

review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 8) granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Amanda Pitcher Fisherow, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on November 5, 2015, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Polymer Technology Systems, Inc. of Indianapolis, Indiana ("Complainant"). 80 FR 68563 (Nov. 5, 2015). The complaint alleged violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the sale for importation or sale within the United States after importation of certain blood cholesterol test strips and associated systems containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,087,397. The notice of investigation named Infopia Co., Ltd. of Gyeonggi-do, Korea; Infopia America LLC of Titusville, Florida; and Jant Pharmacal Corporation of Encino, California as respondents. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party but later withdrew from the investigation.

On January 19, 2016, the private parties filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement.

On January 20, 2016, the ALJ granted the joint motion to terminate. The ALJ found the parties included confidential and public versions of the settlement agreement and that the parties represented that there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied concerning the subject matter of the investigation. The ALJ also found that termination of the investigation is

not contrary to the public interest. No petitions for review were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

Issued: February 5, 2016.

By order of the Commission.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2016-02691 Filed 2-9-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

---

**JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES**

**Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure**

**AGENCY:** Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure, Judicial Conference of the United States.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Civil Procedure will hold a two-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation. An agenda and supporting materials will be posted at least 7 days in advance of the meeting at: <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/records-and-archives-rules-committees/agenda-books>.

**DATES:** *Date:* April 14-15, 2016.

*Time:* 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Tideline Ocean Resort & Spa, Malcolm's Ball Room, 2842 S. Ocean Boulevard, Palm Beach, FL 33480.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Rebecca A. Womeldorf, Rules Committee Secretary, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1820.

Dated: February 4, 2016.

**Rebecca A. Womeldorf,**

*Rules Committee Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-02693 Filed 2-9-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-55-P**

**JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES**

**Meeting of the Judicial Conference Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure**

**AGENCY:** Judicial Conference of the United States, Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee on Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure will hold a one-day meeting. The meeting will be open to public observation but not participation. An agenda and supporting materials will be posted at least 7 days in advance of the meeting at: <http://www.uscourts.gov/rules-policies/records-and-archives-rules-committees/agenda-books>.

**DATES:** March 31, 2016 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** Hotel Monaco Denver, 1717 Champa Street, Paris B&C Meeting Rooms, Denver, CO 80202.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Rebecca A. Womeldorf, Rules Committee Secretary, Rules Committee Support Office, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1820.

Dated: February 4, 2016.

**Rebecca A. Womeldorf,**

*Rules Committee Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-02692 Filed 2-9-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 2210-55-P**

---

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Antitrust Division**

**United States v. BBA Aviation plc, et al.; Proposed Final Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement**

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)-(h), that a proposed Final Judgment, Stipulation, and Competitive Impact Statement have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in *United States of America v. BBA Aviation plc, et al.*, Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-00174 (ABJ). On February 3, 2016, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that BBA Aviation plc's ("BBA") proposed acquisition of the fixed-base operator ("FBO") assets owned by Landmark U.S. Corp LLC and LM U.S. Member LLC (collectively, "Landmark") at six U.S. airports would violate Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. 18. The proposed Final Judgment, filed at the same time as the Complaint, requires BBA to divest the