SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 10, 1999, ONRR published a final rule titled “Amendments to Gas Valuation Regulations for Indian Leases” effective January 1, 2000 (64 FR 43506). The gas valuation regulations apply to all gas production from Indian (Tribal or allotted) oil and gas leases, except leases on the Osage Indian Reservation.

The regulations require ONRR to publish major portion prices for each designated area not associated with an index zone for each production month beginning January 2000, as well as the due date for additional royalty payments. See 30 CFR 1206.174(a)(4)(ii). If you owe additional royalties based on a published major portion price, you must submit to ONRR by the due date, an amended form ONRR–2014, Report of Sales and Royalty Remittance. If you do not pay the additional royalties by the due date, ONRR will bill you late payment interest under 30 CFR 1218.54. The interest will accrue from the due date until ONRR receives your payment and an amended form ONRR–2014. The table below lists the major portion prices for all designated areas not associated with an index zone. The due date is the end of the month following 60 days after the publication date of this notice.

GAS MAJOR PORTION PRICES ($/MMBtu) FOR DESIGNATED AREAS NOT ASSOCIATED WITH AN INDEX ZONE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ONRR-designated areas</th>
<th>Jan 2014</th>
<th>Feb 2014</th>
<th>Mar 2014</th>
<th>Apr 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackfeet Reservation</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>6.58</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>3.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Berthold</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>6.76</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Peck Reservation</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>10.27</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>5.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Allotted Leases in the Navajo Reservation</td>
<td>6.55</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>7.87</td>
<td>6.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turtle Mountain Reservation</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>4.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Belknap</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackfeet Reservation</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Belknap</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Berthold</td>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Peck Reservation</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Allotted Leases in the Navajo Reservation</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turtle Mountain Reservation</td>
<td>5.05</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blackfeet Reservation</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Belknap</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Berthold</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Peck Reservation</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo Allotted Leases in the Navajo Reservation</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turtle Mountain Reservation</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For information on how to report additional royalties due to major portion prices, please refer to our Dear Payor letter dated December 1, 1999, on the ONRR Web site at http://www.onrr.gov/ReportPay/PDFDocs/991201.pdf.


The complainant requests that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a general exclusion order, or in the alternative a limited exclusion order, and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, is available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at http://www.usitc.gov. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the
Complaint’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov.


Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on June 1, 2016, ordered that—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(2) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain composite aerogel insulation materials and methods for manufacturing the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 15–17 and 19 of the ’123 patent; claims 1–3, 5–7, 9, 10, 12–14, 18, and 50 of the ’359 patent; claims 1–4, 6–9, 15, 16, and 18–21 of the ’439 patent; claims 11–13, 15, 17–19, and 21 of the ’890 patent; and claims 1, 2, and 11 of the ’486 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainant is: Aspen Aerogels, Inc., 30 Forbes Road, Building B, Northborough, MA 01532.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Nano Tech Co., Ltd., No. 9 Baichuan Road, Binhai New Area, Shaoxing, Zhejiang, China.

Guangdong Alison Hi-Tech Co., Ltd., Rm. 1202, Golden Lake Building, No. 2 Donghu Road West, Guangzhou, China.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 2, 2016.

Lisa R. Barton,
Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2016–13467 Filed 6–7–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–974]

Certain Aquarium Fittings and Parts Thereof; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation; Issuance of Consent Order and Termination of the Investigation


ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (‘‘ALJ’’) initial determination (‘‘ID’’) (Order No. 10) terminating the above-captioned investigation based on a consent order stipulation, consent order, and settlement agreement. The Commission has issued the consent order and determined to terminate the investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clint Gerdine, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2310. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at http://www.usitc.gov.

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.


On April 18, 2016, complainant and the sole respondent moved for termination of the investigation based on a consent order stipulation and a settlement agreement. The Commission investigative attorney supported the motion.

On May 4, 2016, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 10) granting the joint motion and finding that the motion for termination satisfies Commission Rules 210.21(a)(1) and (b)(1), termination is in the public interest, and that no “extraordinary circumstances” exist that would preclude granting the motion. No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID and has issued the consent order and terminated the investigation.


By order of the Commission.