grantees that have not yet been expended, CPD does not require its grantees to report accrued expenses. Accordingly, CPD has developed methodologies for estimating accrued expenses for each of its programs. HUD OIG audits our financial reports. OIG has stated that CPD must validate these estimates of accrued expenses periodically, pursuant to Federal Financial Accounting Technical Release 12 (TR12).

**Respondents:** Grantees.

### ACTION

Notice of Establishment of the Moving to Work Research Federal Advisory Committee.

### SUMMARY

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended, this provides notice that the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) will establish the Moving to Work Research Federal Advisory Committee (Committee). The Committee will advise HUD on specific policy proposals and methods of research and evaluation for expansion of the Moving to Work (MTW) demonstration, as provided by Congress.

### ADDRESSES

The Public is welcome to submit written comment to HUD by electronic mail at MTWAdevisoryCommittee@hud.gov. Comments must be received by May 11, 2016.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT

Office of Public and Indian Housing, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th St. SW., Washington, DC 20410, MTWAdevisoryCommittee@hud.gov.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Background and Authority for the MTW Expansion: The Fiscal Year 2016 Appropriations Act, Section 239 (Public Law 114–113), signed by the President in December 2015, authorizes HUD to expand the MTW demonstration by an additional 100 public housing agencies (PHA) over seven years. Agencies selected as part of the MTW expansion must be high performers, meet certain site selection requirements as described below, and represent geographic diversity across the country.

The key principles for the expansion of the MTW demonstration are to: Simplify, learn, and apply. The vision for the MTW expansion is to learn from MTW interventions in order to improve the delivery of federally assisted housing and promote self-sufficiency for low-income families across the nation. In developing the framework for the MTW expansion, HUD will balance the deregulation desired by the industry with the need for a strong evaluative component. Certain MTW flexibilities will only be available to specific cohorts, depending on which policy will be tested and evaluated by that cohort.

In order to inform the MTW expansion, HUD published a Notice to solicit feedback on the policy proposals and methods of research and evaluation in the Federal Register on April 4, 2016 (81 FR 19233) and anticipates posting a summary of the comments on its Web site in Spring 2016. Today's Federal Register Notice announces the establishment of the Committee, as described below, and HUD plans to hold two conference calls with the Committee throughout this Summer, and will have one in-person meeting in late-Summer 2016. HUD plans to post a Notice to solicit applications for the first cohort of the MTW Expansion in the Fall of 2016. This Notice will include all of the policies to be studied throughout the MTW expansion. The initial cohort of new MTW PHAs will be announced in the Spring/Summer 2017, and additional cohorts will be added through 2020 through additional notices.

### Background and Authority for the Committee: Establishment of the Committee implements a statutory requirement of Public Law 114–113. The Committee is governed by the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2), which sets forth standards for the formation and use of advisory committees. The Committee shall advise HUD on specific policy proposals and methods of research and evaluation related to the expansion of the MTW demonstration to an additional 100 high-performing PHAs.

The Committee shall advise HUD, at the request of the Secretary, on the following: Specific policy proposals and evaluation methods for the MTW demonstration; rigorous research methodologies that will effectively...
measure the impact of the policy changes identified; policy changes adopted by MTW PHAs that have proven successful and can be applied more broadly to all PHAs; and statutory and/or regulatory changes necessary to implement policy changes for all PHAs. The Committee shall have no role in reviewing or selecting the MTW PHAs. Each year, the Committee shall provide a report to the HUD Secretary that describes the activities, status, and changes in composition of the Committee since the previous year. A draft of the Committee’s Charter and Membership Balance Plan can be found on HUD’s Web site at www.hud.gov/mtw.

Structure: The Committee shall consist of up to fourteen (14) members, as the Secretary will appoint. Members will be reappointed at the discretion of the Secretary. When appropriate, HUD will provide stipends to members selected as former or current residents of MTW PHAs as compensation for their time. All other members shall serve without compensation.

Membership of the Committee shall include program and research experts from HUD; a fair representation of PHAs with an MTW designation, including current and/or former residents; and independent subject matter experts in housing policy research. No person who is a federally-registered lobbyist may serve on the Committee. Members of the Committee shall be chosen to ensure balance, diversity, and a broad representation of ideas, in accordance with HUD’s Membership Balance Plan for the Committee. In general, subject matter expertise in the programs operated by HUD’s Office of Public and Indian Housing, and specifically the MTW Demonstration Program, is beneficial in helping the Committee accomplish its mission. Membership on the Committee is personal to the appointee. Committee members representing MTW agency Executive Directors may designate an alternate member of their MTW agency to attend in their place, should they be unable to participate in a Committee meeting.

The Committee will meet in person at least one (1) time per fiscal year and by conference call up to six (6) times as needed to render advice to HUD. Meetings shall be coordinated by a Designated Federal Officer who shall approve the agenda and chair Committee meetings.

Committee members will be required, as applicable, to provide disclosures and certifications regarding conflicts of interest and eligibility for membership prior to final appointment.

Dated: April 19, 2016.

Lourdes Castro Ramírez,
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.
Katherine M. O’Regan,
Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.

BILLING CODE 4210–67–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[DOcket No. FR–5909–N–31]

30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Core Performance Reporting Requirements for Competitively-Funded Grants

AGENCY: Office of the Chief Information Officer, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: HUD has submitted the proposed information collection requirement described below to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

DATES: Comments Due Date: May 26, 2016.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and/or OMB Control Number and should be sent to: HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503; fax: 202–395–5806. Email: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anna P. Guido at Anna.P.Guido@hud.gov or telephone 202–402–5533. This is not a toll-free number. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877–8339. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Guido.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

The Federal Register notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 60 days was published on September 10, 2015 at 80 FR 54577.

A. Overview of Information Collection

Title of Information Collection: Core Performance Reporting for Competitively-Funded Grants.

OMB Control Number: 2501–New.

Type of Request: New collection.

Form Number: HUD–PRL, HUD–CIRL, and HUD–GF.

Description of the need for the information and proposed use: This request is for the clearance of data collection and reporting requirements to enable the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Office of Strategic Planning and Management (OSPM) to better assess the effectiveness of competitively-funded grants included in this information collection request (ICR). The competitively–funded grant programs included in this ICR are: Community Development Block Grant Program for Indian Tribes and Alaska Native Villages (ICDBG), Family Self-Sufficiency Program (FSS), Housing Counseling (HC), Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA), JobsPlus Program (Jobs+), Juvenile Reentry Assistance Program (JRAP), Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control (LPBHC), Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration (LHRD), Multifamily Housing Service Coordinator Program (MFSC), Self-Help Homeownership Opportunity Program (SHOP), Supportive Services Demonstration Program (202), and Resident Opportunity and Self-Sufficiency Service Coordinators Program (ROSS).

A key component of this proposed collection is the reporting of measureable outcomes. Additionally, the standardization of data collection and reporting requirements across the Department will increase data comparability and utilization. Consolidation of de-identified data drawn from pre-existing HUD’s systems and databases, as applicable, into a single repository will enhance the Department’s comprehensive and comparative analysis of competitively-funded HUD programs. Data submission will be acceptable via Comma Separated Values (CSV), Extensible Markup Language (XML), and other file formats in addition to direct data entry into an online web form.

The Department has several reporting models in place for competitive grant programs, including the eLogic Model. The reporting models provide information on a wide variety of outputs and outcomes and are based on unique data definitions and outcome measures in program-specific performance and progress reports. In Federal Fiscal Year (FY) 2013, nine program offices at HUD used six systems and 15 reporting tools.