

obtain copies of the petition and supporting materials.

You may view copies of the petition, Notice No. 149, selected supporting materials, and all public comments associated with this proposal within Docket No. TTB-2015-0005 at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). You also may view copies of the petition, Notice No. 149, the supporting materials, and all public comments associated with this proposal by appointment at the TTB Information Resource Center, 1310 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. Please call 202-453-2265 to make an appointment.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Karen A. Thornton, Regulations and Rulings Division, Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau, 1310 G Street NW., Box 12, Washington, DC 20005; phone 202-453-1039, ext. 175.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** TTB received a petition from Dr. Alan Busacca, a licensed geologist and founder of Vinitas Consultants, LLC, on behalf of the Palouse-Lewis Clark Valley Wine Alliance and the Clearwater Economic Development Association. The petition proposed to establish the approximately 306,650-acre "Lewis-Clark Valley" AVA and to modify the boundary of the existing "Columbia Valley" AVA (27 CFR 9.74). The proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA is located at the confluence of the Snake River and the Clearwater River and covers portions of Nez Perce, Lewis, Clearwater, and Latah Counties in northern Idaho and Asotin, Garfield, and Whitman Counties in southeastern Washington.

A small portion of the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA would, if established, overlap the southeastern corner of the established Columbia Valley AVA. To eliminate the potential overlap, the petitioner proposed to modify the boundary of the Columbia Valley AVA so that the overlapping area (hereinafter referred to as the "proposed realignment area") would be solely within the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA. The proposed modifications would reduce the size of the approximately 11,370,320-acre Columbia Valley AVA boundary by approximately 57,020 acres.

TTB published Notice No. 149 in the **Federal Register** on April 14, 2015 (80 FR 19901). In Notice No. 149, TTB described the characteristics of the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA and the rationale for the modification of the boundary of the Columbia Valley AVA and solicited public comment on the proposals. The comment period closed June 15, 2015.

During the comment period, TTB received a comment from a vineyard owner within the proposed realignment area. According to the commenter, his vineyard is the estate vineyard for a winery that is also located within the proposed realignment area. The commenter stated that the proposed realignment area has characteristics similar to those of the Columbia Valley and should not be removed from that AVA. Specifically, the commenter stated, "The geology, soils, and climate of the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA are quite similar to those of the Columbia Valley and mostly lay within the elevations affected by the Missoula floods." The comment is posted as Comment 35 within Docket No. TTB-2015-0005 at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

#### **Determination To Re-Open the Public Comment Period**

TTB reviewed all comments received in response to Notice No. 149 with reference to the original petition information. TTB believes that the comment period for Notice No. 149, which was open for 60 days, was adequate to obtain information on the initially proposed regulation. However, TTB notes that if the proposed realignment area were to be removed from the Columbia Valley AVA and placed into the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA, wines made primarily from grapes grown within the proposed realignment area would no longer be eligible to be labeled with the "Columbia Valley" appellation of origin. Therefore, because of the potential effect on label holders if TTB were to adopt the proposed modification of the Columbia Valley AVA boundary, TTB has determined that it would be appropriate in this instance to re-open the comment period, for the specific purpose of obtaining further public comment on the proposed boundary modification, before taking any further regulatory action on this matter.

TTB is, therefore, re-opening the comment period on Notice No. 149 for an additional 30 days, in order to obtain additional comments on the characteristics of the proposed realignment area. Comments on Notice No. 149 are now due on or before November 27, 2015. TTB is specifically interested in comments on whether the evidence provided in the petition to establish the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA and to modify the boundary of the Columbia Valley AVA adequately demonstrates that the characteristics of the proposed realignment area are more similar to those of the rest of the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA than

to the distinguishing features of the Columbia Valley AVA, which are described in T.D. TTB-ATF 190 (69 FR 44897, November 13, 1984) and summarized in both the proposed Lewis-Clark Valley AVA petition and Notice No. 149. Please provide any available specific information in support of your comments.

#### **How To Comment**

See the Public Participation section of Notice No. 149 for specific instructions and requirements for submitting comments, and for information on how to request a public hearing or view or obtain copies of the petition and supporting materials.

You may view copies of the petition, Notice No. 149, selected supporting materials, and all public comments associated with this proposal within Docket No. TTB-2015-0005 at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov). You also may view copies of all comments and documents associated with Notice No. 149 by appointment at the TTB Information Resource Center, 1310 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. Please call 202-453-2265 to make an appointment.

#### **Drafting Information**

Karen A. Thornton of the Regulations and Rulings Division drafted this document.

Signed: October 21, 2015.

**John J. Manfreda,**  
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2015-27362 Filed 10-26-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4810-31-P**

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

### **40 CFR Part 52**

**[EPA-R10-OAR-2011-0799; FRL-9936-02-Region 10]**

### **Air Plan Approval; OR; Portland, Medford, Salem; Clackamas, Multnomah, Washington Counties; Gasoline Dispensing Facilities**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing to approve three state implementation plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (Oregon or ODEQ) and a specific portion of a fourth SIP submittal identified in a supplementary letter. These SIP submittals primarily

include rule amendments related to control measures for volatile organic compounds from gasoline dispensing facilities in the Portland-Vancouver, Medford-Ashland, and Salem-Keizer Area Transportation Study air quality management areas, as well as all of Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington counties. The EPA received the SIP submittals from the ODEQ on February 5, 2009, November 1, 2010, May 25, 2011, and April 20, 2015, and the supplementary letter on September 18, 2015. The EPA is proposing to approve the SIP submittals because they are consistent with the requirements of the Clean Air Act (Act or CAA).

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before November 27, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2011-0799, by any of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal* <http://www.regulations.gov>: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *Email:* [vaupel.claudia@epa.gov](mailto:vaupel.claudia@epa.gov).

- *Mail:* Claudia Vergnani Vaupel, U.S. EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT-150, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* U.S. EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101. Attention: Claudia Vergnani Vaupel, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT-150. Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

Please see the direct final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register** for detailed instructions on how to submit comments.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Claudia Vergnani Vaupel at (206) 553-6121, [vaupel.claudia@epa.gov](mailto:vaupel.claudia@epa.gov), or the above EPA, Region 10 address.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For further information, please see the direct final action, of the same title, which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**. The EPA is approving the State's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial SIP action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the preamble to the direct final rule. If the EPA receives no adverse comments, the EPA will not take further action on this proposed rule.

If the EPA receives adverse comments, the EPA will withdraw the direct final rule and it will not take

effect. The EPA will address all public comments in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, the EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

Dated: September 25, 2015.

**Michelle Pirzadeh,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.*

[FR Doc. 2015-27169 Filed 10-26-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R10-OAR-2015-0334; FRL-9936-17-Region 10]

### Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Washington: Interstate Transport of Ozone

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Clean Air Act (CAA) requires each State Implementation Plan (SIP) to contain adequate provisions prohibiting emissions that will have certain adverse air quality effects in other states. On May 11, 2015, the State of Washington made a submittal to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to address these requirements. The EPA is proposing to approve the submittal as meeting the requirement that each SIP contain adequate provisions to prohibit emissions that will contribute significantly to nonattainment or interfere with maintenance of the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) in any other state.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before November 27, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2015-0334, by any of the following methods:

- *http://www.regulations.gov*: Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *Email:* [R10-Public\\_Comments@epa.gov](mailto:R10-Public_Comments@epa.gov).

- *Mail:* Jeff Hunt, EPA Region 10, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics (AWT-

150), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101.

- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* EPA Region 10 9th Floor Mailroom, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Seattle, WA 98101. Attention: Jeff Hunt, Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, AWT-150. Such deliveries are only accepted during normal hours of operation, and special arrangements should be made for deliveries of boxed information.

**Instructions:** Direct your comments to Docket ID No. EPA-R10-OAR-2015-0334. The EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <http://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <http://www.regulations.gov> or email. The <http://www.regulations.gov> Web site is an "anonymous access" system, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through <http://www.regulations.gov> your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the Internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** All documents in the docket are listed in the <http://www.regulations.gov> index. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., CBI or other information the disclosure of which is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the Internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically in <http://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy during normal business hours at the Office of Air, Waste and Toxics, EPA