

North America, an operator of FTZ 39, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board for its facility in Dallas, Texas.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (80 FR 25278, 5-4-2015). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the FTZ Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: August 19, 2015.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-21050 Filed 8-24-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-28-2015]

Foreign-Trade Zone 82—Mobile, Alabama; Authorization of Production Activity; Outokumpu Stainless USA, LLC (Stainless Steel Products); Calvert, Alabama

On April 21, 2015, the City of Mobile, grantee of FTZ 82, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of Outokumpu Stainless USA, LLC, within Subzone 82I, in Calvert, Alabama.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (80 FR 26537-26538, 5-8-2015). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.14, and further subject to a condition that all foreign status ferrosilicon, molybdenum and titanium classified under HTSUS Subheadings 7202.21, 8102.94, 8108.20 and 8108.90 be admitted to the subzone in privileged foreign status (19 CFR 146.41).

Dated: August 19, 2015.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-21049 Filed 8-24-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-24-2015]

Foreign-Trade Zone (FTZ) 7—Mayaguez, Puerto Rico; Authorization of Production Activity; Neolpharma, Inc.; Subzone 7O; (Pharmaceutical Products) Caguas, Puerto Rico

On April 20, 2015, the Puerto Rico Industrial Development Company, grantee of FTZ 7, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of Neolpharma, Inc., located within Subzone 7O, in Caguas, Puerto Rico.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (84 FR 24895-24896, 05-01-2015). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: August 18, 2015.

Andrew McGilvray,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-21051 Filed 8-24-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-967; C-570-968]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Scope Ruling and Notice of Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On July 22, 2015, the United States Court of International Trade (CIT or Court) sustained the Department of Commerce's (Department's) final results of redetermination,¹ in which the Department determined that certain Quick-Connect frames and Quick-Connect handles imported by

¹ See *Rubbermaid Commercial Products LLC v. United States*, Court No. 11-00463, Slip Op. 15-79 (CIT July 22, 2015) (*Rubbermaid II*), which sustained the Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to Court Remand, *Rubbermaid Commercial Products LLC v. United States*, Court No. 11-00463 (CIT September 23, 2014) (Remand Results).

Rubbermaid Commercial Products LLC (Rubbermaid) meet the description of excluded finished merchandise, and that certain mopping kits imported by Rubbermaid meet the description of excluded finished goods kits, and are therefore not covered by the scope of the *Orders*,² pursuant to the CIT's remand order in *Rubbermaid Commercial Products LLC v. United States*, Court No. 11-00463, Slip Op. 14-113 (CIT September 23, 2014) (*Rubbermaid I*).

Consistent with the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in *Timken*,³ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁴ the Department is notifying the public that the final judgment in this case is not in harmony with the Department's Final Scope Ruling on Cleaning System Components and is therefore amending its final scope ruling.⁵

DATES: *Effective date:* August 1, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eric B. Greynolds, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: 202-482-6071.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 7, 2011, Rubbermaid submitted its scope request involving 13 product models, which fall into three categories of floor cleaning products: Quick-Connect frames, Quick-Connect handles, and mopping kits.⁶ The Department issued the Final Scope Ruling on Cleaning System Components on October 25, 2011, in which it determined that the Quick-Connect frames and Quick-Connect handles at issue do not meet the exclusion criteria for finished merchandise and, thus, are covered by the scope of the *Orders* because they are designed to function collaboratively in order to form a completed cleaning device, but the components to make a final cleaning device are not part of a packaged combination at the time of

² See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 30650 (May 26, 2011) and *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011) (*Orders*).

³ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁴ See *Diamond Sawblades Mfrs. Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).

⁵ See Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, "Final Scope Ruling on Certain Cleaning System Components," (October 25, 2011) (Final Scope Ruling on Cleaning System Components).

⁶ See Rubbermaid's July 7, 2011, Scope Request (Scope Request).

importation.⁷ The Department further determined that the mopping kits at issue do not meet the exclusion criteria for finished goods kits and, thus, are covered by the scope of the *Orders* because they lack the disposable mop ends at the time of importation.⁸

In *Rubbermaid I* the Court held that the Department failed to adequately explain its reasoning in the final scope ruling that the Quick-Connect frames and Quick-Connect handles at issue did not meet the finished merchandise exclusion because they were “designed to function collaboratively” with other components to form a completed cleaning device.⁹ Thus, on remand, the Court ordered the Department to reconsider its analysis of the finished merchandise exclusion and its application to products designed to work in conjunction with other goods,¹⁰ and to further consider Rubbermaid’s argument distinguishing “finished goods” (to be excluded) from “intermediate goods” (to be included).¹¹ In addition, the Court ordered the Department to reconsider its alleged distinction between merchandise that is designed to be adaptable, interchangeable and flexible, and merchandise that is permanently assembled, in light of any appropriate scope rulings.¹² The Court also held that if the Department continues to find that the Quick-Connect handles and Quick-Connect frames do not constitute “finished merchandise”, then the Department must affirmatively define that term, taking into account Rubbermaid’s proposed definition.¹³ Lastly, concerning the mopping kits at issue, the Court ordered the Department to reconsider its interpretation of the finished goods kit exclusion, taking into account applicable scope rulings that discuss the adaptable, interchangeable nature of products for purposes of this exclusion.¹⁴

In the Remand Results, the Department clarified its interpretation of the exclusion criteria for “finished merchandise” and “finished goods kits.”¹⁵ The Department first found that, pursuant to its interpretation of the

finished merchandise exclusion, the quick-connect frames and quick-connect handles were excluded from the *Orders* because (1) they are comprised of extruded aluminum and non-extruded aluminum components (thus satisfying the “aluminum extrusions as parts . . .” definition of the exclusion), and (2) they are “fully and permanently assembled and completed at the time of entry,” regardless of whether they are later incorporated with other components, or assembled into a larger downstream product (*i.e.*, a subassembly).¹⁶

With respect to the mopping kits, the Department found that these products met the exclusion for finished goods kits because (1) they were comprised of aluminum extrusions plus an additional non-extruded aluminum component which went beyond mere fasteners, and (2) in light of the certain other scope rulings,¹⁷ the interchangeable disposable mop end was not necessary to meet the exclusion for a finished goods kit.¹⁸ On July 22, 2015, the CIT sustained the Department’s Remand Results.¹⁹

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*²⁰ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*, the CAFC has held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), the Department must publish a notice of a court decision that is not “in harmony” with a Department determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a “conclusive” court decision. The CIT’s July 22, 2015, judgment in *Rubbermaid II* sustaining the Department’s decision in the Remand Results to find that the Quick-Connect frames, Quick-Connect handles, and mopping kits at issue to be excluded from the scope of the *Orders*, constitutes a final decision of that court that is not in harmony with the Department’s Final Scope Ruling on Cleaning System Components. This notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*. Accordingly, the Department will continue the suspension of liquidation of the Quick-Connect frames, Quick-Connect handles, and mopping kits at issue pending expiration of the period of appeal or, if appealed, pending a final and conclusive court decision.

¹⁶ *Id.* at 11–12, 14–17.

¹⁷ See *Banner Stands Scope Ruling*; see also *EZ Wall Systems Scope Ruling*.

¹⁸ *Id.*

¹⁹ See *Rubbermaid II*, Slip Op. 15–79 at 15.

²⁰ See *Timken*, 893 F.2d at 341.

Amended Final Determination

Because there is now a final court decision with respect to the Final Scope Ruling on Cleaning System Components, the Department amends its final scope ruling. The Department finds that the scope of the *Orders* does not cover the 13 product models of Quick-Connect frames, Quick-Connect handles, and mopping kits addressed in the underlying Scope Request filed by Rubbermaid. The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that the cash deposit rate will be zero percent for Rubbermaid’s Quick-Connect frames, Quick-Connect handles, and mopping kits. In the event that the CIT’s ruling is not appealed, or if appealed, upheld by the CAFC, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries of Rubbermaid’s Quick-Connect frames, Quick-Connect handles, and mopping kits without regard to antidumping and/or countervailing duties, and to lift suspension of liquidation of such entries.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with section 516A(c)(1) of the Act.

Dated: August 19, 2015.

Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–21047 Filed 8–24–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C–570–955]

Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks From the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Rescission of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is rescinding its administrative review of the countervailing duty (CVD) order on certain magnesia carbon bricks (MCBs) from the People’s Republic of China (PRC) for the period January 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013 (POR).

DATES: *Effective date:* August 25, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gene H. Calvert, AD/CVD Operations, Office VII, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3586.

⁷ See Final Scope Ruling on Cleaning System Components at 9.

⁸ *Id.*

⁹ See *Rubbermaid I*, Slip Op. 14–113 at 17–20.

¹⁰ *Id.* at 20.

¹¹ *Id.* at 20–23.

¹² *Id.* at 23–27.

¹³ *Id.* at 28–29.

¹⁴ *Id.* at 30–33, referencing *Banner Stands Scope Ruling* and the Memorandum to Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, “Final Scope Ruling on EZ Fabric Wall Systems,” (November 9, 2011) (*EZ Fabric Wall Systems Scope Ruling*).

¹⁵ See Remand Results 11–12, 14–17.