

[www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), under Docket No. CPSC–2012–0057.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For further information contact: Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East-West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: [rsquibb@cpsc.gov](mailto:rsquibb@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CPSC has submitted the following currently approved collection of information to OMB for extension:

*Title:* Requirements for Electrically Operated Toys.

*OMB Number:* 3041–0035.

*Type of Review:* Renewal of collection.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* Manufacturers and importers of electrically operated toys and other electrically operated articles.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 40 firms that manufacture or import electrically operated toys and other electrically operated articles have been identified; based on manufacturer and importer records for sales and distribution of inventory, there are approximately 10 models each year per firm for which testing and recordkeeping is required resulting in 400 records (40 firms × 10 models) per year.

*Estimated Time per Response:* Based on discussion with a trade association for the toy industry, we estimate that the tests required by the regulations can be performed on one model in 16 hours and that four hours of recordkeeping is required per model. In addition, each firm may spend 30 minutes or less per model on labeling requirements.

*Total Estimated Annual Burden:* 6,400 hours for testing burden (16 hours × 400 records); 1,600 hours for recordkeeping (4 hours × 400 records); 200 hours for labeling (40 firms × ½ hour × 10 models) for a total annual burden of 8,200 hours per year.

*General Description of Collection:* The regulations in 16 CFR part 1505 establish performance and labeling requirements for electrically operated toys and children's articles to reduce unreasonable risks of injury to children from electric shock, electrical burns, and thermal burns associated with those products. Manufacturers and importers of electrically operated toys and children's articles are required to maintain records for three years on: (1) Material and production specifications; (2) the quality assurance program used; (3) results of all tests and inspections conducted; and (4) sales and distribution of electrically operated toys and children's articles.

Dated: February 18, 2016.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016–03701 Filed 2–22–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355–01–P**

## CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[Docket No. CPSC–2012–0058]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request—Safety Standard for Walk-Behind Power Lawn Mowers

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirements of the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”) of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission (“Commission” or “CPSC”) announces that the Commission has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (“OMB”) a request for extension of approval of a collection of information relating to testing and recordkeeping requirements in the Safety Standard for Walk-Behind Power Lawn Mowers (16 CFR part 1205), approved previously under OMB Control No. 3041–0091. In the **Federal Register** of November 25, 2015 (80 FR 73735), the CPSC published a notice to announce the agency's intention to seek extension of approval of the collection of information.

One commenter, Outdoor Power Equipment Institute (“OPEI”) stated that the estimated burden is underestimated as it is likely based on an outdated estimate of the U.S. market. According to OPEI data, accounting for 8 member manufacturers, 4.7 million walk-behind (gas) power lawn mowers were shipped in the U.S. during 2015.

CPSC staff's estimate of the estimated reporting burden to industry to comply with the safety standard mainly is tied to the number of manufacturers and importers (25), number of production days in a year (130), and employee time per day per establishment required to conduct a reasonable testing program (3 hours) and preparation of product labels (1 hour). The information provided by OPEI's comment does not address the factors and assumptions leading to estimated burden hours for firms and the industry. The reported shipments of 4.7 million units in 2015 (by 8 OPEI members) would not lead us to conclude that estimated burden hours has been underestimated. In fact, the

reported shipments in 2015 are lower than previous years in our possession (e.g., 6.5 million forecast for 2005). If OPEI has information related to the number of affected establishments, annual production days, and hours per production day required for testing and labeling, staff will review that information and revise the estimated information collection burden of the standard, as necessary.

Accordingly, by publication of this notice, the Commission announces that CPSC has submitted to the OMB a request for extension of approval of that collection of information, without change.

**DATES:** Written comments on this request for extension of approval of information collection requirements should be submitted by March 24, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments about this request by email: [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov) or fax: 202–395–6881. Comments by mail should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the CPSC, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW., Washington, DC 20503. In addition, written comments that are sent to OMB also should be submitted electronically at <http://www.regulations.gov>, under Docket No. CPSC–2012–0058.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Robert H. Squibb, Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814; (301) 504–7815, or by email to: [rsquibb@cpsc.gov](mailto:rsquibb@cpsc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** CPSC has submitted the following currently approved collection of information to OMB for extension:

*Title:* Safety Standard for Walk-Behind Power Lawn Mowers.

*OMB Number:* 3041–0091.

*Type of Review:* Renewal of collection.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* Manufacturers and importers of walk-behind power lawn mowers.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 25 manufacturers and importers of walk-behind power lawn mowers have been identified.

*Estimated Time per Response:* Walk-behind power lawn mowers are manufactured seasonally to meet demand. They are manufactured during an estimated 130 days out of the year. When they are manufactured, firms are required to test and maintain records of those tests. Three hours daily is estimated for testing and recordkeeping

per firm totaling 390 hours per firm (3 hours × 130 days). In addition, to produce labels and apply labels on the newly manufactured lawn mowers, one hour daily is estimated for each firm during the production cycle for a total of 130 hours per firm (1 hour × 130 days).

*Total Estimated Annual Burden:* 9,750 hours on testing and recordkeeping (25 firms × 390 hours) and 3,250 hours for labeling (25 firms × 130 hours) for a total annual burden of 13,000 hours per year.

*General Description of Collection:* In 1979, the Commission issued the Safety Standard for Walk-Behind Power Lawn Mowers (16 CFR part 1205) to address blade contact injuries. Subpart B of the standard sets forth regulations prescribing requirements for a reasonable testing program to support certificates of compliance with the standard for walk-behind power lawn mowers. 16 CFR part 1205, subpart B.

In addition, section 14(a) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2063(a)) requires manufacturers, importers, and private labelers of a consumer product subject to a consumer product safety standard to issue a certificate stating that the product complies with all applicable consumer product safety standards. Section 14(a) of the CPSA also requires that the certificate of compliance must be based on a test of each product or upon a reasonable testing program. The information collection is necessary because these regulations require manufacturers and importers to establish and maintain records to demonstrate compliance with the requirements for testing and labeling to support the certification of compliance.

Dated: February 18, 2016.

**Todd A. Stevenson,**

*Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-03700 Filed 2-22-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6355-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

#### Charter Establishment of Department of Defense Federal Advisory Committees

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Establishment of Federal Advisory Committee.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Defense (DoD) is publishing this notice to announce that it is establishing the charter for the Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation,

Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces (“the Committee”).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jim Freeman, Advisory Committee Management Officer for the Department of Defense, 703-692-5952.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This committee’s charter is being established pursuant to section 546 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (FY 2015 NDAA), as modified by section 537 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016 (FY2016 NDAA), and in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) of 1972 (5 U.S.C., Appendix, as amended) and 41 CFR 102-3.50(a). The Committee’s charter and contact information for the Committee’s Designated Federal Officer (DFO) can be obtained at <http://www.facadatabase.gov/>.

The Committee provides the Secretary of Defense, through the General Counsel of the Department of Defense, advice on the investigation, prosecution, and defense of allegations of rape, forcible sodomy, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct involving members of the Armed Forces. Not later than March 30 of each year, the Committee will submit a report describing the results of its activities during the preceding year to the Secretary of Defense and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives.

The Committee will be composed of no more than 20 members who have experience with the investigation, prosecution, and defense of allegations of sexual assault offenses. Members may include Federal and State prosecutors, judges, law professors, and private attorneys, but individuals serving on active duty in the Armed Forces may not be appointed to the Committee. Members who are not full-time or permanent part-time Federal officers or employees will be appointed as experts or consultants pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3109 to serve as special government employee members. Members who are full-time or permanent part-time Federal officers or employees will serve as regular government employee members. All members are appointed to provide advice on behalf of the Government on the basis of their best judgment without representing any particular point of view and in a manner that is free from conflict of interest. Except for reimbursement of official Committee-related travel and per diem, members serve without compensation.

The DoD, as necessary and consistent with the Committee’s mission and DoD

policies and procedures, may establish subcommittees, task forces, or working groups to support the Committee, and all subcommittees must operate under the provisions of FACA and the Government in the Sunshine Act. Subcommittees will not work independently of the Committee and must report all their recommendations and advice solely to the Committee for full deliberation and discussion. Subcommittees, task forces, or working groups have no authority to make decisions and recommendations, verbally or in writing, on behalf of the Committee. No subcommittee or any of its members can update or report, verbally or in writing, directly to the DoD or any Federal officers or employees. The Committee’s DFO, pursuant to DoD policy, must be a full-time or permanent part-time DoD employee. The DFO or a properly approved Alternate DFO, is required to be in attendance at all Committee/ subcommittee meetings for the duration of each and every meeting. The public or interested organizations may submit written statements to Committee membership about the Committee’s mission and functions. Written statements may be submitted at any time or in response to the stated agenda of planned meeting of the Committee. All written statements shall be submitted to the DFO for the Committee, and this individual will ensure that the written statements are provided to the membership for their consideration.

Dated: February 18, 2016.

**Aaron Siegel,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 2016-03749 Filed 2-22-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 5001-06-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Department of the Army

[Docket ID: USA-2016-HQ-0003]

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Department of the Army, DoD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the *Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995*, the Department of the Army announces a proposed public information collection and seeks public comment on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including