

also view the information collection request at www.reginfo.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The Bureau of Reclamation delivers Colorado River water to water users for diversion and beneficial consumptive use in the States of Arizona, California, and Nevada. The Consolidated Decree of the United States Supreme Court in the case of *Arizona v. California, et al.*, entered March 27, 2006, (547 U.S. 150 (2006)) requires the Secretary of the Interior to prepare and maintain complete, detailed, and accurate records of diversions of water, return flow, and consumptive use and make these records available at least annually. This information is needed to ensure that a State or a water user within a State does not exceed its authorized use of Colorado River water. Water users are obligated by provisions in their water delivery contracts to provide Reclamation information on diversions and return flows. Reclamation determines the consumptive use by subtracting return flow from diversions or by other engineering means. Without the information collected, Reclamation could not comply with the order of the United States Supreme Court to prepare and maintain detailed and accurate records of diversions, return flows, and consumptive use. This information collection is required to obtain a benefit.

II. Changes to Forms

The Form LC-72, Record of Water Diverted From Lake Mead and/or the Colorado River for Use in the State of Nevada, is no longer needed because the water entitlement holder who used the form is no longer able to withdraw water from the Colorado River.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 1006-0015.

Title: Diversions, Return Flows, and Consumptive Use of Colorado River Water in the Lower Colorado River Basin.

Description of respondents: The respondents will include the Lower Basin States (Arizona, California, and Nevada), local and tribal entities, water districts, and individuals that use Colorado River water.

Frequency: Monthly and annually.

Estimated total number of respondents: 53.

Estimated number of responses per respondent: 1 annually and/or 12 monthly

Estimated total number of annual responses: 196.

Estimated total annual burden hours: 33 hours.

Form Numbers: LC-72A and LC-72B.

Estimated burden for each form: 10 minutes.

IV. Request for Comments

A **Federal Register** notice with a 60-day public comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on September 28, 2015 (80 FR 58294). No comments were received on this information collection.

We again invite comments concerning this information collection on:

(a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of our functions, including whether the information will have practical use;

(b) The accuracy of our burden estimate for the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Reclamation will display a valid OMB control number on the forms.

V. Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Date: November 9, 2015.

Terrance J. Fulp,

Regional Director, Lower Colorado Region.

[FR Doc. 2015-29871 Filed 11-27-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332-90-P-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-950]

Certain Electronic Products, Including Products With Near Field Communication (“NFC”) System-Level Functionality and/or Battery Power-Up Functionality, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of Commission Determination not to review an initial determination granting a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a patent license agreement; termination of the investigation.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge’s (“ALJ”) initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 14) that granted a joint motion to terminate the above-captioned investigation based on a patent license agreement. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cathy Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on March 19, 2015, based on a complaint filed by NXP B.V. of The Netherlands and NXP Semiconductors USA, Inc. of San Jose, California (collectively, “NXP”). 80 FR 14406-07 (Mar. 19, 2015). The complaint was supplemented on February 27, 2015. The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, by reason of the

importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic products, including products with near field communication (“NFC”) system-level functionality and/or battery power-up functionality, components thereof, and products containing same by reason of infringement of certain claims of six United States patents. The notice of investigation named Dell, Inc. of Round Rock, Texas (“Dell”), as the sole respondent. *Id.* at 14407.

On October 16, 2015, NXP and Dell filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of a patent license agreement between the parties. Order No. 14 at 1. On October 26, 2015, the Commission investigative staff filed a response supporting the motion. *Id.*

On October 26, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 14) granting the joint motion to terminate the investigation. The ALJ found that the joint motion complies with the Commission’s rules for termination and that the agreement does not adversely affect the public interest.

No petitions for review were filed. The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.
Issued: November 23, 2015.

William R. Bishop,

Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–30276 Filed 11–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–468 and 731–TA–1166–1167 (Review)]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From China and Mexico; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order and countervailing duty order on certain magnesia carbon bricks from China and the antidumping duty order

on certain magnesia carbon bricks from Mexico would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

DATES: *Effective:* November 6, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph Traw, (202–205–3062), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for these reviews may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background.—On November 6, 2015, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (80 FR 46050, August 3, 2015) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.¹ Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

Staff report.—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews was placed in the nonpublic record on November 19, 2015, and made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

Written submissions.—As provided in section 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties

to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,² and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with the Secretary on what determinations the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before December 3, 2015 and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by December 3, 2015. However, should the Department of Commerce extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. Please be aware that the Commission’s rules with respect to filing have changed. The most recent amendments took effect on July 25, 2014. See 79 FR 35920 (June 25, 2014), and the revised Commission Handbook on E-filing, available from the Commission’s Web site at <http://edis.usitc.gov>.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Determination.—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1675(c)(5)(B).

Authority: These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Dated: November 24, 2015.

Lisa R. Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2015–30282 Filed 11–27–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

¹ A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s Web site.

² The Commission has found the response submitted by Magnesia Carbon Bricks Fair Trade Committee to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).