

entering the comment submissions into ADAMS.

## II. Discussion

The NRC is issuing this draft regulatory guide on FOCD, to provide NRC staff's regulatory position regarding methods acceptable to the staff for determining whether an applicant for a nuclear facility license issued under sections 103.d., "Commercial Licenses," or 104.d., "Medical Therapy and Research and Development," of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA or Act), is owned, controlled, or dominated by an alien, a foreign corporation, or a foreign government (individually or collectively, a foreign entity). Pursuant to Commission direction in SRM-SECY-14-0089, this draft guidance is complementary to the staff's draft revision of the standard review plan (SRP) on FOCD. Specifically, the revision of the SRP establishes guidance on graded negation action plan (NAP) criteria; provides for the consideration of site-specific criteria, as necessary; allows for the use of license conditions to incorporate NAPs and the staff's "totality of facts" review approach; and, incorporates provisions for analyzing foreign financing. This draft regulatory guide and the SRP may be used by applicants or licensees for complying with FOCD requirements, including guidance on the subject of foreign financing. This draft regulatory guide may be used for license applications for new facilities, to be licensed under parts 50 and 52 of title 10 of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR), applications for the renewal of facility licenses; or, applications for approval of direct or indirect transfers of facility licenses.

The provisions in the AEA for FOCD and inimicality, and the staff's reviews of these areas under NRC regulations, are derived from the same national security concerns, but appear in separate and distinct language in the AEA. As such, the FOCD determination is to be made with an orientation toward the common defense and security. The FOCD provisions in the AEA and NRC's regulations are country-neutral, whereas the staff's inimicality review and its findings directly account for a license applicant's country of origin and any ties or interests that could result in a determination of inimicality. While FOCD and inimicality are complementary, this draft regulatory guide does not address the means for determining whether issuance of a license would be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

While this draft regulatory guide is complementary to the draft FOCD SRP, which is currently issued for public comment, there are differences between the two. This draft guidance was completed after the FOCD SRP was issued for public comment, therefore guidance on some topics have been further refined. Specifically, this draft regulatory guide includes the consideration of NPUF applicants and licensees, as applicable, and also interagency comments on provisions for FOCD analysis and negation action plan development. These topics will be addressed, as applicable, in the draft FOCD SRP prior to finalizing for Commission approval.

## III. Backfitting and Issue Finality

This draft regulatory guide describes acceptable methods for determining whether an applicant for (or holder of) a nuclear facility license issued under sections 103.d., "Commercial Licenses," or 104.d., "Medical Therapy and Research and Development," of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (AEA or Act), is owned, controlled, or dominated by an alien, a foreign corporation, or a foreign government (individually or collectively, a foreign entity). This determination, and any applicant or licensee actions needed to demonstrate that the applicant or licensee is not owned, controlled or dominated by an alien, a foreign corporation, or a foreign government, is not within the purview of the Backfit Rule, 10 CFR 50.109 or the issue finality provisions in 10 CFR part 52. Accordingly, the issuance of this regulatory guide does not represent backfitting or a violation of any issue finality provisions in part 52.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 16th day of May 2016.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**William M. Dean,**

*Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 2016-12546 Filed 5-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## PACIFIC NORTHWEST ELECTRIC POWER AND CONSERVATION PLANNING COUNCIL

### Seventh Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan

**AGENCY:** Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council (Northwest Power and Conservation Council; the Council).

**ACTION:** Notice of adoption of the Seventh Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan.

**SUMMARY:** The Pacific Northwest Electric Power Planning and Conservation Act of 1980 (16 U.S.C. 839 *et seq.*) requires the Council to adopt and periodically review and revise a regional power plan, the Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan. The Council first adopted the power and conservation plan in 1983, with significant amendments or complete revisions adopted in 1986, 1991, 1998, 2004 and 2010. The Council began a review of the power and conservation plan in March 2013, and in October 2015 the Council released for public review and comment the Draft Northwest Seventh Electric Power and Conservation Plan. During the comment period, the Council held public hearings in each of the four Northwest states, as required by the Northwest Power Act, engaged in consultations about the power and conservation plan with various governments, entities and individuals in the region, and accepted and considered substantial written and oral comments.

At the Council's regularly scheduled public meeting in February 2016 in Portland, Oregon, the Council formally adopted the revised power and conservation plan, called the Seventh Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Plan. The revised power and conservation plan meets the requirements of the Northwest Power Act, which specifies the components the power plan is to have, including an energy conservation program, a recommendation for research and development; a methodology for determining quantifiable environmental costs and benefits; a 20-year demand forecast; a forecast of power resources that the Bonneville Power Administration will need to meet its obligations; and an analysis of reserve and reserve reliability requirements. The power and conservation plan also includes the Council's Columbia River Basin Fish and Wildlife Program, developed pursuant to other procedural requirements under the Northwest Power Act. The Council followed the adoption of the power and conservation plan with a decision at its regular monthly meeting in May 2016 in Boise, Idaho, to approve a Statement of Basis and Purpose and Response to Comments to accompany the final plan.

The final power and conservation plan is available on the Council's Web site, at <http://www.nwccouncil.org/energy/powerplan/7/home/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you would like more information, or assistance in obtaining a copy of the Seventh Power Plan, please contact the Council's central office. The Council's address is 851 SW Sixth Avenue, Suite 1100, Portland, Oregon 97204. The Council's telephone numbers are 503-222-5161, and 800-452-5161; the Council's FAX is 503-820-2370, and the Council's Web site is: [www.nwcouncil.org](http://www.nwcouncil.org).

**Stephen L. Crow,**  
Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 2016-12474 Filed 5-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2016-132 and CP2016-169;  
Order No. 3306]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Contract 215 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* June 1, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30-35, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 215 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 215 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, May 19, 2016 (Request).

contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Request, Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

### II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-132 and CP2016-169 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 215 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39 CFR part 3020, subpart B. Comments are due no later than June 1, 2016. The public portions of these filings can be accessed via the Commission's Web site (<http://www.prc.gov>).

The Commission appoints Curtis E. Kidd to serve as Public Representative in these dockets.

### III. Ordering Paragraphs

It is ordered:

1. The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-132 and CP2016-169 to consider the matters raised in each docket.

2. Pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505, Curtis E. Kidd is appointed to serve as an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in these proceedings (Public Representative).

3. Comments are due no later than June 1, 2016.

4. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this order in the **Federal Register**.

By the Commission.

**Stacy L. Ruble,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-12395 Filed 5-25-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2016-135 and CP2016-172;  
Order No. 3302]

### New Postal Product

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.  
**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing concerning the addition of Priority Mail Contract 218 to the competitive product list. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* June 1, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Notice of Commission Action
- III. Ordering Paragraphs

### I. Introduction

In accordance with 39 U.S.C. 3642 and 39 CFR 3020.30-35, the Postal Service filed a formal request and associated supporting information to add Priority Mail Contract 218 to the competitive product list.<sup>1</sup>

The Postal Service contemporaneously filed a redacted contract related to the proposed new product under 39 U.S.C. 3632(b)(3) and 39 CFR 3015.5. Request, Attachment B.

To support its Request, the Postal Service filed a copy of the contract, a copy of the Governors' Decision authorizing the product, proposed changes to the Mail Classification Schedule, a Statement of Supporting Justification, a certification of compliance with 39 U.S.C. 3633(a), and an application for non-public treatment of certain materials. It also filed supporting financial workpapers.

### II. Notice of Commission Action

The Commission establishes Docket Nos. MC2016-135 and CP2016-172 to consider the Request pertaining to the proposed Priority Mail Contract 218 product and the related contract, respectively.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's filings in the captioned dockets are consistent with the policies of 39 U.S.C. 3632, 3633, or 3642, 39 CFR part 3015, and 39

<sup>1</sup> Request of the United States Postal Service to Add Priority Mail Contract 218 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing (Under Seal) of Unredacted Governors' Decision, Contract, and Supporting Data, May 19, 2016 (Request).