

# Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 80, No. 245

Tuesday, December 22, 2015

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

---

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Research Service

#### Notice of Intent To Seek OMB Approval To Collect Information: Forms Pertaining to the Peer Preview of ARS Research Projects

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Research Service (ARS), USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** The proposed information collection requirement described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 and OMB implementing regulations. The Department is soliciting public comments on the subject proposal.

**DATES:** Written comments on this notice must be received by February 22, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Address all comments concerning this notice to: Michael S. Strauss, Peer Review Program Coordinator, Office of Scientific Quality Review (OSQR); Agricultural Research Agency, USDA; 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Beltsville, Maryland 20705; Phone: 301-504-3283; Fax: 301-504-1251.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Michael S. Strauss, 301-504-3283.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The OSQR will seek approval from OMB to update six existing forms and one new form that will allow the ARS to efficiently manage data associated with the peer review of agricultural research. All forms are transferred and received in an electronic storage format that does not include online access.

**Abstract:** The OSQR was established in September of 1999 as a result of the Agricultural Research, Extension, and Education Reform Act 1998 ("The Act") (Pub. L. 105-185). The Act included mandates to perform scientific peer

reviews of all research activities conducted by the USDA. The Office manages the ARS peer review system by centrally planning peer panel reviews for ARS research projects on a 5-year cycle.

Each set of reviews is assigned a chairperson to govern the review process. Peer reviewers are, with few exceptions, non-ARS scientists. Peer review panels are convened to provide in-depth discussion and review of the research project plans. Each panel reviewer receives information on between 1 and 5 ARS research projects.

On average, 165 research projects are reviewed annually by an estimated 200 reviewers; whereby approximately 155 are reviewed by panel and approximately 15 are reviewed through an ad hoc (written review) process. The organization and management of this peer review system, particularly panel reviews, is highly dependent on the use of forms.

The OSQR will seek OMB approval of the following forms:

1. Confidentiality Agreement Form—USDA uses this form to document that a selected reviewer is responsible for keeping confidential any information learned during the subject peer review process. The Confidentiality Agreement is signed prior to the reviewer's involvement in the peer review process. This form requires an original signature. Electronically transmitted scans of signed forms are also accepted.

2. Panelist Information Form—USDA uses this form to gather up-to-date background information about the reviewer as well as information relevant to the paying of an honorarium and for travel, where appropriate. Reviewers often include sensitive information on this form and, thus it is not retained or recorded in electronic form by the OSQR.

3. Peer Review of an ARS Research Project Form (Peer Review Form)—USDA uses this form to guide the reviewer's in-depth written comments on the subject project. The form contains the reviewing criteria and space for the reviewer's narrative comments and evaluation.

3. Reviewer Comment Form (New). This form is supplied to members of a panel not receiving the above Peer Review Form to provide a vehicle whereby they may record comments or recommendations for any plan before

their panel but for which they do not have in-depth review responsibility.

4. Ad Hoc Review Form. USDA uses this in select cases (for Ad Hoc Reviewers who are not members of a review panel), a check-off listing of action classes at the end of the form allows them to provide an overall rating of the plan.

5. Recommendations for ARS Research Project Form—(Recommendations Form)—USDA uses this form to guide the panel's evaluation and critique of the review process. The form contains the recommendations of the panel for the subject research project.

6. Panel Expense Report Form (Expense Report)—USDA uses this form to document a panel reviewer's expense incurred traveling to and attending a peer review meeting. The Expense Report includes lodging, meals, and transportation expenses. When completed, the form contains sensitive information, but is used only in the rare circumstance that a panel meeting requires travel of the participants.

7. Panel Invoice Form (Honorarium Form)—USDA uses this form to document the transfer of an honorarium to a peer reviewer. Reviewers receive honoraria as compensation for serving as peer review panelists. This form requires an original signature. It is used only in special circumstances where reviewers cannot accept a direct bank transfer of the honorarium. In such cases this is used in lieu of the SF-1034 to provide OSQR a written record of the honorarium payment.

(1) USDA's collection of information on the Confidentiality Agreement Form is needed to document that a selected reviewer is responsible for keeping confidential any information learned during the subject peer review process. The Confidentiality Agreement would be signed prior to the reviewer's involvement in the peer review process.

(2) USDA's collection of information on the Panelist Information Form is needed to gather up-to-date background information about the reviewer. It contains sensitive information.

(3) USDA's collection of information on the Peer Review Form and Reviewer Comment Form is needed to guide the reviewer's comments on the subject project. Both contain review guidance and space to insert comments.

(4) USDA's collection of information on the Ad Hoc Review Form is needed

to guide reviewer comments of those not participating in a chaired panel and affords a place to select an overall Action Class rating for the plan.

(5) USDA's collection of information on the Recommendations Form is needed to guide the panel's critique of the review process. It contains the recommendations of the panel for the subject research project.

(6) USDA's collection of information on the Expense Report Form is needed to document a panel reviewer's expenses incurred by attending a peer review meeting. The Expense Report includes lodging, meals, and transportation expenses. It includes sensitive information.

(7) USDA's collection of information on the Honorarium Form is needed to document the transfer of an honorarium to the peer reviewer in those rare cases where an SF-1034 is not completed. The honorarium is given to reviewers as appreciation for their time spent on the panel review process.

*Estimate of Burden:* The burden associated with this approval process is the minimum required to achieve program objectives. The information collection frequency is the minimum consistent with program objectives. The following estimates of time required to complete the forms are based on OSQR's experience in working with reviewers

and accepting their input into our procedures.

1. Confidentiality Agreement Form: This form takes up to 10 minutes to complete. It only requires a signature and date, but the reviewer must read and consider the terms of the agreement.

2. Panelist Information Form: This form takes about 30 minutes to complete. It resembles a typical request for personal information; many reviewers provide the same data as grant reviewers in other peer review programs.

3. Peer Review of an ARS Research Project Form (Peer Review Form). This form may take 4-7 hours to complete. Because this is a review, the page length varies. Reviewers are free to write as much as they wish, but to complete the form they must thoroughly read and evaluate a research project plan that may exceed 60-70 pages in length.

4. Reviewer Comment Form (New). This form takes 1 hour to complete. It typically contains a general assessment of the plan with only brief comments and is usually one page or less when completed.

5. Recommendations for ARS Research Project Form (Recommendations Form).

This form takes 1-2 hours to complete. Because this is a review, the page length significantly varies. For

most plans it is completed by the OSQR from the Peer Review Forms and Reviewer Comment Forms and reviewed and revised by reviewers as part of their panel discussions. For the rare occasion where a panel meets in-person, the form is prepared by the designated primary reviewer for that plan who combines comments from all reviewers as found on the Peer Review Form and Reviewer Comment Form, and further analyses derived from the panel's discussions.

5. Panel Expense Report Form (Expense Report): This form takes 30 minutes to complete.

6. Panel Invoice Form (Honorarium Form): This form takes 3 minutes to complete. This form has the reviewer's personal information pre-filled and the reviewer only verifies its accuracy and signs.

*Respondents and Estimated Number of Respondents:* Scientific experts, currently working in the same discipline as the research projects under review, are selected to review research projects. These experts are notable peers within and external to the ARS. Annually, about 165 peer reviewers complete these forms. As most plans are discussed using an online/telephone conference utility travel is not generally required and, thus, most reviewers do not complete Expense Report and Invoice Forms.

FREQUENCY OF RESPONSE:

Form	Number of respondents	Annual frequency
Confidentiality Agreement .....	165	1 per respondent (Total of 165).
Peer Review Forms (Required for all reviewers and they have 2 review assignments on average.)	155	2 per panel respondent (Total of 310).
Reviewer Comment Form (Optional where reviewer does not have primary or secondary review assignment for a plan).	155	On average, 2 per panel respondent (Total of 310).
Expense Report (Only for those reviewers traveling to the review) .....	10	1 per respondent (Total of 10).
Honorarium Form (Only for those reviewers paid by check) .....	10	1 per respondent (Total of 10).
Panelist Information Forms .....	165	1 per respondent for each form (Total of 165).
Recommendations Form (For use only for panels not meeting online.) ..	10	2 per respondent (Total of 20).

ESTIMATED TOTAL ANNUAL BURDEN ON RESPONDENTS

Form (time required to complete)	Number completed annually	Total burden (hr.)
Confidentiality Agreement (10 min.) .....	165	28
Panelist Information Forms (30 min.) .....	155	78
Peer Review Forms (~6 hrs) .....	155	930
Recommendations Form (2 hr) .....	10	20
Reviewer Comment Form (New) (1 hr) .....	310	310
Honorarium Form (3 min.) .....	10	.5
Expense Report (30 min.) .....	10	5

*Authority:* The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. chap.35.

*Comments:* The Notice is soliciting comments from members of the public

and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information to: (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection is necessary for the proper

performance of ARS functions, including whether the information will have practical utility; (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the estimated burden from

proposed collection of information; (3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, *e.g.*, permitting electronic submission of responses. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval.

All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: December 9, 2015.

**Simon Y. Liu,**

*Associate Administrator, ARS.*

[FR Doc. 2015-32065 Filed 12-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-03-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Research Service

#### Notice of Intent To Grant Exclusive License

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Research Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, intends to grant to Oregon State University of Corvallis, Oregon, an exclusive license to the variety of blackberry described in U.S. Plant Patent Application Serial No. 14/756,637, "Blackberry Plant Named 'Columbia Giant,'" filed on September 28, 2015.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 21, 2016.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments to: USDA, ARS, Office of Technology Transfer, 5601 Sunnyside Avenue, Rm. 4-1174, Beltsville, Maryland 20705-5131.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mojdeh Bahar of the Office of Technology Transfer at the Beltsville address given above; telephone: 301-504-5989.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Government's patent rights in this plant variety are assigned to the United States of America, as represented by the Secretary of Agriculture. The prospective exclusive license will be royalty-bearing and will comply with the terms and conditions of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7. The prospective exclusive license may be granted unless, within thirty (30) days from the date of this published Notice, the Agricultural Research Service receives written evidence and argument which

establishes that the grant of the license would not be consistent with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 209 and 37 CFR 404.7.

**Mojdeh Bahar,**

*Assistant Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2015-32064 Filed 12-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-03-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Food Safety and Inspection Service

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

December 16, 2015.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Desk Officer for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), *OIRA\_Submission@OMB.EOP.GOV* or fax (202) 395-5806 and to Departmental Clearance Office, USDA, OCIO, Mail Stop 7602, Washington, DC 20250-7602. Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling (202) 720-8958.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

### Food Safety and Inspection Service

*Title:* Laboratories.

*OMB Control Number:* 0583-0158.

*Summary of Collection:* The Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) has been delegated the authority to exercise the functions of the Secretary as provided in the Federal Meat Inspection Act (FMIA) (21 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), the Poultry Products Inspection Act (PPIA) (21 U.S.C. 451, et seq.), and the Egg Products Inspection Act (EPIA) (21 U.S.C. 1031). These statutes mandate that FSIS protect the public by verifying that meat and poultry products are safe, wholesome, unadulterated, and properly labeled and packaged.

*Need and Use of the Information:* FSIS will use two forms to collect information for two distinct laboratory programs. FSIS will use the PEPRL-F-0008-04 form as a self assessment audit checklist to collect information related to the quality assurance/quality control procedures in place at in-plant and private laboratories participating in the Pasteurized Egg Product Recognized Laboratory program. FSIS uses the data collected in the desk audit of existing labs or in the appraisal of a new applicant. Any non-federal laboratory that is applying for the FSIS Accredited Laboratory program will need to complete an Application for FSIS Accredited Laboratory Program 10,110-2 form. FSIS will use the information collected by the form to help access the laboratory applying for admission to the FSIS Accredited Laboratory program.

*Description of Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Number of Respondents:* 14.

*Frequency of Responses:* Reporting: Annually.

*Total Burden Hours:* 13.

**Ruth Brown,**

*Departmental Information Collection Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015-32174 Filed 12-21-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-DM-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Utility Service

#### Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

December 16, 2015.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted the following information collection requirement(s) to Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of