

participant activity in NOM also support price discovery and liquidity provision in the Nasdaq Market Center. Further, the pricing incentives require significant levels of liquidity provision, which benefits all market participants on NOM and the Nasdaq Market Center.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act.¹⁶

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-NASDAQ-2015-153 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2015-153. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your

comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-NASDAQ-2015-153, and should be submitted on or before January 19, 2016.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁷

Brent J. Fields,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-32653 Filed 12-28-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No SSA-2015-0076]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Request and Comment Request

The Social Security Administration (SSA) publishes a list of information collection packages requiring clearance by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) in compliance with Public Law 104-13, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, effective October 1, 1995. This notice includes revisions of OMB-approved information collections.

SSA is soliciting comments on the accuracy of the agency's burden

estimate; the need for the information; its practical utility; ways to enhance its quality, utility, and clarity; and ways to minimize burden on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Mail, email, or fax your comments and recommendations on the information collection(s) to the OMB Desk Officer and SSA Reports Clearance Officer at the following addresses or fax numbers.

(OMB)

Office of Management and Budget,
Attn: Desk Officer for SSA,

Fax: 202-395-6974,

Email address: OIRA_Submission@omb.eop.gov.

(SSA)

Social Security Administration, OLCA,
Attn: Reports Clearance Director,

3100 West High Rise,

6401 Security Blvd.,

Baltimore, MD 21235,

Fax: 410-966-2830,

Email address: OR.Reports.Clearance@ssa.gov.

Or you may submit your comments online through www.regulations.gov, referencing Docket ID Number [SSA-2015-0076].

I. The information collection below is pending at SSA. SSA will submit it to OMB within 60 days from the date of this notice. To be sure we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than February 29, 2016.

Individuals can obtain copies of the collection instrument by writing to the above email address.

Statement for Determining Continuing Eligibility for Supplemental Security Income Payment—20 CFR 416.204—0960-0145

SSA uses Form SSA-8202-BK to conduct low-and middle-error-profile telephone or face-to-face redetermination interviews with Supplemental Security Income (SSI) recipients and representative payees. The information SSA collects during the interview is necessary to determine whether SSI recipients met and continue to meet all statutory and regulatory requirements for SSI eligibility, and whether they received, and still receive the correct payment amount.

Type of Request: Revision of an OMB-approved information collection.

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Modality of completion	Number of responses	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
SSA-8202-BK	10,307	1	21	3,607
MSSICS	2,289,599	1	20	763,200
Totals	2,299,906	766,807

II. SSA submitted the information collection below to OMB for clearance. Your comments regarding the information collection would be most useful if OMB and SSA receive them 30 days from the date of this publication. To be sure we consider your comments, we must receive them no later than January 28, 2016. Individuals can obtain copies of the OMB clearance package by writing to *OR.Reports.Clearance@ssa.gov*.

Promoting Readiness of Minors in SSI (PROMISE) Evaluation—0960-0799

Background

The Promoting Readiness of Minors in SSI (PROMISE) demonstration pursues positive outcomes for children with disabilities who receive SSI and their families by reducing dependency on SSI. The Department of Education (ED) awarded six cooperative agreements to states to improve the provision and coordination of services and support for children with disabilities who receive SSI and their families to achieve improved education and employment outcomes. ED awarded PROMISE funds to five single-state projects, and to one six-state consortium.¹ With support from ED, the Department of Labor (DOL), and the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), SSA is evaluating the six PROMISE projects. SSA contracted with Mathematica Policy Research to conduct the evaluation. Under PROMISE, targeted outcomes for youth include an enhanced sense of self-determination; achievement of secondary and post-secondary educational credentials; an attainment of early work experiences culminating with competitive employment in an integrated setting; and long-term reduction in reliance on SSI. Outcomes of interest for families include heightened expectations for and support of the long-term self-sufficiency of their youth; parent or guardian attainment of education and training credentials; and increases in earnings and total income. To achieve these outcomes, we expect the PROMISE projects to make better use of existing resources by improving service

coordination among multiple state and local agencies and programs.

ED, SSA, DOL, and HHS intend the PROMISE projects to address key limitations in the existing service system for youth with disabilities. By intervening early in the lives of these young people, at ages 14–16, the projects engage the youth and their families well before critical decisions regarding the age 18 redetermination are upon them. We expect the required partnerships among the various state and Federal agencies that serve youth with disabilities to result in improved integration of services and fewer dropped handoffs as youth move from one agency to another. By requiring the programs to engage and serve families and provide youth with paid work experiences, the initiative is mandating the adoption of critical best practices in promoting the independence of youth with disabilities.

Project Description

SSA is requesting clearance for the collection of data needed to implement and evaluate PROMISE. The evaluation provides empirical evidence on the impact of the intervention for youth and their families in several critical areas, including: (1) Improved educational attainment; (2) increased employment skills, experience, and earnings; and (3) long-term reduction in use of public benefits. We base the PROMISE evaluation on a rigorous design that entails the random assignment of approximately 2,000 youth in each of the six projects to treatment or control groups (12,000 total). The PROMISE projects provide enhanced services for youth in the treatment groups; whereas youth in the control groups are eligible only for those services already available in their communities independent of the interventions.

The evaluation assesses the effect of PROMISE services on educational attainment, employment, earnings, and reduced receipt of disability payments. The three components of this evaluation include:

- The process analysis, which documents program models, assesses

the relationships among the partner organizations, documents whether the grantees implemented the programs as planned, identifies features of the programs that may account for their impacts on youth and families, and identifies lessons for future programs with similar objectives.

- The impact analysis, which determines whether youth and families in the treatment groups receive more services than their counterparts in the control groups. It also determines whether treatment group members have better results than control group members with respect to the targeted outcomes noted above.

- The cost-benefit analysis, which assesses whether the benefits of PROMISE, including increases in employment and reductions in benefit receipt, are large enough to justify its costs. We conduct this assessment from a range of perspectives, including those of the participants, state and Federal governments, SSA, and society as a whole.

SSA planned several data collection efforts for the evaluation. These include: (1) Follow-up interviews with youth and their parent or guardian 18 months and 5 years after enrollment; (2) phone and in-person interviews with local program administrators, program supervisors, and service delivery staff at two points in time over the course of the demonstration; (3) two rounds of focus groups with participating youth in the treatment group; (4) two rounds of focus groups with parents or guardians of participating youth; (5) staff activity logs which provide data on aspects of service delivery; and (6) collection of administrative data. At this time, SSA requests clearance for the staff activity logs. SSA will request clearance for the 5-year survey interviews in a future submission. The respondents are the administrative and direct service staff, as well as some subcontractors whose primary roles with their organizations involve PROMISE service delivery.

Type of Request: Revision to an OMB-approved information collection.

Time Burden on Respondents

¹ The six-state consortium project goes by the name Achieving Success by Promoting Readiness

for Education and Employment (ASPIRE) rather than by PROMISE.

2014—INTERVIEWS AND FOCUS GROUP DISCUSSIONS

Modality of completion	Number of responses	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
Staff Interviews with Administrators or Directors	24	1	66	26
Staff Interviews with PROMISE Project Staff	48	1	66	53
Youth Focus Groups—Non-participants	100	1	5	8
Youth Focus Groups—Participants	20	1	100	33
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Non-participants	100	1	5	8
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Participants	20	1	100	33
Totals	312	161

2015—INTERVIEWS AND FOCUS GROUP DISCUSSIONS, AND 18-MONTH SURVEY INTERVIEWS

Modality of completion	Number of responses	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
Staff Interviews with Administrators or Directors	51	1	66	56
Staff Interviews with PROMISE Project Staff	97	1	66	107
Youth Focus Groups—Non-participants	220	1	5	18
Youth Focus Groups—Participants	60	1	100	100
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Non-participants	220	1	5	18
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Participants	60	1	100	100
18 Month Survey Interviews—Parent	850	1	41	595
18 Month Survey Interviews—Youth	850	1	30	425
Totals	2,408	1,405

2016—INTERVIEWS AND FOCUS GROUP DISCUSSIONS, STAFF ACTIVITY LOGS, AND 18 MONTH SURVEY INTERVIEWS

Modality of completion	Number of responses	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
Staff Interviews with Administrators or Directors	75	1	66	83
Staff Interviews with PROMISE Project Staff	145	1	66	160
Activity Logs for Administrators or Directors	45	14	5	52
Activity Logs for PROMISE Project Staff	160	14	5	187
Youth Focus Groups—Non-participants	320	1	5	27
Youth Focus Groups—Participants	80	1	100	133
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Non-participants	320	1	5	27
Parents or Guardian Focus Groups—Participants	80	1	100	133
18 Month Survey Interviews—Parent	5,100	1	41	3,485
18 Month Survey Interviews—Youth	5,100	1	30	2,550
Totals	11,425	6,837

2017—18 MONTH SURVEY INTERVIEWS

Modality of completion	Number of responses	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
18 Month Survey Interviews—Parent	4,250	1	41	2,904
18 Month Survey Interviews—Youth	4,250	1	30	2,125
Totals	8,500	5,029

GRAND TOTAL

Modality of completion	Number of responses	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)
Grand Total	22,645	13,432

2014—ANNUAL COST TO RESPONDENTS

Respondent type	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Median hourly wage rate (dollars)	Total respondent cost (dollars)
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Non-Participants	100	1	5	7.38	61.00
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Participants	20	1	100	7.38	246.00
Total	120	307.00

2015—ANNUAL COST TO RESPONDENTS

Respondent type	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Median hourly wage rate (dollars)	Total respondent cost (dollars)
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Non-Participants	220	1	5	7.38	135.00
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Participants	60	1	100	7.38	738.00
Total	280	873.00

2016—ANNUAL COST TO RESPONDENTS

Respondent type	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Median hourly wage rate (dollars)	Total respondent cost (dollars)
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Non-Participants	320	1	5	7.38	196.00
Parent or Guardian Focus Group—Participants	80	1	100	7.38	984.00
Total	400	1,180.00

GRAND TOTAL

Respondent type	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Median hourly wage rate (dollars)	Total respondent cost (dollars)
Grand Total	800	2,360.00

Dated: December 22, 2015.

Naomi R. Sipple,

Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 2015-32643 Filed 12-28-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 9394]

Notice of Public Meeting

The Department of State will conduct an open meeting at 10:30 a.m. on Monday, January 25, 2016, at the headquarters of the Radio Technical Commission for Maritime Services (RTCM) in Suite 605, 1611 N. Kent Street, Arlington, Virginia 22209. The primary purpose of the meeting is to prepare for the fortieth Session of the

International Maritime Organization’s (IMO) Facilitation Committee to be held at the IMO Headquarters, United Kingdom, April 4–8, 2016. This meeting is the first of two public meetings and is being held to solicit public comment on the cyber-related agenda items.

The agenda items to be considered include:

- Decisions of other IMO bodies
- Consideration and adoption of proposed amendments to the Convention
- Comprehensive review of the FAL Convention
- Requirements for access to, or electronic versions of, certificates and documents, including record books required to be carried on ships
- Guidelines on the facilitation aspects of protecting the maritime transport network from cyberthreats

- Technical cooperation activities related to facilitation of maritime traffic
- Relations with other organizations
- Application of the Committee’s Guidelines
- Work programme
- Any other business

To better understand all aspects of the maritime industry’s use of cyber systems, we are seeking specific input on the following questions:

- How has the maritime industry’s increased reliance on digital information increased cyber risks associated with trade-related information (general declaration, cargo declaration, ship’s stores declaration, crew’s effects declaration, crew list, passenger list, dangerous goods manifest, universal postal convention, and maritime declaration of health)?