

investment company. Applicant has transferred its assets to GAI Corbin Multi-Strategy Fund, LLC and, on December 31, 2015, made a final distribution to its shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of \$150,231 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicant and the acquiring fund.

*Filing Dates:* The application was filed on January 6, 2016, and amended on January 7, 2016.

*Applicant's Address:* 401 South Tryon Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202.

**Federated Enhanced Treasury Income Fund [File No. 811-22098]**

*Summary:* Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. Applicant has transferred its assets to Federated Enhanced Treasury Income Fund, a portfolio of Federated Income Securities Trust, and, on October 23, 2015, made a final distribution to its shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of \$161,790 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicant and the acquiring fund.

*Filing Dates:* The application was filed on January 21, 2016.

*Applicant's Address:* 4000 Ericsson Drive, Warrendale, Pennsylvania 15086.

**Nuveen New York Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund 2 [811-10253]**

*Summary:* Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. The applicant has transferred its assets to Nuveen New York Dividend Advantage Municipal Fund and, on May 26, 2015, made a final distribution to its shareholders based on net asset value. Expenses of \$620,000 incurred in connection with the reorganization were paid by applicant and \$285,000 were paid by the acquiring fund.

*Filing Dates:* The application was filed on January 22, 2016.

*Applicant's Address:* 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

**EGA Frontier Diversified Core Fund [811-22782]**

*Summary:* Applicant, a closed-end investment company, seeks an order declaring that it has ceased to be an investment company. On October 16, 2015, applicant made a liquidating distribution to its shareholders, based on net asset value. Expenses of \$14,813 incurred in connection with the liquidation were paid by the applicant's investment adviser.

*Filing Dates:* The application was filed on January 27, 2016.

*Applicant's Address:* 155 West 19th Street, New York, New York 10011.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

**Robert W. Errett,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-02064 Filed 2-3-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**[Release No. 34-77000; File No. SR-NYSEARCA-2016-22]**

**Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Amending the NYSE Arca Equities Schedule of Fees and Charges for Exchange Services**

January 29, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1)<sup>1</sup> of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")<sup>2</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>3</sup> notice is hereby given that, on January 28, 2016, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend the NYSE Arca Equities Schedule of Fees and Charges for Exchange Services. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at [www.nyse.com](http://www.nyse.com), at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

**II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries,

set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

**A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

**1. Purpose**

The Exchange proposes to change the fees and credits for Cross Asset Tier 2 in the Fee Schedule. Specifically, for securities with a per share price \$1.00 or above, the Exchange proposes to: (1) Replace the numeric benchmark needed to be eligible for the tier with a benchmark based on a percentage of options contract volume, and (2) provide a second way to qualify for the Cross Asset Tier 2 credits for orders that provide liquidity to the Exchange. The Exchange proposes to implement the fee changes effective January 28, 2016.<sup>4</sup>

Currently, Cross Asset Tier 2 fees and credits apply to ETP Holders and Market Makers that (a) provide liquidity an average daily volume share per month of 0.30% or more of the US Consolidated Average Daily Volume ("CADV"), and (b) are affiliated with an OTP Holder or OTP Firm that provides an ADV of electronic posted executions for the account of a market maker in Penny Pilot issues on NYSE Arca Options (excluding mini options) of at least 90,000 contracts. Such ETP Holders and Market Makers receive a credit of \$0.0031 per share for orders that provide liquidity to the order book in Tape A Securities; a credit of \$0.0030 per share for providing liquidity to the order book and a fee of \$0.0028 per share for taking liquidity from the order book in Tape B Securities; and a credit of \$0.0033 per share for providing liquidity to the order book and a fee of \$0.0029 per share for taking liquidity from the order book in Tape C Securities.

The Exchange proposes to replace the current fixed 90,000 contract requirement with a variable requirement of at least 0.75% of total Customer equity and exchange-traded fund ("ETF") option ADV, as reported by the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC").<sup>5</sup>

<sup>4</sup> The Exchange originally filed to amend the Fee Schedule on January 4, 2016 (SR-NYSEArca-2016-05) and withdrew such filing on January 14, 2016. The Exchange subsequently filed to amend the Fee Schedule on January 14, 2016 (SR-NYSEArca-2016-12) and withdrew such filing on January 28, 2016.

<sup>5</sup> The OCC provides volume information in two product categories: Equity and ETF volume and index volume, and the information can be filtered to show only Customer, firm, or market maker account type. Equity and ETF Customer volume numbers are available directly from the OCC each

Continued

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78a.

<sup>3</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange is proposing these changes to the Cross-Asset Tier 2 in order to make the eligibility requirement consistent with the Exchange's other variable eligibility requirements that are based on percentage of volume. The Exchange believes that using an eligibility requirement based on percentage of volume would better reflect fluctuations in trading volumes. The proposed change would thus eliminate the need to modify a fixed number requirement because a threshold based on volume would automatically make the necessary adjustments.

The Exchange proposes to make a clarifying amendment to the text of the Fee Schedule to more accurately reflect the application of the Cross Asset Tier 2. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to delete the potentially confusing phrase "(including all account types)" following "electronic posted executions" and before "in Penny Pilot issues on NYSE Arca Options" in current clause (b) of the Fee Schedule consistent with the filing adopting the Cross Asset Tier 2.<sup>6</sup> The Exchange also proposes to move the phrase "for the account of a market maker" from the end of current clause (b) to after "electronic posted executions" to add greater clarity to the Fee Schedule.

The Exchange also proposes to permit ETP Holders, including Market Makers, to alternatively qualify for the Cross Asset Tier 2 credits if they (1) provide liquidity an ADV share per month of 0.40% or more of the CADV, and (2) are affiliated with an OTP Holder or OTP Firm that provides an ADV of electronic posted executions for the account of a market maker in Penny Pilot issues on NYSE Arca Options (again, excluding mini options) of at least 0.65% of total

morning, or may be transmitted, upon request, free of charge from the Exchange. Total Industry Customer equity and ETF option ADV is comprised of those equity and ETF option contracts that clear in the customer account type at OCC, including Exchange-Traded Fund Shares, Trust Issued Receipts, Partnership Units, and Index-Linked Securities such as Exchange-Traded Notes (see NYSE Arca Options Rule 5.3(g)-(j)), and does not include contracts that clear in either the firm or market maker account type at OCC or contracts overlying a security other than an equity or ETF security. The Exchange currently makes this data publicly available on a T+1 basis from a link at <http://www.nyxdata.com/factbook>.

<sup>6</sup> See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76084 (October 6, 2015), 80 FR 61529, 61531 (October 13, 2015) (SR-NYSEArca-2015-87) (the Cross Asset Tier 2 applies to "ETP Holders and Market Makers that (a) provide liquidity an average daily volume share per month of 0.30% or more of the US CADV and (b) are affiliated with an OTP Holder or OTP Firm that provides an ADV of electronic posted executions for the account of a market maker in Penny Pilot issues on NYSE Arca Options (excluding mini options) of at least 90,000 contracts.").

Customer equity and ETF option ADV, as reported by OCC.

The Exchange does not propose any other changes to the fees and credits currently applicable to Cross Asset Tier 2.

The proposed changes are not otherwise intended to address any other issues, and the Exchange is not aware of any significant problems that market participants would have in complying with the proposed changes.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,<sup>7</sup> in general, and furthers the objectives of Sections 6(b)(4) and (5) of the Act,<sup>8</sup> in particular, because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its members, issuers and other persons using its facilities and does not unfairly discriminate between customers, issuers, brokers or dealers. In addition, the Exchange believes the proposal is consistent with the requirement under Section 6(b)(5)<sup>9</sup> that an exchange have rules that are designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to, and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Exchange believes the proposal to amend Cross Asset Tier 2 to replace the current fixed benchmark needed to be eligible for the tier with a variable benchmark based on a percentage of volume is reasonable because it would make the eligibility requirement consistent with the Exchange's other variable eligibility requirements that also are based on percentage of volume. In addition, the Exchange believes that expanding the basis for the Cross-Asset Tier 2 to include all Customer equity and ETF options ADV would better reflect the correlation between options trading and the underlying securities, which trade at the Exchange, including ETFs. In this respect, the Exchange notes that Equity and ETF Customer volume is a widely followed benchmark of industry volume and is indicative of industry market share.<sup>10</sup> The Exchange further believes that the proposed amendment is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it would be available to all similarly situated ETP Holders and Market

Makers on an equal basis and would provide credits that are reasonably related to the value of an exchange's market quality associated with higher volumes.

The Exchange believes that the proposal to amend Cross Asset Tier 2 is reasonable because it provides ETP Holders and Market Makers affiliated with an NYSE Arca Options OTP Holder or OTP Firm with an additional way to qualify for the Cross Asset Tier 2 rebates through equity and option orders. The Exchange believes that the proposed alternative to qualify for the tier utilizing a higher equity volume requirement (0.40%) and a lower options volume requirement (0.65%) is reasonable because the proposal provides firms with greater flexibility to reach volume tiers across asset classes, thereby creating an added incentive for ETP Holders to bring additional order flow to a public market.

The Exchange believes that the proposal is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all ETP Holders would be subject to the same fee structure and be offered the same alternative to qualifying for the Cross-Asset Tier 2 credit. Moreover, the Cross-Asset Tier 2 credit is available for all ETP Holders to satisfy, except for those ETP Holders that are not affiliated with an NYSE Arca Options OTP Holder or OTP Firm. ETP Holders that are not affiliated with an NYSE Arca Options OTP Holder or OTP Firm are still eligible for fees and credits by means other than the Cross Asset Tier. NASDAQ similarly charges certain fees based on both equity and options volume.<sup>11</sup>

Further, the Exchange believes that the proposal is reasonable and would continue to directly relate to the activity of an ETP Holder and the activity of an affiliated OTP Holder or OTP Firm on NYSE Arca Options, thereby encouraging increased trading activity on both the NYSE Arca equity and option markets. In this regard, the proposal is designed to bring additional posted order flow to NYSE Arca Options, so as to provide additional opportunities for all OTP Holders and OTP Firms to trade on NYSE Arca Options. Furthermore, similar to the revised Cross Asset Tier, the NYSE Arca Options Fee Schedule includes a credit for OTP Holders and OTP Firms that is based on both equity and options volume.

The Exchange believes that deleting the phrase "(including all account types)" in current clause (b) of the Fee Schedule consistent with the filing

<sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>8</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>10</sup> See note 5, *supra*.

<sup>11</sup> See NASDAQ Rule 7018.

adopting the Cross Asset Tier 2<sup>12</sup> removes impediments to and perfects the mechanism of a free and open market by reducing potential confusion that may result from having extraneous material in the Exchange's rulebook, thereby adding transparency and clarity to the Exchange's rules. The Exchange also believes that eliminating this extraneous material would not be inconsistent with the public interest and the protection of investors because investors will not be harmed and in fact would benefit from increased transparency, thereby reducing potential confusion. The Exchange also believes that moving the phrase "for the account of a market maker" from the end of current clause (b) to after "electronic posted executions" removes impediments to and perfects the mechanism of a free and open market by adding clarity to the Exchange's rules. The Exchange believes its proposal to amend the text of the Fee Schedule to clarify the applicability of the Cross Asset Tier 2 is both reasonable and equitable because ETP Holders and Market Makers would benefit from clear guidance in the rule text describing the manner in which the Exchange would assess Cross Asset Tier 2 fees and rebates.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is subject to significant competitive forces, as described below in the Exchange's statement regarding the burden on competition.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with the Act.

#### *B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition*

In accordance with Section 6(b)(8) of the Act,<sup>13</sup> the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Instead, the Exchange believes that the proposed change would encourage the submission of additional liquidity to a public exchange, thereby promoting price discovery and transparency and enhancing order execution opportunities for ETP Holders and Market Makers. The Exchange believes that this could promote competition between the Exchange and other execution venues, including those that currently offer similar order types and comparable transaction pricing, by encouraging additional orders to be sent to the Exchange for execution.

Further, the proposal to amend the requirements to qualify for Cross Asset Tier 2 and add another way to qualify for the Cross-Asset Tier 2 credits will not place an undue burden on competition because the tier would remain available for all ETP Holders to satisfy except those ETP Holders that are not affiliated with an NYSE Arca Options OTP Holder or OTP Firm. ETP Holders that are not affiliated with an NYSE Arca Options OTP Holder or OTP Firm are eligible for fees and credits by other means than the Cross Asset Tier 2. ETP Holders would be subject to the same fee structure and be offered the same alternatives to qualifying for the Cross-Asset Tier 2 credit.

The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually review, and consider adjusting, its fees and credits to remain competitive with other exchanges.

Finally, the Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily favor competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or rebate opportunities available at other venues to be more favorable. In such an environment, the Exchange must continually adjust its fees and rebates to remain competitive with other exchanges and with alternative trading systems that have been exempted from compliance with the statutory standards applicable to exchanges. Because competitors are free to modify their own fees and credits in response, and because market participants may readily adjust their order routing practices, the Exchange believes that the degree to which fee changes in this market may impose any burden on competition is extremely limited. As a result of all of these considerations, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes will impair the ability of ETP Holders or competing order execution venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

#### *C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others*

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

#### **III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

The foregoing rule change is effective upon filing pursuant to Section

19(b)(3)(A)<sup>14</sup> of the Act and subparagraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4<sup>15</sup> thereunder, because it establishes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings under Section 19(b)(2)(B)<sup>16</sup> of the Act to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

#### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### *Electronic Comments*

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-NYSEARCA-2016-22 on the subject line.

##### *Paper Comments*

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.
- All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEARCA-2016-22. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be

<sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>15</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

<sup>16</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2)(B).

<sup>12</sup> See note 6, *supra*.

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(8).

available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NYSEARCA-2016-22 and should be submitted on or before February 25, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>17</sup>

**Robert W. Errett,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-02063 Filed 2-3-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### Sunshine Act Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Public Law 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold an Open Meeting on Monday, February 8, 2016, at 1:00 p.m., in the Auditorium (L-002) at the Commission's headquarters building, to hear oral argument in an appeal from an initial decision of an administrative law judge by the Respondent, Bernerd Young ("Young"), former chief compliance officer of Stanford Group Company ("SGC"). The law judge found that Young was a cause of violations by SGC of the antifraud provisions of Section 206(2) of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 through false and misleading statements and omissions in marketing materials for "certificates of deposit" issued by Stanford International Bank Ltd., an affiliate of SGC. In addition, the law judge found that Young violated Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder in connection with statements designed to "attack" concerns raised about the certificates of deposit and to "forestall redemptions and continue sales." The law judge further found that Young aided and abetted and caused violations of Exchange Act Section 10(b) and Rule

10b-5, Exchange Act Section 15(c)(1), and Advisers Act Sections 206(1) and (2) in connection with these misrepresentations and omissions.

Based on her findings, the law judge issued a cease-and-desist order against Young; barred him from associating with a broker, dealer, investment adviser, municipal securities dealer, municipal advisor, transfer agent, or nationally recognized statistical rating organization; and prohibited him from serving or acting in certain capacities with respect to an investment company. The law judge also ordered Young to pay \$591,992.46 in disgorgement, with prejudgment interest, and assessed a third-tier civil penalty of \$260,000.

Young appealed the law judge's findings of violation and the sanctions imposed. The issues likely to be considered at oral argument include, among other things, whether Young violated the antifraud provisions as alleged and, if so, the extent to which he should be sanctioned for those violations.

For further information, please contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 551-5400.

Dated: February 1, 2016.

**Robert W. Errett,**  
*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2016-02221 Filed 2-2-16; 11:15 am]

**BILLING CODE 8011-01-P**

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-76999; File No. SR-MSRB-2016-01]

### Self-Regulatory Organizations; Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Consisting of Proposed Amendments to Rule A-3, on Membership on the Board

January 29, 2016.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act" or "Act")<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on January 15, 2016, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the "MSRB" or "Board") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the MSRB. The Commission is publishing this notice to

solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The MSRB filed with the Commission a proposed rule change consisting of proposed amendments to Rule A-3, on membership on the Board, to lengthen the term of Board member service, change the number and size of Board classes, limit the number of consecutive terms a Board member can serve, eliminate the requirement that there be at least one municipal advisor representative per class that is not associated with a dealer ("non-dealer municipal advisor"), delete an obsolete transition provision and provide a technical update to the name of a Board committee (collectively, the "proposed rule change"). The MSRB requests that the proposed rule change be effective on the date of Commission approval.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the MSRB's Web site at [www.msrb.org/Rules-and-Interpretations/SEC-Filings/2016-Filings.aspx](http://www.msrb.org/Rules-and-Interpretations/SEC-Filings/2016-Filings.aspx), at the MSRB's principal office, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the MSRB included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The MSRB has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

#### A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

##### 1. Purpose

The MSRB is the self-regulatory organization ("SRO") created by Congress to establish regulation for the \$3.7 trillion municipal securities market, including rules governing the municipal securities activities of dealers and the municipal advisory activities of municipal advisors. The MSRB's mission is to protect municipal entities, obligated persons, investors and the public interest, and to promote a fair and efficient municipal securities market. The Board is comprised of 21

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>17</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).