

negative (no ash) ash fall reports by location. Ash fall reports (icons) will be publically displayed for a period of 24 hours and shaded differently as they age so that the age of reports is obvious.

The ash fall report database will help AVO track eruption clouds and associated fallout downwind. These reports from the public will also give scientists a more complete record of the amount and duration and other conditions of ash fall. Getting first-hand accounts of ash fall will support model ash fall development and interpretation of satellite imagery. AVO scientists will—as time allows—be able to contact the individuals using their entered contact information for clarification and details. Knowing the locations from which ash-fall reports have been filed will improve ash fall warning messages, AVO Volcanic Activity Notifications, and make fieldwork more efficient. AVO staff will be able to condense and summarize the various ash fall reports and forward that information on to emergency management agencies and the wider public. The online form will also free up resources during exceedingly busy times during an eruption, as most individuals currently phone AVO with their reports.

II. Data

OMB Control Number: 1028–0106.

Form Number: NA.

Title: USGS Ash Fall Report.

Type of Request: Renewal of existing information collection.

Affected Public: General Public, local governments and emergency managers.

Respondent Obligation: Voluntary.

Frequency of Collection: On occasion, after each ashfall event.

Estimated Total Number of Annual Responses: Approximately 250 individuals affected by a volcanic ashfall event each year.

Estimated Time per Response: We estimate the public reporting burden will average 5 minutes per response. This includes the time for reviewing instructions, and answering a web-based questionnaire.

Estimated Annual Burden Hours: 21 hours.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping “Non-Hour Cost” Burden: We have not identified any “non-hour cost” burdens associated with this collection of information.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor and you are not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number and current expiration date.

III. Request for Comments

We are soliciting comments as to: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) how to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) how to minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Please note that the comments submitted in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this IC. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask OMB in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that it will be done.

Aimee Devaris,

USGS Regional Director, Alaska Area.

[FR Doc. 2016–02867 Filed 2–11–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Geological Survey

[GX16EE000101100]

National Geospatial Advisory Committee; Charter Renewal

AGENCY: U.S. Geological Survey, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Secretary of the Interior has renewed the National Geospatial Advisory Committee (Committee), in accordance with Section 14(b) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Mahoney, U.S. Geological Survey, phone: 206–220–4621, email: jmahoney@usgs.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Committee provides advice and recommendations to the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC), through the FGDC Chair (the Secretary of the Interior or designee), related to management of Federal geospatial programs, the development of the

National Spatial Data Infrastructure, and the implementation of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–16 and Executive Order 12906. The Committee will review and comment upon geospatial policy and management issues and will provide a forum to convey views representative of non-Federal partners in the geospatial community. The Committee will conduct its operations in accordance with the provisions of the FACA.

Certification

I hereby certify that the National Geospatial Advisory Committee is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–16 (Revised), “*Coordination of Geographic Information and Related Spatial Data Activities*.” The Committee will assist the Department of the Interior by providing advice and recommendations related to the management of Federal geospatial programs and the development of the National Spatial Data Infrastructure.

Dated: January 19, 2016.

Sally Jewell,

Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 2016–02893 Filed 2–11–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4311–AM–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

[167 A2100DD/AAKC001030/
A0A501010.999900]

Indian Gaming; Extension of Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compact (Crow Creek Sioux Tribe and the State of South Dakota)

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the extension of the Class III gaming compact between the Crow Creek Sioux Tribe and the State of South Dakota.

DATES: Effective February 12, 2016.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Paula L. Hart, Director, Office of Indian Gaming, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Washington, DC 20240, (202) 219–4066.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An extension to an existing tribal-state Class III gaming compact does not require approval by the Secretary if the extension does not modify any other terms of the compact. See Pursuant to 25 CFR 293.5. The Crow Creek Sioux

Tribe and the State of South Dakota have reached an agreement to extend the expiration of their existing Tribal-State Class III gaming compact until June 23, 2016. This publishes notice of the new expiration date of the compact.

Dated: February 3, 2016.

Lawrence R. Roberts,

Acting Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 2016-02917 Filed 2-11-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4337-15-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-933]

Certain Stainless Steel Products, Certain Processes for Manufacturing or Relating to Same, and Certain Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination To Review an Initial Determination Granting in Part a Motion for Default and Other Relief and, on Review, To Affirm the Default Finding; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 17) by the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) finding Viraj Profiles Limited (“Viraj”) in default for spoliation of evidence and ordering the disgorgement of complainants’ operating practices in Viraj’s possession. On review, the Commission has determined to affirm the default finding as to Viraj. The Commission requests certain briefing from the parties on the remaining issues under review, as indicated in this notice. The Commission also requests briefing from the parties and interested persons on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lucy Grace D. Noyola, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-3438. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General

information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on October 10, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Valbruna Slater Stainless, Inc. of Fort Wayne, Indiana; Valbruna Stainless Inc., of Fort Wayne, Indiana; and Acciaierie Valbruna S.p.A. of Italy (collectively, “Valbruna”). 79 FR 61339 (Oct. 10, 2014). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain stainless steel products, certain processes for manufacturing or relating to same, and certain products containing same by reason of the misappropriation of trade secrets, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States. *Id.* The notice of investigation names as respondents Viraj Profiles Limited of Mumbai, India; Viraj Holdings P. Ltd. of Mumbai, India; Viraj—U.S.A., Inc. of Garden City, New York; Flanschenwerk Bebitz GmbH of Könnern, Germany; Bebitz Flanges Works Pvt. Ltd. of Maharashtra, India; Bebitz U.S.A. of Garden City, New York; and Ta Chen Stainless Pipe Co., Ltd. of Tainan, Taiwan and Ta Chen International, Inc. of Long Beach, California (“Ta Chen”). *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) also was named as a party to the investigation. *Id.*

On September 8, 2015, Valbruna filed a motion for default and other relief for Viraj’s failure to make and cooperate in discovery, intentional concealment and failure to preserve dispositive evidence, and misrepresentations to Valbruna and the Commission. On September 17, 2015, OUII filed a response in support of Valbruna’s motion. On September 18, 2015, Viraj filed a response opposing the motion.

On December 8, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 17), granting in part Valbruna’s motion for default and other relief. The ALJ found that Viraj acted in bad faith in spoliating evidence and that a sanction of default against Viraj was warranted. The ALJ also ordered Viraj to disgorge any Valbruna operating practices in its

possession. The ALJ denied Valbruna’s request to assert certain operating practices that the ALJ had previously excluded.

On December 16, 2015, Viraj filed a petition for review. Ta Chen also filed a petition for review, arguing that it is entitled to an evidentiary hearing. On December 23, 2015, Valbruna and OUII each filed responses to both petitions. Valbruna’s response included a request for immediate entry of relief against Viraj.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ID, the petitions for review, and the responses thereto, the Commission has determined to review the ID. Specifically, the Commission has determined to review the ID’s finding of default for spoliation of evidence as to Viraj and the ID’s order that Viraj disgorge any Valbruna operating practices in its possession. On review, the Commission affirms the default finding, with supplemental reasoning described in a forthcoming opinion. The Commission clarifies that the default finding against Viraj does not preclude the remaining respondents from participating in an evidentiary hearing and contesting the allegations at issue in the investigation. The Commission expects the stay of the procedural schedule to be lifted.

In connection with its review, the Commission requests responses to the following questions only. The parties are requested to brief their positions with reference to the applicable law and the existing evidentiary record.

1. Please provide an analysis of the Commission’s authority to (1) order Viraj to disgorge any Valbruna operating practices in its possession as a sanction for spoliation of evidence and (2) enforce such an order. Discuss the Commission’s jurisdiction to order disgorgement by a foreign entity.

2. Please discuss whether the circumstances here provide the grounds for the issuance of immediate entry of relief against Viraj under Commission Rule 210.16(c).

In connection with the final disposition of Order No. 17, the Commission may determine that immediate relief against Viraj is warranted. If so, the Commission may (1) issue an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) issue a cease and desist order that could result in Viraj being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered.