

senior executives. Towanda A. Brooks, John P. Benison, Camille E. Acevedo, Matthew E. Ammon, and Dominique G. Blom will serve as members of the Departmental Performance Review Board to review career SES performance.

Laura H. Hogshead, Rafael C. Diaz, Tonya T. Robinson, and Lynn M. Ross will serve as members of the Departmental Performance Review Board to review noncareer SES performance. The address is: Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, DC 20410-0050.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Persons desiring any further information about the Performance Review Board and its members may contact Juliette Middleton, Director, Office of Executive Resources, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, DC 20410. Telephone (202) 402-3058. (This is not a toll-free number)

Dated: October 27, 2015.

**Nani A. Coloretti,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2015-27861 Filed 10-30-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Ocean Energy Management**

[MMAA104000]

**Notice on Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Lease Sales**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), Interior.

**ACTION:** List of Restricted Joint Bidders.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the joint bidding provisions of 30 CFR 556.41-556.44, the Director of the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management is publishing a List of Restricted Joint Bidders. Each entity within one of the following groups is restricted from bidding with any entity in any of the other following groups at Outer Continental Shelf oil and gas lease sales to be held during the bidding period November 1, 2015, through April 30, 2016. This List of Restricted Joint Bidders will cover the period November 1, 2015, through April 30, 2016, and replace the prior list published on May 18, 2015, which covered the period of May 1, 2015, through October 31, 2015.

**Group I**

BP America Production Company  
BP Exploration & Production Inc.  
BP Exploration (Alaska) Inc.

**Group II**

Chevron Corporation  
Chevron U.S.A. Inc.  
Chevron Midcontinent, L.P.  
Unocal Corporation  
Union Oil Company of California  
Pure Partners, L.P.

**Group III**

Eni Petroleum Co. Inc.  
Eni Petroleum US LLC  
Eni Oil US LLC  
Eni Marketing Inc.  
Eni BB Petroleum Inc.  
Eni US Operating Co. Inc.  
Eni BB Pipeline LLC

**Group IV**

Exxon Mobil Corporation  
ExxonMobil Exploration Company

**Group V**

Petroleo Brasileiro S.A.  
Petrobras America Inc.

**Group VI**

Shell Oil Company  
Shell Offshore Inc.  
SWEPI LP  
Shell Frontier Oil & Gas Inc.  
SOI Finance Inc.  
Shell Gulf of Mexico Inc.

**Group VII**

Statoil ASA  
Statoil Gulf of Mexico LLC  
Statoil USA E&P Inc.  
Statoil Gulf Properties Inc.

**Group VIII**

Total E&P USA, Inc.

Dated: October 13, 2015.

**Abigail Ross Hopper,**

*Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.*

[FR Doc. 2015-27896 Filed 10-30-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**Bureau of Ocean Energy Management**

[Docket No. BOEM-2015-0062]

**Wind Energy Research Lease Issuance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf Offshore Virginia**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability of a Research Lease of Submerged Lands for Renewable Energy Activities on the Outer Continental Shelf Offshore Virginia.

**SUMMARY:** BOEM has issued a wind energy research lease to the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department

of Mines, Minerals and Energy for an area situated on the Outer Continental Shelf offshore Virginia. The purpose of this notice is to inform the public of the availability of the executed lease, Renewable Energy Lease No. OCS-A-0497.

Proposed activities on the lease include the installation and operation of wind turbine generators and resource assessment devices, as well as associated offshore substation platforms, interarray cables, and subsea export cables. The total acreage of the lease area is approximately 2,135 acres. The lease area is comprised of six aliquots (*i.e.*, sub-blocks) lying within Official Protraction Diagram Currituck Sound NJ18-11 Blocks 6061 and 6111. The lease and supporting documentation, including required environmental compliance documentation and notices that solicited competitive interest, can be found online at: <http://www.boem.gov/VOWTAP/>.

**Authority:** This Notice of the Availability of a wind energy research lease is published pursuant to 30 CFR 585.238(f), which implements subsection 8(p) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (43 U.S.C. 1337(p)).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr.

Casey Reeves, BOEM Office of Renewable Energy Programs, 45600 Woodland Road, Sterling, Virginia 20166, (703) 787-1320 or [casey.reeves@boem.gov](mailto:casey.reeves@boem.gov).

Dated: October 13, 2015.

**Abigail Ross Hopper,**

*Director, Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.*

[FR Doc. 2015-27875 Filed 10-30-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P**

**INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Investigation No. 337-TA-907]

**Certain Vision-Based Driver Assistance System Cameras, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same: Notice of the Commission's Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337; Termination of the Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the above-captioned investigation, and has terminated the investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Amanda P. Fisherow, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2737. The public version of the complaint can be accessed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>, and will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on January 28, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Magna Electronics Inc. of Auburn Hills, Michigan. See 79 FR 4490-91 (Jan. 28, 2014). The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain vision-based driver assistance system cameras and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,116,929 ("the '929 patent") and 8,593,521 ("the '521 patent"). The complaint further alleges the existence of a domestic industry. Subsequently, the complaint and notice of investigation were amended by adding U.S. Patent Nos. 8,686,840 ("the '840 patent") and 8,692,659 ("the '659 patent"), and by terminating the investigation in-part as to all claims of the '521 patent. The '929 patent was later terminated from the investigation. The respondent named in the Commission's notice of investigation is TRW Automotive U.S., LLC of Livonia, Michigan ("TRW"). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") was also named a party in the investigation.

On April 27, 2015, the ALJ issued his final ID. The ID found that no violation of section 337 has occurred. Specifically, the ID found that the '659 and '840 patents were not indirectly infringed, that the '840 patent is invalid, and that the domestic industry requirement for the '840 patent has not

been met. The ALJ also issued his recommendation on remedy and bonding.

On May 11, 2015, Magna and TRW each filed petitions for review. On May 19, 2015, the parties, including OUII, filed responses to the respective petitions for review. On May 28, 2015, Magna filed a corrected response. The Commission determined to review the ID's findings with respect to: (1) Importation; (2) whether the asserted claims of the '659 patent require a camera; (3) direct infringement of the '659 patent; (4) induced infringement of the '659 and '840 patents; (5) contributory infringement of the '659 and '840 patents; (6) whether the '659 patent satisfies the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112; (7) anticipation of the '659 patent claims based on Rayner; (8) anticipation of the '659 patent claims based on Batavia; (9) anticipation of the '659 patent claims based on the SafeTrac Prototype; (10) obviousness of the '659 patent based on Rayner in combination with Blank; (11) obviousness of the '659 patent based on Batavia, the SafeTrac Prototype, and the Navlab 1997 Demo; (12) whether the claims are invalid under the America Invents Act § 33(a); and (13) the technical prong of domestic industry for the '659 and '840 patents.

On August 17, 2015, the parties briefed the issues on review, remedy, bonding, and the public interest. On August 27, 2015, the parties filed their reply submissions. After the conclusion of this briefing, TRW filed "Respondent's Short Submission Out Of Time Regarding Complainant Admission on Commission Topic 2" and Magna filed a response thereto.

After considering the final ID, written submissions, and the record in this investigation, the Commission has determined to affirm-in-part and reverse-in-part the final ID and to terminate the investigation with a finding of no violation of section 337. Specifically, the Commission finds that (1) the importation requirement has not been satisfied for the '659 patent; (2) the asserted claims of the '659 patent do not require a camera; (3) certain automobiles equipped with a mounting system configured to receive certain accused products directly infringe the '659 patent; (4) the accused products do not contributorily infringe the '659 patent; (5) the accused products do not induce infringement of the '659 patent; (6) claims 1 and 3 of the '659 patent are invalid under 35 U.S.C. 103 based on Rayner in view of Blank; (7) claims 1 and 3 of the '659 patent are not anticipated by Rayner; (8) the asserted claims are not invalid under the

America Invents Act § 33(a); (9) the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '840 patent has not been met; and (10) the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the '659 patent has not been met. The Commission also (11) takes no position on indirect infringement of the '840 patent; (12) takes no position on importation with respect to the '840 patent; (13) takes no position on whether claim 1 of the '659 patent is invalid based on Batavia, the SafeTrac Prototype, and the Navlab 1997 Demo, either alone or in combination; (14) takes no position on whether the asserted claims of the '659 patent satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112; and (15) rejects TRW's filing titled "Respondent's Short Submission Out Of Time Regarding Complainant Admission on Commission Topic 2."

A Commission Opinion will issue shortly.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 27, 2015.

**William R. Bishop,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015-27811 Filed 10-30-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## **INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 731-TA-1058 (Second Review)]**

### **Wooden Bedroom Furniture From China; Institution of a Five-Year Review**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice that it has instituted a review pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended, to determine whether revocation of the antidumping duty order on wooden bedroom furniture from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury. Pursuant to the Act, interested parties are requested to respond to this notice by submitting the information specified below to the