

responsibility, under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2), to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), this notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under the APO, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: September 2, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

Summary

Background

Scope of the Order

Discussion of the Issues

Comment 1: Legal Authority to Consider an Alternative Comparison Method in an Administrative Review

Comment 2: Withdrawal of the Regulatory Provisions Governing Targeted Dumping in LTFV Investigations

Comment 3: Differential Pricing Analysis and the Administrative Procedures Act
Comment 4: Differential Pricing Analysis: Identification of a Pattern of Prices that Differ Significantly

Comment 5: Differential Pricing Analysis: Whether the Average-to-Average (A-to-A) Method Can Account for Such Differences

Comment 6: Differential Pricing Analysis: The Impact of "Zeroing"

Comment 7: Differential Pricing Analysis: Thresholds in the "Ratio Test"

Comment 8: Other Arguments Related to Differential Pricing Analysis

Comment 9: Whether to Include Certain Costs Incurred by KR Enterprises in Falcon's Reported Cost of Manufacturing

Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2015-22869 Filed 9-9-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Request for Comments On World Health Organization Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Framework

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice and Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: The International Trade Administration invites submission of comments from the public and relevant industries on influenza surveillance and response, related to the implementation of the World Health Organization (WHO) Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Framework (PIP-FW) (http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA64/A64_8-en.pdf). Comments will be used to support the five-year review of the WHO PIP-FW in 2016.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before October 7, 2015. Comments should be no more than 15 pages. Business-confidential information should be clearly identified as such.

ADDRESSES: Submissions should be made via the Internet at www.regulations.gov docket number ITA-2015-0003. For alternatives to on-line submissions please contact Jennifer Boger at (202) 482-3360. The public is strongly encouraged to file submissions electronically rather than by facsimile or mail.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding the submission of comments should be directed to Jennifer Boger at (202) 482-3360, Jennifer.Boger@trade.gov, or Bijou Mgbojikwe at (202) 482-1722, Bijou.Mgbojikwe@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: In 2007, the Sixtieth World Health Assembly passed a resolution calling on the Director-General to convene an intergovernmental meeting to develop mechanisms to ensure the continued sharing of potential pandemic influenza viruses, and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from such sample sharing. For four years, WHO member states met as an Intergovernmental Mechanism, as well as informally, to negotiate the Pandemic Influenza Preparedness Framework (PIP-FW). The PIP-FW came into effect on May 24, 2011 when it was unanimously adopted by the Sixty-fourth World Health Assembly.

The key goals of PIP are to improve and strengthen global influenza pandemic preparedness by:

(1) Ensuring the global sharing of influenza viruses with human pandemic potential for continuous global monitoring and assessment of risks, and for the development of safe and effective countermeasures. The PIP-FW provides a transparent mechanism for sharing virus samples, based on two Standard Material Transfer Agreements (SMTAs) that specify the conditions for samples passed within and outside of the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System (GISRS), and a traceability mechanism to monitor the movement of samples.

(2) Increasing countries' access to vaccines and other pandemic related resources. Two innovative and complementary benefit-sharing mechanisms pool monetary and in-kind contributions from entities that use the GISRS to enhance pandemic influenza preparedness and response capacity for countries in need and at risk of pandemic influenza: The annual partnership contribution and the SMTA-2.

At the core of the PIP-FW is a robust Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System (GISRS, previously called the Global Influenza Surveillance Network or GISN). Section 7.4.2 of the PIP-FW provides that: "The Framework and its Annexes will be reviewed by 2016 with a view to proposing revisions reflecting development as appropriate, to the World Health Assembly in 2017, through the Executive Board." It is in anticipation of the 2016 review that the U.S. Department of Commerce seeks comments on the following points:

(1) Experiences, best practices, opportunities, and challenges in advancing the PIP-FW over the past five years.

(2) Experiences relating to the status and process of concluding Standard Material Transfer Agreements (SMTA2).

(3) Use of partnership contributions and WHO efforts to strengthen the GISRS and overall global preparedness and response capability/capacity.

(4) How changing technology has impacted or has the ability to impact the existing PIP-FW.

(5) Other matters related to prevention, planning and response whose resolution will be integral for the effective operation of a global influenza pandemic response.

The facts and information obtained from written submissions will be used to inform the participation of the U.S. Department of Commerce in the interagency process to prepare for United States participation for the five-

year 2016 review of the PIP–FW. Upon receipt of the written submission, representatives from the U.S. Department of Commerce will consider them and share them, as needed, with other interested U.S. Government agencies and departments engaging in the five-year review process.

The Department of Commerce invites comments from civil society organizations as well as pharmaceutical and medical technology industries and other interested members of the public on a number of issues regarding pandemic influenza preparedness and response. Entities making submissions may be contacted for further information or explanation and, in some cases, meetings with submitters may be requested.

Dated: September 3, 2015.

Jennifer Boger,

Health Team Director, Office of Health and Information Technologies.

[FR Doc. 2015–22730 Filed 9–9–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Institute of Standards and Technology

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Hollings Manufacturing Extension Partnership (HMEP) Program Application Requirements

AGENCY: NIST, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before November 9, 2015.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at Jjessup@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to Diane Henderson, Manufacturing Extension Partnership, 100 Bureau Drive, Mail Stop 4800, 301–975–5105, mepffo@nist.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

The National Institute of Standards and Technology's (NIST) Hollings Manufacturing Extension Partnership (MEP) works with small and medium-sized U.S. manufacturers to help them create and retain jobs, increase profits, and save time and money. The nationwide network provides a variety of services, from innovation strategies to process improvements to sustainable manufacturing, supply chain and technology acceleration services. MEP centers also work with partners at the State and Federal levels on programs that put manufacturers in position to develop new customers, expand into new markets and create new products.

As a program of the U.S. Department of Commerce, MEP offers a range of effective resources to help manufacturers identify opportunities that will accelerate and strengthen their growth and competitiveness in the global marketplace.

MEP is a nationwide network of more than 1,200 technical experts—located in every State—serving as trusted business advisors focused on transforming U.S. manufacturers to compete globally, supporting supply chain integration, and providing access to technology for improved productivity. MEP is built around manufacturing extension centers locally positioned throughout the 50 States and Puerto Rico. MEP Centers are a diverse network of State, non-profit university-based, and other non-profit organizations, offering products and services that address the critical needs of their local manufacturers.

Each MEP Center works directly with area manufacturers to provide expertise and services tailored to their most critical needs, ranging from process improvement and workforce development to business practices and technology transfer. Additionally, MEP Centers connect manufacturers with government and trade associations, universities and research laboratories, and a host of other public and private resources to help them realize individual business goals.

Through local and national resources, MEP Centers have helped thousands of manufacturers reinvent themselves, increase profits, create jobs and establish a foundation for long-term business growth and productivity.

This request is for the information collection requirements associated with submission of proposals for NIST MEP funding. The intent of the collection is to meet statutory requirements for NIST MEP, as well as compliance with 15

U.S.C. 278k, as implemented in 15 CFR part 290.

II. Method of Collection

Electronically via www.grants.gov.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0693–0056.

Form Number(s): None.

Type of Review: Regular Revision of a currently approved information collection.

Affected Public: U.S.-based nonprofit institution or organization. Nonprofit institutions include public and private nonprofit organizations, nonprofit or State colleges and universities, public or nonprofit community and technical colleges, and State, local or Tribal governments.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 24.

Estimated Time per Response: 112 hrs.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 2,688 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: 0.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of this information collection; they also will become a matter of public record.

Dated: September 4, 2015.

Glenna Mickelson,

Management Analyst, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2015–22788 Filed 9–9–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–13–P