B. Discussion and Analysis

One comment was received from the Center for Equal Opportunity. The comment suggests that the GSA Mentor-Prote´ge´ Program use neither preferences nor classifications on the basis of race, ethnicity, or sex. The program does not distinguish firms on the basis of race or ethnicity. Women-owned small business firms may be distinguished as this is a small business category recognized by statute through the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. Chapter 14a). This notice regards the information collection related to administering the GSA Mentor-Prote´ge´ Program. Any changes to the program itself would be handled separately through the rulemaking process.

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of the FAR, and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

C. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 254.
Responses per Respondent: 4.
Total Annual Responses: 1,016.
Hours per Response: 3.
Total Burden Hours: 3,048.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals:
Requesters may obtain a copy of the information collection documents from the General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405, telephone 202–501–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 3090–0286, GSA Mentor-Prote´ge´ Program, in all correspondence.

Dated: July 30, 2015.

Jeffrey A. Koses,
Director, Office of Acquisition Policy & Senior Procurement Executive.

[FR Doc. 2015–19224 Filed 8–4–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820–61–P

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 3090–0252; Docket 2015– 0001; Sequence 15]

General Services Administration Acquisition Regulation; Submission for OMB Review; Preparation, Submission, and Negotiation of Subcontracting Plans

AGENCY: Office of Acquisition Policy, General Services Administration (GSA).

ACTION: Notice of request for comments regarding an extension to an existing OMB clearance.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act, the Regulatory Secretariat Division will be submitting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a previously approved information collection requirement regarding preparation, submission, and negotiation of subcontracting plans. This information collection will ensure that small and small, disadvantaged business concerns are afforded the maximum practicable opportunity to participate as subcontractors in negotiated procurements. The Preparation, Submission, and Negotiation of the Subcontracting Plans provision requires for all negotiated solicitations, having an anticipated award value over $650,000 ($1,500,000 for construction), the submission of a subcontracting plan with an offeror’s proposal. A notice was published in the Federal Register at 80 FR 27308 on May 13, 2015. No Comments were received.

DATES: Submit comments on or before: September 4, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Christina Mullins, Procurement Analyst, General Services Acquisition Policy Division, GSA, 202–960–4066 or email christina.mullins@gsa.gov.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments identified by Information Collection 3090–0252, Preparation, Submission and Negotiation of Subcontracting Plans by any of the following methods:

• Regulations.gov: http://www.regulations.gov. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching the OMB control number. Select the link “Submit a Comment” that corresponds with “Information Collection 3090–0252, Preparation, Submission and Negotiation of Subcontracting Plans”. Follow the instructions provided at the “Submit a Comment” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “Information Collection 3090–0252, Preparation, Submission and Negotiation of Subcontracting Plans” on your attached document.

• Mail: General Services Administration, Regulatory Secretariat Division (MVCB), 1800 F Street NW., Washington, DC 20405. ATTN: Ms. Flowers/IC 3090–0252, Preparation, Submission and Negotiation of Subcontracting Plans.

Instructions: Please submit comments only and cite Information Collection 3090–0252, Preparation, Submission and Negotiation of Subcontracting Plans, in all correspondence related to this collection. All comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

The GSAR provision at 552.219–72 requires all offerors, other than small business concerns, responding to a negotiated solicitation to submit a subcontracting plan with their respective offers so that a plan can be negotiated concurrently with other parts of the proposal, including price and any technical and management proposals. The respondents are potential GSA contractors. The provision may be used when the contracting officer believes that the potential contract provides significant opportunities for small businesses as subcontractors.

The contracting officer will use the information to evaluate whether GSA’s expectation that subcontracting opportunities exist for small businesses is reasonable under the circumstances; negotiate goals consistent with statutory requirements and acquisition objectives; and expedite the award process. The provision is not applicable if an offeror submits a previously-approved commercial subcontracting plan.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Respondents: 1,440.
Responses per Respondent: 1.
Total Annual Responses: 1,440.
Hours per Response: 12.
Total Burden Hours: 17,280.

C. Public Comments

Public comments are particularly invited on: Whether this collection of information is necessary and whether it will have practical utility; whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection of information is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

[30Day–15–15DA]

Agency Forms Undergoing Paperwork Reduction Act Review

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The notice for the proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies.

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address any of the following: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; (d) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses; and (e) Assess information collection costs.

To request additional information on the proposed project or to obtain a copy of the information collection plan and instruments, call (404) 639–7570 or send an email to omreg@cdc.gov. Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice should be directed to the Attention: CDC Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 or by fax to (202) 512–2270. Written comments should be received within 30 days of this notice.

Proposed Project

Improving the Impact of Laboratory Practice Guidelines (LPGs): A New Paradigm for Metrics- American Society for Microbiology—NEW—Center for Surveillance, Epidemiology and Laboratory Services (CSELS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

Background and Brief Description

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention is funding three 5-year projects collectively entitled “Improving the Impact of Laboratory Practice Guidelines: A New Paradigm for Metrics”. An “LPG” is defined as written recommendations for voluntary, standardized approaches for medical laboratory testing that takes into account processes for test selection, sample procurement and processing, analytical methods, and results reporting for effective diagnosis and management of disease and health conditions. LPGs may be disseminated to, and used by, laboratorians and clinicians to assist with test selection and test result interpretation. The overall purpose of these cooperative agreements is to increase the effectiveness of LPGs by defining measures and collecting information to inform better LPG creation, revision, dissemination, promotion, uptake and impact on clinical testing and public health.

The project will explore how these processes and their impediments and facilitators differ among various intended users of LPGs. Through this demonstration project, CDC seeks to understand how to customize LPG creation and promotion to better serve these intended users of LPGs. An important goal is to help organizations that sponsor the development of LPGs create a sustainable approach for continuous quality improvement to evaluate and improve an LPG’s impact through better collection of information.

The CDC selected three organizations that currently create and disseminate LPGs to support activities under a cooperative agreement funding mechanism to improve the impact of their LPGs. The American Society for Microbiology (ASM), the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, and the College of American Pathologists, will each use their LPGs as models to better understand how to improve uptake and impact of these and future LPGs. Only the ASM submission will be described in this notice.

The ASM project will address four LPGs that are important to clinical testing and have a high public health impact: reducing blood culture contamination (BCC), rapid diagnosis of blood stream infections (BSI), proper collection and transport of urine (UT), and microbiological practices to improve the diagnosis and management of patients with Clostridium difficile (C. difficile) infection (CDI). The BCC LPG was published and it includes recommendations for the use of: 1) venipuncture over catheters as the preferred technique for sample collection in a clinical setting, and 2) phlebotomy teams over non-phlebotomist staff for collecting blood for culture. The BSI report examines the effectiveness of rapid diagnostic tests to promote more accurate and timely administration of targeted antibiotic therapy for patients with bloodstream infections. This report will be published and recommendations will be developed based on additional information collected. Practices related to the collection, storage and preservation of urine for microbiological culture that improve the diagnosis and management of patients with urinary tract infections were analyzed and approved recommendations will be published. Microbiological practices related to improving diagnosis and management of patients with C. difficile infection will be collected and analyzed, and recommendations will also be developed and published.

The intended respondents of ASM’s surveys will include microbiology supervisors, laboratory directors, laboratory managers, and medical technologists. For this request for OMB approval of a new information collection, we will be requesting approval to collect baseline and post-dissimination information for the BCC LPG. Because the BSI, UT and CDI reports are not yet published, ASM will conduct a baseline survey to determine current practices prior to dissemination of the LPGs.

On behalf of the ASM and the CDC, the Laboratory Response Network (LRN), which was founded by the CDC, will recruit laboratories that perform the kinds of testing affected by these LPGs to take the surveys. Messages regarding ASM surveys will be worded as an invitation, not as a coercive request. Some states may opt not to recruit LRN laboratory participation, but because the