

June 30, 2015, BTIC requested an administrative review of its POR sales.³ Pursuant to the requests and in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), the Department published a notice initiating an administrative review of BTIC.⁴ On September 9, 2015, both Norris and BTIC timely withdrew their requests for an administrative review of BTIC.⁵

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party or parties that requested a review withdraws the request within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation of the requested review. As noted above, both Norris and BTIC withdrew their requests, and they did so within 90 days of the publication date of the notice of initiation. No other parties requested an administrative review of the order. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding this review in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to assess countervailing duties on all appropriate entries of HPSC from PRC. CVDs shall be assessed at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated CVDs required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice of rescission of administrative review.

Notifications

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in

Request for Administrative Review,” dated June 15, 2015.

³ See Letter from BTIC, “Request for the Third Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China, C–570–978 (POR:01/01/14–12/31/14),” dated June 30, 2015.

⁴ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 45947 (August 3, 2015).

⁵ See Letter from Norris, “Withdrawal of Request for an Administrative Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China,” dated September 9, 2015; Letter from BTIC, “Withdrawal of Review Request in the Third Administrative Review of Countervailing Duty Order on High Pressure Steel Cylinders from the People’s Republic of China,” dated September 9, 2015.

accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: October 8, 2015.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015–26281 Filed 10–14–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–836]

Glycine From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 15, 2015.

SUMMARY: On April 8, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People’s Republic of China (PRC).¹ We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. Based upon our analysis of the comments and information we received, we made changes to the margin calculation for Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co., Ltd. (Baoding Mantong) for these final results. The final antidumping duty margin for Baoding Mantong for this review is listed in the “Final Results of Review” section below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dena Crossland or Angelica Townshend, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3362 or (202) 482–3019, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ See *Glycine from the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Intent to Rescind, In Part; 2013–2014*, 80 FR 18814 (April 8, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*).

Background

On April 8, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Results*. A summary of the events that occurred since the Department published the *Preliminary Results* may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice.² The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically *via* Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, Room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/>. The signed and electronic versions of the memorandum are identical in content.

Final Partial Rescission of Review

In our *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily rescinded the review with respect to Evonik.³ For the *Final Results*, we are continuing to rescind the administrative review with respect to Evonik.⁴

Period of Review

The period of review (POR) is March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014.

Scope of the Order

The product covered by this antidumping duty order is glycine, which is a free-flowing crystalline material, like salt or sugar. Glycine is currently classified under subheading 2922.49.4020 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.⁵

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review

² See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations entitled “Glycine from the People’s Republic of China: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014” dated October 5, 2015 (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

³ See *Preliminary Results*.

⁴ See Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 6.

⁵ For a full description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum accompanying this notice, which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which the parties raised and to which the Department responded in the memorandum appears in Appendix I of this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review and analysis of the comments received from parties, we made certain changes to Baoding Mantong’s margin calculation since the *Preliminary Results*. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum, and accompanying Final Analysis Memorandum for Baoding Mantong, dated concurrently with this notice.

Final Results of Review

The Department determines that the following estimated weighted-average dumping margin exists for the period March 1, 2013, through February 28, 2014:

Exporter	Dumping margin (percent)
Baoding Mantong Fine Chemistry Co. Ltd	143.87

Assessment Rates

The Department determined, and the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.⁶ The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of this notice of final results of the administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended: (1) For any previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters which are not under review in this segment of the proceeding that received a separate rate in a previous segment of this proceeding, the cash-deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recently-completed period; (2) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise which

have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, including Evonik, the cash-deposit rate will be that for the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, 453.79 percent); and (3) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter(s) that supplied the non-PRC exporter. These cash-deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this period of review. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 5, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. List of Issues
- III. Background
- IV. Scope of the Review
- V. Period of Review
- VI. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- VII. Discussion of Interested Party Comments

A. Baoding Mantong-Specific Issues

- Comment 1: Whether the Review Should Be Rescinded With Regard to Baoding Mantong
- Comment 2: Whether Baoding Mantong’s Sale was a *Bona Fide* Sale
- Comment 3: Whether Baoding Mantong’s Requested By-Product Offset Should Be

Denied or Valued at Zero or the Lowest Available Value on the Record
 Comment 4: Surrogate Financial Ratios

B. Evonik-Specific Issues

Comment 5: Whether Evonik’s Sales Were *Bona Fide*

Comment 6: Whether the 453.79 Percent PRC-Wide Rate is Accordance With Law

[FR Doc. 2015–26270 Filed 10–14–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–421–811]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 31, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published its initiation and preliminary results ¹ of a changed circumstances review (CCR), preliminarily determining to revoke the antidumping duty (AD) *Order*² on purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) from the Netherlands. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments. Thus, we make no changes to our preliminary determination in these final results of changed circumstances review and hereby revoke the *Order in toto*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury, or Angelica Townsend, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0195 or (202) 482–3019, respectively.

DATES: *Effective Date:* July 1, 2014.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 8, 2015, in accordance with sections 751(b) and 751(d)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), 19 CFR 351.216(b); 351.222(g)(1), and 351.221(c)(3)(ii), Ashland Specialty

¹ See *Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review and Intent to Revoke the Antidumping Duty Order*, 80 FR 52447 (August 31, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*).

² See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Purified Carboxymethylcellulose from Finland, Mexico, the Netherlands and Sweden*, 70 FR 39734 (July 11, 2005) (the *Order*).

⁶ See 19 CFR 351.212(b).