

processed as defined in FMVSS 571.214.

2.4. Pelvic: The pubic symphysis force measured by the ES-2re ATD must not exceed 1,350 lbs (6,000 N). Data must be processed as defined in FMVSS 571.214.

2.5. Leg: Axial rotation of the upper leg (femur) must be limited to 35 degrees in either direction from the nominal seated position.

2.6. Neck: As measured by the ES-2re ATD and filtered at CFC 600 as defined in SAE J211:

2.6.1. The upper-neck tension force at the occipital condyle (O.C.) location must be less than 405 lb (1,800 N).

2.6.2. The upper-neck compression force at the O.C. location must be less than 405 lb (1,800 N).

2.6.3. The upper-neck bending torque about the ATD x-axis at the O.C. location must be less than 1,018 in.-lb (115 N-m).

2.6.4. The upper-neck resultant shear force at the O.C. location must be less than 186 lb (825 N).

2.7. Occupant (ES-2re ATD) retention: The pelvic restraint must remain on the ES-2re ATD's pelvis during the impact and rebound phases of the test. The upper-torso restraint straps (if present) must remain on the ATD's shoulder during the impact.

2.8. Occupant (ES-2re ATD) support:

2.8.1. Pelvis excursion: The load-bearing portion of the bottom of the ATD pelvis must not translate beyond the edges of its seat's bottom seat-cushion supporting structure.

2.8.2. Upper-torso support: The lateral flexion of the ATD torso must not exceed 40 degrees from the normal upright position during the impact.

3. For seats with an airbag system, show that the airbag system will deploy and provide protection under crash conditions where it is necessary to prevent serious injury. The means of protection must take into consideration a range of stature from a 2-year-old child to 95th percentile male. The airbag system must provide a consistent approach to energy absorption throughout that range of occupants. When the seat systems include airbag systems, the systems must be included in each of the certification tests as they would be installed in the airplane. In addition, the following situations must be considered:

3.1. The seat occupant is holding an infant.

3.2. The seat occupant is a pregnant woman.

4. The airbag systems must provide adequate protection for each occupant regardless of the number of occupants of the seat assembly, considering that

unoccupied seats may have an active airbag system.

5. The design must prevent the airbag systems from being either incorrectly buckled or incorrectly installed, such that the airbag systems would not properly deploy. Alternatively, it must be shown that such deployment is not hazardous to the occupant and will provide the required injury protection.

6. It must be shown that the airbag system is not susceptible to inadvertent deployment as a result of wear and tear, or inertial loads resulting from in-flight or ground maneuvers (including gusts and hard landings), and other operating and environment conditions (vibrations, moisture, etc.) likely to occur in service.

7. Deployment of the airbag system must not introduce injury mechanisms to the seated occupant, nor result in injuries that could impede rapid egress. This assessment should include an occupant whose restraint is loosely fastened.

8. It must be shown that inadvertent deployment of the airbag system, during the most critical part of the flight, will either meet the requirement of § 25.1309(b) or not cause a hazard to the airplane or its occupants.

9. It must be shown that the airbag system will not impede rapid egress of occupants 10 seconds after airbag deployment.

10. The airbag systems must be protected from lightning and high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF). The threats to the airplane specified in existing regulations regarding lightning, § 25.1316, and HIRF, § 25.1317 apply to these special conditions for the purpose of measuring lightning and HIRF protection.

11. The airbag system must function properly after loss of normal airplane electrical power, and after a transverse separation of the fuselage at the most critical location. A separation at the location of the airbag systems does not have to be considered.

12. It must be shown that the airbag system will not release hazardous quantities of gas or particulate matter into the cabin.

13. The airbag system installations must be protected from the effects of fire such that no hazard to occupants will result.

14. A means must be available for a crew member to verify the integrity of the airbag system's activation system prior to each flight, or it must be demonstrated to reliably operate between inspection intervals. The FAA considers that the loss of the airbag-system deployment function alone (*i.e.*, independent of the conditional event that requires the airbag-system

deployment) is a major-failure condition.

15. The inflatable material may not have an average burn rate of greater than 2.5 inches/minute when tested using the horizontal flammability test defined in 14 CFR part 25, appendix F, part I, paragraph (b)(5).

16. The airbag system, once deployed, must not adversely affect the emergency lighting system (*e.g.*, block floor proximity lights to the extent that the lights no longer meet their intended function).

Issued in Renton, Washington, on August 5, 2015.

Michael Kaszycki,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2015-20300 Filed 8-17-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of Workers' Compensation Programs

20 CFR Parts 702 and 703

RIN 1240-AA09

Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act: Transmission of Documents and Information

AGENCY: Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Labor.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The Office of Workers' Compensation Programs (OWCP) published a notice of proposed rulemaking and companion direct final rule in the **Federal Register** on March 12, 2015, broadening the acceptable methods by which claimants, employers, and insurers can communicate with OWCP and each other regarding claims arising under the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act and its extensions. The comment period closed on May 11, 2015. OWCP did not receive significant adverse comment and therefore the direct final rule took effect on June 10, 2015. For these reasons, OWCP is withdrawing the notice of proposed rulemaking.

DATES: Effective August 18, 2015, the notice of proposed rulemaking published on March 12, 2015 (80 FR 12957), is withdrawn.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Antonio Rios, Director, Division of Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, U.S.

Department of Labor, Suite C-4319, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Telephone: (202) 693-0038 (this is not a toll-free number). TTY/TDD callers may dial toll-free 1-877-889-5627 for further information.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 12, 2015, OWCP published a notice of proposed rulemaking revising 20 CFR parts 702 and 703 to broaden the acceptable methods by which claimants, employers, and insurers can communicate with OWCP and each other regarding claims arising under the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act and its extensions. (80 FR 12957). On the same date, OWCP published a direct final rule containing identical revisions because it believed that the proposed revisions were non-controversial and unlikely to generate significant adverse comment. (80 FR 12917). OWCP indicated that if it did not receive any significant adverse comments on either rule by May 11, 2015, the direct final rule would take effect and there would be no further need to proceed with the notice of proposed rulemaking. (See 80 FR 12918, 12957-58).

OWCP received two public comments that were not significant adverse comments. One expressed support for the proposed rule and the other did not substantively address the rule. Because OWCP did not receive any significant adverse comments within the specified comment period, it is withdrawing the notice of proposed rulemaking with this notice. For the same reason, OWCP is also confirming that the direct final rule took effect on June 10, 2015.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 11th day of August, 2015.

Leonard J. Howie III,

Director, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs.

[FR Doc. 2015-20422 Filed 8-17-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-CR-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

25 CFR Part 41

[156A2100DD/AAKC001030/A0A501010.999900 253G] [Docket ID: BIA-2011-0002]

RIN 1076-AF08

Grants to Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities and Diné College

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities Assistance Act of 1978, as amended (TCCUA), authorizes Federal assistance to institutions of higher education that are formally controlled or have been formally sanctioned or chartered by the governing body of an Indian tribe or tribes. Passed at the same time as the TCCUA, the Navajo Community College Assistance Act of 1978, as amended (NCCA) authorizes Federal assistance to the Navajo Nation in construction, maintenance, and operation of Diné College. This proposed rule would update the TCCUA's implementing regulations in light of amendments to the TCCUA in 1983, 1986, 1998 and 2008 and the NCCA's implementing regulations in light of amendments to the NCCA in 2008.

DATES: Please submit written comments by October 19, 2015. See Section IV of **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for information on tribal consultation sessions.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

—*Federal rulemaking portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. The rule is listed under the agency name "Bureau of Indian Affairs." The rule has been assigned Docket ID: BIA-2011-0002. If you would like to submit comments through the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal, go to www.regulations.gov and follow the instructions.

—*Email:* Ms.Juanita.Mendoza@bie.edu. Include the number 1076-AF08 in the subject line of the message.

—*Fax:* (202) 208-3312. Include the number 1076-AF08 in the subject line of the message.

—*Mail or hand delivery:* Ms. Juanita Mendoza, Acting Chief of Staff, Bureau of Indian Education, 1849 C Street NW., MIB—Mail Stop 4657, Washington, DC 20240. Include the number 1076-AF08 in the subject line of the message.

We cannot ensure that comments received after the close of the comment period (see **DATES**) will be included in the docket for this rulemaking and considered. Comments sent to an address other than those listed above will not be included in the docket for this rulemaking.

See Section IV of **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** for information on tribal consultation sessions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Juanita Mendoza, Acting Chief of Staff, Bureau of Indian Education (202) 208-3559.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

- II. Purpose of Today's Proposed Rule
- III. Summary of Today's Proposed Rule
- IV. Tribal Consultation Sessions
- V. Procedural Requirements
 - A. Regulatory Planning and Review (E.O. 12866)
 - B. Regulatory Flexibility Act
 - C. Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act
 - D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
 - E. Takings (E.O. 12630)
 - F. Federalism (E.O. 13132)
 - G. Civil Justice Reform (E.O. 12988)
 - H. Consultation With Indian Tribes (E.O. 13175)
 - I. Paperwork Reduction Act
 - J. National Environmental Policy Act
 - K. Information Quality Act
 - L. Effects on the Energy Supply (E.O. 13211)
 - M. Clarity of This Regulation
 - N. Public Availability of Comments

I. Background

The TCCUA authorizes grants for operating and improving tribally controlled colleges and universities to insure [sic] continued and expanded educational opportunities for Indian students and to allow for the improvement and expansion of the physical resources of such institutions. See, 25 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.* The TCCUA also authorizes grants for the encouragement of endowment funds for the operation and improvement of tribally controlled colleges and universities. The NCCA authorizes grants to the Navajo Nation to assist in the construction, maintenance, and operation of Diné College. See 25 U.S.C. 640a *et seq.*

In 1968, the Navajo Nation created the first tribally controlled college, now called Diné College—and other tribal colleges quickly followed in California, North Dakota, and South Dakota. Today, there are 37 tribally controlled colleges in 17 states. The tribally controlled institutions were chartered by one or more tribes and are locally managed.

Tribally controlled colleges generally serve geographically isolated populations. In a relatively brief period of time, they have become essential to educational opportunity for American Indian students. Tribally controlled colleges are unique institutions that combine personal attention with cultural relevance, in such a way as to encourage American Indians—especially those living on reservations—to overcome barriers to higher education.

II. Purpose of the Proposed Rule

The regulations at 25 CFR part 41 were originally published in 1979. See, 44 FR 67042 dated November 21, 1979. Since the Tribally Controlled Community College Assistance Act of