

funds transfer payments, and shall submit this designation to the Grant Officer or other Government official, as directed.

(b) For payment through FEDLINE, the recipient shall provide the following information:

(1) Name, address, and telegraphic abbreviation of the financial institution receiving payment.

(2) The American Bankers Association 9-digit identifying number for wire transfers of the financing institution receiving payment if the institution has access to the Federal Reserve Communication System.

(3) Payee's account number at the financial institution where funds are to be transferred.

(4) If the financial institution does not have access to the Federal Reserve Communications System, name, address, and telegraphic abbreviation of the correspondent financial institution through which the financial institution receiving payment obtains wire transfer activity. Provide the telegraphic abbreviation and American Bankers Association identifying number for the correspondent institution.

(c) For payment through ACH, the recipient shall provide the following information:

(1) Routing transit number of the financial institution receiving payment (same as American Bankers Association identifying number used for FEDLINE).

(2) Number of account to which funds are to be deposited.

(3) Type of depositor account ("C" for checking, "S" for savings).

(4) If the recipient is a new enrollee to the ACH system, a "Payment Information Form," SF 3881, must be completed before payment can be processed.

(d) In the event the recipient, during the performance of this award, elects to designate a different financial institution for the receipt of any payment made using electronic funds transfer procedures, notification of such change and the required information specified above must be received by the appropriate Government official 30 days prior to the date such change is to become effective.

(e) The documents furnishing the information required in this term and condition must be dated and contain the signature, title, and telephone number of the recipient official authorized to provide it, as well as the recipient's name and award number.

(f) Failure to properly designate a financial institution or to provide appropriate payee bank account information may delay payments of amounts otherwise properly due.

(g) The requirements set forth in this term and condition shall govern to the extent these requirements are inconsistent with the requirements in term and condition "Financial Management".

(End of Term and Condition)

[FR Doc. 2015-21434 Filed 9-10-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7510-13-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Rural Housing Service

#### 7 CFR Part 3550

RIN 0575-AC88

#### Single Family Housing Direct Loan Program

**AGENCY:** Rural Housing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Final rule; delay of effective date.

**SUMMARY:** On April 29, 2015, the Rural Housing Service (RHS) published a final rule to create a certified loan application packaging process for the direct single family housing loan program. On June 5, 2015, the final rule's effective date was deferred to October 1, 2015. The final rule's effective date is further delayed until October 1, 2016.

**DATES: Effective Date:** The effective date of the final rule published April 29, 2015 (80 FR 23673), effective July 28, 2015, and delayed on June 5, 2015 (80 FR 31971), is further delayed until October 1, 2016. RHS will publish in the **Federal Register** another document changing the effective date if and when appropriations mandates impacting Fiscal Year 2016 do not reference the packaging pilot program.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brooke Baumann, Branch Chief, Single Family Housing Direct Loan Division, USDA Rural Development, Stop 0783, 1400 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250-0783, Telephone: 202-690-4250. Email: [brooke.baumann@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:brooke.baumann@wdc.usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 729 of the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (Act) (Pub. L. 113-235) provides that the Agency will continue agreements with the current intermediaries in the pilot program and enter into additional agreements that increase the number of pilot intermediaries to at least 10. This appropriations mandate, which applies to the packaging pilot program in Fiscal Year 2015, prompted the Agency to defer the effective date of the final rule from July 28, 2015, to October 1, 2015, to allow the existing intermediaries under the pilot sufficient time to process loan application packages in their queue and to prepare for the implementation of the final rule.

Since Section 729 will remain in effect during any continuing resolution passed to continue program operations in Fiscal Year 2016 and given that similar mandatory language regarding the packaging pilot program is currently found in the Fiscal Year 2016

appropriations bills passed by the House and the Senate, the final rule to create a certified loan application packaging process will be deferred again. In an abundance of caution, the Agency takes this action to avoid the possibility of duplicative and inconsistent policies for this important certified loan application packaging process.

In the interim, existing pilot intermediaries will be contacted directly concerning extensions of their agreements. In addition, applications received from potential intermediaries under the final rule, which were due by July 9, 2015, will now be considered for inclusion in any Fiscal Year 2016 packaging pilot program.

Dated: August 31, 2015.

**Tony Hernandez,**

*Administrator, Rural Housing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2015-22785 Filed 9-10-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

#### 14 CFR Part 23

[Docket No. FAA-2015-3678; Special Conditions No. 23-268-SC]

#### Special Conditions: Korea Aerospace Industries, Ltd., Model K-100; Full Authority Digital Engine Control (FADEC) System

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final special conditions; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** These special conditions are issued for the Korea Aerospace Industries, Ltd., Model K-100 airplane. This airplane will have a novel or unusual design feature(s) associated with the use of an electronic engine control system instead of a traditional mechanical control system. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. These special conditions contain the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the existing airworthiness standards.

**DATES:** The effective date of these special conditions is September 11, 2015.

We must receive your comments by October 26, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments identified by docket number FAA–2015–3678 using any of the following methods:

□ *Federal eRegulations Portal:* Go to <http://www.regulations.gov> and follow the online instructions for sending your comments electronically.

□ *Mail:* Send comments to Docket Operations, M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Room W12–140, West Building Ground Floor, Washington, DC 20590–0001.

□ *Hand Delivery of Courier:* Take comments to Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

□ *Fax:* Fax comments to Docket Operations at 202–493–2251.

*Privacy:* The FAA will post all comments it receives, without change, to <http://regulations.gov>, including any personal information the commenter provides. Using the search function of the docket Web site, anyone can find and read the electronic form of all comments received into any FAA docket, including the name of the individual sending the comment (or signing the comment for an association, business, labor union, etc.). DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement can be found in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–19478), as well as at <http://DocketsInfo.dot.gov>.

*Docket:* Background documents or comments received may be read at <http://www.regulations.gov> at any time. Follow the online instructions for accessing the docket or go to the Docket Operations in Room W12–140 of the West Building Ground Floor at 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC, between 9 a.m., and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jeff Pretz, Federal Aviation Administration, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, ACE–111, 901 Locust, Room 301, Kansas City, MO 64106; telephone (816) 329–3239, facsimile (816) 329–4090.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The FAA has determined, in accordance with 5 U.S. Code §§ 553(b)(3)(B) and 553(d)(3), that notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are unnecessary because the substance of this special condition has been subject to the public comment process in several prior instances with no substantive comments received. The FAA therefore finds that good cause exists for making these special conditions effective upon issuance.

Special condition number	Company/airplane model
23–237–SC ..	Spectrum Aeronautical Model S–40.
23–246–SC ..	Cirrus Design Corporation Model SF50.
23–253–SC ..	Diamond Aircraft industries Model DA–40NG.

### Comments Invited

We invite interested people to take part in this rulemaking by sending written comments, data, or views. The most helpful comments reference a specific portion of the special conditions, explain the reason for any recommended change, and include supporting data. We ask that you send us two copies of written comments.

We will consider all comments we receive on or before the closing date for comments. We will consider comments filed late if it is possible to do so without incurring expense or delay. We may change these special conditions based on the comments we receive.

### Background

On December 21, 2009, Korea Aerospace Industries, Ltd., applied for a type certificate for their new Model KC–100 airplane. The KC–100 is a normal category single engine four passenger composite low wing airplane with a maximum takeoff weight of 3600 pounds. It has fixed tricycle landing gear and is designed for both Visual Flight Rules (VFR) and Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations.

The KC–100 will use an electronic engine control system (FADEC) instead of a traditional mechanical control system. The engine control system will be certificated as part of the engine; however, the installation of an engine with an electronic control system requires evaluation due to critical environmental effects and possible effects on or by other airplane electronic systems, shared engine and airplane data and power sources.

The regulatory requirements in 14 CFR part 23 for evaluating the installation of complex systems, including electronic systems and critical environmental effects, are contained in § 23.1309. When § 23.1309 was developed, the use of electronic control systems for engines was not envisioned. Therefore, § 23.1309 requirements were not applicable to systems certificated as part of the engine (reference § 23.1309(f)(1)). Parts of the system that are not certificated with the engine could be evaluated using the criteria of § 23.1309. However, the integral nature of these systems makes it unfeasible to evaluate the airplane portion of the

system without including the engine portion of the system. In some cases, the airplane that the engine is used in will require a higher classification than the engine controls are certificated for; requiring the FADEC system to be analyzed at a higher classification. As of November 2005, FADEC special conditions mandated the classification for § 23.1309 analyses for loss of FADEC control as catastrophic for any airplane using a FADEC. This is not to imply an engine failure is classified as catastrophic, but that the digital engine control must provide an equivalent reliability to mechanical engine controls.

### Type Certification Basis

Under the provisions of 14 CFR 21.17, Korea Aerospace Industries, Ltd., must show that the KC–100 meets the applicable provisions of part 23, as amended by amendment 23–1 through 23–59, thereto.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations (*i.e.*, 14 CFR part 23) do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the KC–100 because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16.

In addition to the applicable airworthiness regulations and special conditions, the KC–100 must comply with the fuel vent and exhaust emission requirements of 14 CFR part 34 and the noise certification requirements of 14 CFR part 36. The FAA must issue a finding of regulatory adequacy under § 611 of Public Law 92–574, the Noise Control Act of 1972.

The FAA issues special conditions, as defined in § 11.19, under § 11.38 and they become part of the type certification basis under § 21.17(a)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

### Novel or Unusual Design Features

The KC–100 will incorporate the following novel or unusual design features: an electronic engine control.

### Discussion

The Model KC–100 makes use of an electronic engine control system instead of a traditional mechanical control system, which is considered a novel design for this type of airplane. The applicable airworthiness regulations do

not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for this design feature. Maintaining a structured assessment to determine potential installation issues mitigates the concern that the addition of a full authority engine controller does not produce a failure condition not previously considered.

#### Applicability

The special conditions are applicable to the KC-100. Should Korea Aerospace Industries, Ltd., apply at a later date for a change to the type certificate to include another model incorporating the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to that model as well.

#### Conclusion

This action affects only certain novel or unusual design features on the KC-100. It is not a rule of general applicability and affects only the applicant who applied to the FAA for approval of these features on the airplane.

The substance of these special conditions has been subjected to the notice and comment period in several prior instances and has been derived without substantive change from those previously issued. It is unlikely that prior public comment would result in a significant change from the substance contained herein. Therefore, notice and opportunity for prior public comment hereon are unnecessary and the FAA finds good cause, in accordance with 5 U.S. Code §§ 553(b)(3)(B) and 553(d)(3), making these special conditions effective upon issuance. The FAA is requesting comments to allow interested persons to submit views that may not have been submitted in response to the prior opportunities for comment described above.

#### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 23

Aircraft, Aviation safety, Signs and symbols.

#### Citation

The authority citation for these special conditions is as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113 and 44701; 14 CFR 21.16 and 21.17; and 14 CFR 11.38 and 11.19.

#### The Special Conditions

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the following special conditions are issued as part of the type certification basis for Korea Aerospace Industries, Ltd., Model KC-100 airplanes.

#### 1. Electronic Engine Control

a. For electronic engine control system installations, it must be established that no single failure or malfunction or probable combinations of failures of Electronic Engine Control (EEC) system components will have an effect on the system, as installed in the airplane, that causes the Loss of Thrust Control (LOTC)/Loss of Power Control (LOPC) probability of the system to exceed those allowed in part 33 certification.

b. EEC system installations must be evaluated for environmental and atmospheric conditions, including lightning. The EEC system lightning and high intensity radiated frequency effects that result during an LOTC/LOPC should be considered catastrophic.

c. The components of the installation must be constructed, arranged, and installed so as to ensure their continued safe operation between normal inspections or overhauls.

d. Functions incorporated into any EEC that make it part of any equipment, system or installation having functions beyond that of basic engine control, and may also introduce system failures and malfunctions, are not exempt from § 23.1309 and must be shown to meet part 23 levels of safety as derived from § 23.1309. Part 33 certification data, if applicable, may be used to show compliance with any part 23 requirements. If part 33 data is to be used to substantiate compliance with part 23 requirements, then the part 23 applicant must be able to provide this data for their showing of compliance.

**Note:** The term “probable” in the context of “probable combination of failures” does not have the same meaning as in AC 23.13091D. The term “probable” in “probable combination of failures” means “foreseeable,” or not “extremely improbable,” as referenced in AC 23.1309-1D.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri on August 28, 2015.

**Earl Lawrence,**

*Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.*

[FR Doc. 2015-22872 Filed 9-10-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

#### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

#### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 1308

[Docket No. DEA-415F]

#### Schedules of Controlled Substances: Removal of [123I]ioflupane From Schedule II of the Controlled Substances Act

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** With the issuance of this final rule, the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration removes [123I]ioflupane from the schedules of the Controlled Substances Act. This action is pursuant to the Controlled Substances Act which requires that such actions be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing through formal rulemaking. Prior to the effective date of this rule, [123I]ioflupane was, by definition, a schedule II controlled substance because it is derived from cocaine via ecgonine, both of which are schedule II controlled substances. This action removes the regulatory controls and administrative, civil, and criminal sanctions applicable to controlled substances, including those specific to schedule II controlled substances, on persons who handle (manufacture, distribute, reverse distribute, dispense, conduct research, import, export, or conduct chemical analysis) or propose to handle [123I]ioflupane.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* September 11, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** John R. Scherbenske, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration; Mailing Address: 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; Telephone: (202) 598-6812.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Legal Authority

The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) implements and enforces titles II and III of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, as amended. 21 U.S.C. 801-971. Titles II and III are referred to as the “Controlled Substances Act” and the “Controlled Substances Import and Export Act,” respectively, and are collectively referred to as the “Controlled Substances Act” or the “CSA” for the purpose of this action. The DEA publishes the implementing regulations for these statutes in title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), chapter II.