

illustrations. To view the petition and all supporting documents log onto the Federal Docket Management System (FDMS) Web site at: <http://www.regulations.gov/>. Then follow the online search instructions to locate docket number “NHTSA–2015–0074.”

Baby Jogger additionally informed NHTSA that they have corrected all labeling noncompliances and that all future productions of the infant car seat/stroller systems and stand-alone units will be in full compliance with FMVSS No. 213.

In summation, Baby Jogger believes that the described noncompliance of the subject infant car seat/stroller systems and standalone units is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition, to exempt Baby Jogger from providing recall notification of noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118 and remedying the recall noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120 should be granted.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, any decision on this petition only applies to the subject child restraints that Baby Jogger no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, any decision on this petition does not relieve child restraint distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant child restraints under their control after Baby Jogger notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

**Jeffrey Giuseppe,**

*Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2015–22573 Filed 9–4–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–59–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Surface Transportation Board**

[Docket No. FD 34075]

**Six County Association of Governments—Construction and Operation Exemption—A Rail Line Between Levan and Salina, Utah**

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of construction and operation exemption.

**SUMMARY:** The Board is granting an exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502 from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10901 for Six County Association of Governments (Six County) to construct and operate a new line of railroad between Salina, Utah, and a connection with an existing line of the Union Pacific Railroad Company near Juab, Utah. The rail line would provide an alternative rail service option to local industries, particularly the Southern Utah Fuel Company coal mine located about 30 miles northeast of Salina. This exemption is subject to environmental mitigation conditions.

**DATES:** The exemption will be effective on October 3, 2015; petitions for reconsideration must be filed by September 23, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** An original and 10 copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 34075 must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington DC 20423–0001. In addition, one copy of each filing in this proceeding must be served on petitioner’s representative: Sandra L. Brown, Thompson Hine LLP, 1919 M Street NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC 20036–1600.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Nathaniel Bawcombe, (202) 245–0376. (Assistance for the hearing impaired is available through the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at: (800) 877–8339). Copies of written filings will be available for viewing and self-copying at the Board’s Public Docket Room, Room 131, and will be posted to the Board’s Web site.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Additional information is contained in the Board’s decision. Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at “[WWW.STB.DOT.GOV](http://WWW.STB.DOT.GOV).”

By the Board, Chairman Elliott, Vice Chairman Begeman, and Commissioner Miller.

Decided: August 31, 2015.

**Kenyatta Clay,**  
*Clearance Clerk.*

[FR Doc. 2015–22537 Filed 9–4–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4915–01–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Surface Transportation Board**

**Information Collection Activities: Statutory Licensing and Consolidation Authority**

**AGENCY:** Surface Transportation Board, DOT.

**ACTION:** 30-day notice and request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. 3501–3519 (PRA), the Surface Transportation Board (Board) gives notice that it is requesting from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval of an extension of the information collection—Statutory Licensing and Consolidation Authority—further described below. The Board previously published a notice about this collection in the **Federal Register**, 80 FR 38,508 (July 6, 2015). That notice allowed for a 60-day public review and comment period. One comment was received and is addressed in the agency’s submission to OMB as part of this approval process.

Under 49 U.S.C. 10901–03 and §§ 11323–26, rail carriers and non-carriers are required to file an application with the Board, or seek an exemption (through petition or notice) from the full application process under § 10502, before they may construct, acquire, or operate a line of railroad; abandon or discontinue operations over a line of railroad; or consolidate their interests through a merger or common-control arrangement. (The relevant information collections are described in more detail below.)

Comments are requested concerning: (1) The accuracy of the Board’s burden estimates; (2) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; (3) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, when appropriate; and (4) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Board, including whether the collection has practical utility.