

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Ocean Energy Management  
[MMAA104000]****Notice on Outer Continental Shelf Oil  
and Gas Lease Sales**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), Interior.

**ACTION:** List of restricted joint bidders.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the joint bidding provisions of 30 CFR 556.511, the Director of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management is publishing a List of Restricted Joint Bidders. Each entity within one of the following groups is restricted from bidding with any entity in any of the other following groups at Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas lease sales to be held during the bidding period May 1, 2016, through October 31, 2016. This List of Restricted Joint Bidders will cover the period May 1, 2016, through October 31, 2016, and replace the prior list published on November 2, 2015, which covered the period of November 1, 2015, through April 30, 2016.

**Group I**

BP America Production Company  
BP Exploration & Production Inc.  
BP Exploration (Alaska) Inc.

**Group II**

Chevron Corporation  
Chevron U.S.A. Inc.  
Chevron Midcontinent, L.P.  
Unocal Corporation  
Union Oil Company of California  
Pure Partners, L.P.

**Group III**

Eni Petroleum Co. Inc.  
Eni Petroleum US LLC  
Eni Oil US LLC  
Eni Marketing Inc.  
Eni BB Petroleum Inc.  
Eni US Operating Co. Inc.  
Eni BB Pipeline LLC

**Group IV**

Exxon Mobil Corporation  
ExxonMobil Exploration Company

**Group V**

Petroleo Brasileiro S.A.  
Petrobras America Inc.

**Group VI**

Shell Oil Company  
Shell Offshore Inc.  
SWEPI LP  
Shell Frontier Oil & Gas Inc.  
SOI Finance Inc.  
Shell Gulf of Mexico Inc.

**Group VII**

Statoil ASA  
Statoil Gulf of Mexico LLC  
Statoil USA E&P Inc.  
Statoil Gulf Properties Inc.

**Group VIII**

Total E&P USA, Inc.

Dated: May 10, 2016.

**Abigail Ross Hopper,**

*Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy  
Management.*

[FR Doc. 2016-11596 Filed 5-16-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE  
COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-951]

**Certain Lithium Metal Oxide Cathode  
Materials, Lithium-Ion Batteries for  
Power Tool Products Containing  
Same, and Power Tool Products With  
Lithium-Ion Batteries Containing  
Same; Commission Determination To  
Review in Part a Final Initial  
Determination; Deny Certain Motions;  
and Grant a Request for a Commission  
Hearing; Request for Written  
Submissions on the Issues Under  
Review and on Remedy, the Public  
Interest and Bonding**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review in part the final initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) on February 29, 2016, finding a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), as to the asserted patent claims in this investigation. The Commission has also determined to deny motions for intervention and to reopen the record. Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.45 (19 CFR 210.45), Respondents’ request for a Commission hearing has been granted. A notice providing the scope and details of the hearing will be forthcoming.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s

electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on March 30, 2015, based on a complaint filed by BASF Corporation of Florham Park, New Jersey and UChicago Argonne LLC of Lemont, Illinois (collectively, “Complainants”). 80 FR 16696 (Mar. 30, 2015). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain lithium metal oxide cathode materials, lithium-ion batteries for power tool products containing same, and power tool products with lithium-ion batteries containing same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-4, 7, 13, and 14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,677,082 (“the ‘082 patent”) and claims 1-4, 8, 9, and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,680,143 (“the ‘143 patent”). *Id.* The notice of investigation named the following respondents: Umicore N.V. of Brussels, Belgium; Umicore USA Inc. of Raleigh, North Carolina (collectively, “Umicore”); Makita Corporation of Anjo, Japan; Makita Corporation of America of Buford, Georgia; and Makita U.S.A. Inc. of La Mirada, California (collectively, “Makita”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is a party to the investigation.

On November 5, 2015, the ALJ granted a joint motion by Complainants and Makita to terminate the investigation as to Makita based upon settlement. *See* Order No. 32 (Nov. 5, 2015). The Commission determined not to review. *See* Notice (Nov. 23, 2015).

On December 1, 2015, the ALJ granted an unopposed motion by Complainants to terminate the investigation as to claim 8 of the ‘082 patent. *See* Order No. 35 (Dec. 1, 2015). The Commission determined not to review Order No. 35. *See* Notice (Dec. 22, 2015).

On February 29, 2016, the ALJ issued his final ID, finding a violation of section 337 by Umicore in connection with claims 1-4, 7, 13, and 14 of the ‘082 patent and claims 1-4, 8, 9, and 17 of the ‘143 patent. Specifically, the ID found that the Commission has subject matter jurisdiction, *in rem* jurisdiction over the accused products, and *in personam* jurisdiction over Umicore. ID at 10-11. The ID found that Complainants satisfied the importation requirement of section 337 (19 U.S.C.