

| Activity                                       | Number of responses | Completion time per response (minutes) | Total annual burden hours (minutes) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Follow-Up Interviews with Nonrespondents ..... | 80                  | 5                                      | 7                                   |
| TOTALS .....                                   | 2,520               | .....                                  | 458                                 |

*Estimated Annual Nonhour Burden Cost:* None.

### III. Comments

We invite comments concerning this information collection on:

- Whether or not the collection of information is necessary, including whether or not the information will have practical utility;
- The accuracy of our estimate of the burden for this collection of information;
- Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this IC. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: May 13, 2016.

**Tina A. Campbell,**

*Chief, Division of Policy, Performance, and Management Programs, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.*

[FR Doc. 2016-11762 Filed 5-18-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4333-15-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R3-R-2016-N073; FXRS1261030000-167-FF03R02000]

### Glacial Ridge National Wildlife Refuge, Polk County, Minnesota; Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and Environmental Assessment

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service), announce the availability of a draft comprehensive conservation plan (CCP) and environmental assessment (EA) for the Glacial Ridge National Wildlife Refuge (refuge, NWR) for public review and comment. In this draft CCP/EA we describe how we propose to manage the refuge for the next 15 years.

**DATES:** To ensure consideration, we must receive your written comments by June 20, 2016. We will hold an open house-style meeting during the comment period to receive comments and provide information on the draft plan. In addition, we will use special mailings, newspaper articles, internet postings, and other media announcements to inform people of opportunities for input.

**ADDRESSES:** Send your comments or requests for more information by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* [r3planning@fws.gov](mailto:r3planning@fws.gov). Include "Glacial Ridge Draft CCP/EA" in the subject line of the message.
- *Fax:* Attention: Refuge Manager, Glacial Ridge NWR, 218-687-2225.
- *U.S. Mail:* Attention: Refuge Manager, Glacial Ridge NWR, 17788 349th St. SE., Erskine, MN 56535.
- *In-Person Drop Off:* You may drop off comments during regular business hours at the above addresses.

You will find the draft CCP/EA, as well as information about the planning process and a summary of the CCP, on the planning Web site at <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/planning/glacialridge/index.html>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Gregg Knutsen, 218-687-2229 x16.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Introduction

With this notice, we continue the CCP process for Glacial Ridge National Wildlife Refuge, which we began by publishing a notice of intent in the *Federal Register* (78 FR 3909) on January 17, 2013. For more about the initial process and the history of this refuge, see that notice.

### Background

The National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966, as amended by the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act of 1997 (16 U.S.C. 668dd-668ee) (Administration Act), requires us to develop a CCP for each national wildlife refuge. The purpose in developing a CCP is to provide refuge managers with a 15-year strategy for achieving refuge purposes and contributing toward the mission of the National Wildlife Refuge System (NWRS), consistent with sound principles of fish and wildlife management, conservation, legal mandates, and Service policies. In addition to outlining broad management direction on conserving wildlife and their habitats, CCPs identify wildlife-dependent recreational opportunities available to the public, including opportunities for hunting, fishing, wildlife observation and photography, and environmental education and interpretation. We will review and update the CCP at least every 15 years in accordance with the Administration Act.

Each unit of the NWRS was established for specific purposes. We use these purposes as the foundation for developing and prioritizing the management goals and objectives for each refuge within the NWRS mission, and to determine how the public can use each refuge. The planning process is a way for us and the public to evaluate management goals and objectives that will ensure the best possible approach to wildlife, plant, and habitat conservation, while providing for wildlife-dependent recreation opportunities that are compatible with each refuge's establishing purposes and the mission of the NWRS.

### Additional Information

The draft CCP/EA may be found at <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/planning/glacialridge/index.html>. That document incorporates an EA, prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) (43 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*). The draft CCP/EA includes detailed information about the planning process, refuge, issues, and management alternatives considered and proposed. The EA includes

discussions of three alternative refuge management options. The Service's preferred alternative is reflected in the draft CCP.

The alternatives analyzed in detail include:

- **Alternative A: Current Management (No Action)**—This alternative reflects the current management direction of Glacial Ridge NWR. It provides the baseline against which to compare other alternatives. For NEPA purposes, this is referred to as the “No Action” alternative.

- **Alternative B: Focused Habitat Management (Preferred Alternative)**—Under this alternative, refuge management actions would approximate ecological processes that maintained native habitats prior to European settlement, emphasizing the use of multiple habitat disturbance regimes (e.g., fire, grazing, mowing). These actions would maintain and increase the diversity of native vegetation and wildlife communities that mimic pre-settlement conditions. Management activities would be “focused” via a refuge prioritization effort to maximize the intended impacts on priority units, given reduced refuge staff and funding. Public use opportunities would continue with minimal changes. Staff time and funding would focus on improving opportunities for self-guided interpretation of refuge habitats and wildlife using existing infrastructure.

- **Alternative C: Woody Vegetation Reduction Focus**—The focus of this alternative would be the reduction of invasive woody vegetation cover (e.g., willow, aspen) across the refuge landscape during the lifespan of this CCP. The extent of woody cover is increasing due to a lack of regular vegetative disturbance and other factors. Management actions would focus on refuge units exhibiting woody vegetation cover that exceeds the amount found prior to European settlement. Public use opportunities would continue with minimal change. Staff time and funding would focus on improving opportunities for self-guided interpretation of refuge habitats and wildlife using existing infrastructure.

#### Public Involvement

We will give the public an opportunity to provide input at a public meeting. You can obtain the schedule from the address or Web site listed in this notice (see **ADDRESSES**). You may also submit comments anytime during the comment period.

#### Public Availability of Comments

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other

personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Charles M. Wooley,**

*Acting Regional Director.*

[FR Doc. 2016-11803 Filed 5-18-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4333-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

[167 A2100DD/AAKC001030/  
A0A501010.999900]

### Land Acquisitions; Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians of California

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of final agency determination.

**SUMMARY:** The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs made a final agency determination to acquire approximately 61.83 acres, more or less, of land in trust for the Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians, California, for gaming and other purposes on April 29, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Paula L. Hart, Director, Office of Indian Gaming, Bureau of Indian Affairs, MS-3657 MIB, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240; telephone (202) 219-4066.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice is published in the exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs by 209 Departmental Manual 8.1, and is published to comply with the requirements of 25 CFR 151.12(c)(2)(ii) that notice of the decision to acquire land in trust be promptly provided in the **Federal Register**.

On April 29, 2016, the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs issued a decision to accept approximately 61.83 acres, more or less, of land into trust for the Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians of California (Tribe) under the authority of the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934, 25 U.S.C. 465. The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs determined that the Tribe's request also meets the requirements of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act's “restored lands” exception, 25 U.S.C.

2719(b)(1)(B)(iii), to the general prohibition contained in 25 U.S.C. 2719(a) on gaming on lands acquired in trust after October 17, 1988.

The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, on behalf of the Secretary of the Interior, will immediately acquire title in the name of the United States of America in trust for the Cloverdale Rancheria of Pomo Indians, California.

#### Legal Description

The 61.83 acres, more or less, are located in the County of Sonoma, State of California, and are described as follows:

APN: 116-310-039-000, 116-310-035-000 and 116-310-040-000

#### Parcel One

Commencing at a point in the center of the main public road leading from Cloverdale to Healdsburg, at the southwesterly corner of the land of Allegrini, and which said point of beginning is the northwesterly corner of the land described in that certain deed dated February 16, 1916 executed by Mary M. Markell to Fred J. Daniels and recorded February 24, 1917, in liber 350 of deeds, at page 101, Sonoma County records, reference to which deed is hereby expressly made; running thence north 47°28' East, along the southerly boundary line of the land of said Allegrini a distance of 18.03 chains to the southeast corner of said Allegrini property being the point of beginning of the property hereby conveyed; thence continuing north 47°28' East along the southerly line of the land of Lile crossing the right of way of the Northwestern Pacific Railway Company, a distance of 35.74 chains to a point on the gravel bar on the Russian River and being the northeasterly corner of the land so conveyed as aforesaid by said Mary M. Markell to Fred J. Daniels; thence south 36°36' East, on said gravel bar 9.78 chains; thence south 47°28' West, being parallel with the northerly line of said lands conveyed by said Mary M. Markell to Fred J. Daniels a distance of 35.61 chains; thence North 37°19' West, a distance 9.77 chains to the place of beginning.

Excepting therefrom 4.50 acres as conveyed to the city of Cloverdale by deed recorded July 18, 1940 in book 505 of official records, at page 358, Serial No. B-21341.

Also excepting therefrom that portion conveyed to the State of California by deed recorded November 7, 1974, in book 2910 of official records, at page 437, Instrument No. P-28163, Sonoma County Records.

Also excepting therefrom that portion of the above described property