

extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning U.S. Customs and Border Protection data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: August 27, 2015.

Gary Taverman,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015-21777 Filed 9-1-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has determined that TPBI Public Company Limited (TPBI) is the successor-in-interest to Thai Plastic Bags Industries Company Limited (Thai Plastic Bags Company) for purposes of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand and, as such, will be entitled to Thai Plastic Bags Company's exclusion from the antidumping duty order.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 2, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Schauer, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-0410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 20, 2015, pursuant to a request from TPBI, we initiated and announced the preliminary results of a changed-circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on PRCBs from Thailand to determine whether TPBI was a successor-in-interest to Thai Plastic Bags Company.¹

In the *Preliminary Results*, we solicited comments from interested parties.² The only party to comment on the *Preliminary Results* was TPBI supporting the *Preliminary Results*.³

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is PRCBs, which may be referred to as t-shirt sacks, merchandise bags, grocery bags, or checkout bags. The subject merchandise is defined as non-sealable sacks and bags with handles (including drawstrings), without zippers or integral extruded closures, with or without gussets, with or without printing, of polyethylene film having a thickness no greater than 0.035 inch (0.889 mm) and no less than 0.00035 inch (0.00889 mm), and with no length or width shorter than 6 inches (15.24 cm) or longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm). The depth of the bag may be shorter than 6 inches but not longer than 40 inches (101.6 cm).

PRCBs are typically provided without any consumer packaging and free of

charge by retail establishments, e.g., grocery, drug, convenience, department, specialty retail, discount stores, and restaurants, to their customers to package and carry their purchased products. The scope of the order excludes (1) polyethylene bags that are not printed with logos or store names and that are closeable with drawstrings made of polyethylene film and (2) polyethylene bags that are packed in consumer packaging with printing that refers to specific end-uses other than packaging and carrying merchandise from retail establishments, e.g., garbage bags, lawn bags, trash-can liners.

As a result of changes to the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), imports of the subject merchandise are currently classifiable under statistical category 3923.21.0085 of the HTSUS. Furthermore, although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Final Results of the Changed Circumstances Review

In 2010, the antidumping duty order on PRCBs from Thailand was partially revoked with respect to Thai Plastic Bags Company.⁴ For the reasons stated in the *Preliminary Results*, we continue to find that TPBI is the successor-in-interest to Thai Plastic Bags Company and, as a result, should be accorded the same treatment as Thai Plastic Bags Company.⁵ We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to neither suspend liquidation nor collect cash deposits with respect to TPBI.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.306. Timely written notification of the destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is published in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and

¹ See *Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Notice of Initiation and Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review*, 80 FR 42789 (July 20, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*).

² *Id.*

³ See letter from TPBI, "Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags (PRCBs) from Thailand: Expedited Changed Circumstances Review" (July 17, 2015).

⁴ See *Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and Partial Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order on Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand*, 75 FR 48940 (August 12, 2010).

⁵ See *Preliminary Results* and accompanying Decision Memorandum.

777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221.

Dated: August 27, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015-21769 Filed 9-1-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-805]

Certain Pasta From Turkey: Initiation of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

DATES: *Effective Date:* September 2, 2015.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey involving DURUM Gida Sanayi ve Ticaret A.S. (Durum).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker, AD/CVD Operations Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; Telephone: (202) 482-2924.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Turkey published in the **Federal Register** on July 24, 1996.¹ Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), we received a timely request for a new shipper review of the order from Durum.² Durum certified that it is both the producer and exporter of the subject merchandise upon which the request was based.³

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(I) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), Durum certified that it did not export subject merchandise to the United States during the period of investigation (POI).⁴ In addition, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B)(i)(II) of the Act and 19 CFR

¹ See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determinations of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta From Turkey*, 61 FR 38545 (July 24, 1996) (*Order*).

² See Durum's new shipper request dated July 27, 2015, and the revised version (correcting for filing errors) submitted August 11, 2015.

³ *Id.*, at Exhibit 1.

⁴ *Id.*

351.214(b)(2)(iii)(A), Durum certified that, since the initiation of the investigation, it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer that exported subject merchandise to the United States during the POI, including those respondents not individually examined during the POI.⁵

In addition to the certifications described above, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2), Durum submitted documentation establishing the following: (1) The date on which the subject merchandise was first entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption; (2) the volume of its first shipment; and (3) the date of its first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.⁶

Period of Review

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A) of the Act, the period of review (POR) for the new shipper reviews of Durum is July 1, 2014, through June 30, 2015.

Initiation of New Shipper Review

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), the Department finds that the request from Durum meets the threshold requirements for initiation of a new shipper review for shipments of certain pasta from Turkey produced and exported by Durum.⁷

The Department intends to issue the preliminary results of this new shipper review no later than 180 days from the date of initiation and the final results of the review no later than 90 days after the date the preliminary results are issued.⁸

We will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of a cash deposit for each entry of the subject merchandise from Durum in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(iii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(e). Because Durum certified that it produced and exported subject merchandise, the sale of which is the basis for the request for a new shipper review, we will apply the bonding privilege to Durum only for subject merchandise which was produced and exported by Durum.

To assist in its analysis of the *bona fides* of Durum's sales, upon initiation of this new shipper review, the Department will require Durum to

⁵ *Id.*

⁶ *Id.*, at Exhibit 2.

⁷ See the memorandum to the file entitled "Initiation of AD New Shipper Review" dated concurrently with this notice.

⁸ See section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act.

submit on an ongoing basis complete transaction information concerning any sales of subject merchandise to the United States that were made subsequent to the POR.

Interested parties requiring access to proprietary information in the new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are published in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214 and 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: August 27, 2015.

Gary Taverman,

Associate Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015-21776 Filed 9-1-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-421-811]

Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On June 8, 2015, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on purified carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) from the Netherlands.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. We received no comments or requests for a hearing. Therefore, for the final results, we continue to find that sales of subject merchandise by Akzo Nobel Functional Chemicals, B.V./AkzoNobel Chemicals AG (Akzo Nobel) were not made at less than normal value during the period of review (POR).²

DATES: Effective date: September 2, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Drury or Angelica Townshend, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and

¹ See *Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013-2014*, 80 FR 32340 (June 8, 2015) (*Preliminary Results*).

² The Department preliminarily determined to collapse Akzo Nobel Functional Chemicals B.V. and AkzoNobel Chemicals AG into a single entity. See *Preliminary Results*.