

DATES: The meeting will be held on Thursday, March 17, 2016, from 12:30 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Pentagon, in Room 4B746, 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350-1000.

Building Access: Public access is limited due to the Pentagon Security requirements. Any individual wishing to attend this meeting should contact Ms. Cassandra Dean at 703-697-2386 no later than March 9, 2016. Members of the public who do not have Pentagon access will be required to provide Name, Date of Birth and Social Security Number by March 9, 2016, in order to obtain visitor's clearance. Public transportation is recommended as public parking is not available. Members of the public wishing to attend this meeting must enter through the Pentagon's Metro Entrance with sufficient time to complete security screening between 11:45 a.m. and 12:00 p.m., where they will need two forms of identification in order to receive a visitor badge and meet their escort. Members will then be escorted to Room 4B746 to attend the meeting of the Advisory Panel. Members of the public must remain with the designated escort at all times while in the Pentagon. After the meeting is adjourned, members of the public will be escorted back to the Pentagon Metro Entrance.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Commander Randall Biggs, SECNAV Advisory Panel, 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350-1000, 703-695-3042.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Meeting Agenda

12:40 p.m.–1:00 p.m.—Panel Report;
1:00 p.m.–1:10 p.m.—Public Comment (if time permits; written public comments are encouraged);
1:15 p.m.–1:30 p.m.—Panel Deliberations.

Individuals or interested groups may submit written statements for consideration by the SECNAV Advisory Panel at any time or in response to the agenda of a schedule meeting. If the written statement is in response to the agenda mentioned in this meeting notice, it must be received at least 5 business days prior to the meeting in question. All written comments should be submitted via email to SNAP@Navy.mil. The DFO will review all timely submissions with the SECNAV Advisory Panel before the meeting that is the subject of this notice. All requests can be submitted to the Designated Federal Officer (DFO) at the address detailed below.

To contact the DFO write to: Deputy Under Secretary of the Navy, (Policy), Secretary of the Navy Advisory Panel, Captain Christopher Rodeman, Designated Federal Officer, 1000 Navy Pentagon, Washington, DC 20350-1000.

Dated: February 17, 2016.

N.A. Hagerty-Ford,

Commander, Judge Advocate General's Corps, U.S. Navy, Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016-04572 Filed 2-26-16; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Privacy Act of 1974; Computer Matching Program Between the Department of Education (ED) and the Social Security Administration (SSA)

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended by the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988 and the Computer Matching and Privacy Protections Amendments of 1990 (Privacy Act), and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) guidance on the conduct of computer matching programs, notice is hereby given of the renewal of the computer matching program between ED (recipient agency) and the SSA (source agency). This renewal of the computer matching program will become effective as explained in paragraph 5.

DATES:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552a) OMB Final Guidance Interpreting the Provisions of Public Law 100-503, the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, published in the **Federal Register** on June 19, 1989 (54 FR 25818), and OMB Circular No. A-130, Transmittal Memorandum #4, Management of Federal Information Resources (November 28, 2000), we provide the following information:

1. *Names of Participating Agencies.*

The U.S. Department of Education and the Social Security Administration.

2. *Purpose of the Match.*

The purpose of this matching program between ED and SSA is to assist the Secretary of Education with verification of immigration status and Social Security numbers (SSNs) under 20 U.S.C. 1091(g) and (p). SSA will verify the issuance of an SSN to, and will confirm the citizenship status of, those students and parents applying for financial assistance programs authorized under title IV of the Higher

Education Act of 1965, as amended (HEA). Verification of this information by SSA will help ED satisfy its obligation to ensure that individuals applying for financial assistance meet eligibility requirements imposed by the HEA.

Verification by this computer matching program effectuates the purpose of the HEA because it provides an efficient and comprehensive method of verifying the accuracy of each individual's SSN and claim to a citizenship status that permits that individual to qualify for title IV, HEA assistance.

3. *Authority for Conducting the Matching Program.*

ED is authorized to participate in the matching program under sections 428B(f) (20 U.S.C. 1078-2(f)), 483(a)(12) (20 U.S.C. 1090(a)(12)), 484(g) (20 U.S.C. 1091(g)), and 484(p) (20 U.S.C. 1091(p)) of the HEA.

SSA is authorized to participate in the matching program under section 1106(a) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1306(a)) and the regulations promulgated pursuant to that section (20 CFR part 401).

4. *Categories of Records and Individuals Covered by the Match.*

ED's system of records entitled "Federal Student Aid Application File" (18-11-01), which contains the information to determine an applicant's eligibility for Federal student financial assistance, and ED's system of records entitled "Person Authentication Service (PAS)" (18-11-12), which contains the applicant's information to receive PAS Credentials, a user ID and password, will be matched against SSA's Master Files of Social Security Number Holders and SSN Applications System, SSA/OS, 60-0058, which maintains records about each individual who has applied for, and obtained an, SSN.

5. *Effective Date of the Matching Program.*

The matching program will be effective on the latest of the following three dates: (a) April 10, 2016; (b) 30 days after notice of the matching program has been published in the **Federal Register**, as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(12); or (c) 40 days after a report concerning the matching program has been transmitted, as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(r), to OMB and the U.S. House Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, unless OMB waives 10 or fewer days of this 40-day review period for compelling reasons, in which case, 30 days plus whatever number of the 10 days that OMB did not waive from the

date of the transmittal of the report to OMB and Congress.

The matching program will continue for 18 months after the effective date and may be extended for an additional 12 months thereafter, if the conditions specified in 5 U.S.C. 552a(o)(2)(D) have been met.

6. Address for Receipt of Public Comments or Inquiries.

Individuals wishing to comment on this matching program, or to obtain additional information about the program, including requesting a copy of the computer matching agreement between ED and SSA, should contact Marya Dennis, Management and Program Analyst, U.S. Department of Education, Union Center Plaza, 830 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20202-5454. Telephone: (202) 377-3385. If you use a telecommunications device (TDD) for the deaf or text telephone (TTY), call the Federal Relay Service, toll free, at 1-800-877-8339.

Accessible Format: Individuals with disabilities can obtain this document in an accessible format (e.g., braille, large print, audiotape, or compact disc) by contacting the contact person listed in the preceding paragraph.

Electronic Access to This Document: The official version of this document is the document published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available via the Federal Digital System at: www.gpo.gov/fsys. At this site you can view this document, as well as all other documents of this Department published in the **Federal Register**, in text or Adobe Portable Document Format (PDF). To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at the site.

You may also access documents of the Department published in the **Federal Register** by using the article search feature at: www.federalregister.gov. Specifically, through the advanced search feature at this site, you can limit your search to documents published by the Department.

Dated: February 16, 2016.

James W. Runcie,

Chief Operating Officer Federal Student Aid.

[FR Doc. 2016-04465 Filed 2-29-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Notice of 229 Boundary for the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (Also Known as Jefferson Lab)

AGENCY: Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Notice of 229 Boundary for the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (also known as Jefferson Lab).

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Department of Energy, pursuant to Section 229 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, as implemented by 10 CFR part 860 published in the **Federal Register** on August 26, 1963 (28 FR 8400), prohibits the unauthorized entry, as provided in 10 CFR 860.3 and the unauthorized introduction of weapons or dangerous materials, as provided in 10 CFR 860.4, into or upon the following described facilities of the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility of the United States Department of Energy. The following amendments are made:

The U.S. Department of Energy installation known as the Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility is located in the Second Civil District of Newport News, Virginia, within the corporate limits of the City of Newport News. The facility is located on a 169 acre federal reservation. North of the DOE-owned land is an eight acre parcel referred to as the Virginia Associated Research Campus (VARC) which is owned and operated by the Commonwealth of Virginia and leased to Southeastern Universities Research Association (SURA) which, in turn, sub-leases five acres of this property to DOE for use in support of the Laboratory. The facility is located on the east side of State Route 143 (Jefferson Avenue), between the intersections of City Center Boulevard and Hogan Drive. The 229 Boundary of this facility is indicated by a combination of main entry signage, chain link fence, and guardrails which surround the facility.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Tracye M. Baber; Real Estate Contracting Officer; DOE Oak Ridge Office; Post Office Box 2001; Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831; Telephone: (865) 241-5627.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This security boundary is designated pursuant to Section 229 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

Issued in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, on February 22, 2016.

Tracye M. Baber,

Real Estate Contracting Officer.

[FR Doc. 2016-04432 Filed 2-29-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. EL16-6-001; ER16-121-000]

PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.; Notice Inviting Post-Technical Conference Comments

On February 4, 2016, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) staff conducted a technical conference concerning PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.'s (PJM) existing and proposed Auction Revenue Rights (ARR) and Financial Transmission Rights (FTR) tariff provisions. All interested persons are invited to file post-technical conference comments on PJM's filings and the topics discussed during the technical conference, including those indicated below.

Regarding PJM's filing and proposed changes, specifically:

- Whether PJM's conservative modeling of outages that limited the allocation of Stage 1B ARRs have resulted in an inequitable cost shift, and please explain why.

- PJM proposes to eliminate portfolio netting. Comment on the current practice of netting positively valued FTRs against negatively valued FTRs within an FTR holder's portfolio. Do the current tariff provisions on netting work to protect the markets against the potential exercise of manipulation, and if so, how? If netting is eliminated and causes the potential for the exercise of manipulation, what measures would need to be put into place to prevent potential market manipulation? Would allocating surplus funds to load rather than to FTR holders, or carrying surplus funds forward to fund any future revenue inadequacy be ways of addressing potential manipulation?

- The appropriateness of using the 1.5 percent adder for all zones, regardless of the actual zonal load growth rate and negative load growth projections for some areas; and the appropriateness of conducting the 10-year study with different growth rates as a sensitivity study, as is done for other RTEP studies. Is the cost of building transmission as a result of the 1.5 percent adder justified by the benefit of being able to accommodate the current allocations in Stage 1A?

Regarding PJM's proposed solutions in the context of its current tariff, please discuss if there are other solutions to consider. Specifically, please comment on:

- If infeasible Stage 1A ARRs should continue to be awarded and treated as they are today.