicates a new matter that was not a part of the Board’s previous agenda and which the Board has not completed.

**Margaret McCloskey Shanks,**  
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

**FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
403 .....	Regulation CC—Availability of Funds and Collection of Checks (Docket No.: R-1409) .....	7100-AD68
404 .....	Regulation LL—Savings and Loan Holding Companies and Regulation MM—Mutual Holding Companies (Docket No.: R-1429).	7100-AD80

**FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM (FRS)**

Proposed Rule Stage

**403. Regulation CC—Availability of Funds and Collection of Checks (Docket No.: R-1409)**

*Legal Authority:* 12 U.S.C. 4001 to 4010; 12 U.S.C. 5001 to 5018

*Abstract:* The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Board) proposed amendments to Regulation CC to facilitate the banking industry’s ongoing transition to fully electronic interbank check collection and return, including proposed amendments to subpart C to condition a depository bank’s right of expeditious return on the depository bank agreeing to accept returned checks electronically, either directly or indirectly, from the paying bank. The Board also proposed amendments to subpart B, the funds availability schedule provisions to reflect the fact that there are no longer any non-local checks. The Board proposed to revise the model forms in appendix C that banks may use in disclosing their funds availability policies to their customers and to update the preemption determinations in appendix F. Finally, the Board requested comment on whether it should consider future changes to the regulation to improve the check

collection system, such as decreasing the time afforded to a paying bank to decide whether to pay a check in order to reduce the risk to a depository bank of needing to make funds available for withdrawal before learning whether a deposited check has been returned unpaid.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Board Requested Comment.	03/25/11	76 FR 16862
Board Requested Comment on Revised Proposal.	02/04/14	79 FR 6673
Board Expects Further Action on Subpart C.	09/00/16	
Board Expects Further Action on Subpart B.	12/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Clinton Chen, Attorney, Federal Reserve System, Legal Division, Washington, DC 20551, *Phone:* 202 452-3952.

*RIN:* 7100-AD68

**404. Regulation LL—Savings and Loan Holding Companies and Regulation MM—Mutual Holding Companies (Docket No.: R-1429)**

*Legal Authority:* 5 U.S.C. 552; 5 U.S.C. 559; 5 U.S.C. 1813; 5 U.S.C. 1817; 5 U.S.C. 1828

*Abstract:* The Dodd-Frank Act Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Act) transferred responsibility for supervision of Savings and Loan Holding Companies (SLHCs) and their non-depository subsidiaries from the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Board), on July 21, 2011. The Act also transferred supervisory functions related to Federal savings associations and State savings associations to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), respectively. The Board on August 12, 2011, approved an interim final rule for SLHCs, including a request for public comment. The interim final rule transferred from the OTS to the Board the regulations necessary for the Board to supervise SLHCs, with certain technical and substantive modifications. The interim final rule has three components: (1) New Regulation LL (part 238), which sets forth regulations generally

governing SLHCs; (2) new Regulation MM (part 239), which sets forth regulations governing SLHCs in mutual form; and (3) technical amendments to existing Board regulations necessary to accommodate the transfer of supervisory authority for SLHCs from the OTS to the Board. The structure of interim final Regulation LL closely follows that of the Board's Regulation Y, which governs bank holding companies, in order to provide an overall structure to rules that were previously found in disparate locations. In many instances, interim final Regulation LL incorporated OTS regulations with only technical modifications to account for the shift in supervisory responsibility from the OTS to the Board. Interim final Regulation LL also reflects statutory changes made by the Dodd-Frank Act with respect to SLHCs, and incorporates Board precedent and practices with respect to applications processing procedures and control issues, among other matters. Interim final Regulation MM organized existing OTS regulations governing

SLHCs in mutual form (MHCs) and their subsidiary holding companies into a single part of the Board's regulations. In many instances, interim final Regulation MM incorporated OTS regulations with only technical modifications to account for the shift in supervisory responsibility from the OTS to the Board. Interim final Regulation MM also reflects statutory changes made by the Dodd-Frank Act with respect to MHCs. The interim final rule also made technical amendments to Board rules to facilitate supervision of SLHCs, including to rules implementing Community Reinvestment Act requirements and to Board procedural and administrative rules. In addition, the Board made technical amendments to implement section 312(b)(2)(A) of the Act, which transfers to the Board all rulemaking authority under section 11 of the Home Owner's Loan Act relating to transactions with affiliates and extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, and principal shareholders. These amendments

include revisions to parts 215 (Insider Transactions) and part 223 (Transactions with Affiliates) of Board regulations.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Board Requested Comment.	09/13/11	76 FR 56508
Board Expects Further Action.	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* C. Tate Wilson, Counsel, Federal Reserve System, Legal Division, Washington, DC 20551, *Phone:* 202 452-3696.

Claudia Von Pervieux, Counsel, Federal Reserve System, Legal Division, Washington, DC 20551, *Phone:* 202 452-2552.

*RIN:* 7100-AD80

[FR Doc. 2016-12934 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6210-01-P**





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXVII

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### 10 CFR Chapter I

[NRC–2016–0044]

#### Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is publishing its semiannual regulatory agenda (the Agenda) in accordance with Public Law 96–354, “The Regulatory Flexibility Act,” and Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review.” The Agenda is a compilation of all rulemaking activities on which the NRC has recently completed action or has proposed or is considering action. The NRC has completed 9 rulemaking activities since publication of its last Agenda on December 15, 2015 (80 FR 78108). This issuance of the NRC’s Agenda contains 22 active and 27 long-term rulemaking activities: 2 are Economically Significant; 8 represent Other Significant agency priorities; 41 are Substantive, Nonsignificant rulemaking activities; and 1 is Administrative rulemaking activity. In addition, 3 rulemaking activities impact small entities. The NRC is requesting comment on its rulemaking activities as identified in this Agenda.

**DATES:** Submit comments on rulemaking activities as identified in this Agenda by July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments on any rulemaking activity in the Agenda by the date and methods specified in any **Federal Register** notice on the rulemaking activity. Comments received on rulemaking activities for which the comment period has closed will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments received on or before the closure dates specified in the **Federal Register** notice. You may submit comments on this Agenda through the Federal Rulemaking Web site by going to <http://www.regulations.gov> and searching for Docket ID NRC–2016–0044. Address questions about NRC dockets to Carol Gallagher; telephone: 301–415–3463; email: [Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov](mailto:Carol.Gallagher@nrc.gov). For technical questions on any rulemaking activity listed in the Agenda, contact the individual listed under the heading “Agency Contact” for that rulemaking activity.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments,

see “Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments” in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Cindy Bladey, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, telephone: 301–415–3280; email: [Cindy.Bladey@nrc.gov](mailto:Cindy.Bladey@nrc.gov). Persons outside the Washington, DC metropolitan area may call, toll-free: 1–800–368–5642. For further information on the substantive content of any rulemaking activity listed in the Agenda, contact the individual listed under the heading “Agency Contact” for that rulemaking activity.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

##### A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2016–0044 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this document. You may obtain publically-available information related to this document by any of the following methods:

- *Reginfo.gov*: For completed rulemaking activities go to <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaHistory?showStage=completed> and select Nuclear Regulatory Commission from drop down menu.
- For active rulemaking activities go to <http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaMain> and select Nuclear Regulatory Commission from drop down menu.
- For long-term rulemaking activities go to [http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaHistory?operation=OPERATION\\_GET\\_PUBLICATION&showStage=longterm&currentPubId=201410](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/eAgendaHistory?operation=OPERATION_GET_PUBLICATION&showStage=longterm&currentPubId=201410) and select Nuclear Regulatory Commission from drop down menu.
- *Federal Rulemaking Web site*: Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and search for Docket ID NRC–2016–0044.
- *NRC’s Public Web site*: Go to <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/rulemaking-ruleforum/unified-agenda.html> and select spring 2016.
- *NRC’s Public Document Room*: You may examine and purchase copies of public documents at the NRC’s PDR, Room O1–F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852.

##### B. Submitting Comments

Please include Docket ID NRC–2016–0044 in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information that

you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. The NRC will post all comment submissions at <http://www.regulations.gov> as well as enter the comment submissions into the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS). The NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that the NRC does not routinely edit comment submissions to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

#### Introduction

The Agenda is a compilation of all rulemaking activities on which an agency has recently completed action or has proposed or is considering action. The Agenda reports rulemaking activities in three major categories: completed, active, and long-term. Completed rulemaking activities are those that were completed since publication of an agency’s last Agenda; active rulemaking activities are those that an agency currently plans to have an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, a Proposed Rule, or a Final Rule issued within the next 12 months; and long-term rulemaking activities are rulemaking activities under development but for which an agency does not expect to have a regulatory action within the 12 months after publication of the current edition of the Unified Agenda.

A “Regulation Identifier Number” or RIN is given to a rulemaking activity when the NRC has published or plans to publish a **Federal Register** notice. The Office of Management and Budget uses this number to track all relevant documents throughout the entire “lifecycle” of a particular rulemaking activity. The NRC reports all rulemaking activities in the Agenda that have been assigned a RIN and meet the definition for a completed, an active, or a long-term rulemaking activity.

The information contained in this Agenda is updated to reflect any action that has occurred on a rulemaking activity since publication of the last NRC Agenda on December 15, 2015 (80 FR 78108). Specifically, the information in this Agenda has been updated through March 18, 2016.

The date for the next scheduled action under the heading “Timetable” is the date the next regulatory action for the rulemaking activity is scheduled to be published in the **Federal Register**. The date is considered tentative and is not binding on the Commission or its staff. The Agenda is intended to provide the public early notice and opportunity to participate in the NRC rulemaking process. However, the NRC may consider or act on any rulemaking activity even though it is not included in the Agenda.

**Common Prioritization of Rulemaking**

A key part of the NRC’s regulatory program is an annual review of all ongoing and potential rulemaking activities. In conjunction with its budget and long-term planning process, the NRC compiles a Common Prioritization of Rulemaking (CPR) listing to develop program budget estimates and to determine the relative priority of NRC rulemaking activities. The most current listing of each rulemaking activity for the Fiscal Year 2017/2018 planning period is available on the NRC’s Rulemaking Priorities Web page at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/rulemaking-ruleforum/rule->

*priorities.html*. The CPR process considers four factors and assigns a score to each factor. Factor A includes activities that support the NRC’s Strategic Plan goals of ensuring the safe and secure use of radioactive materials. Factor B includes activities that support the Strategic Plan cross-cutting strategies of Regulatory Effectiveness and Openness. Specifically, this factor considers whether the rulemaking activity enhances regulatory effectiveness and/or openness in the way that the NRC conducts regulatory activities. Factor C is a governmental factor representing interest to the NRC, Congress, or other governmental bodies. Factor D is an external factor representing interest to members of the public, non-governmental organizations, the nuclear industry, vendors, and suppliers. The overall priority is determined by adding the factor scores together for each rulemaking activity.

**Section 610 Periodic Reviews Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act**

Section 610 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) requires agencies to conduct a review within 10 years of promulgation of those regulations that have or will have a *significant* economic

impact on a substantial number of small entities. The NRC undertakes these reviews to decide whether the rules should be unchanged, amended, or withdrawn. At this time, the NRC does not have any rules that have a significant economic impact on a *substantial* number of small entities; therefore, the NRC has not included any RFA Section 610 periodic reviews in this edition of the Agenda. A complete listing of NRC regulations that impact small entities and related Small Entity Compliance Guides will be available from the NRC’s Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/regulatory/rulemaking/flexibility-act/small-entities.html>.

**Public Comments Received on the NRC’s Unified Agenda**

The NRC did not receive any public comments on its last Agenda that published on December 15, 2015 (80 FR 78108).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of March 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Leslie Terry,**

*Acting Chief, Rules, Announcements, and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.*

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
405 .....	Variable Annual Fee Structure for Small Modular Power Reactors [NRC–2008–0664] .....	3150–AI54
406 .....	Revision of Fee Schedules: Fee Recovery for FY 2016 [NRC–2015–0223] .....	3150–AJ66

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION—LONG-TERM ACTIONS**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
407 .....	Controlling the Disposition of Solid Materials [NRC–1999–0002] .....	3150–AH18

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC)**

Final Rule Stage

**405. Variable Annual Fee Structure for Small Modular Power Reactors [NRC–2008–0664]**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 2201; 42 U.S.C. 5841

*Abstract:* This rule would amend the Nuclear Regulatory Commission’s regulations governing annual fees to establish a variable annual fee structure for power reactors based on licensed power limits.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	03/25/09	74 FR 12735
ANPRM Comment Period End.	06/08/09	
NPRM .....	11/04/15	80 FR 68268
NPRM Comment Period End.	12/04/15	
Final Rule .....	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Michele D. Kaplan, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Washington, DC 20555–0001, *Phone:* 301 415–5256, *Email:* [michele.kaplan@nrc.gov](mailto:michele.kaplan@nrc.gov).

*RIN:* 3150–AI54

**406. Revision of Fee Schedules: Fee Recovery for FY 2016 [NRC–2015–0223]**

*Legal Authority:* 31 U.S.C. 483; 42 U.S.C. 2201; 42 U.S.C. 2214; 42 U.S.C. 5841

*Abstract:* This rule would amend the Nuclear Regulatory Commission licensing, inspection, special project, and annual fees charged to its applicants and licensees and, for the first time, the NRC is proposing to recover its costs when it responds to third-party demands for information in litigation where the United States is not a party (“Touhy requests”). These proposed amendments are necessary to implement the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990 as amended (OBRA–90), which requires the NRC to

recover approximately 90 percent of its annual budget through fees.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	03/23/16	81 FR 15457
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/22/16	
Final Rule Published.	06/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Michele D. Kaplan, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, Washington, DC 20555-0001, *Phone:* 301 415-5256, *Email:* michele.kaplan@nrc.gov.

*RIN:* 3150-AJ66

**NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (NRC)**

Long-Term Actions

**407. Controlling the Disposition of Solid Materials [NRC-1999-0002]**

*Legal Authority:* 42 U.S.C. 2201; 42 U.S.C. 5841

*Abstract:* This rule would add radiological criteria for controlling the disposition of solid materials that have no, or very small amounts of, residual radioactivity resulting from licensed operations, and which originate in restricted or impacted areas of NRC-licensed facilities. The NRC staff provided a draft proposed rule package on Controlling the Disposition of Solid Materials to the Commission on March 31, 2005, which the Commission disapproved (ADAMS Accession No. ML051520285). The rulemaking package included a summary of stakeholder comments (NUREG/CR-6682), Supplement 1 (ADAMS Accession No. ML003754410). The Commission's decision was based on the fact that the

Agency is currently faced with several high priority and complex tasks, that the current approach to review specific cases on an individual basis is fully protective of public health and safety, and that the immediate need for this rule has changed due to the shift in timing for reactor decommissioning. The Commission has deferred action on this rulemaking.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
ANPRM .....	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Torre Taylor, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Washington, DC 20555-0001, *Phone:* 301 415-7900, *Email:* torre.taylor@nrc.gov.

*RIN:* 3150-AH18

[FR Doc. 2016-12959 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXVIII

Securities and Exchange Commission

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**17 CFR Ch. II**

[Release Nos. 33-10056, 34-77408, IA-4353, IC-32031, File No. S7-04-16]

**Regulatory Flexibility Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Securities and Exchange Commission.

**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Securities and Exchange Commission is publishing the Chair’s agenda of rulemaking actions pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) (Pub. L. 96-354, 94 Stat. 1164) (Sep. 19, 1980). The items listed in the Regulatory Flexibility Agenda for Spring 2016 reflect only the priorities of the Chair of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, and do not necessarily reflect the view and priorities of any individual Commissioner.

Information in the agenda was accurate on March 18, 2016, the date on which the Commission’s staff completed compilation of the data. To the extent possible, rulemaking actions by the Commission since that date have been reflected in the agenda. The Commission invites questions and public comment on the agenda and on the individual agenda entries.

The Commission is now printing in the **Federal Register**, along with our preamble, only those agenda entries for which we have indicated that preparation of an RFA analysis is required.

The Commission’s complete RFA agenda will be available online at [www.reginfo.gov](http://www.reginfo.gov).

**DATES:** Comments should be received on or before July 11, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission’s Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/other.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number S7-04-16 on the subject line; or
- Use the Federal eRulemaking Portal (<http://www.regulations.gov>). Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

*Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments to Brent J. Fields, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. S7-04-16. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help us process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission’s Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/other.shtml>). Comments are also available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission’s Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. All comments received will be posted without change; we do not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anne Sullivan, Office of the General Counsel, 202-551-5019.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The RFA requires each Federal agency, twice each year, to publish in the **Federal Register** an agenda identifying rules that the agency expects to consider in the next 12 months that are likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities (5

U.S.C. 602(a)). The RFA specifically provides that publication of the agenda does not preclude an agency from considering or acting on any matter not included in the agenda and that an agency is not required to consider or act on any matter that is included in the agenda (5 U.S.C. 602(d)). The Commission may consider or act on any matter earlier or later than the estimated date provided on the agenda. While the agenda reflects the current intent to complete a number of rulemakings in the next year, the precise dates for each rulemaking at this point are uncertain. Actions that do not have an estimated date are placed in the long-term category; the Commission may nevertheless act on items in that category within the next 12 months. The agenda includes new entries, entries carried over from prior publications, and rulemaking actions that have been completed (or withdrawn) since publication of the last agenda.

The following abbreviations for the acts administered by the Commission are used in the agenda:

- “Securities Act”—Securities Act of 1933
- “Exchange Act”—Securities Exchange Act of 1934
- “Investment Company Act”—Investment Company Act of 1940
- “Investment Advisers Act”—Investment Advisers Act of 1940
- “Dodd Frank Act”—Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act
- “JOBS Act”—Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act

The Commission invites public comment on the agenda and on the individual agenda entries.

By the Commission.  
Dated: March 18, 2016.

**Brent J. Fields,**  
*Secretary.*

**DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE—PROPOSED RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
408 .....	10-K Summary Page .....	3235-AL89
409 .....	Revisions to Smaller Reporting Company Definition .....	3235-AL90

**DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE—FINAL RULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
410 .....	Pay Versus Performance .....	3235-AL00
411 .....	Amendments to Regulation D, Form D and Rule 156 Under the Securities Act .....	3235-AL46
412 .....	Disclosure of Hedging by Employees, Officers and Directors .....	3235-AL49
413 .....	Listing Standards for Recovery of Erroneously Awarded Compensation .....	3235-AK99
414 .....	Changes to Exchange Act Registration Requirements to Implement Title V and Title VI of the JOBS Act ..	3235-AL40

DIVISION OF CORPORATION FINANCE—COMPLETED ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
415 .....	Crowdfunding .....	3235-AL37

DIVISION OF INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT—FINAL RULE STAGE

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
416 .....	Reporting of Proxy Votes on Executive Compensation and Other Matters .....	3235-AK67
417 .....	Investment Company Reporting Modernization .....	3235-AL42
418 .....	Use of Derivatives by Registered Investment Companies and Business Development Companies .....	3235-AL60
419 .....	Open-End Liquidity Risk Management Programs; Swing Pricing .....	3235-AL61
420 .....	Amendments to Form ADV and Investment Advisers Act Rules .....	3235-AL75

DIVISION OF TRADING AND MARKETS—LONG-TERM ACTIONS

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
421 .....	Removal of Certain References to Credit Ratings Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 .....	3235-AL14

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC)**

*Division of Corporation Finance*

Proposed Rule Stage

**408. • 10-K Summary Page**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 114-94; 15 U.S.C. 78c; 15 U.S.C. 78l; 15 U.S.C. 78m; 15 U.S.C. 78o; 15 U.S.C. 78w; 15 U.S.C. 78ll

*Abstract:* The Division is considering recommending that the Commission propose rules to implement section 72001 of the FAST Act by permitting issuers to submit a summary page on Form 10-K.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sean Harrison, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, Phone: 202 551-3430, Fax: 202 772-9207.

*RIN:* 3235-AL89

**409. • Revisions to Smaller Reporting Company Definition**

*Legal Authority:* Not Yet Determined

*Abstract:* The Division is considering recommending that the Commission propose revisions to the smaller reporting company definitions and related provisions.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Michael Seaman, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, Phone: 202 551-3460.

*RIN:* 3235-AL90

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC)**

*Division of Corporation Finance*

Final Rule Stage

**410. Pay Versus Performance**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-203, sec 955; 15 U.S.C. 78n

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed rules to implement section 953(a) of the Dodd Frank Act, which added section 14(i) to the Exchange Act to require issuers to disclose information that shows the relationship between executive compensation actually paid and the financial performance of the issuer.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/07/15	80 FR 26330
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/06/15	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Eduardo Aleman, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, Phone: 202 551-3430, Fax: 202 772-9207.

*RIN:* 3235-AL00

**411. Amendments to Regulation D, Form D and Rule 156 Under the Securities Act**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.  
*Abstract:* The Commission proposed rule and form amendments to enhance the Commission's ability to evaluate the development of market practices in offerings under Rule 506 of Regulation D and address concerns that may arise in connection with permitting issuers to engage in general solicitation and general advertising under new paragraph (c) of Rule 506.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/24/13	78 FR 44806
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/23/13	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	10/03/13	78 FR 61222
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened End.	11/04/13	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Mark Vilardo, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, Phone: 202 551-3500.

*RIN:* 3235-AL46

**412. Disclosure of Hedging by Employees, Officers and Directors**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111–203

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed rules to implement section 955 of the Dodd Frank Act, which added section 14(j) to the Exchange Act to require annual meeting proxy statement disclosure of whether employees or members of the board of directors are permitted to engage in transactions to hedge or offset any decrease in the market value of equity securities granted to the employee or board member as compensation, or held directly or indirectly by the employee or board member.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	02/17/15	80 FR 8486
NPRM Comment Period End.	04/20/15	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Carolyn Sherman, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551–3500.

RIN: 3235–AL49

**413. Listing Standards for Recovery of Erroneously Awarded Compensation**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111–203, sec 954; 15 U.S.C. 78j–4

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed rules to implement section 954 of the Dodd Frank Act, which requires the Commission to adopt rules to direct national securities exchanges to prohibit the listing of securities of issuers that have not developed and implemented a policy providing for disclosure of the issuer’s policy on incentive-based compensation and mandating the clawback of such compensation in certain circumstances.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	07/14/15	80 FR 41144
NPRM Comment Period End.	09/14/15	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Anne M. Krauskopf, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551–3500.

RIN: 3235–AK99

**414. Changes to Exchange Act Registration Requirements To Implement Title V and Title VI of the Jobs Act**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 112–106

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed amendments to rules to implement titles V (Private Company Flexibility and Growth) and VI (Capital Expansion) of the JOBS Act.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/30/14	79 FR 78343
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/03/15	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Steven G. Hearne, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551–3430.

RIN: 3235–AL40

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC)**

*Division of Corporation Finance*

Completed Actions

**415. Crowdfunding**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 77a *et seq.*; 15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*; Pub. L. 112–108, secs 301 to 305

*Abstract:* The Commission adopted rules to implement title III of the JOBS Act by prescribing rules governing the offer and sale of securities through crowdfunding under new section 4(a)(6) of the Securities Act.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	11/05/13	78 FR 66428
NPRM Comment Period End.	02/03/14	
Final Action .....	11/16/15	80 FR 71388
Final Action Effective.	05/16/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Timothy White, Division of Trading and Markets, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551–7232.

Sebastian Gomez Abero, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551–3460.

RIN: 3235–AL37

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC)**

*Division of Investment Management*

Final Rule Stage

**416. Reporting of Proxy Votes on Executive Compensation and Other Matters**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 78m; 15 U.S.C. 78w(a); 15 U.S.C. 78mm; 15 U.S.C. 78x; 15 U.S.C. 80a–8; 15 U.S.C. 80a–29; 15 U.S.C. 80a–30; 15 U.S.C. 80a–37; 15 U.S.C. 80a–44; Pub. L. 111–203, sec 951

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed rule amendments to implement section 951 of the Dodd Frank Act. The proposed amendments to rules and Form N–PX would require institutional investment managers subject to section 13(f) of the Exchange Act to report how they voted on any shareholder vote on executive compensation or golden parachutes pursuant to sections 14A(a) and (b) of the Exchange Act.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/28/10	75 FR 66622
NPRM Comment Period End.	11/18/10	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Matthew DeLesDernier, Division of Investment Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551–6792, *Email:* delesdernierj@sec.gov.

RIN: 3235–AK67

**417. Investment Company Reporting Modernization**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 77 *et seq.*; 15 U.S.C. 77aaa *et seq.*; 15 U.S.C. 78a *et seq.*; 15 U.S.C. 80a *et seq.*; 44 U.S.C. 3506; 44 U.S.C. 3507

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed new rules and forms as well as amendments to its rules and forms to modernize the reporting and disclosure of information by registered investment companies.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/12/15	80 FR 33590
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/11/15	
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened.	10/12/15	80 FR 62274
NPRM Comment Period Re-opened End.	01/13/16	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sara Cortes, Division of Investment Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551-6781, *Email:* cortess@sec.gov.

*RIN:* 3235-AL42

**418. Use of Derivatives by Registered Investment Companies and Business Development Companies**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 80a-c(c); 15 U.S.C. 80a-31(a); 15 U.S.C. 80a-12(a); 15 U.S.C. 80a-38(a); 15 U.S.C. 80a-8; 15 U.S.C. 80a-30; 15 U.S.C. 80-38

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed a new rule designed to enhance the regulation of the use of derivatives by registered investment companies, including mutual funds, exchange-traded funds, closed-end funds and business development companies. The proposed rule would regulate registered investment companies' use of derivatives and require enhanced risk management measures.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	12/28/15	80 FR 80884
NPRM Comment Period End.	03/28/16	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Brian Johnson, Division of Investment Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551-6740, *Email:* johnsonbm@sec.gov.

*RIN:* 3235-AL60

**419. Open-End Liquidity Risk Management Programs; Swing Pricing**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 80a-37(a); 15 U.S.C. 80a-22(c); 15 U.S.C. 80a-31(a); 15 U.S.C. 77a et seq.; 15 U.S.C. 77aaa et seq.; 15 U.S.C. 78a et seq.; 15 U.S.C. 80a et seq.

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed a new rule requiring open-end funds to adopt and implement liquidity management programs. The Commission also proposed rule amendments that permit open-end

funds to use "swing pricing" and form amendments that enhance disclosure regarding fund liquidity and redemption practices.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	10/15/15	80 FR 62274
NPRM Comment Period End.	01/13/16	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sarah ten Siethoff, Division of Investment Management, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551-6729, *Email:* tensiethoffs@sec.gov.

*RIN:* 3235-AL61

**420. Amendments to Form ADV and Investment Advisers Act Rules**

*Legal Authority:* 15 U.S.C. 77s(a); 15 U.S.C. 77sss(a); 15 U.S.C. 78bb(e)(2); 15 U.S.C. 78w(a); 15 U.S.C. 80a-37(a); 15 U.S.C. 80b-3(c)(1)

*Abstract:* The Commission proposed amendments to Form ADV that are designed to provide additional information regarding advisers, including information about their separately managed account business; incorporate a method for private fund adviser entities operating a single advisory business to register using a single Form ADV; and make clarifying, technical and other amendments to certain Form ADV items and instructions. The Commission also proposed amendments to the Investment Advisers Act books and records and technical amendments to several Investment Advisers Act rules to remove transition provisions that are no longer necessary.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	06/12/15	80 FR 33718
NPRM Comment Period End.	08/11/15	
Final Action .....	04/00/17	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* Sarah Buescher, Division of Investment Management,

Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551-5192, *Email:* bueschers@sec.gov.

*RIN:* 3235-AL75

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC)**

*Division of Trading and Markets*

Long-Term Actions

**421. Removal of Certain References to Credit Ratings Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

*Legal Authority:* Pub. L. 111-203, sec 939A

*Abstract:* Section 939A of the Dodd Frank Act requires the Commission to remove certain references to credit ratings from its regulations and to substitute such standards of creditworthiness as the Commission determines to be appropriate. The Commission amended certain rules and one form under the Exchange Act applicable to broker-dealer financial responsibility, and confirmation of transactions. The Commission has not yet finalized amendments to certain rules regarding the distribution of securities.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
NPRM .....	05/06/11	76 FR 26550
NPRM Comment Period End.	07/05/11	
Final Action .....	01/08/14	79 FR 1522
Final Action Effective.	07/07/14	
Next Action Undetermined.	To Be Determined	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis*

*Required: Yes.*

*Agency Contact:* John Guidroz, Division of Trading and Markets, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, *Phone:* 202 551-6439, *Email:* guidrozj@sec.gov.

*RIN:* 3235-AL14

[FR Doc. 2016-12968 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P





# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXIX

Surface Transportation Board

---

Semiannual Regulatory Agenda

**SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD**  
**49 CFR Ch. X**  
**[STB Ex Parte No. 536 (Sub-No. 40)]**

**Semiannual Regulatory Agenda**

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board.  
**ACTION:** Semiannual regulatory agenda.

**SUMMARY:** The Surface Transportation Board (the Board), in accordance with the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), is publishing a semiannual agenda of: (1) Current and projected rulemakings; and (2) existing regulations being reviewed to determine whether to propose modifications through rulemaking. Listed below are the regulatory actions to be developed or reviewed during the next 12 months. Following each rule identified is a brief description of the rule, including its purpose and legal basis.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** A contact person is identified for each of the rules listed below.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.* (RFA), sets forth a number of requirements for agency rulemaking.

Among other things, the RFA requires that, semiannually, each agency shall publish in the **Federal Register** a regulatory flexibility agenda, which shall contain:

(1) A brief description of the subject area of any rule that the agency expects to propose or promulgate, which is likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities;

(2) A summary of the nature of any such rule under consideration for each subject area listed in the agenda pursuant to paragraph (1), the objectives and legal basis for the issuance of the rule, and an approximate schedule for completing action on any rule for which the agency has issued a general notice of proposed rulemaking; and

(3) The name and telephone number of an agency official knowledgeable about the items listed in paragraph (1).

Accordingly, a list of proceedings appears below containing information about subject areas in which the Board is currently conducting rulemaking proceedings or may institute such proceedings in the near future. It also contains information about existing regulations being reviewed to determine

whether to propose modifications through rulemaking.

The agenda represents the Board's best estimate of rules that will be considered over the next 12 months. However, section 602(d) of the RFA, 5 U.S.C. 602(d), provides: "Nothing in [section 602] precludes an agency from considering or acting on any matter not included in a regulatory flexibility agenda or requires an agency to consider or act on any matter listed in such agenda."

The Board is publishing its spring 2016 regulatory flexibility agenda as part of the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulatory and Deregulatory Actions (Unified Agenda). The Unified Agenda is coordinated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), pursuant to Executive Order 12866 and 13563. The Board is participating voluntarily in the program to assist OMB.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

By the Board, Chairman Elliott, Vice Chairman Miller, and Commissioner Begeman.

**Jeffrey Herzig,**  
*Clearance Clerk.*

**SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD—PRERULE STAGE**

Sequence No.	Title	Regulation Identifier No.
422 .....	Review of Commodity, Boxcar, and TOFC/COFC Exemptions, Docket No. EP 704 .....	2140-AB28

**SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD (STB)**

Prerule Stage

**422. • Review of Commodity, Boxcar, and TOFC/COFC Exemptions, Docket No. EP 704**

*Legal Authority:* 49 U.S.C. 10502; 49 U.S.C. 13301

*Abstract:* In this proceeding, the Board requested public comment and held a public hearing in February 2011 to explore the continued utility of, and the issues surrounding, the commodity exemptions under 49 CFR 1039.10 and 1039.11, the boxcar exemption under 49 CFR 1039.14, and the trailer-on-flatcar/

container-on-flatcar exemption under 49 CFR part 1090. The Board encouraged interested parties to address the effectiveness of the exemptions in the marketplace, whether the rationale behind any of these exemptions should be revisited, and whether the exemptions should be subject to periodic review. Comments and exhibits were received through February 24, 2011, and are under consideration by the Board.

*Timetable:*

Action	Date	FR Cite
Notice of Public Hearing.	10/27/10	75 FR 66187

Action	Date	FR Cite
Prerule .....	05/00/16	

*Regulatory Flexibility Analysis Required:* Yes.

*Agency Contact:* Scott M. Zimmerman, Deputy Director, Office of Proceedings, Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001, *Phone:* 202 245-0386, *Fax:* 202 245-0464, *Email:* [zimmermans@stb.dot.gov](mailto:zimmermans@stb.dot.gov).

*RIN:* 2140-AB28

[FR Doc. 2016-12970 Filed 6-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915-15-P**



# FEDERAL REGISTER

---

Vol. 81

Thursday,

No. 111

June 9, 2016

---

Part XXX

## The President

---

Memorandum of May 18, 2016—Delegation of Certain Functions and Authorities Under the North Korea Sanctions and Policy Enhancement Act of 2016

Presidential Determination No. 2016–06 of May 19, 2016—Presidential Determination Pursuant to Section 1245(d)(4)(B) and (C) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012

Presidential Determination No. 2016–07 of June 1, 2016—Suspension of Limitations Under the Jerusalem Embassy Act



---

**Presidential Documents**

---

Title 3—

Memorandum of May 18, 2016

The President

**Delegation of Certain Functions and Authorities Under the North Korea Sanctions and Policy Enhancement Act of 2016****Memorandum for the Secretary of State[,] the Secretary of the Treasury[,] the Director of National Intelligence[, and] the Secretary of Commerce**

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including section 301 of title 3, United States Code, I hereby order as follows:

I hereby delegate to the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, functions and authorities vested in the President by section 103(a) of the North Korea Sanctions and Policy Enhancement Act of 2016 (Public Law 114–122, 22 U.S.C. 9201 note) (the “Act”).

I hereby delegate to the Secretary of State the functions and authorities vested in the President by sections 208(b), 208(c), 401(a), 401(b), and 402. These functions and authorities shall be executed in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury when affecting actions undertaken by the Department of the Treasury pursuant to authorities delegated herein or pursuant to authorities conferred by the Act.

I hereby delegate to the Secretary of State the functions and authorities vested in the President by section 301 of the Act and direct the Secretary of State to develop, in coordination with other executive departments and agencies, as appropriate, the strategy described in section 202(c) of the Act.

I hereby delegate to the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the functions and authorities vested in the President by sections 104(a), 104(b), 104(d), 209(b), and 304(b) of the Act.

I hereby delegate to the Secretary of the Treasury the functions and authorities vested in the President by sections 102(a), 104(c), 104(e), and 208(d) of the Act.

I hereby delegate to the Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the functions and authorities vested in the President by section 205(a) of the Act.

I hereby delegate the functions and authorities vested in the President by section 209(a) as follows:

- The Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, shall have the responsibility for submitting the report described in subsection 209(a)(1) and for providing the information described in subsections 209(a)(2)(A) and (B).

- The Director of National Intelligence, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury and the Secretary of State, shall have the responsibility for providing the description of significant activities described by subsection 209(a)(1) and the assessment described in subsection 209(a)(2)(C).

- The Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury and the Director of National Intelligence, shall have the responsibility for providing the strategy described in subsection 209(a)(2)(D).

I hereby delegate to the Secretary of State, the Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Commerce, and the Director of National Intelligence the functions and authorities vested in the President by section 404(a) of the

Act as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of the Act, including the foregoing delegations.

Any reference herein to provisions of any act related to the subject of this memorandum shall be deemed to include references to any hereafter-enacted provisions of law that are the same or substantially the same as such provisions.

The Secretary of State is authorized and directed to publish this memorandum in the *Federal Register*.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be Barack Obama's signature, consisting of a large 'B' followed by a circle and a horizontal line.

THE WHITE HOUSE,  
Washington, May 18, 2016

---

## Presidential Documents

**Presidential Determination No. 2016–06 of May 19, 2016**

**Presidential Determination Pursuant to Section 1245(d)(4)(B) and (C) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012**

**Memorandum for the Secretary of State[,] the Secretary of the Treasury[, and] the Secretary of Energy**

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, after carefully considering the report submitted to the Congress by the Energy Information Administration on February 9, 2016, and other relevant factors, including global economic conditions, increased oil production by certain countries, the level of spare capacity, and the availability of strategic reserves, I determine, pursuant to section 1245(d)(4)(B) and (C) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, Public Law 112–81, and consistent with my prior determinations, that there is a sufficient supply of petroleum and petroleum products from countries other than Iran to permit a significant reduction in the volume of petroleum and petroleum products purchased from Iran by or through foreign financial institutions. However, consistent with U.S. commitments specified in the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA), the United States is no longer pursuing efforts to reduce Iran's sales of crude oil. The United States action to fulfill these commitments became effective upon reaching Implementation Day under the JCPOA, which occurred once the International Atomic Energy Agency verified that Iran had implemented key nuclear-related steps specified in the JCPOA to ensure that its nuclear program is and will remain exclusively peaceful.

I will continue to monitor this situation closely.

The Secretary of State is hereby authorized and directed to publish this memorandum in the *Federal Register*.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Susan Rice". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "S" and a circular flourish.

THE WHITE HOUSE,  
Washington, May 19, 2016

[FR Doc. 2016-13863  
Filed 6-8-16; 11:15 am]  
Billing code 4710-10-P

---

## Presidential Documents

Presidential Determination No. 2016-07 of June 1, 2016

### Suspension of Limitations under the Jerusalem Embassy Act

#### Memorandum for the Secretary of State

Pursuant to the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States, including section 7(a) of the Jerusalem Embassy Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-45) (the "Act"), I hereby determine that it is necessary, in order to protect the national security interests of the United States, to suspend for a period of 6 months the limitations set forth in sections 3(b) and 7(b) of the Act.

You are authorized and directed to transmit this determination to the Congress, accompanied by a report in accordance with section 7(a) of the Act, and to publish this determination in the *Federal Register*.

This suspension shall take effect after the transmission of this determination and report to the Congress.



THE WHITE HOUSE,  
Washington, June 1, 2016

# Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 81, No. 111

Thursday, June 9, 2016

## CUSTOMER SERVICE AND INFORMATION

<b>Federal Register/Code of Federal Regulations</b>	
General Information, indexes and other finding aids	<b>202-741-6000</b>
<b>Laws</b>	<b>741-6000</b>
<b>Presidential Documents</b>	
Executive orders and proclamations	<b>741-6000</b>
<b>The United States Government Manual</b>	<b>741-6000</b>
<b>Other Services</b>	
Electronic and on-line services (voice)	<b>741-6020</b>
Privacy Act Compilation	<b>741-6064</b>
Public Laws Update Service (numbers, dates, etc.)	<b>741-6043</b>

## ELECTRONIC RESEARCH

### World Wide Web

Full text of the daily Federal Register, CFR and other publications is located at: [www.fdsys.gov](http://www.fdsys.gov).

Federal Register information and research tools, including Public Inspection List, indexes, and Code of Federal Regulations are located at: [www.ofr.gov](http://www.ofr.gov).

### E-mail

**FEDREGTOC-L** (Federal Register Table of Contents LISTSERV) is an open e-mail service that provides subscribers with a digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents. The digital form of the Federal Register Table of Contents includes HTML and PDF links to the full text of each document.

To join or leave, go to <http://listserv.access.gpo.gov> and select *Online mailing list archives, FEDREGTOC-L, Join or leave the list (or change settings)*; then follow the instructions.

**PENS** (Public Law Electronic Notification Service) is an e-mail service that notifies subscribers of recently enacted laws.

To subscribe, go to <http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html> and select *Join or leave the list (or change settings)*; then follow the instructions.

**FEDREGTOC-L** and **PENS** are mailing lists only. We cannot respond to specific inquiries.

**Reference questions.** Send questions and comments about the Federal Register system to: [fedreg.info@nara.gov](mailto:fedreg.info@nara.gov)

The Federal Register staff cannot interpret specific documents or regulations.

**CFR Checklist.** Effective January 1, 2009, the CFR Checklist no longer appears in the Federal Register. This information can be found online at <http://bookstore.gpo.gov/>.

## FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATE, JUNE

34859-35268	1
35269-35578	2
35579-36136	3
36137-36432	6
36433-36786	7
36787-37120	8
37121-37484	9

## CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING JUNE

At the end of each month the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

### 3 CFR

<b>Proclamations:</b>	
9454	34859
9455	36127
9456	36129
9457	36131
9458	36133
9459	36135
<b>Administrative Orders:</b>	
<b>Memorandums:</b>	
Memorandum of May	
18, 2016	37479
Memorandum of May	
24, 2016	35579
<b>Presidential</b>	
<b>Determinations:</b>	
No. 2016-06 of May	
19, 2016	37481
No. 2016-07 of June 1,	
2016	37483

### 5 CFR

<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	
630	36186
2638	36193

### 6 CFR

5	36433
---	-------

### 7 CFR

1738	37121
4279	35984
4287	35984
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	
205	36810
210	36480
215	36480
220	36480
225	36480
226	36480
235	36480

### 10 CFR

429	35242, 36992
430	35242, 36992
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	
73	34916
850	36704

### 11 CFR

4	34861
100	34861
104	34861
106	34861
109	34861
110	34861
113	34861
114	34861
9004	34861
9034	34861

### 12 CFR

<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	
50	35124
249	35124
329	35124

### 14 CFR

<b>Ch. I</b>	
39	34864, 34867, 34871, 34876, 35581, 36137, 36139, 36433, 36436, 36438, 36440, 36443, 36447, 36449, 36452, 37122, 37124
71	34879, 34880, 36140, 36141, 37126, 37127
1274	35583

### Proposed Rules:

11	34919
29	35654
39	34927, 34929, 35655, 35657, 36211, 36810, 36813, 37166
71	36214, 36815
382	34931
404	34919
405	34919
420	34919
431	34919
435	34919
437	34919
460	34919

### 15 CFR

6	36454
710	36458
734	35586
740	35586
745	36458
750	35586
772	35586
774	36458
1110	34882
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	
730	36481
747	36481
748	36481
762	36481

### 16 CFR

1227	37128
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	
259	36216
460	35661

### 17 CFR

249	37132
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	
1	36484
38	36484
40	36484
170	36484

<b>18 CFR</b>	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	<b>42 CFR</b>	515.....36423
420.....35608	56.....36818	403.....35643	517.....36422
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	57.....36818, 36826	412.....34908	538.....36425
401.....35662	70.....36826	414.....34909	552.....36422, 36423, 36425
420.....35662	72.....36826	495.....34908	1849.....36182
<b>20 CFR</b>	75.....36826	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	1852.....36182
404.....37138	<b>31 CFR</b>	405.....37175	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>
416.....37138	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	412.....37175	5.....36245
<b>21 CFR</b>	1010.....35665	413.....37175	14.....36245
14.....37153	<b>32 CFR</b>	485.....37175	19.....36245
510.....36787	706.....36463	<b>43 CFR</b>	22.....36245
520.....36787, 36790	<b>33 CFR</b>	10000.....36180	25.....36245
522.....36787	100.....34895, 35617, 36154,	<b>45 CFR</b>	28.....36245
556.....36787	36465, 36468, 37156	95.....35450	43.....36245
558.....36787, 36790	117.....34895, 36166, 36470,	Ch. XIII.....35450	47.....36245
573.....35610	36798, 37156, 37178	1321.....35644	49.....36245
<b>22 CFR</b>	165.....35619, 36154, 36167,	1322.....35644	52.....36245
35.....36791	36168, 36169, 36171, 36174,	1323.....35644	53.....36245
103.....36791	36471, 36800, 37158	1324.....35644	202.....36506
120.....35611	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	1325.....35644	205.....36506
123.....35611	110.....37168	1326.....35644	212.....36506
124.....35611	117.....34932	1327.....35644	237.....36506
125.....35611	165.....35671, 36243, 36488,	1328.....35644	252.....36506
126.....35611	36490, 36492, 36494, 36831	1331.....35643	<b>49 CFR</b>
127.....36791	Ch. II.....35186	1355.....35450	107.....35484
138.....36791	<b>34 CFR</b>	1356.....35450	171.....35484
<b>26 CFR</b>	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	1385.....35644	172.....35484
1.....36793	Ch. 1.....36833	1386.....35644	173.....35484
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	<b>36 CFR</b>	1387.....35644	175.....35484
1.....36816	1202.....36801	1388.....35644	176.....35484
<b>28 CFR</b>	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	<b>46 CFR</b>	177.....35484
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	242.....36836	10.....35648	178.....35484
16.....36228	<b>39 CFR</b>	<b>47 CFR</b>	179.....35484
571.....36485	20.....35270	1.....36805	180.....35484
<b>29 CFR</b>	<b>40 CFR</b>	12.....35274	392.....36474
1601.....35269	49.....35944	64.....36181	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>
<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	51.....35622	73.....35652	240.....36858
4231.....36229	52.....35271, 35622, 35634,	300.....34913	242.....36858
<b>30 CFR</b>	35636, 36176, 36179, 36803,	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	391.....36858
203.....36145	37160, 37162	1.....35680	<b>50 CFR</b>
250.....36145	60.....35824	15.....36501, 36858	17.....36388, 36762
251.....36145	70.....35622	69.....36030	216.....36183
252.....36145	71.....35622	<b>48 CFR</b>	300.....36183
254.....36145	180.....34896, 34902	207.....36473	622.....37164
256.....36145	271.....35641	209.....36473	660.....35653, 36184, 36806
280.....36145	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>	211.....36473	679.....34915, 36808
282.....36145	52.....34935, 34940, 35674,	215.....36473	<b>Proposed Rules:</b>
290.....36145	36496, 36842, 36848, 37170,	237.....36473	17.....35698
291.....36145	37175	242.....36473	18.....36664
1241.....37153	372.....35275	245.....36473	100.....36836
		252.....36473	226.....35701, 36078
		501.....36423	622.....34944
		511.....36425	635.....36511
			648.....36251
			660.....34947, 35290

---

---

**LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS**

---

**Note:** No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion

in today's **List of Public Laws**.

Last List June 8, 2016

---

---

**Public Laws Electronic Notification Service (PENS)**

---

**PENS** is a free electronic mail notification service of newly

enacted public laws. To subscribe, go to <http://listserv.gsa.gov/archives/publaws-l.html>

**Note:** This service is strictly for E-mail notification of new laws. The text of laws is not available through this service. **PENS** cannot respond to specific inquiries sent to this address.