

Court for the Middle District of Louisiana in the lawsuit titled *United States and Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality v. ORB Exploration, LLC*, Civil Action No. 16–260–JJB–RLB.

This case concerns three oil spills, one in 2013 and two in 2015, at locations in the Atchafalaya River Basin, from oil production facilities owned and operated by ORB Exploration, LLC (ORB), as well as ORB's failure to comply with a Coast Guard order issued during the cleanup of one of the spills or with certain Spill Prevention, Control, and Countermeasure (SPCC) regulations promulgated by the Environmental Protection Agency under the CWA. The largest spill occurred in 2013 at ORB's facilities located at Frog Lake in Iberville Parish, near Baton Rouge, Louisiana, when a corroded transfer pipeline ruptured and spilled a large amount of crude oil, estimated at more than 1,000 barrels, into a flooded wetland area. The second spill occurred in September of 2015, also at ORB's Frog Lake facilities. The third spill occurred in October of 2015 at an ORB facility at Crocodile Bayou in St. Martin Parish, Louisiana. The SPCC violations were discovered during a May 2015 inspection of ORB's oil storage barge at Frog Lake.

In the Complaint, the United States alleges violations of Sections 301(a), 311(b)(7)(A) or (D), 311(b)(7)(B)(ii) and 311(b)(7)(C) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. 1311(a), 1321(b)(7)(A) or (D), 1321(b)(7)(B)(ii), and 1321(b)(7)(C). In addition, the Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (LDEQ) alleges violations of La. R. S. 30:2076(A)(1) and (3), and Louisiana Administrative Code sections 33:IX.501.A, 33:IX.1701.B, 33:I.3915.A.3, and 33:I.3925.A, for the discharges of oil and ORB's failures to file a timely report or provide updated notice to the state hotline for reporting spills. The Complaint seeks the assessment of civil penalties, State response costs, and injunctive relief for the alleged violations. The proposed Consent Decree resolves the civil penalty, State response cost, and injunctive relief claims of the United States and LDEQ for the causes of action alleged in the Complaint by requiring ORB to perform corrective measures focused on spill detection and prevention and pay federal civil penalties of \$615,000 and State civil penalties and response costs of \$100,000.

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and

Natural Resources Division, and should refer to *United States and Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality v. ORB Exploration, LLC*, D.J. Ref. No. 90–5–1–1–11281. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

To submit comments:	Send them to:
By email .....	<a href="mailto:pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov">pubcomment-ees.enrd@usdoj.gov</a> .
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

During the public comment period, the proposed Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <https://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the proposed Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: Consent Decree Library, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, DC 20044–7611.

Please enclose a check or money order for \$7.50 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the United States Treasury.

**Jeffrey K. Sands,**

*Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.*

[FR Doc. 2016–10105 Filed 4–28–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–15–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121–0219]

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested Extension, Without Change, of a Currently Approved Collection Juvenile Residential Facility Census (JRFC)

**AGENCY:** Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs.

**ACTION:** 30-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until May 31, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Brecht Donoghue, (202) 305–1270, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, 810 Seventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20531. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to [OIRA\\_submissions@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) *Type of information collection:* Extension, without change, of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The title of the form/collection:* Juvenile Residential Facility Census.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form number is CJ–15, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: Federal Government, State, Local or Tribal. Other: Not-for-profit institutions; Business or other for-profit.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond/reply:* It is estimated that 2,429 respondents will complete a 2-hour questionnaire.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* Approximately 4,858 hours.

If additional information is required, contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Suite 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 26, 2016.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2016-10059 Filed 4-28-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### National Institute of Justice

[OJP (NIJ) Docket No. 1709]

#### Draft Test Procedures for the Gun Safety Technology Challenge

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Justice, Office of Justice, Programs, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The National Institute of Justice (NIJ) seeks feedback from the public on the draft test procedures developed for the Gun Safety Technology Challenge, published here: <http://www.nij.gov/funding/pages/fy16-gun-safety-challenge.aspx>. The document describes test methods to provide a basis to determine whether the addition of a smart gun technology does or does not significantly reduce the reliability of the firearm system compared to existing firearms.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by 5 p.m. Eastern Time on June 13, 2016.

*How to Respond and What to Include:* The draft test procedures document in both Word and pdf formats can be found here: <http://www.nij.gov/funding/pages/fy16-gun-safety-challenge.aspx>. To submit comments, please send an email to [gunsafetytechnology@usdoj.gov](mailto:gunsafetytechnology@usdoj.gov). Please indicate the page number, section

number, and the line number associated with each comment. Comments may also be provided as a markup of the Word document. Please provide contact information with the submission of comments.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** NIJ was tasked with supporting the President's Plan to Reduce Gun Violence, specifically:

"The President is directing the Attorney General to work with technology experts to review existing and emerging gun safety technologies, and to issue a report on the availability and use of those technologies. In addition, the Administration will issue a challenge to the private sector to develop innovative and cost-effective gun safety technology and provide prizes for those technologies that are proven to be reliable and effective."

In support of this Executive action, NIJ has conducted a technology assessment and market survey of existing and emerging gun safety technologies that would be of interest to the law enforcement and criminal justice communities and others with an interest in gun safety and advanced firearm technology. These firearms or firearms accessories can be understood to use integrated components that exclusively permit an authorized user or set of users to operate or fire the gun and automatically deactivate it under a set of specific circumstances, reducing the chances of accidental or purposeful use by an unauthorized user. The integrated gun safety technology may include different authentication technologies, such as radio frequency identification and fingerprint sensors.

A report published in June 2013 by NIJ entitled *A Review of Gun Safety Technologies* (<https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/242500.pdf>) examined existing and emerging gun safety technologies, and their availability and use, to provide a comprehensive perspective on firearms with integrated advanced safety technologies. Following the report, NIJ published a **Federal Register** Notice (<https://federalregister.gov/a/2014-27368>) to receive information regarding which firearms and firearms accessories, that incorporate advanced safety technologies, could be made available by industry for testing and evaluation in the Challenge.

NIJ now seeks an objective demonstration of the reliability of firearms available today with advanced gun safety technology integrated into the firearm. The reliability of firearms with integrated advanced safety technologies has been cited as a concern

regarding the potential performance and user acceptance of products that may incorporate such technologies, as discussed in the 2013 NIJ report. It is anticipated that the results of the Challenge will provide a basis to improve the general understanding of whether the addition of a smart gun technology does or does not significantly reduce the reliability of the firearm system compared to existing firearms. It is believed that this is the first effort to apply a methodology to provide a rigorous and scientific assessment of the technical performance characteristics of these types of firearms.

With this Challenge, manufacturers and developers of (1) firearms that incorporate advanced safety technologies or (2) firearms accessories utilizing advanced safety technologies that are intended to modify firearms were able to submit their products for testing and evaluation. The Challenge is designed to proceed in an escalated manner in three stages, including an informational and safety review, light duty single product testing, and more heavy duty expanded product testing. To assess the reliability of smart gun technology, the U.S. Army Aberdeen Test Center (ATC) plans to perform firearm testing and evaluation. The Challenge was published on October 7, 2015, and closed to submissions on January 5, 2016.

NIJ hopes to better understand the effect of smart gun technology on the reliability of the firearm versus the same or similar firearms without the added safety technology. This Challenge seeks "apples to apples" comparisons to the greatest extent possible. Testing and evaluation is designed to prioritize the collection and use of data that can substantiate conclusions about the relative performance of firearms, so that firearms with and without advanced gun safety technology that are similar with respect to type, form factor, caliber, and other physical characteristics are tested and evaluated using a common methodology and equivalent ammunition. Testing and evaluation is not designed to provide comparison of test results against absolute performance requirements or safety criteria, but rather to provide a meaningful comparison of test results of one firearm against another similar firearm, or a firearm with and without a relevant safety accessory.

**Nancy Rodriguez,**

*Director, National Institute of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2016-10121 Filed 4-28-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**