

response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to July 5, 2016.

A copy of the application will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 21013, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230-0002, and in the "Reading Room" section of the FTZ Board's Web site, which is accessible via [www.trade.gov/ftz](http://www.trade.gov/ftz). For further information, contact [Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov](mailto:Kathleen.Boyce@trade.gov) or (202) 482-1346.

Dated: April 14, 2016.

**Andrew McGilvray,**  
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2016-09285 Filed 4-20-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

#### **Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Correction to Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative and New Shipper Reviews; 2013-2014**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Hermes Pinilla, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3477.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 13, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the *Federal Register* the final results of the administrative and new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China.<sup>1</sup> The *Final Results* contained an inadvertent error related to a certain company name. Specifically, the *Final Results* incorrectly identified Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd. as Shanghai Ocean International International Trading Co., Ltd. in the "Final Results of the Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews" section.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and New Shipper Reviews; 2013-2014*, 81 FR 21840 (April 13, 2016) (*Final Results*).

<sup>2</sup> *Id.*, at 21841.

This correction to the *Final Results* is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1), 751(a)(2)(B)(iv), 751(a)(3), 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h), 351.214 and 351.221(b)(4) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended.

Dated: April 15, 2016.

**Christian Marsh,**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-09277 Filed 4-20-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C-570-043]

#### **Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Postponement of Preliminary Determination in the Countervailing Duty Investigation**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**DATES:** Effective April 21, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Emily Halle at (202) 482-0176, AD/CVD Operations Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On March 3, 2016, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a countervailing duty (CVD) investigation of imports of stainless steel sheet and strip (stainless steel) from the People's Republic of China (PRC).<sup>1</sup> The notice of initiation stated that, in accordance with section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.205(b)(1), we would issue our preliminary determination no later than 65 days after the date of initiation, unless postponed. Currently, the preliminary determination in this investigation is due no later than May 9, 2016.

##### **Postponement of Preliminary Determinations**

Section 703(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (Act), requires the Department to issue the preliminary determination in a CVD investigation within 65 days after the date on which the Department initiated the investigation. However, section

<sup>1</sup> See *Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Countervailing Duty Investigation*, 81 FR 13322 (March 14, 2016).

703(c)(1) of the Act permits the Department to postpone making the preliminary determination until no later than 130 days after the date on which it initiated the investigation if, among other reasons, the petitioner makes a timely request for a postponement, or the Department concludes that the parties concerned are cooperating and determines that the investigation is extraordinarily complicated. On April 13, 2016, AK Steel Corporation, Allegheny Ludlum, LLC d/b/a ATI Flat Rolled Products, North American Stainless, and Outokumpu Stainless USA, LLC (collectively, Petitioners) made a timely request to postpone the preliminary CVD determination.<sup>2</sup> Therefore, pursuant to the discretion afforded the Department under 703(c)(1)(A) of the Act and because the Department does not find any compelling reason to deny the request, we are fully extending the due date until 130 days after the Department's initiation for the preliminary determination, to July 11, 2016. Pursuant to section 705(a)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.210(b)(1), the deadline for the final determination will continue to be 75 days after the date of the preliminary determination. This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 703(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f)(1).

Dated: April 14, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**  
Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2016-09279 Filed 4-20-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-916]

#### **Laminated Woven Sacks From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act**

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On March 30, 2016, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) issued final judgment in *Laminated Woven Sacks Committee, Coating Excellence International, LLC, and Polytex Fibers Corporation v. United States*, Consol.

<sup>2</sup> See Letter from Petitioners, "Countervailing Duty Investigation of Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip from the People's Republic of China Request for Extension of the Determination," April 13, 2016.

Court No. 12–00301, affirming the Department of Commerce’s (the Department) final results of redetermination pursuant to court remand. Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*), as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*), the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department’s implemented final determination in a proceeding conducted under section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (Section 129) related to the Department’s final affirmative determination in the antidumping duty (AD) investigation of laminated woven sacks (LWS) from the People’s Republic of China (the PRC) for the period October 1, 2006, through March 31, 2007.<sup>1</sup> The Department is amending its implemented Final Section 129 Determination with regard to granting adjustments to the AD cash deposit rates.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 11, 2016.<sup>2</sup>

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ryan Mullen, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2560.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On August 7, 2008, the Department published AD and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on LWS imports from the PRC.<sup>3</sup> The Government of the People’s Republic of China challenged the LWS

orders and three other sets of simultaneously imposed AD and CVD orders before the Dispute Settlement Body of the World Trade Organization (WTO). The WTO Appellate Body, in March 2011, found that the United States had acted inconsistently with its international obligations in several respects, including the potential imposition of overlapping remedies, or so-called “double remedies.”<sup>4</sup> The U.S. Trade Representative then announced the United States’ intention to comply with the WTO’s rulings and recommendations, and the Department initiated a Section 129 proceeding.<sup>5</sup>

On July 31, 2012, the Department issued its Final Section 129 Determination. In that determination, the Department found that an adjustment was warranted to the AD rates on LWS imports from the PRC to account for remedies that overlap those imposed by the CVD order.<sup>6</sup> As a result, the Department reduced the applicable AD rate for separate rate companies from 64.28 percent to 20.19 percent and reduced the PRC-wide entity AD rate from 91.73 percent to 47.64 percent.<sup>7</sup> The Department published a notice implementing the Final Section 129 Determination on August 30, 2012.<sup>8</sup> Various parties challenged the Department’s Final Section 129 Determination at the CIT.

Following the final disposition of litigation related to the Final Section 129 Determination regarding the AD and CVD investigations of circular welded pipe (CWP) from the PRC, in which the Department found no basis for making an adjustment to the AD rates under Section 777(A)(f) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act),<sup>9</sup> the CIT granted the Department’s request for a voluntary remand in the litigation

challenging the Final Section 129 Determination regarding the AD investigation of LWS from the PRC.<sup>10</sup> On March 23, 2016, the Department issued its Final Remand Redetermination regarding the AD investigation of LWS from the PRC, in which it amended its Final Section 129 Determination regarding the AD investigation and denied the adjustment to the AD cash deposit rates granted to respondents in the Final Section 129 Determination.<sup>11</sup> On March 30, 2016, the CIT sustained the Department’s Final Remand Redetermination.<sup>12</sup>

**Timken Notice**

In its decision in *Timken*, 893 F.2d at 341, as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC held that, pursuant to section 516A(e) of the Act, the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s March 30, 2016, judgment affirming the Final Remand Redetermination constitutes a final court decision that is not in harmony with the Department’s Final Section 129 Determination. This notice is published in fulfillment of publication requirements of *Timken*.

**Amended Final Determination**

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to the Department’s Final Section 129 Determination regarding the AD investigation of LWS from the PRC, the Department is amending the Final Section 129 Determination, as implemented, regarding an adjustment to the AD cash deposit rates. The revised AD cash deposit rates are as follows:

Exporter	Producer	Revised AD cash deposit rate (%)
Zibo Aifudi Plastic Packaging Co., Ltd .....	Zibo Aifudi Plastic Packaging Co., Ltd .....	64.28
Polywell Industrial Co., A.K.A. First Way (H.K.) Limited .....	Polywell Plastic Product Factory .....	64.28

<sup>1</sup> See Memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, “Final Determination: Section 129 Proceeding Pursuant to the WTO Appellate Body’s Findings in WTO DS379 Regarding the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Investigations of Laminated Woven Sacks from the People’s Republic of China,” (July 31, 2012) (Final Section 129 Determination); see also *Implementation of Determinations Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act: Certain New Pneumatic Off-the-Road Tires; Circular Welded Carbon Quality Steel Pipe; Laminated Woven Sacks; and Light-Walled Rectangular Pipe and Tube From the People’s Republic of China*, 77

FR 52683 (August 30, 2012) (*Implementation Notice*).  
<sup>2</sup> The effective date is ten days after the date of the court decision in accordance with Section 516A(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930.  
<sup>3</sup> See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Laminated Woven Sacks from the People’s Republic of China*, 73 FR 45941 (August 7, 2008); see also *Laminated Woven Sacks From the People’s Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 73 FR 45955 (August 7, 2008) (collectively, LWS orders).  
<sup>4</sup> See *United States—Definitive Anti-Dumping and Countervailing Duties on Certain Products from China*, 611, WT/DS379/AB/R (Mar. 11, 2011).  
<sup>5</sup> See *Implementation Notice*.  
<sup>6</sup> See Final Section 129 Determination.

<sup>7</sup> See *Implementation Notice*, 77 FR at 52687.  
<sup>8</sup> *Id.*  
<sup>9</sup> See *Wheatland Tube Co. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 12–00298, Slip Op. 15–44 (Ct. Int’l Trade May 7, 2015); *Wheatland Tube Co. v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 12–00296, Slip Op. 15–118 (Ct. Int’l Trade October 22, 2015).  
<sup>10</sup> See *Laminated Woven Sacks Comm. v. United States*, Court No. 12–00301 (December 3, 2015).  
<sup>11</sup> See “Final Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, *Laminated Woven Sacks Comm. v. United States*, Court No. 12–00301,” (March 23, 2016) (Final Remand Redetermination).  
<sup>12</sup> See *Laminated Woven Sacks Comm. v. United States*, Slip Op. 16–30, Consol. Court No. 12–00301 (CIT March 30, 2016).

Exporter	Producer	Revised AD cash deposit rate (%)
Zibo Linzi Worun Packing Product Co., Ltd .....	Zibo Linzi Worun Packing Product Co., Ltd .....	64.28
Shandong Qikai Plastics Product Co., Ltd .....	Shandong Qikai Plastics Product Co., Ltd .....	64.28
Changle Baodu Plastic Co. Ltd .....	Changle Baodu Plastic Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Zibo Linzi Shuaiqiang Plastics Co. Ltd .....	Zibo Linzi Shuaiqiang Plastics Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Zibo Linzi Qitianli Plastic Fabric Co. Ltd .....	Zibo Linzi Qitianli Plastic Fabric Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Shandong Youlian Co. Ltd .....	Shandong Youlian Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Zibo Linzi Luitong Plastic Fabric Co. Ltd .....	Zibo Linzi Luitong Plastic Fabric Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Wenzhou Hotson Plastics Co. Ltd .....	Wenzhou Hotson Plastics Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Jiangsu Hotson Plastics Co. Ltd .....	Jiangsu Hotson Plastics Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Cangnan Color Make The Bag .....	Cangnan Color Make The Bag .....	64.28
Zibo Qigao Plastic Cement Co. Ltd .....	Zibo Qigao Plastic Cement Co. Ltd .....	64.28
Prc-Wide Rate .....	.....	91.73

Unless the applicable cash deposit rates have been superseded by cash deposit rates calculated in an intervening administrative review of the AD order on LWS from the PRC, the Department will instruct U. S. Customs and Border Protection to require a cash deposit for estimated AD duties at the rate noted above for each specified exporter and producer combination, for entries of subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption, on or after April 11, 2016.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(e) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and section 129(c)(2)(A) of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

Dated: April 14, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016-09286 Filed 4-20-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Institute of Standards and Technology**

**Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Baldrige Performance Excellence Program Team Leader Consensus and Site Visit Surveys**

**AGENCY:** National Institute of Standards and Technology, Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before June 20, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at [Jjessup@doc.gov](mailto:Jjessup@doc.gov)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Dawn Bailey, Baldrige Performance Excellence Program, 100 Bureau Drive, Stop 1020, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, 301-975-3074, [dawn.bailey@nist.gov](mailto:dawn.bailey@nist.gov)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

Public Law 100-107 (The Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Improvement Act of 1987), which established the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program and its Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award (MBNQA), stipulates that organizational applicants for the award (see OMB Control #0693-006) receive “an intensive evaluation by a competent board of examiners which shall review the evidence submitted by the organization and, through a site visit, verify the accuracy of the quality improvements claimed.”

Per the statute, “the Director of the National Bureau of Standards shall rely upon” these examiners, as they are in essence the external workforce of the Baldrige Performance Excellence Program. Baldrige Program staff members *manage and improve* the award and all of its processes, but the examiners actually do the objective *review* of MBNQA applicants.

The Team Leader Consensus and Site Visit Surveys will be one key way that Baldrige staff members can communicate with and seek feedback from the external workforce (Baldrige Examiners). To manage these voluntary

examiners (some private citizens, some government and military personnel), the Baldrige Program needs the ability to ask them of their preferences for the sector in which they will do their application review (e.g., do they want to review a health care applicant, manufacturing applicant), their availability to conduct reviews, their ability to travel on a site visit and about all of their logistical needs (e.g., dietary restrictions, cannot review an organization from a certain state due to conflicts in that state), their ability to perform particular MBNQA roles such as technical editor or team leader), their conflicts with a particular organization, etc. The Baldrige Program also needs to survey them to obtain qualitative information on performance, as being a Baldrige Examiner is a very competitive selection.

The Baldrige Program could not perform the intensive evaluation called for in the law without surveying its own workforce about their unique needs in relation to the MBNQA process (and its subprocesses). In fact, these volunteer examiners expect to be asked their preferences, as well as given the ability to give their feedback to improve processes.

**II. Method of Collection**

Surveys are typically conducted via email or through a secure NIST file-sharing system if any MBNQA organization-specific information needs to be shared. Surveys can also be conducted over the phone if the number of examiners who need to be asked about a particular role or need is less than about 20. Often, a personal phone call is the best way to survey a subset of examiners, as maintaining positive relationships with examiners is very important to the program.

**III. Data**

*OMB Control Number:* #0693-XXXX (New Collection).