

origin; (4) imports from Oman as a percentage of the domestic market of the like or directly competitive article; and (5) all data available to the requester showing changes in productivity, utilization of capacity, inventories, exports, wages, employment, domestic prices, profits, and investment, and any other information, relating to the existence of serious damage or actual threat thereof caused by imports from Oman to the industry producing the like or directly competitive article that is the subject of the request. To the extent that such information is not available, the requester should provide best estimates and the basis therefore.

If CITA determines that the request provides the information necessary for it to be considered, CITA will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** seeking public comments regarding the request. The comment period shall be 30 calendar days. The notice will include a summary of the request. Any interested party may submit information to rebut, clarify, or correct public comments submitted by any interested party.

CITA will make a determination on any request it considers within 60 calendar days of the close of the comment period. If CITA is unable to make a determination within 60 calendar days, it will publish a notice in the **Federal Register**, including the date it will make a determination.

If a determination under section 322(b) of the Act is affirmative, CITA may provide tariff relief to a U.S. industry to the extent necessary to remedy or prevent serious damage or actual threat thereof and to facilitate adjustment by the domestic industry to import competition. The import tariff relief is effective beginning on the date that CITA's affirmative determination is published in the **Federal Register**.

Entities submitting requests, responses or rebuttals to CITA may submit both a public and confidential version of their submissions. If the request is accepted, the public version will be posted on the dedicated Oman Free Trade Agreement textile safeguards section of the Office of Textile and Apparel (OTEXA) Web site. The confidential version of the request, responses or rebuttals will not be shared with the public as it may contain business confidential information. Entities submitting responses or rebuttals may use the public version of the request as a basis for responses.

## II. Method of Collection

When an interested party files a request for a textile and apparel safeguard action with CITA, ten copies

of any such request must be provided in a paper format. If business confidential information is provided, two copies of a non-confidential version must also be provided. If CITA determines that the request provides the necessary information to be considered, it publishes a **Federal Register** notice seeking public comments on the request. To the extent business confidential information is provided, a non-confidential version must also be provided. Any interested party may submit information to rebut, clarify, or correct public comments submitted by any interested party.

## III. Data

*OMB Control Number:* 0625–0266.

*Form Number(s):* None.

*Type of Review:* Regular submission.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; business or other for-profit organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 6 (1 for Request; 5 for Comments).

*Estimated Time per Response:* 4 hours for a Request; and 4 hours for each Comment.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours:* 24.

*Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public:* \$960.

## IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: March 3, 2016.

**Glenna Mickelson,**

*Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2016–05165 Filed 3–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–890]

### Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** As a result of this second sunset review, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") finds that revocation of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Sunset Review" section of this notice.

**DATES:** Effective March 9, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Pedersen, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2769.

## Background

On January 4, 2005, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from the PRC.<sup>1</sup> On November 3, 2015, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the *Order* pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act").<sup>2</sup> On November 18, 2015, the Department received a notice of intent to participate in the sunset review from domestic interested parties.<sup>3</sup> This notice was filed within the time period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).<sup>4</sup> On December 3,

<sup>1</sup> See Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People's Republic of China, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005) ("Order").

<sup>2</sup> See Initiation of Five-year ("Sunset") Review, 80 FR 67705 (November 3, 2015) ("Sunset Initiation").

<sup>3</sup> The Domestic interested parties are the American Furniture Manufacturers Committee for Legal Trade ("Committee") and Vaughan-Bassett Furniture Company, Inc. ("Vaughan-Basset") (collectively "Domestic Interested Parties"). These parties stated that the Committee and each of its members (including Vaughan-Bassett) intend to participate in this sunset review. Local Union 2445, and Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers Local 991 stated their willingness to participate in this sunset review and support the continuation of the *Order*.

<sup>4</sup> See Letter from Domestic Interested Parties "Re: Second Five-Year (Sunset) Review of Antidumping

2015, the domestic interested parties filed a substantive response within the 30-day period specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).<sup>5</sup> The Department did not receive a substantive response from any respondent interested party. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of the *Order*.

### Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is wooden bedroom furniture, subject to certain exceptions.<sup>6</sup> Imports of subject merchandise are classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (“HTSUS”) subheadings: 9403.50.9042 and 9403.50.9045 of the HTSUS as “wooden . . . beds” and under subheading 9403.50.9080 of the HTSUS as “other . . . wooden furniture of a kind used in the bedroom.” In addition, wooden headboards for beds, wooden footboards for beds, wooden side rails for beds, and wooden canopies for beds may also be entered under subheading 9403.50.9042 or 9403.50.9045 of the HTSUS as “parts of wood.” Subject merchandise may also be entered under subheadings 9403.50.9041, 9403.60.8081, or 9403.20.0018. Further, framed glass mirrors may be entered under subheading 7009.92.1000 or 7009.92.5000 of the HTSUS as “glass mirrors . . . framed.” The Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice, provides a full description of the scope of the *Order*.<sup>7</sup>

The Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov> and in the

Duty Order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review,” dated November 18, 2015.

<sup>5</sup> See Letter from Domestic Interested Parties “Re: Five-Year (“Sunset”) Review of Antidumping Duty Order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People’s Republic of China/The Domestic Industry’s Substantive Response To The Notice Of Initiation” dated December 3, 2015 (“Domestic Interested Parties’ Substantive Response”).

<sup>6</sup> See *Order*, 70 FR at 329.

<sup>7</sup> For a full description of the scope of the order, including exclusions, see the “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Expedited Second Sunset Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Wooden Bedroom Furniture from the People’s Republic of China” from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (“Decision Memorandum”).

Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memorandum can be accessed at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in this sunset review are addressed in the Decision Memorandum. The issues discussed in the Decision Memorandum include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping, the magnitude of the margins likely to prevail if the *Order* were to be revoked, and duty absorption.

### Final Results of Sunset Review

Pursuant to section 752(c)(3) of the Act, the Department determines that revocation of the *Order* would likely lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping, and that the magnitude of the dumping margins likely to prevail would be weighted-average dumping margins up to 198.08 percent.

### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(c), and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218.

Dated: March 2, 2016.

**Paul Piquado,**

*Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2016-05307 Filed 3-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-813]

### Certain Preserved Mushrooms From India: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014–2015

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain preserved mushrooms (mushrooms) from India.<sup>1</sup> The period of review (POR) is February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015. The review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Himalya International, Ltd. (Himalya). We preliminarily determine that sales of subject merchandise by Himalya have not been made at prices below normal value (NV). We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Effective March 9, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Katherine Johnson or Terre Keaton Stefanova, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4929 or (202) 482-1280, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by this order is certain preserved mushrooms from India. The product is currently classified under subheadings: 2003.10.0127, 2003.10.0131, 2003.10.0137, 2003.10.0143, 2003.10.0147, 2003.10.0153, 0711.51.0000, 0711.90.4000, 2003.10.0027, 2003.10.0031, 2003.10.0037, 2003.10.0043 and 2003.10.0047 of the Harmonized Tariff System of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of merchandise subject to the scope is dispositive.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 18202 (April 3, 2015).

<sup>2</sup> A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, from Christian Marsh, Deputy

Continued