

Frontline Technology, Campbell, CA; SiConTech, Inc., Austin, TX; Silvaco, Inc., Santa Clara, CA; SK Hynix, Inc., Icheon-si, REPUBLIC OF KOREA; Sony, Tokyo, JAPAN; Spectral Design & Test, Inc., Somerville, NJ; Semiconductor Technology Academic Research (STARC), Yokohama, JAPAN; Synopsys, Inc., Mountain View, CA; Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company Limited (TSMC), Hsinchu, TAIWAN; Teklatech A/S, Copenhagen, DENMARK; Texas Instruments, Dallas, TX; Thales Group, Paris, FRANCE; Tool Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Toshiba Corporation, Kawasaki, JAPAN; Tyndall National Institute, Cork City, IRELAND; United Microelectronics Corporation (UMC), Hsinchu City, TAIWAN; and Zuken, Inc., Yokohama, JAPAN, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Chipdata, Inc., Richardson, TX; Electronic Tools Co., Sonoma, CA; Ericsson, Stockholm, SWEDEN; Fujitsu Ltd., Sunnyvale, CA; Monterey Design Systems, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA; Multi-Gig Limited, Wellingborough, UNITED KINGDOM; Semiconductor Research Corporation (SRC), Research Triangle Park, NC; Motorola, Inc., Tempe, AZ; and Sagantec, Fremont, CA, have withdrawn as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Si2 intends to file additional written notifications disclosing all changes in membership.

On December 30, 1988, Si2 filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 13, 1989 (54 FR 10456).

The last notification was filed with the Department on July 30, 2003. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 29, 2003 (68 FR 52057).

**Patricia A. Brink,**

*Director of Civil Enforcement, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 2015-29057 Filed 11-13-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1103-NEW]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Acquisition 360 Survey

**AGENCY:** Justice Management Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division will submit the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 80 FR 53891, on September 8, 2015, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for an additional 30 days until December 16, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Mr. Neil Ryder, Director, Internal Review and Evaluation, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 8W-222, Washington, DC 20530. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to [OIRA\\_submissions@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

1 *Type of Information Collection:* New Collection.

2 *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Acquisition 360 Survey.

3 *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Form number: None.

*Component:* Department of Justice, Justice Management Division.

4 *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

*Primary:* Business or other for-profit.

*Other:* Not-for-profit institutions and Federal Government stakeholders

*Abstract:* The Department of Justice (DOJ) Senior Procurement Executive will use the information to help identify DOJ acquisition process improvements and increase customer satisfaction.

5 *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An estimated 450 respondents will take 20 minutes to complete the survey.

6 *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The estimated annual public burden associated with this collection is 150 hours.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., Room 3E-405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 10, 2015.

**Jerri Murray,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2015-28919 Filed 11-13-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-NL-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1105-0025]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; Extension Without Change, of a Previously Approved Collection Federal Coal Lease Request

**AGENCY:** Antitrust Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 30-day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Antitrust Division (ATR), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of

Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** at 80 FR 54594 on September 10, 2015, allowing for a 60 day comment period.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 30 days until January 15, 2016.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Jill Ptacek, Attorney, Antitrust Division, United States Department of Justice, 450 Fifth Street NW., Suite 8000, Washington, DC 20530 (phone: 202-307-6607). Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20530 or sent to [OIRA\\_submissions@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

—Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

—The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

—Whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and

—Whether the agency’s collection process minimizes the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of this information collection:

1 *Type of Information Collection:* Extension of a currently approved collection.

2 *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Federal Coal Lease Reserves.

3 *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* The form numbers are ATR-139 and ATR-140. The applicable component within the Department of Justice is the Antitrust Division.

4 *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Business or other for profit.  
Other: None.

Abstract: The Department of Justice evaluates the competitive impact of issuances, transfers and exchanges of federal coal leases. These forms seek information regarding a prospective coal lessee’s existing coal reserves. The Department uses this information to determine whether the issuance, transfer or exchange of the federal coal lease is consistent with the antitrust laws.

5 *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 20 respondents will complete each form, with each response taking approximately two hours.

6 *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 40 annual burden hours associated with this collection, in total.

If additional information is required contact: Jerri Murray, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE., 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 11, 2015.

**Jerri Murray,**  
*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2015-28931 Filed 11-13-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-11-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

**Notice of Lodging of Proposed Amendment to Consent Decree Under the Comprehensive Environmental Repsonse, Compensation, and Liability Act**

On November 5, 2015, the Department of Justice lodged a proposed Amendment to Consent Decree with the United States District Court for the Northern District of New York in the lawsuit entitled *United States of America v. Amphenol Corporation, et al.*, Civil Action No. 3:01-CV-0637. The caption is different from the caption in the original Consent Decree since

companies have gone out of business, changed their names, etc.

The original Consent Decree, entered in 2001, resolved certain claims of the United States under Sections 106 and 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (“CERCLA”), 42 U.S.C. 9606 and 9607(a), in connection with the performance of the remedial design and remedial action (“RD/RA”) selected for the Tri-Cities Barrel Superfund Site, located in the Town of Fenton, Broome County, New York (the “Site”), by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) in a Record of Decision executed March 31, 2000, and the reimbursement of response costs. The original Consent Decree required the active remediation of the soils, sediments and groundwater at the Site, with the soils and sediment remediation having now been completed. The Amendment to the Consent Decree is made necessary because EPA in 2011 issued a ROD Amendment which changes the active groundwater remediation to Monitoring Natural Attenuation (MNA).

The publication of this notice opens a period for public comment on the Amendment to Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, John C. Cruden and should refer to *United States of America v. Amphenol Corporation, et al.*, D.J. Ref. No. 90-11-3-1514/1. All comments must be submitted no later than thirty (30) days after the publication date of this notice. Comments may be submitted either by email or by mail:

<i>To submit comments:</i>	<i>Send them to:</i>
By email .....	<i>pubcommentees.enrd@usdoj.gov.</i>
By mail .....	Assistant Attorney General, U.S. DOJ—ENRD, P.O. Box 7611, Washington, D.C. 20044-7611.

During the public comment period, the Amendment to Consent Decree may be examined and downloaded at this Justice Department Web site: <http://www.justice.gov/enrd/consent-decrees>. We will provide a paper copy of the Amendment to Consent Decree upon written request and payment of reproduction costs. Please mail your request and payment to: