the value data element and eliminating the HTS number requirement, will facilitate the in-transit manifest process for both the trade and CBP. Canadian carriers will be able to route certain domestic shipments through the United States with greater efficiency and CBP will benefit from an entirely electronic in-transit manifest.

B. Test Participants and Conditions of Participation

Participation in the In-Transit Manifest Pilot Program is currently limited to nine Canadian truck carriers that have been selected by CBP in consultation with the Canadian Border Services Agency (CBSA). Each participating carrier is a bonded carrier and a certified member of the Customs-Trade Partnership Against Terrorism (C–TPAT), a voluntary supply chain security program led by CBP that is focused on improving the security of private companies’ supply chains with respect to terrorism. As a condition of participation, each carrier must use commercial drivers cleared under the FAST program. FAST driver identification provides CBP with a full set of identifying information regarding the driver, including the driver’s name, date of birth, gender, citizenship, and address. Another condition of participation in this NCAP test is that no passengers are permitted on the Canadian trucks transiting the United States, with the exception of additional drivers also cleared under the FAST program. As provided in Section VI, participants are also required to take part in an evaluation of the test.

C. Test Duration and Locations

The NCAP test will be conducted for approximately six months from its start at the following ports of entry: Port Huron, Michigan; Pembina, North Dakota; and Blaine, Washington. Any future expansion of this NCAP test to additional ports and/or extension of the time period will be announced on CBP’s Web site at www.cbp.gov. Participants will also be notified of any expansion.

IV. Regulatory Provisions Affected

Regulations in 19 CFR parts 18 and 123 that conflict with the terms and conditions of the NCAP test are suspended and overridden to the extent of the conflict for the duration of the test for test participants and only to the extent of their participation in this test.

V. Misconduct

If a test participant fails to abide by the rules, procedures, or terms and conditions of this and all other applicable Federal Register notices, fails to exercise reasonable care in the execution of participant obligations, or otherwise fails to comply with all applicable laws and regulations, then the participant may be suspended from participation in this test and/or subjected to penalties, liquidated damages, and/or other administrative or judicial sanction. Additionally, CBP has the right to suspend a test participant based on a determination that an unacceptable compliance risk exists. Any decision proposing suspension may be appealed in writing to the Assistant Commissioner (Office of Field Operations) within 15 days of the decision date. Such proposed suspension will apprise the participant of the facts or conduct warranting suspension. Should the participant appeal the notice of proposed suspension, the participant should address the facts or conduct charges contained in the notice and state how he has or will achieve compliance. However, in the case of willfulness or where public health interests are concerned, the suspension may be effective immediately.

VI. Test Evaluation Criteria

All interested parties are invited to comment on any aspect of this test at any time. To ensure adequate feedback, participants are required to take part in an evaluation of this test. CBP needs comments and feedback on all aspects of this test, including the design, conduct and implementation of the test in order to determine whether to modify, alter, expand, limit, continue, end or implement this program by regulation. The final results of the evaluation will be published in the Federal Register and the Customs Bulletin as required by 19 CFR 101.9.

VII. Paperwork Reduction Act

As noted above, CBP is accepting only nine participants in the NCAP test. This means that fewer than ten persons will be subject to any information collections under the NCAP test. Accordingly, collections of information encompassed within this notice are exempted from the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3502 and 3507).

Dated: April 22, 2016.

Todd Owen,
Assistant Commissioner, Office of Field Operations.
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Notice of intent to prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement and To Conduct Public Scoping

AGENCY: U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security and Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement concerning the repair and maintenance of Bog Creek Road and closure of certain roads within the Blue-Grass Bear Management Unit in the Selkirk Mountains in Boundary County, Idaho; request for comments; and notice of public scoping.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and the U.S. Forest Service (Forest Service) Idaho Panhandle National Forests (IPNF) (collectively the “Agencies”) intend to prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) to identify and assess potential impacts upon the environment of: Repairing and maintaining an approximately 5.6-mile section of the existing Bog Creek Road, which is located in the Selkirk Mountains in Boundary County, Idaho, within approximately two miles of the Canadian border, on land within the Blue-Grass Bear Management Unit (BMU) that is managed by the IPNF; and closing for motorized use additional roads within the Blue-Grass BMU to comply with the IPNF Forest Plan Amendments for Motorized Access Management within the Selkirk and Cabinet-Yaak Grizzly Bear Recovery Zones (Access Amendment) and reduce road density in the Blue-Grass BMU. This notice initiates the public scoping process for the preparation of the EIS. The purpose of the public scoping process is to solicit public comments regarding the potential environmental impacts that may be addressed. This notice commences the public scoping period for which CBP and IPNF are requesting written comments. This process is being conducted pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for Implementing the NEPA (40 CFR parts 1500–1508), and CBP and Forest Service NEPA guidelines. Additionally, pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, the public scoping
process will allow members of the
general public to provide CBP and IPNF
comments on potential impacts to
historic and cultural resources for the
proposed action.

DATES: The scoping comment period
will be 30 days. To ensure
consideration, comments must be
received by May 27, 2016.

Comments may be submitted as set
forth in the ADDRESSES section of this
document. This project implements a
land management plan and is subject to
36 CFR part 218, subparts A and B of
the Forest Service’s Project-level
Predecisional Administrative Review
Process. Pursuant to 36 CFR part 218,
only those who provide specific, written
comments regarding the proposed
project will be eligible to file an
objection.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be
submitted either by mail or by email at
the addresses indicated below. To avoid
duplication, please use only one of the
following methods to provide written
comments:
(a) Via mail: Bog Creek Road EIS, P.O.
Box 643, Flagstaff, Arizona, 86002–
0643.
(b) Via email: SPW Bog Creek EIS@
cbp.dhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Paul
Enriquez, CBP, Border Patrol Facilities
& Tactical Infrastructure Program
Management Office, by telephone at
(949) 643–6365, or by email at
Paul.Enriquez@cbp.dhs.gov. You may
also visit the CBP public Web site for
more information at: http://
www.cbp.gov/about/environmental-
cultural-stewardship/nepa-documents/
docs-review. Individuals who use
telecommunication devices for the deaf
(TDD) may call the Federal Information
Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339
between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern
Time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background
Repairs and Maintenance to Bog Creek
Road

U.S. Customs and Border Protection
(CBP) protects the nation’s borders from
terrorism, human and drug smuggling,
illegal migration, and agricultural pests
while facilitating the flow of legitimate
travel and trade. CBP does so by
integrating modern technology,
deploying highly trained law
enforcement personnel, and developing
public and private sector partnerships
that advance its overall mission.

At 5,500 miles in length, the Northern
Border of the United States stands as the
longest common border in the world.
The terrain ranges from densely forested
lands on the west and east coasts to
open plains in the middle of the
country. To complement its efforts, CBP
uses partnerships with other Federal,
state, and local law enforcement
agencies to meet the challenges of
ensuring security while facilitating
legitimate trade and travel along this
expansive and complex border area.

The primary road that provides east-
west access to the Northern Border in
the Selkirk Mountains of Northern
Idaho is Bog Creek Road. Bog Creek
Road is situated on National Forest
System lands that are a part of the Idaho
Panhandle National Forests (IPNF). The
area is managed by the IPNF unit of the
Forest Service (also referred to as IPNF).
The road is currently impassable to
most vehicles.

Bog Creek Road was closed on both
ends in the late 1980s, to meet grizzly
bear habitat requirements. As a result of
the closure, the road has only been
maintained on a limited basis. By the
mid-1990s, the road had experienced
minor failures. Around the year 2000, a
large failure occurred when a large
culvert failed due to heavy surface water
runoff. At that time, the road became
impassable to most vehicles. Currently,
the road is gated at the east end and
barricaded at the west end. In recent
years, the road has been infrequently
used by Forest Service and CBP
personnel traveling on all-terrain
vehicles (ATVs) and horseback, but
using ATVs requires a winch system to
traverse the large culvert failure. Nearly
the entire length of Bog Creek Road is
now overgrown with alder brush, small
trees, and other vegetation.

Without access to the Northern Border
area via Bog Creek Road, CBP must use
a lengthy detour to get to the border,
including using state highways in
Washington and Idaho and other forest
roads. This alternative route is
approximately 180 miles and adds
approximately four hours one way
(eight hours total) to CBP patrol
response times.

Closing Additional Roads for Motorized
Use

Bog Creek Road is located within the
Blue-Grass Bear Management Unit
(BMU) of the Selkirk Grizzly Bear
Recovery Zone (SRZ) of the IPNF. The
IPNF has been working since the late
1980s to create secure habitat for grizzly
bears. For example, Bog Creek Road was
closed in the late 1980s to allow for
more effective management of grizzly
bear habitat. The IPNF continues to
manage habitat conditions of the SRZ.
To further manage grizzly bear habitat
conditions, in 2011, the IPNF issued a
Record of Decision (ROD) for the Forest
Plan Amendments for Motorized Access
Management within the Selkirk and
Cabinet-Yaak Grizzly Bear Recovery
Zones (Access Amendment). The Access
Amendment set motorized vehicle
access and security standards in the
zones to conserve and contribute to the
recovery of grizzly bears, and to meet
the agency’s responsibilities under the
Endangered Species Act (ESA). These
standards limit the use of motorized
vehicles within the Blue-Grass BMU
area to a specified percentage of the
land. By limiting high levels of human
activity in the area, effective habitat can
be created for grizzly bears. The ROD
and accompanying biological opinion
from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
require the standards in the Access
Amendment to be met by 2019.

Currently, the BMU is not meeting the
motorized access standards set forth in
the Access Amendment.

The status of all roads in the BMU
area is of great interest to CBP since the
entire Blue-Grass BMU is within 10
miles of the Northern Border. CBP needs
good access to this area to execute its
mission to protect the Northern Border.
Because there are limited options
regarding which roads to close for
motorized use that meet the Access
Amendment standards and the ESA,
and which provide border access to
CBP, the Agencies are working together
to determine acceptable alternatives.

Purpose and Need for Action

The purpose and need of the
proposed action is to provide improved
east-west access across the Selkirk
Mountains on National Forest System
lands that would: (1) Enable CBP to
execute its statutory mission to protect
the U.S. Northern Border and provide
for the safety of CBP and other law
enforcement officers in carrying out
their duties and (2) meet Access
Amendment standards for motorized
access in a grizzly bear habitat in the
Blue-Grass BMU area.

Proposed Action

Repairs and Maintenance to Bog Creek
Road

One aspect of the proposed action
would involve the repair and
maintenance of an approximately 5.6-
 mile section of the existing Bog Creek
Road between Forest Road (FR) 1013
and FR 2450 within the Blue-Grass
BMU of the IPNF. The road is located in the Selkirk Mountains in
Boundary County, Idaho, within
approximately two miles of the
Canadian border.
The Agencies anticipate that the proposed action would likely involve replacing or repairing damaged culverts, grading and resurfacing areas that have been heavily eroded by surface water flows, infilling potholes, and removing protruding boulders. Although widening Bog Creek Road is not a part of the proposed action, there may be areas which no longer meet minimum width requirements and may require cut and fill work to achieve the desired road operating and safety standards. Trees and other vegetation within the roadway and to either side would likely be grubbed or cut back to facilitate safe vehicle passage.

The proposed action would also likely include gathering and transporting fill materials (riprap, mixed soil/rock, and crushed aggregate) from “borrow” pits to use in general resurfacing/fill and in installation of the culvert replacements. Some equipment would be needed to perform the repairs and maintenance, including a dozer, a grader, a hydraulic excavator, and a dump truck. In addition, several pickup trucks or SUVs would be needed to transport construction personnel to and from the area. The Agencies anticipate that upon completion of the proposed repairs and maintenance, the 5.6-mile section of Bog Creek Road would remain closed for public motorized use and would be limited to administrative use only.

Closing Additional Roads for Motorized Use

Another aspect of the proposed action would involve the closure of certain roads within the Blue-Grass BMU. Bog Creek Road is located in the Blue-Grass BMU within the SRZ. This BMU area is currently not meeting Access Amendment standards for motorized access in a grizzly bear habitat. The Agencies anticipate that other roads within the Blue-Grass BMU area would need to be closed for motorized use under this proposed action. The road closures would be necessary to mitigate the potential impacts to grizzly bear habitats associated with the repair and subsequent use of Bog Creek Road and to allow the Forest Service to meet the Access Amendment standards and its statutory obligations under the ESA. Because there are limited options regarding roads to close for motorized use to meet the Access Amendment standards, the Agencies are working together to determine alternatives that would meet CBP’s requirements for border access as well as the Forest Service’s requirements to comply with the Access Amendment standards and the ESA.

The Agencies have identified a preliminary list of roads that could be closed for motorized use. All of these roads are currently closed to public use and only open for limited administrative use. Roads that have been preliminarily identified for possible motorized closure include FR 2464 Upper, 2464 Lower, 1322, 1322A, 1013D, 1013C, 1388, 1388A, 2252, 636, and 2253. Approximately 26 miles of IPNF roads could be closed under the proposed action. As a part of the scoping process (discussed below), the Agencies are seeking further input on possible motorized road closure alternatives.

Lead and Cooperating Agencies

CBP and the Forest Service will work together as joint lead agencies on the EIS.

Responsible Official

The Executive Director, Facilities Management & Engineering, CBP, is the deciding official for CBP and the Forest Supervisor, IPNF, Forest Service, is the deciding official for the Forest Service.

Public Scoping Process

Public scoping for the Bog Creek Road repair and maintenance proposal was initially conducted by CBP in February and March of 2013. Information gathered from the previous scoping effort was used to inform the Agencies about what level of NEPA analysis was necessary to evaluate the proposed project. The initial scoping information included the possibility that road closures may become part of the proposed action, but did not include specific motorized road closure information. Using initial scoping information, the Agencies determined that the NEPA analysis would be conducted through an EIS process. All scoping comments submitted during the initial scoping will be included in issue development for the current EIS process. A Scoping Report that summarizes the initial scoping effort is available for review at http://www.cbp.gov/about/environmental-cultural-stewardship/nepa-documents/docs-review.

This Notice of Intent (NOI) initiates the public scoping process which will guide the development of the EIS. All interested parties are invited to participate in the scoping process. CBP and the Forest Service invite agencies, organizations, and the general public to provide input to this process of scoping environmental issues for consideration in the EIS. Written comments may be submitted as described in the ADDRESSES section of this document. When submitting comments, please include your name and address. Comments received in response to this solicitation, including names and addresses of those who comment, will be part of the public record for this proposed action. Comments submitted anonymously will also be accepted and considered.

After the public scoping period is complete and the Agencies have reviewed the results, a compilation list of comments will be included in an amendment to the initial Scoping Report (described above). The amended Scoping Report will be made available on the CBP public Web site: http://www.cbp.gov/about/environmental-cultural-stewardship/nepa-documents/docs-review.

Public Involvement in Historic Preservation Activities Under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. 306108) requires Federal agencies to review all actions which may affect resources listed on, or eligible for, the National Register of Historic Places in order to take into account the effects of their undertakings on historic properties, and to afford the Idaho State Historic Preservation Officer and tribal governments a reasonable opportunity to comment on such undertakings. During the process of public scoping and preparation of the EIS, the Agencies seek to identify interested parties and obtain public comments on historic preservation issues related to the road repair and closure of roads for motorized use.

Preliminary Issues

Based upon the initial project scoping, some preliminary issues have been identified as potential effects of the proposed project. These include effects on:

- Border security;
- Threatened and endangered species including grizzly bear, caribou, lynx, and bull trout;
- Blue-Grass BMU grizzly bear core habitat requirement;
- National Forest access; and
- Biological resources including fisheries, wildlife, sensitive plants, and noxious weeds.

Permits and Licenses Required

The proposed project would likely require a Clean Water Act Section 404 Permit. The Agencies will work with the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to determine the necessary
permit process. All required permits would be obtained prior to project implementation.

Next Steps

In accordance with NEPA, the draft EIS will be made available to the public for review and comment through a Notice of Availability (NOA) in the Federal Register. The NOA will provide directions for obtaining copies of the draft EIS as well as dates and locations for any associated public participation meetings. After a public comment period on the draft EIS, CBP and the Forest Service will complete a final EIS.

Dated: April 21, 2016.

Karl H. Calvo,
Executive Director, Facilities Management and Engineering, Office of Administration.

Shanda Fallau Dekome,
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Proposed Flood Hazard Determinations

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, DHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Comments are requested on proposed flood hazard determinations, which may include additions or modifications of any Base Flood Elevation (BFE), base flood depth, Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) boundary or zone designation, or regulatory floodway on the Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), and where applicable, in the supporting Flood Insurance Study (FIS) reports for the communities listed in the table below. The purpose of this notice is to seek general information and comment regarding the preliminary FIRM, and where applicable, the FIS report that the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) has provided to the affected communities. The FIRM and FIS report are the basis of the floodplain management measures that the community is required either to adopt or to show evidence of having in effect in order to qualify or remain qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). In addition, the FIRM and FIS report, once effective, will be used by insurance agents and others to calculate appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings and the contents of those buildings.

DATES: Comments are to be submitted on or before July 26, 2016.

ADDRESSES: The Preliminary FIRM, and where applicable, the FIS report for each community are available for inspection at both the online location and the respective Community Map Repository address listed in the tables below. Additionally, the current effective FIRM and FIS report for each community are accessible online through the FEMA Map Service Center at www.msc.fema.gov for comparison.

You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. FEMA–B–1610, to Rick Sachribit, Chief, Engineering Services Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, FEMA, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–7659, or (email) patrick.sachribit@fema.dhs.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Sachribit, Chief, Engineering Services Branch, Federal Insurance and Mitigation Administration, FEMA, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–7659, or (email) patrick.sachribit@fema.dhs.gov; or visit the FEMA Map Information eXchange (FMIX) online at www.floodmaps.fema.gov/fhm/fmx/main.html.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FEMA proposes to make flood hazard determinations for each community listed below, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4104, and 44 CFR 67.4(a).

These proposed flood hazard determinations, together with the floodplain management criteria required by 44 CFR 60.3, are the minimum that are required. They should not be construed to mean that the community must change any existing ordinances that are more stringent in their floodplain management requirements. The community may at any time enact stricter requirements of its own or pursuant to policies established by other Federal, State, or regional entities. These flood hazard determinations are used to meet the floodplain management requirements of the NFIP and also are used to calculate the appropriate flood insurance premium rates for new buildings built after the FIRM and FIS report become effective.

The communities affected by the flood hazard determinations are provided in the tables below. Any request for reconsideration of the revised flood hazard information shown on the Preliminary FIRM and FIS report that satisfies the data requirements outlined in 44 CFR 67.6(b) is considered an appeal. Comments unrelated to the flood hazard determinations also will be considered before the FIRM and FIS report become effective.

Use of a Scientific Resolution Panel (SRP) is available to communities in support of the appeal resolution process. SRPs are independent panels of experts in hydrology, hydraulics, and other pertinent sciences established to review conflicting scientific and technical data and provide recommendations for resolution. Use of the SRP only may be exercised after FEMA and local communities have been engaged in a collaborative consultation process for at least 60 days without a mutually acceptable resolution of an appeal. Additional information regarding the SRP process can be found online at http://floodsrv.org/pdfs/srp_fact_sheet.pdf.

The watersheds and/or communities affected are listed in the tables below. The Preliminary FIRM, and where applicable, FIS report for each community are available for inspection at both the online location and the respective Community Map Repository address listed in the tables. For communities with multiple ongoing Preliminary studies, the studies can be identified by the unique project number and Preliminary FIRM date listed in the tables. Additionally, the current effective FIRM and FIS report for each community are accessible online through the FEMA Map Service Center at www.msc.fema.gov for comparison.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.022, “Flood Insurance.”)

Dated: April 12, 2016.

Roy E. Wright,

I. Watershed-based studies: