Due to Low Shipment Volume, Filings for the Following Entry Types Will Not Be Automated in Either ACS or ACE

- 04—Appraiser
- 05—Vessel—Repair
- 24—Trade Fair
- 25—Permanent Exhibition
- 26—Warehouse—Foreign Trade Zone (FTZ) (Admission)
- 33—Aircraft and Vessel Supply (For Immediate Exportation)
- 64—Barge Movement
- 65—Permit to Proceed
- 66—Baggage


R. Gil Kerlikowske, Commissioner, U.S. Customs and Border Protection.

BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

U.S. Customs and Border Protection

Notice of Issuance of Final Determination Concerning Certain Exercise Equipment


ACTION: Notice of final determination.

SUMMARY: This document provides notice that U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") has issued a final determination concerning the country of origin of two pieces of exercise equipment known as the Matrix® G3–S60 Selectorized Dip/Chin Assist and the Matrix® G3–FW52 Back Extension Bench. Based upon the facts presented, CBP has concluded that the country of origin of the exercise equipment is the United States under Scenario One and China under Scenario Two.

FACTS:

Johnson is an exercise equipment manufacturer based in Cottage Grove, Wisconsin. It is a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Taiwanese entity Johnson Health Tech. Co., Ltd. ("JHT"), JHT through its subsidiaries, operates in Taiwan, China, and the United States.

The two pieces of equipment at issue are the Matrix® G3–S60 Selectorized Dip/Chin Assist ("G3 Dip") and the Matrix® G3–FW52 Back Extension Bench ("G3 Back Extension"). The G3 Dip machine is designed to be used for pull-ups and triceps dips. The user kneels on a counterweighted lever that supports some of the user’s body weight during pull-up or triceps-dip exercises. This upward pressure helps the user develop strength before transitioning to unassisted pull-ups or triceps dips. The G3 Back Extension is an adjustable bench, angled at 45 degrees, designed to be used for lower-back exercises such as hyperextensions.

In its submission, Johnson described two scenarios for assembling the exercise equipment in the United States. The first scenario would apply to both the G3 Dip and the G3 Back Extension and involves importing all component parts for the equipment from China and welding, painting, and assembling them in the United States. The second scenario would apply only to the G3 Dip and is similar to the first scenario except that some of the sub-assemblies would be welded together in China. The specifics of each scenario are described in greater detail below.

1. Scenario One—Design, Weldments, and Assembly in the United States

a. Design in the United States

Johnson states that the G3 Dip and G3 Back Extension will be derived from previous industrial designs that were completed in the United States, although some additional U.S. industrial design may be needed to refresh the look of the equipment. In the design process, U.S.-based engineers will use SolidWorks software to create 3D models and 2D drawings from computer models. Each unit will generally require between 100 and 200 2D computer drawings representing between 300 and 500 separate components and subassemblies. These 2D drawings will then be used as the blueprints in the manufacturing process.

b. Component Parts and Materials Come From China

The G3 Dip will consist of approximately 500 parts all produced in China from Chinese materials except for the cable that contacts the weights to the counterweight. This cable will be procured from a U.S. supplier but is of unknown origin. The G3 Back Extension will consist of approximately 200 parts all produced in China from Chinese materials.
c. Description of Manufacturing Process

i. Description of Weldments/Major Subassemblies

Johnson states that the equipment will consist of a number of major subassemblies referred to as "weldments." Each weldment consists of a number of metal parts that are welded together to create a major component. These weldments are subsequently either welded or bolted together to form the finished product.

Nine weldments will comprise the G3 Dip: (1) The weight tower frame; (2) the base frame with steps; (3) the knee pad support; (4) the left-hand chin-up bars; (5) the right-hand chin-up bars; (6) the head plate; (7) the add-a-weight frame support; (8) the add-a-weight weight stack support; and (9) the belt termination. The G3 Back Extension will have three weldments: (1) the base exercise frame; (2) the telescopic adjustment tube; and (3) the thigh pad support.

Johnson notes that none of the parts as imported from China or the weldments as assembled in the United States will be able to function on their own until they are assembled, welded, or bolted together in the United States.

ii. Chinese Operations

In China, Johnson will purchase steel tubing that becomes the basis for the equipment’s frame. The tubing will be cut to length, punched or drilled, and bent into the required shape before being packaged with individual parts and sent to the United States.

iii. Assembly in the United States

In the United States, Johnson will first clean the steel tubes in a steam booth and then clamp them into various weld fixtures for welding into weldments.

With respect to the G3 Dip, each weldment will require the following number of welding seams to fuse the various metal components together:

(1) Weight Tower Frame—18 seams; (2) Base Frame With Steps—12 seams; (3) Knee Pad Support—6 seams; (4) Left-Hand Chin-Up Bar—4 seams; (5) Right-Hand Chin-Up Bar—4 seams; (6) Head Plate—1 seam; (7) Add-A-Weight Frame Support—1 seam; (8) Add-A-Weight Weight Stack Support—1 seam; (9) Belt Termination—2 seams.

With respect to the G3 Back Extension each weldment will need the following number of welding seams to fuse the various metal components together:

(1) Base Exercise Frame—16 seams; (2) Telescopic Adjustment Tube—4 seams; (3) Thigh Pad Support—2 seams.

After welding the metal components, workers will grind down some of the welds to ensure a proper fit for the final product.

Next, metal components will be painted with powder paint and placed into a paint oven to cure the paint. Some of the painted components will then be painted a second time with clear coat to protect the finish. At this point, all components and subassemblies will be ready for assembly into the final product, which will involve bolting together weldments; fastening hardware; adding rubber grips; capping off tube ends; positioning pulleys; adding weights, cables, or belts; and placing warning placards.

For the G3 Dip, Johnson states that it will take approximately 255 steps to assemble the 500 parts that make up the final product. As for the G3 Back Extension, it will take workers 148 steps to assemble the 200 parts that comprise the finished bench.

iv. Post-Assembly Inspection and Testing

Johnson states that significant inspection and testing will be required for each piece of G3 equipment. Each weldment will generally consist of a geometric measurement and analysis of the incoming components, a visual inspection of defects in workmanship and materials, functional testing of assembled units, inspection of paint, and cable tensile testing.

v. Labor & Investment in the United States

Johnson states that in order to assemble equipment in the United States using Scenario 1, it will need to hire at least 16 additional employees in the United States. Further investment will also need to be made in designing and building at least two new weld features, expanding into or acquiring new factory space, and updating IT infrastructure.

2. Scenario Two—Design, Some Weldments, and Assembly in the United States

As noted above, Scenario Two would apply only to the G3 Dip machine. It is similar to Scenario One except that three of the nine weldments will be welded together in China and sent to the United States as pre-welded components: (1) the add-a-weight frame support; (2) the add-a-weight weight stack support; and (3) the belt termination. Workers in the United States will then conduct a pre-cleaning and degreasing, an incoming inspection, painting and curing, and assembly on the Chinese-produced weldments. As a result of the additional welding in China, four fewer welding seams would be needed in the United States under Scenario 2. Otherwise, the steps required under Scenario 2 are the same as those described above under “Description of the Manufacturing Process” for Scenario 1.

Johnson states that it will take 210 steps to assemble the G3 Dip under Scenario Two and will require 17 additional employees in the United States (one employee more than under Scenario One due to the additional inspections required).

ISSUE:

What is the country of origin of the G3 Back Extension and the G3 Dip for purposes of U.S. government procurement?

LAW AND ANALYSIS:

Pursuant to subpart B of part 177, 19 CFR 177.21 et seq., which implements Title III of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2511 et seq.), CBP issues country of origin advisory rulings and final determinations as to whether an article is or would be a product of a designated country or instrumentality for the purposes of granting waivers of certain “Buy American” restrictions in U.S. law or practice for products offered for sale to the U.S. Government.

Under the rule of origin set forth under 19 U.S.C. 2518(4)(B): An article is a product of a country or instrumentality only if (i) it is wholly the growth, product, or manufacture, or (ii) in the case of an article which consists in whole or in part of materials from another country or instrumentality, it has been substantially transformed into a new and different article of commerce with a new and different name, character, or use distinct from that of the article or articles from which it was so transformed. See also 19 CFR 177.22(a).

In rendering advisory rulings and final determinations for purposes of U.S. Government procurement, CBP applies the provisions of subpart B of part 177 consistent with Federal Acquisition Regulations. See 19 CFR 177.21. In this regard, CBP recognizes that the Federal Acquisition Regulations restrict the U.S. Government’s purchase of products to U.S.-made or designated country end products for acquisitions subject to the TAA. See 48 CFR 25.403(c)(1). The Federal Acquisition Regulations define “U.S.-made end product” as:

. . . an article that is mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States or that is substantially transformed in the United States into a new and different article of commerce with a new and different name, character, and use are primary considerations in such cases. Additionally, factors such as the resources expended on product design and development, extent and nature of post-assembly inspection and testing procedures, and the degree of skill required during the actual manufacturing process may be relevant when determining whether a substantial transformation has occurred. No one factor is determinative.

CBP has consistently held that complex and meaningful assembly operations in the United States can result in a substantial transformation. See, e.g., HQ H156919, dated July 26, 2011. By contrast, assembly operations that are minimal or simple will generally not result in a substantial transformation. For example, in HQ 733188, dated July 5, 1990, CBP held that no substantial transformation occurred when Venezuelan exercise benches and boards were assembled in the United States. The metal frames as imported from Venezuela were essentially complete, and the U.S. assembly consisted primarily of attaching the...
cushions and minor parts. Further, no machining was done in the United States and no specialized training, skill, or equipment was required to assemble the exercise equipment. CBP thus held that no substantial transformation occurred in the United States.

Similarly, the Court of International Trade has applied the “essence test” to determine whether the identity of an article is changed through assembly or processing. For example, in Uniroyal, Inc. v. United States, 3 CIT 220, 225, 542 F. Supp. 1026, 1030 (1982), aff’d sub nom. 702 F.2d 1022 (Fed. Cir. 1983), the court held that imported shoe uppers added to an outer sole in the United States were the “very essence of the finished shoe” and thus were not substantially transformed into a product of the United States. Similarly, in National Juice Products Association v. United States, 10 CIT 46, 61, 628 F. Supp. 978, 991 (1986), the court held that imported orange juice concentrate “imparts the essential character” to the completed orange juice and thus was not substantially transformed into a product of the United States.

Here, with respect to Scenario One, although all or nearly all the parts will be of Chinese origin, the extent of U.S. assembly operations is sufficiently complex and meaningful to result in a substantial transformation. Unlike the exercise equipment at issue in HQ 733188, the G3 Dip and G3 Back Extension under Scenario One will not be essentially complete when their component parts are imported. To the contrary, they will require substantial additional processing and assembly to create a functional article of commerce. Under Scenario 1 for the G3 Dip, U.S. workers will need to produce nine separate weldments and weld 49 seams to create the major components that comprise the finished product. Likewise, with respect to the G3 Back Extension, U.S. workers will need to produce three separate weldments and weld 22 seams to create the major components that comprise the finished equipment.

In addition to the extensive welding operations that U.S. workers will undertake in Wisconsin, the parts that make up the frame will need to be cleaned and degreased, ground down, and sprayed with paint and clear coat in the United States. Next, workers will assemble 200 to 500 individual parts that go into the final product in an assembly process that will involve 148 to 255 individual steps. The assembly process will involve fastening hardware; adding rubber grips; capping off tube ends; positioning pulleys; adding weights, cables, or belts; and placing warning placards. Together with the U.S. welding operations, this assembly will cause the individual parts to lose their separate identities and to become integral components of a product with a new name, character, and use.

In addition to the extent and complexity of the U.S. assembly operations, several additional factors weigh in favor of finding that a substantial transformation will occur in the United States. As noted above, CBP also considers the resources expended on product design and development in the United States and the degree of skill required during the actual manufacturing process. Here, Johnson will expend significant resources in the United States on product development when its U.S.-based engineers create 3D CAD models and 2D drawings for use as blueprints during the manufacturing process. Furthermore, these engineers and the workers who will weld the subassemblies together require significant education, skill, and attention to detail.

With respect to Scenario Two, however, three of the G3 Dip’s weldments will be imported from China as pre-assembled components (the add-a-weight frame support, the add-a-weight weight stack support, and the belt termination). Under Uniroyal, 3 CIT 220, these critical components together impart the “very essence” of the finished product. The processing in the United States thus will not result in a substantial transformation. See also National Juice Prods. Ass’n, 10 CIT 48.

Based on the facts presented, the country of origin of the exercise equipment is the United States under Scenario One and China under Scenario Two.

**HOLDING:**
The country of origin of the finished exercise equipment under Scenario One is the United States for purposes of government procurement and China under Scenario Two. Notice of this final determination will be published in the Federal Register, as required by 19 CFR 177.29. Any party-at-interest other than the party which requested this final determination may request, pursuant to 19 CFR 177.30, that CBP reexamine the matter anew and issue a new final determination. Pursuant to 19 CFR 177.30, any party-at-interest may, within 30 days of publication of the Federal Register Notice referenced above, seek judicial review of this final determination before the Court of International Trade.

Sincerely,

Myles B. Harmon,
Acting Executive Director Regulations & Rulings Office of Trade.

[FR Doc. 2016–11478 Filed 5–13–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111–14–P

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**

**Federal Emergency Management Agency**

[Docket ID: FEMA–2016–0010; OMB No. 1660–0017]

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Public Assistance Program**

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on an extension, without change, of a currently approved information collection. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice seeks comments concerning information collected for the Public Assistance (PA) program eligibility determinations, grants management, and compliance with Federal laws and regulations.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before July 15, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** To avoid duplicate submissions to the docket, please use only one of the following means to submit comments:

(1) **Online.** Submit comments at www.regulations.gov under Docket ID FEMA–2016–0010. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

(2) **Mail.** Submit written comments to Docket Manager, Office of Chief Counsel, DHS/FEMA, 500 C Street SW., 8NE, Washington, DC 20472–3100. All submissions received must include the agency name and Docket ID. Regardless of the method used for submitting comments or material, all submissions will be posted, without change, to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov, and will include any personal information you provide. Therefore, submitting this information makes it public. You may wish to read the Privacy Act notice that is available via the link in the footer of www.regulations.gov.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Cliff Brown, Executive Officer, Recovery Directorate, Public Assistance Division, 202–646–4136. You may contact the Records Management Division for copies of the proposed collection of information at email address: FEMA-Information-Collections-Management@fema.dhs.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act, 42 U.S.C. 5121–5207 (the Stafford Act), authorizes grants to assist State, Tribal, and local governments and certain Private Non-Profit entities with the response to and recovery from disasters following Presidentially declared major disasters and emergencies. 44 CFR part 206 specifies the information collections necessary to facilitate the provision of assistance under the PA Program. 44 CFR 206.202 describes the general application procedures for the PA program.

**Collection of Information**

**Title:** Public Assistance Program.