

able to fully describe the methods used to recruit, select and train adult supervisors for the survey separately from the methods used to recruit, select, and train youth inspectors.

Content Changes

The content of the Synar Report has changed little. The content changes that have been made address the need to (1) clarify the intent of information requested via the addition of clarifying questions, (2) reduce the need for State Project Officers to ask additional questions to supplement the originally submitted Report. These additions and changes are essential to SAMHSA’s ability to adequately assess state and jurisdictional compliance with the Synar regulation.

In Section I (Compliance Progress), the following changes are being made with respect to the Annual Synar Report:

Question 6: Changes to the sampling methodology—This question asks states if their sampling methodology has changed from the previous year. If there has been a change, a sub-question has been added to document how that change was communicated to SAMHSA. Since this change requires prior approval, a state who has not received prior approval will have the opportunity to discuss the process that was used to determine a change needed to be made.

Question 9: Changes to the inspection protocol—This question asks states if their inspection protocol has changed from the previous year. If there has been a change, a sub-question has been added to document how that change was communicated to SAMHSA. Since this change requires prior approval, a state who has not received prior approval will have the opportunity to discuss the process that was used to determine a change needed to be made. Existing questions 9a, 9b, and 9c have been

renumbered to account for this new sub-question.

In Appendix B (Synar Survey Sampling Methodology), the following changes are being made:

Question 4—Vending machine inclusion in Synar Survey—This question, which asks if vending machines are included in the Synar survey and the reasons for their elimination if they are not included. Because many states have a contract with the FDA and is actively enforcing the vending machine requirements of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, some states that include vending machines in their sampling protocols do not sample any because there are few eligible vending machines remaining on their list frame. A second part has been added to this question to determine how vending machines are sampled.

There are no changes to Forms 1–5 or Appendix D.

ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

45 CFR Citation	Number of respondents ¹	Responses per respondents	Total number of responses	Hours per response	Total hour burden
Annual Report (Section 1—States and Territories) 96.130(e)(1–3)	59	1	59	15	885
State Plan (Section II—States and Territories) 96.130(e)(4,5) 96.130(g)	59	1	59	3	177
Total	59	1,062

¹ Red Lake Indian Tribe is not subject to tobacco requirements.

Send comments to Summer King, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 2–1057, One Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, MD 20857 OR email a copy to summer.king@samhsa.hhs.gov. Written comments should be received by February 26, 2016.

Summer King,
Statistician.

[FR Doc. 2015–32558 Filed 12–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 concerning opportunity for public comment on proposed collections of information, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health

Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish periodic summaries of proposed projects. To request more information on the proposed projects or to obtain a copy of the information collection plans, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Proposed Project: Now Is the Time (NITT)—Minority Fellowship Program (MFP) Evaluation—New

SAMHSA is conducting a national evaluation of the Now is the Time (NITT) initiative, which includes separate programs—the Minority Fellowship Program-Youth (MFP–Y), the Minority Fellowship Program-Addiction Counselors (MFP–AC), Project AWARE (Advancing Wellness and Resilience in Education)-State Educational Agency, and Healthy Transitions. These programs are united by their focus on capacity building, system change, and workforce development.

The NITT–MFP (Youth and Addiction Counselors) programs, which are the focus of this data collection, represent a response to the fourth component of President Obama’s NITT Initiative: increasing access to mental health/behavioral health services. The purpose of the NITT–MFP programs is to improve behavioral health care outcomes for underserved racially and ethnically diverse populations by

increasing the number of culturally competent master's level behavioral health professionals and addiction counselors serving children, adolescents, and populations in transition to adulthood (ages 16–25) in an effort to increase access to, and quality of, behavioral health care for these age groups. The NITT–MFP—Youth program funded five grantees to each support up to 48 master's level fellows per year committed to addressing the behavioral health needs of at risk children, adolescents, and transition-age youth (ages 16–25). The NITT–MFP—Addiction Counselors program funded two grantees to each support up to 30 master's level fellows per year in their final year of addiction counseling university programs, with a focus on providing culturally sensitive addiction counseling to underserved youth in the 16–25 age group.

The NITT–MFP evaluation is designed to assess the level of success of the grantees in meeting the programs' goals and identify the factors that contribute to differences among grantees in levels of success. The evaluation includes both process and outcome evaluation components and will be

supported by the data collection efforts described below. The information to be collected is necessary to (a) assess the effectiveness of the grantees' program recruitment strategies, (b) describe the services that the programs offer, and (c) assess whether NITT–MFP is meeting its goal of increasing the skilled workforce by increasing the number of behavioral health providers and addiction counselors providing services to underserved children, adolescents, and transition-age youth, particularly among racially/ethnically diverse populations.

About 4 to 5 months after completion of their fellowship, a subset of fellow alumni will be asked to participate in the *NITT–MFP Fellow Interview*. These telephone interviews will collect detailed qualitative information on fellows' experiences that are not possible to collect in a survey. The interview is timed to collect fellows' impressions of their fellowship experiences before too much time has passed, as well as their initial labor market outcomes. The information collected will be used to assess the NITT–MFP program factors associated with employment and other post-fellowship outcomes. The interviewees

will be asked to describe (1) their program, how they learned about it, and what led them to apply; (2) the effects of the program on their interest in working with at risk children, adolescents, and transition age youth from racially and ethnically diverse backgrounds (and for MFP–AC fellows, in the area of addiction counseling); (3) whether the program improved their understanding of and ability to provide culturally competent services; (4) whether they completed their fellowship and the effects of the stipend on their education and career; (5) their current employment setting, and, if in behavior health services, the characteristics of their client population; (6) the role that their fellowship played in their job interests and job search; and (7) their satisfaction with the fellowship program and assessment of its impact on their career and professional activities. A maximum of 66 fellow alumni are expected to complete the *NITT–MFP Fellow Interview* per year; respondents will complete the telephone interview one time.

ANNUALIZED BURDEN HOURS

Instrument	Number of respondents	Responses per respondent	Total number of responses	Hours per response	Total burden hours
NITT–MFP Fellow Interview	66	1	66	1	66

Send comments to Summer King, SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer, Room 2–1057, One Choke Cherry Road, Rockville, MD 20857 OR email her a copy at summer.king@samhsa.hhs.gov. Written comments should be received by February 26, 2016.

Summer King,
Statistician.

[FR Doc. 2015–32559 Filed 12–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162–20–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Periodically, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) will publish a summary of information collection requests under OMB review, in compliance with the

Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). To request a copy of these documents, call the SAMHSA Reports Clearance Officer on (240) 276–1243.

Project: Violence Intervention To Enrich Lives (VITEL) Supplement—NEW

This data collection is to study the intersection of intimate partner violence (IPV) and trauma for women with HIV, at risk for HIV, and at risk for substance use disorders (SUDs) VITEL provides supplemental funding to existing SAMHSA Targeted Capacity Expansion: Substance Abuse Treatment for Racial/Ethnic Minority Women at High Risk for HIV/AIDS (TCE–HIV: Minority Women) grantees. These activities will be conducted with five grantees and include: (1) Administration of baseline, discharge and 6-month post-baseline surveys of clients receiving IPV screening and referral services, (2) focus groups with clients receiving IPV and SUD services, (3) documentation of IPV service and other referral service(s) engagement, and (4) semi-structured

interviews with VITEL program staff and partner/collaborating staff supporting IPV services.

The goals of the VITEL program are (1) reduce IPV through screening and referrals, (2) reduce risky behaviors that lead to new HIV infections and SUDs, (3) increase access to care and improve health outcomes for people living with HIV and AIDS, (4) reduce HIV-related health disparities resultant from IPV screening tool implementation, and (5) determine the feasibility of integrating IPV screening in behavioral health settings. A multi-stage approach has been used to develop the appropriate theoretical framework, conceptual model, evaluation design and protocols, and data collection instrumentation. Process and outcome measures have been developed to fully capture community and contextual conditions, the scope of the VITEL program implementation and activities, and client outcomes. A mixed-method approach (e.g., surveys, semi-structured interviews, focus groups) will be used, for example, to examine collaborative