

merchandise to the United States during the POR. Therefore, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), and consistent with our practice,⁶ we are rescinding the review for the Erbosan Companies and the Yucel Companies.

Final Results of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4)(i), we calculated an individual subsidy rate for the mandatory respondent, the Borusan Companies. Because the Borusan Companies are the sole mandatory

respondent, we assigned to those companies not selected for individual review, the rate calculated for the Borusan Companies. As a result of this review, we determine the listed net subsidy rates for January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013:

Company	Net subsidy rate (percent)
Borusan Group, Borusan Holding, A.S. (Borusan Holding), Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Borusan), Borusan Istikbal Ticaret T.A.S. (Istikbal), and Borusan Lojistik Dagitim Pepolama Tasimacilik ve Tic A.S. (Borusan Lojistik) (collectively, the Borusan Companies).	0.91 <i>ad valorem</i> .
Umran Celik Born Sanayii A.S. (also known as Umran Steel Pipe Inc.) (Umran)	0.91 <i>ad valorem</i> .
Guyen Steel Pipe (also known as Guven Celik Born San. Ve Tic. Ltd.) (Guyen)	0.91 <i>ad valorem</i> .
Toscelik Profil ve Sac Endustrisi A.S. (Toscelik Profil), Toscelik Metal Ticaret AS., and Tosyali Dis Ticaret AS. (Tosyali) (collectively, the Toscelik Companies).	0.91 <i>ad valorem</i> .

Assessment Rates/Cash Deposits

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2), the Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of these final results of review to liquidate shipments of subject merchandise produced and/or exported by respondents listed above entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013.

For the Erbosan Companies and Yucel Companies, the rescinded companies, countervailing duties shall be assessed at rates equal to the rates for the cash deposit of estimated countervailing duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the date of publication of this notice.

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the Department also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated CVDs, in the amounts shown above for each of the respective companies shown above, on shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of the final results of this review. For all non-reviewed firms, we will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits at the most-recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an administrative

protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of proceeding. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation which is subject to sanction.

These final results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 5, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

- I. Summary
- II. Rescission of the 2013 Administrative Review, in Part
- III. Subsidies Valuation Information
 - A. Allocation Period
 - B. Attribution of Subsidies
 - C. Benchmark Interest Rates
- IV. Analysis of Programs
 - A. Programs Determined To Be Countervailable
 - 1. Deduction from Taxable Income for Export Revenue
 - 2. Short-Term Pre-Shipment Rediscount Program
 - 3. Investment Encouragement Program (IEP): Customs Duty Exemptions
 - 4. Provision of HRS for Less Than Adequate Remuneration (LTAR)
 - B. Programs Determined Not To Confer Countervailable Benefits
 - 1. Inward Processing Certificate Exemption
 - C. Programs Determined Not to Be Used
- V. Non-Selected Rate
- VI. Analysis of Comments

Comment 1: Whether the Department Erred by Finding That Prices of HRS in Turkey Are Significantly Distorted

Comment 2: Calculating the Share of HRS Accounted for by Erdemir and Isdemir

Comment 3: Data Sources Used in the Calculation of the Tier-Two Benchmark Price

Comment 4: Calculating the Tier-Two Benchmark Price Concerning Import Duties and VAT

Comment 5: Calculating the Tier-Two Benchmark Price Concerning Freight

Comment 6: Whether the Method the Department Used To Weight Average the Tier-Two Benchmark Is Flawed

Comment 7: Whether Erdemir and Isdemir Are Public Bodies

Comment 8: The Department's Specificity Determination

Comment 9: Whether the Department Erred in Not Selecting the Toscelik Companies as a Mandatory Respondent

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-25989 Filed 10-9-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-822]

Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Turkey: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that welded line pipe from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) is being, or is likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV), as provided in section 735(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). The period of investigation (POI) is October 1, 2013,

⁶ See, e.g., *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Partial*

Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review, 79 FR 2635 (January 15, 2014).

through September 30, 2014. The final dumping margins of sales at LTFV are listed below in the “Final Determination” section of this notice.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 13, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Alice Maldonado or David Crespo, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4682 or (202) 482-3693, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 22, 2015, the Department published the *Preliminary Determination* of sales at LTFV of welded line pipe from Turkey.¹ The following events occurred since the *Preliminary Determination* was issued.

In May and June 2015, the Department verified the sales and cost of production (COP) information submitted by the two participating mandatory respondents in this investigation, Çayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S./Yücel Boru İthalat-İhracat ve Pazarlama A.S. (collectively, Çayirova) and Tosçelik Profil ve Sac Endüstrisi A.S./Tosyalı Dis Ticaret A.S. (collectively, Tosçelik), in accordance with section 782(i) of the Act.

On July 27, 2015, we requested that Tosçelik submit a revised COP database to reflect minor corrections made at verification. On August 7, 2015, we received Tosçelik’s revised COP database.

We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Determination*. On August 6 and August 11, 2015, respectively, the petitioners,² Çayirova, and Tosçelik submitted case and rebuttal briefs.

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of the investigation covers welded line pipe, which is carbon and alloy steel pipe of a kind used for oil and gas pipelines, not more than 24 inches in nominal outside diameter. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, see Appendix I.

¹ See *Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 80 FR 29617 (May 22, 2015) (*Preliminary Determination*).

² The petitioners in this investigation are American Cast Iron Pipe Company, Enerxeg (a division of JMC Steel Group), Maverick Tube Corporation, Northwest Pipe Company, Stupp Corporation (a division of Stupp Bros., Inc.), Tex-Tube Company, TMK IPSCO, and Welspun Tubular LLC USA.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this investigation are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.³ A list of the issues raised is attached to this notice as Appendix II. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov> and it is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B-8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed and electronic versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, in May and June 2015, we verified the sales and cost information submitted by Çayirova and Tosçelik for use in our final determination. We used standard verification procedures, including an examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by Çayirova and Tosçelik.⁴

³ See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Affirmative Determination in the Less-Than-Fair-Value Investigation of Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey” (October 5, 2015) (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁴ See Memorandum to the File from Alice Maldonado and David Crespo, Senior Analysts, entitled “Verification of the Sales Responses of Tosçelik Profil ve Sac Endüstrisi A.S. (Tosçelik Profil) and Tosyalı Dis Ticaret A.S. (Tosyalı) (collectively, Tosçelik) in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Welded Line Pipe from Turkey,” dated July 16, 2015; Memorandum to the File from Alice Maldonado and David Crespo, Senior Analysts, entitled “Verification of the Sales Response of Çayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. (Çayirova Boru) and Yücel Boru İthalat-İhracat ve Pazarlama A.Ş. (YIIP) (collectively, Çayirova) in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Welded Line Pipe from Turkey,” dated July 22, 2015; Memorandum to the File from Robert Greger, Senior Accountant, entitled “Verification of the Cost Response of Çayirova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.Ş. in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Welded Line Pipe from Turkey,” dated June 30, 2015; and Memorandum to the File from Heidi Schriefer and Robert Greger, Senior Accountants, entitled “Verification of the Cost Response of Tosçelik Profil ve Sac Endüstrisi A.S. in the Antidumping Duty Investigation of Welded Line Pipe from Turkey,” dated June 18, 2015.

Changes Since the Preliminary Determination

Based on our analysis of the comments received and our findings at verification, we made certain changes to the margin calculations for Çayirova and Tosçelik. For a discussion of these changes, see the “Margin Calculations” section of the Issues and Decision Memorandum. In addition, we changed the dumping margin assigned to two additional mandatory respondents in this investigation, Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Borusan Mannesmann) and Borusan İstikbal Ticaret (Borusan İstikbal). Because these companies failed to respond to the Department’s questionnaire, in the *Preliminary Determination*, we based the preliminary dumping margin for these companies on adverse facts available (AFA), in accordance with sections 776(a) and (b) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.308. As AFA, we preliminarily assigned a rate of 9.85 percent (*i.e.*, the petition rate). For the final determination, we assigned these companies an AFA margin of 22.95 percent, which is the highest calculated final dumping margin. For further discussion, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum at Comment 20.

All-Others Rate

Section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act provides that the estimated all-others rate shall be an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and margins determined entirely under section 776 of the Act. For the *Final Determination*, the Department calculated the “all others” rate based on a weighted average of Çayirova’s and Tosçelik’s margins using publicly-ranged quantities for their sales of subject merchandise.⁵

⁵ See Memorandum to the File from David Crespo, Senior Analyst, entitled, “Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey: Calculation of the Final Margin for All Other Companies,” dated concurrently with this memorandum (All Others Calculation Memorandum). With two respondents, we normally calculate (A) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents; (B) a simple average of the dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents; and (C) a weighted-average of the dumping margins calculated for the mandatory respondents using each company’s publicly-ranged values for the merchandise under consideration. We compare (B) and (C) to (A) and select the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for all other companies. See *Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof From France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative*

Continued

Final Determination

The final weighted-average dumping margins are as follows:

Exporter/manufacturer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Borusan Istikbal Ticaret	22.95
Borusan Mannesmann Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S.	22.95
Çayırova Boru Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S./Yücel Boru İthalat-İhracat ve Pazarlama A.S.	22.95
Tosçelik Profil ve Sac Endüstrisi A.S./Tosyalı Dis Ticaret A.S.	6.66
All Others	7.10

Disclosure

We will disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B) of the Act, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) to continue to suspend liquidation of all appropriate entries of welded line pipe from Turkey, as described in Appendix I of this notice, which were entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after May 22, 2015, the date of publication of the preliminary determination of this investigation in the **Federal Register**.

Further, the Department will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price as shown above. If a CVD order is issued and suspension of liquidation is resumed, the Department will instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the estimated amount by which the normal value exceeded the U.S. price as shown above, adjusted for export subsidies, as appropriate, found in the final determination of the companion countervailing duty investigation on welded line pipe from Turkey.⁶ Specifically, consistent with our practice, where the product under

Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010).

⁶ The Department terminated the suspension of liquidation associated with the CVD investigation effective July 18, 2015. See CBP message no. 5201304 dated July 20, 2015. Therefore, until and unless suspension of liquidation is resumed, we will not adjust the AD cash deposit rate for collection of duties associated with export subsidies.

investigation is also subject to a concurrent countervailing duty investigation, we instruct CBP to require a cash deposit equal to the amount by which the normal value exceeds the export price or constructed export price, as indicated below, less the amount of the countervailing duty determined to constitute an export subsidy.⁷

Accordingly, if a CVD order is issued, for cash deposit purposes, we will subtract from the applicable cash deposit rate that portion of the rate attributable to the export subsidies found in the affirmative countervailing duty determination for each respondent (*i.e.*, 27.32 percent for Borusan İstikbal and Borusan Mannesman, 0.86 percent for Çayırova and all others, and 0.86 percent for Tosçelik).⁸ After this adjustment, the resulting cash deposit rates will be 0.00 percent for Borusan İstikbal and Borusan Mannesmann, 22.09 percent for Çayırova, 5.80 percent for Tosçelik, and 6.24 percent for all others. The suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of the final affirmative determination of sales at LTFV. Because the final determination in this proceeding is affirmative, in accordance with section 735(b)(2) of the Act, the ITC will make its final determination as to whether the domestic industry in the United States is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of welded line pipe from Turkey no later than 45 days after our final determination. If the ITC determines that material injury or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all cash deposits will be refunded. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing CBP to assess, upon further instruction by the Department, antidumping duties on all imports of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for

⁷ See, *e.g.*, *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Carbazole Violet Pigment 23 From India*, 69 FR 67306, 67307 (Nov. 17, 2004); and *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Negative Critical Circumstances Determination: Bottom Mount Combination Refrigerator-Freezers From the Republic of Korea*, 77 FR 17413 (March 26, 2012).

⁸ See Memorandum to the File from Alice Maldonado, Analyst, entitled, "Placing Information on the Record: Export Subsidies Calculated in the Final Determination of the Countervailing Duty Investigation of Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Turkey," dated October 5, 2015.

consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Orders (APO)

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This determination and this notice are issued and published pursuant to sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 5, 2015.

Paul Piguado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix I—Scope of the Investigation

The merchandise covered by this investigation is circular welded carbon and alloy steel (other than stainless steel) pipe of a kind used for oil or gas pipelines (welded line pipe), not more than 24 inches in nominal outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, length, surface finish, end finish, or stenciling. Welded line pipe is normally produced to the American Petroleum Institute (API) specification 5L, but can be produced to comparable foreign specifications, to proprietary grades, or can be non-graded material. All pipe meeting the physical description set forth above, including multiple-stenciled pipe with an API or comparable foreign specification line pipe stencil is covered by the scope of this investigation.

The welded line pipe that is subject to this investigation is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) under subheadings 7305.11.1030, 7305.11.5000, 7305.12.1030, 7305.12.5000, 7305.19.1030, 7305.19.5000, 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, and 7306.19.5150. The subject merchandise may also enter in HTSUS 7305.11.1060 and 7305.12.1060. While the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

1. Summary
2. Background
3. Scope of the Investigation
4. Margin Calculations
5. Discussion of the Issues
 - a. Duty Drawback Comments
 - i. Duty Drawback
 - ii. KKDF
 - iii. U.S. Exports of Subject Merchandise
 - iv. Unreliability of Reported Duty Drawback Information

- v. Deducting Expenses from the Duty Drawback Calculation
- vi. Making a Duty Drawback Adjustment to Normal Value and/or Capping the U.S. Duty Drawback Adjustment
- vii. Treatment of Duty Drawback in the Calculation of the Cash Deposit Rate
- viii. Moot Arguments related to Duty Drawback
- b. Company-Specific Comments
 - i. Çayirova
 - 1. Çayirova's U.S. Date of Sale
 - 2. Çayirova's Pipe Specification for a Home Market Sale
 - 3. Çayirova's General and Administrative (G&A) Expenses
 - ii. Tosçelik
 - 1. Tosçelik's Reporting of Home Market Sales
 - 2. Tosçelik's Home Market Interest Rate
 - 3. Tosçelik's Late Shipment Penalties
 - 4. Tosçelik's Net Financial Expense
 - 5. Tosçelik's Polyethylene (PE) Coated Product Costs
 - 6. Tosçelik's Revised Manufacturing Costs
 - 7. Tosçelik's Second Quality Pipe Adjustment
 - 8. Moot Arguments for Tosçelik
 - iii. Borusan Mannesmann and Borusan Istikbal
 - 1. Basing the Margin for Borusan Mannesmann and Borusan Istikbal on AFA
- 6. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-25990 Filed 10-9-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-580-877]

Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Final Negative Countervailing Duty Determination

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) determines that countervailable subsidies are not being provided to producers and exporters of welded line pipe from the Republic of Korea (Korea). The period of investigation is January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013.

DATES: Effective date: October 13, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rebecca Trainor or Reza Karamloo, Office II, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4007 or (202) 482-4470, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The petitioners in this investigation are American Cast Iron Pipe Company, Energex (a division of JMC Steel Group), Maverick Tube Corporation (Maverick), Northwest Pipe Company, Stupp Corporation (a division of Stupp Bros., Inc.), Tex-Tube Company, TMK IPSCO, and Welspun Tubular LLC USA (collectively, the petitioners). In addition to the Government of the Republic of Korea, the mandatory respondents in this investigation are SeAH Steel Corporation and NEXTEEL Co. Ltd.

The events that have occurred since the Department published the *Preliminary Determination*¹ on March 20, 2015, are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby incorporated in this notice.² This memorandum also details the changes we made since the *Preliminary Determination* to the subsidy rates calculated for the mandatory respondents and all other producers/exporters. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room B8024 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/index.html>. The signed Issues and Decision Memorandum and the electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

Scope of the Investigation

The scope of the investigation covers welded line pipe, which is carbon and alloy steel pipe of a kind used for oil or gas pipelines, not more than 24 inches in nominal outside diameter. For a complete description of the scope of the investigation, see Appendix I.

¹ See *Welded Line Pipe From the Republic of Korea: Preliminary Negative Countervailing Duty Determination and Alignment of Final Determination With Final Antidumping Duty Determination*, 80 FR 14907 (March 20, 2015) (*Preliminary Determination*).

² See Memorandum to Paul Piquado, "Countervailing Duty Investigation of Welded Line Pipe from the Republic of Korea: Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Negative Determination" (October 5, 2015) (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

Analysis of Subsidy Programs and Comments Received

The subsidy programs under investigation and the issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties in this investigation are discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, dated concurrently with this notice. A list of the issues that parties raised, and to which we responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as Appendix II.

Final Determination

We determine the countervailable subsidy rates to be:

Company	Subsidy rate
SeAH Steel Corporation.	0.44 percent (<i>de minimis</i>)
NEXTEEL Co., Ltd	0.28 percent (<i>de minimis</i>)

Because the total estimated net countervailable subsidy rate for each examined company is *de minimis*, we determine that countervailable subsidies are not being provided to producers or exporters of welded line pipe from Korea. We did not calculate an all-others rate pursuant to sections 705(c)(1)(B) and (c)(5) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) because we did not reach an affirmative final determination. Because our final determination is negative, this proceeding is terminated in accordance with section 705(c)(2) of the Act.

In the *Preliminary Determination*, the total net countervailable subsidy rates for the individually examined respondents were *de minimis* and, therefore, we did not suspend liquidation of entries of welded line pipe from Korea. Because the estimated subsidy rates for the examined companies are *de minimis* in this final determination, we are not directing U.S. Customs and Border Protection to suspend liquidation of entries of welded line pipe from Korea.

International Trade Commission (ITC) Notification

In accordance with section 705(d) of the Act, we will notify the ITC of our final determination. Because our final determination is negative, this investigation is terminated.

Return or Destruction of Proprietary Information

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to the administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance