

examined respondent whose weighted-average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent), the Department will calculate importer-specific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales and the total entered value of sales.<sup>25</sup> We will instruct CBP to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review when the importer-specific assessment rate is above *de minimis*. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

Pursuant to a refinement in the Department's non-market economy ("NME") practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during this review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. In addition, if the Department determines that an exporter under review had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.<sup>26</sup>

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For Jacobi, Datong, and the non-examined, separate rate respondents, the cash deposit rate will be equal to their weighted-average dumping margins established in the final results of this review; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed above that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding in which they were reviewed; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin for

the PRC-wide entity (*i.e.*, 2.42 U.S. Dollars per kilogram); and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporters that supplied that non-PRC exporter. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

#### Notification to Importers Regarding the Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties has occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 2, 2015.

**Ronald Lorentzen,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

#### Appendix—Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary  
Background  
Discussion of the Issues  
General Issues

Comment 1: Surrogate Country  
Comment 2: Financial Statements

Comment 3: Value Added Tax and Entered Value

Comment 4: Application of the Differential Pricing Analysis

Surrogate Values

Comment 5: Anthracite Coal Surrogate Value

Comment 6: Carbonized Material Surrogate Value

Comment 7: Surrogate Value—Coal Tar

Comment 8: Surrogate Value—Buckle

Comment 9: Surrogate Value—Paperboard

Comment 10: Surrogate Value—

Hydrochloric Acid

Comment 11: Labor

Comment 12: Brokerage and Handling

Comment 13: Truck Freight

Company Specific Issues

Comment 14: Whether the Department

Correctly Converted Jacobi's Indirect

Selling Expense From Pounds to Metric

Tons in Its Margin Program

Comment 15: Juqiang's Margin Program

[FR Doc. 2015-25810 Filed 10-8-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Patent and Trademark Office

#### International Work Sharing

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comment request.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on the extension of a continuing information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13 (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)).

**DATES:** Written comments must be submitted on or before December 8, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

- *Email:* [InformationCollection@uspto.gov](mailto:InformationCollection@uspto.gov). Include "0651-0079 comment" in the subject line of the message.
- *Federal Rulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.
- *Mail:* Marcie Lovett, Records Management Division Director, Office of the Chief Information Officer, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information should be directed to Amber Ostrup, Program Manager, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450; by telephone at 571-272-7984; or by email

<sup>25</sup> See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101 (February 14, 2012).

<sup>26</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Assessment Practice Refinement*, 76 FR at 65694.

at *Amber.Ostrup@USPTO.GOV* with “0651–0079 comment” in the subject line. Additional information about this collection is also available at *http://www.reginfo.gov* under “Information Collection Review.”

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Abstract**

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) established a Work Sharing Pilot Program in conjunction with the Japan Patent Office (JPO) and the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) to study how the exchange of search results between offices for corresponding counterpart applications improves patent quality and facilitates the examination of patent applications in both offices. Under this Work Sharing Pilot Program, two Collaborative Search Pilot (CSP) programs—USPTO–JPO and USPTO–KIPO—have been implemented. Through their respective CSP(s), each office concurrently conducts searches on corresponding counterpart applications. Each office’s search results are exchanged following these concurrent searches, which provides examiners with a comprehensive set of art before them at commencement of examination.

Work sharing between Intellectual Property (IP) offices is critical for increasing the efficiency and quality of patent examination worldwide. The exchange of information and documents

between IP offices also benefits applicants by promoting compact prosecution, reducing pendency, and supporting patent quality by reducing the likelihood of inconsistencies in patentability determinations among IP offices when considering corresponding counterpart applications. The gains in efficiency and quality are achieved through a collaborative work sharing approach to the evaluation of patent claims. As a result of this exchange of search reports, the examiners in both offices may have a more comprehensive set of references before them when making an initial patentability determination.

**II. Method of Collection**

The forms associated with this collection may be downloaded from the USPTO Web site in Portable Document Format (PDF) and filled out electronically. Requests to participate in the International Work Sharing Program must be submitted online using EFS-Web, the USPTO’s web-based electronic filing system.

**III. Data**

*OMB Number:* 0651–0079.

*IC Instruments:* The individual instruments in this collection, as well as their associated forms, are listed in the table below.

*Type of Review:* Revision of a currently previously existing Information Collection.

*Affected Public:* Individuals or households; businesses or other for-profits; and not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 900 responses per year. The USPTO estimates that 100 percent of the annual responses for this collection will be submitted electronically via EFS-Web, which customers may access through the USPTO Web site.

*Estimated Time per Response:* The USPTO estimates that it will take the public approximately between 5 minutes (.083 hours) and 3 hours to complete the information in this collection, including the time to gather the necessary information, prepare the forms or documents, and submit the completed request to the USPTO.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent Burden Hours:* 1,533.33 hours.

*Estimated Total Annual Respondent (Hourly) Cost Burden:* \$628,530.00. The USPTO expects that an attorney will complete the instruments associated with this information collection. The professional hourly rate for an attorney is \$410. Using this hourly rate applied to the total annual hour burden estimation of 1,533.33 hours, the USPTO estimates \$628,530.00 per year for the total hourly costs associated with respondents.

The time per response, estimated annual responses, and estimated annual hour burden associated with each instrument in this information collection is shown in the table below.

IC No.	Information collection instrument	Estimated time for responses (minutes)	Estimated annual responses	Estimated annual burden hours	Rate (\$/hr)
		(a)	(b)	(a) × (b)/60 = (c)	(d)
1 .....	Petition for Participation in the Collaborative Search Pilot (CSP) Program Between the Japan Patent Office (JPO) and the USPTO (PTO/SB/437JP).	180	250	750	\$410.00
2 .....	Petition for Participation in the Collaborative Search Pilot (CSP) Program Between the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO) and the USPTO (PTO/SB/437KR).	180	250	750	410.00
3 .....	CSP Survey (PTO/SB/CSP Survey 1) .....	5	400	33.33	410.00
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	900	1,533.33

*Estimated Total Annual (Non-hour) Respondent Cost Burden:* \$0. There are no estimated filing fees or postage costs for this collection.

**IV. Request for Comments**

Comments are invited on:

- (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility;
- (b) the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden (including hours

and cost) of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: October 2, 2015.

**Marcie Lovett,**

*Records Management Division Director, USPTO, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2015–25828 Filed 10–8–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–16–P**