DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Community Development Financial Institutions Fund

12 CFR Part 1807
RIN 1559–AA00

Capital Magnet Fund

AGENCY: Community Development Financial Institutions Fund, Department of the Treasury
ACTION: Interim rule; extension of comment period.
SUMMARY: On February 8, 2016, the Department of the Treasury published an interim rule (hereafter, the interim rule) implementing the Capital Magnet Fund (CMF), administered by the Community Development Financial Institutions Fund (CDFI Fund). The interim rule incorporates updates to the definitions, requirements and parameters for CMF implementation and administration, including, among others, Applicant eligibility, application review, Recipient selection, Assistance Agreements, eligible uses of CMF Awards, and Recipient reporting. In addition, sections of the interim rule regarding certain definitions and project level requirements have been revised in order to facilitate alignment and ease of administration. These revisions are modeled after the requirements of the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program (LIHTC Program) authorized under Title I of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq., and the HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME Program) authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 12701 et seq. and the HOME Program final rule published on July 24, 2013.

DATES: The comment period for the interim rule published February 8, 2016, at 81 FR 6434, is extended. All comments must be written and must be received in the offices of the CDFI Fund on or before May 8, 2016.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments concerning the interim rule via the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal at http://www.regulations.gov (please follow the instructions for submitting comments). All submissions must include the agency name and Regulatory Information Number (RIN) for this rulemaking. Information regarding the CDFI Fund and its programs may be obtained through the CDFI Fund’s Web site at http://www.cdfifund.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Marcia Sigal, CMF program staff by email at cmf@cdfi.treas.gov, or by phone at (202) 653–0421.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
On February 8, 2016, the Department of the Treasury published an interim rule implementing the CMF, administered by the CDFI Fund.

The comment period designated in the interim rule notice will close on April 8, 2016. The FY 2016 CMF application round opened on February 8, 2016 with the publication in the Federal Register of the Notice of Funds Availability Inviting Applications for the Fiscal Year (FY) 2016 Funding Round of the Capital Magnet Fund (FY 2016 NOFA). Per the FY 2016 NOFA, applications are due by March 30, 2016. The CDFI Fund also held a series of application webinars for the FY 2016 CMF application round in February and March of 2016. Since the opening of the FY 2016 CMF application round and during these application webinars, multiple interested applicants requested additional time to submit comments regarding the interim rule and requested that the comment period be extended. In response to these requests, the Department hereby extends the comment period for an additional 30 days so that comments are due on or before May 8, 2016.

The interim rule incorporates updates to the definitions, requirements and parameters for CMF implementation and administration including, among others, Applicant eligibility, application review, Recipient selection, Assistance Agreements, eligible uses of CMF Awards, and Recipient reporting. In addition, sections of the interim rule regarding certain definitions and project level requirements have been revised in order to facilitate alignment and ease of administration. These revisions are modeled after the requirements of the Low Income Housing Tax Credit Program (LIHTC Program) authorized under Title I of the U.S. Housing Act of 1937, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1437 et seq., and the HOME Investment Partnership Program (HOME Program) authorized under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 12701 et seq. and the HOME Program final rule published on July 24, 2013.

The interim rule also reflects requirements set forth in a final rule, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (12 CFR 1000), adopted by the Department of the Treasury on December 19, 2014 (hereafter referred to as the Uniform Administrative Requirements). The Uniform Administrative Requirements constitute a government-wide framework for grants management codified by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), combining several OMB grants management circulars aimed at reducing the administrative burden for Recipients, and reducing the risk of waste, fraud and abuse of Federal financial assistance. The Uniform Administrative Requirements establish financial, administrative, procurement, and program management standards with which Federal award-making programs, including those administered by the CDFI Fund, and Recipients must comply. Accordingly, the interim rule includes revisions necessary to implement the Uniform Administrative Requirements, as well as to make certain technical corrections and certain programmatic updates, as well as provide clarifying language to existing program requirements.

The CDFI Fund seeks public comment on the entire interim rule. All capitalized terms herein are defined in the definitions section of the interim rule, as set forth in 12 CFR 1807.104.

Mary Ann Donovan,
Director, Community Development Financial Institutions Fund.
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BILLING CODE 4810–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA–2006–25970; Directorate Identifier 99–NE–12–AD; Amendment 39–18426; AD 2016–05–08]

RIN 2120–AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Turbomeca S.A. Turboshaft Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.
SUMMARY: We are superseding airworthiness directive (AD) 2006–23–17 for certain Turbomeca S.A. Turmo IV A and IV C turboshaft engines. AD 2006–23–17 required repetitive inspections of the centrifugal compressor intake wheel (inducer) blades for cracks and corrosion, replacement of parts that fail inspection, and replacement of the TU 197 standard centrifugal compressor. This AD requires the same inspections, but at revised intervals, adds the replacement of the TU 215 standard centrifugal compressor, and requires replacement of parts that fail inspection. This AD was prompted by a centrifugal compressor inducer blade loss. This AD was also prompted by a Turbomeca S.A. review of the engine service experience and their determination that more frequent borescope inspections (BSIs) are required on engines not modified to the TU 191, TU 197, or TU 224 standard. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the centrifugal compressor inducer, which could lead to an uncontained blade release, damage to the engine, and damage to the airplane.
DATES: This AD is effective April 21, 2016.

The Director of the Federal Register approved the incorporation by reference of a certain publication listed in this AD as of April 21, 2016.
We reviewed Turbomeca S.A. Alert Mandatory Service Bulletin (MSB) No. A249 72 0100, Version H, dated May 21, 2015. The Alert MSB describes procedures for the inspection and replacement of the centrifugal compressor inducer blades. This service information is reasonably available because the interested parties have access to it through their normal course of business or by the means identified in the ADDRESSES section.

Costs of Compliance

We estimate that this AD affects 36 engines installed on airplanes of U.S. registry. We estimate that two of these engines will require compressor replacement. We also estimate that about 40 hours per engine are required to comply with this AD. The average labor rate is $85 per hour. Parts cost about $40,000 per engine. Based on these figures, we estimate the cost of this AD on U.S. operators to be about $40,000 per engine.

Authority for This Rulemaking

Title 49 of the United States Code specifies the FAA’s authority to issue rules on aviation safety. Subtitle I, Section 106, describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the Agency’s authority.

We are issuing this rulemaking under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart III, Section 44701, “General requirements.” Under that section, Congress charges the FAA with promoting safe flight of civil aircraft in air commerce by prescribing regulations for practices, methods, and procedures the Administrator finds necessary for safety in air commerce. This regulation is within the scope of that authority because it addresses an unsafe condition that is likely to exist or develop on products identified in this rulemaking action.

Regulatory Findings

This AD will not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132. This AD will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this AD:

(1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866,
(2) Is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979),
(3) Will not affect intrastate aviation in Alaska to the extent that it justifies making a regulatory distinction, and
(4) Will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, under the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the FAA amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

§ 39.13 [Amended]

(b) Affected ADs

This AD replaces AD 2006–23–17.

(c) Applicability

This AD applies to Turbomeca S.A. Turmo IV A and IV C turboshaft engines.

(d) Unsafe Condition

This AD was prompted by a centrifugal compressor inducer blade loss. We are issuing this AD to prevent failure of the centrifugal compressor inducer, which could lead to an uncontained blade release, damage to the engine, and damage to the airplane.

(e) Compliance

Comply with this AD within the compliance times specified, unless already done.

(1) Remove the TU 197 and TU 215 standard centrifugal compressors and install the Tu 224 standard centrifugal compressor, within 30 days after the effective date of this AD.

(2) Perform initial and repetitive ultrasonic inspections (ULIs) or eddy current inspections...
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
17 CFR Part 300
[Release No. SIA–175; File No. SIPC–2015–01]

Securities Investor Protection Corporation

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) is approving a proposed rule change filed by the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”). The rule change adds SIPC Rule 600, entitled “Rules Relating to Supplemental Report of SIPC Membership.” Because SIPC rules have the force and effect as if promulgated by the Commission, those rules are published in Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations, where the rule change will be reflected.

DATES: Effective March 31, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael A. Macchiarioli, Associate Director, at (202) 551–5525; Thomas K. McGowan, Associate Director, at (202) 551–5521; Randall W. Roy, Deputy Associate Director, at (202) 551–5522; Timothy C. Fox, Branch Chief, at (202) 551–5687; Rose Russo Wells, Senior Counsel, at (202) 551–5527; Office of Financial Responsibility, Division of Trading and Markets, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549–7010.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is approving a proposed rule change filed by SIPC, adding SIPC Rule 600, 17 CFR 300.600.

I. Background

On April 17, 2015, SIPC filed a proposed rule change with the Commission under section 3(e)(2)(A) of the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 (“SIPA”), and subsequently filed amendments to the proposed rule change on June 23, 2015, July 24, 2015, and September 29, 2015. The proposed rule change would add SIPC Rule 600 (“Rule 600”), entitled “Rules Relating to Supplemental Report of SIPC Membership.” Notice requesting comment on the proposed rule change, as amended, was published in the Federal Register on November 4, 2015. The Commission received one comment on the proposal. The Commission is approving the proposed rule change under section 3(e)(2) of SIPA.

II. Proposed Rule Change

Pursuant to SIPA and SIPC Bylaws, broker-dealers that are SIPC members pay semi-annual assessments to SIPC at the mid-point and at the end of their fiscal year. The assessment payments are the main source of funding for the SIPC Fund. The amount of the assessment a broker-dealer must pay is based on the firm’s revenues from its securities business. Consequently, in relation to the payment of the assessments, a broker-dealer must file with SIPC a Form SIPC–6 (General Assessment Payment Form) with the mid-year assessment and a Form SIPC–7 (General Assessment Reconciliation Form) with the year-end assessment. These forms show the broker-dealer’s calculation of the assessment amount based on its revenues from its securities business. 7 Broker-dealers that limit their business to certain specified activities or conduct their business outside of the United States are exempt from being members of SIPC. Consequently, these broker-dealers do not pay a SIPC assessment. However, they must file a

3 See email from Paul W. Lameo to Michael A. Macchiarioli dated December 22, 2015. The comment requested clarification regarding a number of technical questions concerning the process for filing reports with SIPC. SIPC intends to issue Frequently Asked Questions to respond to those and other technical questions.
4 Under SIPA, to be final, rules proposed by SIPC must be approved by the Commission. See 15 U.S.C. 78ccc(e)(2).
6 See 15 U.S.C. 78dd(d) and (d).
7 Form SIPC–7 provides that the broker-dealer may deduct from the end of fiscal year assessment the amount paid mid-year with the filing of the Form SIPC–6.