

- **Federal Register** notices regarding current or pending tolerances.
- Risk assessments.
- Bibliographies concerning current registrations.
- Summaries of incident data.
- Any other pertinent data or information.

Each docket contains a document summarizing what the Agency currently knows about the pesticide case and a preliminary work plan for anticipated data and assessment needs. Additional documents provide more detailed information. During this public comment period, the Agency is asking that interested persons identify any additional information they believe the Agency should consider during the registration review of these pesticides. The Agency identifies in each docket the areas where public comment is specifically requested, though comment in any area is welcome.

2. *Other related information.* More information on these cases, including the active ingredients for each case, may be located in the registration review schedule on the Agency’s Web site at [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\\_review/schedule.htm](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration_review/schedule.htm). Information on the Agency’s registration review program and its implementing regulation may be seen at [http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration\\_](http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/registration_review)

3. *Information submission requirements.* Anyone may submit data or information in response to this document. To be considered during a pesticide’s registration review, the submitted data or information must meet the following requirements:

- To ensure that EPA will consider data or information submitted, interested persons must submit the data or information during the comment period. The Agency may, at its discretion, consider data or information submitted at a later date.
- The data or information submitted must be presented in a legible and useable form. For example, an English translation must accompany any material that is not in English, and a written transcript must accompany any information submitted as an audiographic or videographic record. Written material may be submitted in paper or electronic form.
- Submitters must clearly identify the source of any submitted data or information.
- Submitters may request the Agency to reconsider data or information that the Agency rejected in a previous review. However, submitters must explain why they believe the Agency should reconsider the data or

information in the pesticide’s registration review.

As provided in 40 CFR 155.58, the registration review docket for each pesticide case will remain publicly accessible through the duration of the registration review process; that is, until all actions required in the final decision on the registration review case have been completed.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*

Dated: December 24, 2015.

**Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,**  
*Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division,*  
*Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2016–00184 Filed 1–8–16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL–9941–22–Region 6]

**Adequacy Status of the Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas Reasonable Further Progress 8-Hour Ozone Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets for Transportation Conformity Purposes**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is notifying the public that it has found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets (MVEBs) in the Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas (DFW) Reasonable Further Progress (RFP) State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision, submitted on July 10, 2015 by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) are adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of EPA’s finding, the DFW area must use these budgets for future conformity determinations.

**DATES:** These budgets are effective January 26, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** The essential information in this notice will be available at EPA’s conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>. You may also contact Mr. Jeffrey Riley, Air Planning Section (6PD–L), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202–2733, telephone (214) 665–8542, Email address: [Riley.Jeffrey@epa.gov](mailto:Riley.Jeffrey@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Throughout this document “we,” “us,” and “our” refers to EPA. The word “budget(s)” refers to the mobile source emissions budget for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and the mobile source emissions budget for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>).

On July 10, 2015, we received a SIP revision from the TCEQ. This revision consisted of an RFP SIP for the DFW ozone nonattainment area. This submission established MVEBs for the DFW area for the year 2017. The MVEB is the amount of emissions allowed in the state implementation plan for on-road motor vehicles; it establishes an emissions ceiling for the regional transportation network. The MVEBs are provided in Table 1:

**TABLE 1—DALLAS-FORT WORTH REASONABLE FURTHER PROGRESS NO<sub>x</sub> AND VOC MVEBS**

[Summer season tons per day]	
	2017
NO <sub>x</sub> .....	148.36
VOC .....	77.18

On August 25, 2015, EPA posted the availability of the DFW area MVEBs on EPA’s Web site for the purpose of soliciting public comments, as part of the adequacy process. The comment period closed on September 24, 2015, and we received no comments.

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that EPA has already made. EPA Region 6 sent a letter to TCEQ on December 10, 2015, finding that the MVEBs in the DFW RFP SIP, submitted on July 10, 2015 are adequate and must be used for transportation conformity determinations in the DFW area. This finding has also been announced on EPA’s conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/stateresources/transconf/adequacy.htm>.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA’s conformity rule, 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 93, requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do so. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which EPA determines whether a SIP’s MVEB is adequate for transportation conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). We have also described the process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in our July 1, 2004, final rulemaking entitled, “Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments for the New 8-hour Ozone and PM<sub>2.5</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standards and Miscellaneous Revisions for Existing

Areas; Transportation Conformity Rule Amendments: Response to Court Decision and Additional Rule Changes” (69 FR 40004). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and it should not be used to prejudge EPA’s ultimate approval of the DFW RFP SIP revision submittal. Even if EPA finds the budgets adequate, the DFW RFP SIP revision submittal could later be disapproved.

Within 24 months from the effective date of this notice, the DFW-area transportation partners, such as the North Central Texas Council of Governments, will need to demonstrate conformity to the new MVEBs if the demonstration has not already been made, pursuant to 40 CFR 93.104(e). See, 73 FR 4419 (January 24, 2008).

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: December 29, 2015.

**Samuel Coleman,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 6.*

[FR Doc. 2016-00339 Filed 1-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 9941-18-Region 2]

### Proposed CERCLA Section 122(g)(4) Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order on Consent for the Mercury Refining Superfund Site, Towns of Guilderland and Colonie, Albany County, New York

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice; request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), notice is hereby given by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), Region 2, of a proposed *de minimis* administrative settlement agreement and order on consent pursuant to Section 122(g)(4) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(4). The settlement agreement also includes settlement of claims under the Federal Priority Statute, 31 U.S.C. 3713 (“FPS”), and the Federal Debt Collection Procedures Act, 28 U.S.C. 3301, *et seq.* (“FDCPA”) under the authority of the Attorney General of the United States to compromise and settle claims of the United States. The settlement is between EPA, Yates Foil USA, Inc., and Craig Yates pertaining to the Mercury

Refining Superfund Site (“Site”) located in the Towns of Guilderland and Colonie, Albany County, New York. The settlement requires Yates Foil USA, Inc. and Craig Yates to pay \$275,000 to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund in reimbursement of response costs incurred by the EPA at the Site. The settlement includes a covenant not to sue pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607, relating to the Site, the FPS, 31 U.S.C. 3713, and the FDCPA, 28 U.S.C. 3301 *et seq.*, subject to standard reservations, and protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Sections 113(f)(2) and 122(g)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9613(f)(2) and 9622(g)(5). For thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, EPA will receive written comments relating to the settlement. EPA will consider all comments received and may modify or withdraw its consent to the settlement if comments received disclose facts or considerations that indicate that the proposed settlement is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. EPA’s response to any comments received will be available for public inspection at EPA Region II, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before February 10, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** The proposed settlement is available for public inspection at EPA Region 2 offices at 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. Comments should be sent to the individual identified below and should reference the Mercury Refining Superfund Site, Index No. CERCLA-02-2015-2020. To request a copy of the proposed settlement agreement, please contact the individual identified below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sharon E. Kivowitz, Assistant Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 17th Floor, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. Telephone: 212-637-3183. E-Mail: [kivowitz.sharon@epa.gov](mailto:kivowitz.sharon@epa.gov).

Dated: December 30, 2015.

**Walter Mugdan,**

*Director, Emergency and Remedial Response Division, EPA, Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 2016-00338 Filed 1-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## EXPORT-IMPORT BANK OF THE UNITED STATES

### Notice of Open Meeting of the Advisory Committee of the Export-Import Bank of the United States (Ex-Im Bank)

**SUMMARY:** The Advisory Committee was established by Public Law 98-181, November 30, 1983, to advise the Export-Import Bank on its programs and to provide comments for inclusion in the report on competitiveness of the Export-Import Bank of the United States to Congress.

**Time and Place:** Wednesday, January 20, 2016 from 9:30 a.m. until 3:30 p.m. A break for lunch will be at the expense of the attendee. Security processing will be necessary for reentry into the building. The meeting will be held at Ex-Im Bank in the Main Conference Room—11th Floor, 811 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20571.

**Agenda:** Agenda items include updates for the Advisory Committee members regarding: 2015 Reauthorization Law, EXIMs business and pipeline, and EXIMs report on competitiveness to Congress.

**Public Participation:** The meeting will be open to public participation, and 10 minutes will be set aside for oral questions or comments. Members of the public may also file written statement(s) before or after the meeting. If you plan to attend, a photo ID must be presented at the guard’s desk as part of the clearance process into the building, you may contact Tia Pitt at [tia.pitt@exim.gov](mailto:tia.pitt@exim.gov) placed on an attendee list. If any person wishes auxiliary aids (such as a sign language interpreter) or other special accommodations, please email Tia Pitt at [tia.pitt@exim.gov](mailto:tia.pitt@exim.gov) by January 14, 2016.

**Members of the Press:** For members of the Press planning to attend the meeting, a photo ID must be presented at the guard’s desk as part of the clearance process into the building please email Niki Shepperd at [niki.shepperd@exim.gov](mailto:niki.shepperd@exim.gov) to be placed on an attendee list.

**Further Information:** For further information, contact Tia Pitt, 811 Vermont Ave. NW., Washington, DC 20571, at [tia.pitt@exim.gov](mailto:tia.pitt@exim.gov).

**Lloyd Ellis,**

*Program Specialist, Office of the General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2016-00281 Filed 1-8-16; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6690-01-P**