

[www.hud.gov/promisezones](http://www.hud.gov/promisezones).) If yes, how is this information useful to you?

7. Are there additional pieces of information that would assist you in filtering and searching for information you would like to have?

#### C. Promise Zone Web site

8. Is the Web site clear and easy to use? If not, what elements would be more helpful? (See [www.hud.gov/promisezones](http://www.hud.gov/promisezones) and linked program information.)

9. Is the interagency program information presented on the Web site well-matched to your community's needs? If not, what type of information would be helpful to add?

#### D. Communications and Stakeholder Engagement

10. Do you find Promise Zone communications, through emails, webinars, written documents and other means, useful to organizations working in your community? Please elaborate on what is useful or what could be done to make it more useful.

11. How can HUD communicate more clearly/effectively with residents and community based organizations about the way that the Promise Zone Initiative operates and how it supports local work?

12. How can the Promise Zone Initiative better engage new Americans and immigrant stakeholders?

#### E. Data Collection, Research and Evaluation

13. How can the Promise Zones make use of the EPA Smart Location database?

14. Does the Promise Zone framework for tracking data address the issue of burdening designees in terms of data access and reporting? Are there other ways we could accomplish this?

15. Is the Promise Zone table of core indicators, measures, and data sources useful for community development outcome tracking? Are there other measures that should be added?

Dated: July 23, 2015.

#### Harriet Tregoning,

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.*

[FR Doc. 2015-18626 Filed 7-28-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

[156A2100DD/AAKC001030/  
AOA501010.999900 253G]

#### Final Decision on Remand Against Federal Acknowledgment of the Duwamish Tribal Organization

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of corrections to Final Decision On Remand.

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces that the Department of the Interior (Department) through the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs (AS-IA) issued corrections to the “Summary under the Criteria and Evidence for Final Decision on Judicial Remand” dated July 2, 2015 (Final Decision on Remand) that declined to acknowledge that the Duwamish Tribal Organization (DTO), c/o Cecile Maxwell-Hansen, is an Indian tribe within the meaning of Federal law. This notice supplements the notice of final decision on remand published in the **Federal Register** on July 8, 2015.

**DATES:** The Final Decision on Remand (corrected) is final for the Department on publication of this notice.

**ADDRESSES:** Requests for a copy of the Final Decision on Remand (corrected) should be addressed to the Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Attention: Office of Federal Acknowledgment, 1951 Constitution Avenue NW., MS 34B-SIB, Washington, DC 20240. It is also available through [www.bia.gov/WhoWeAre/AS-IA/OFA/RecentCases/index.htm](http://www.bia.gov/WhoWeAre/AS-IA/OFA/RecentCases/index.htm).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. R. Lee Fleming, Director, Office of Federal Acknowledgment, (202) 513-5650.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 2, 2015, the Department issued a “Summary under the Criteria and Evidence for Final Decision on Judicial Remand” (Final Decision on Remand) declining to acknowledge that the Duwamish Tribal Organization (DTO), c/o Cecile Maxwell-Hansen, is an Indian tribe within the meaning of Federal law. On July 8, 2015, the Department published a notice of the Final Decision on Remand in the **Federal Register** at 80 FR 39142.

The Final Decision on Remand dated July 2, 2015, was incomplete. It omitted language that the AS-IA has determined should have been included in the final decision and it omitted an appendix referenced in the text. The Final

Decision on Remand dated July 23, 2015, corrects these omissions.

This notice announces the corrections to the Final Decision on Remand. The Final Decision on Remand (corrected) dated July 23, 2015 does not affect the determination that the petitioner does not satisfy all seven mandatory criteria in either the 1978 or 1994 regulations, 25 CFR part 83. This notice supplements the **Federal Register** notice of the final decision on remand published on July 8, 2015.

The Final Decision on Remand (corrected) is final for the Department on publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Dated: July 24, 2015.

**Kevin K. Washburn,**

*Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.*

[FR Doc. 2015-18621 Filed 7-28-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4337-15-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Indian Affairs

[156A2100DD/AAKC001030/  
AOA501010.999900 253G]

#### Indian Gaming

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compact taking effect.

**SUMMARY:** This notice publishes the Indian Gaming Compact between the State of New Mexico and the Pueblo of Taos governing Class III gaming (Compact) taking effect.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* July 29, 2015.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Paula L. Hart, Director, Office of Indian Gaming, Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary—Policy and Economic Development, Washington, DC 20240, (202) 219-4066.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Under section 11 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA) Public Law 100-497, 25 U.S.C. 2701 *et seq.*, the Secretary of the Interior shall publish in the **Federal Register** notice of approved Tribal-State compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III gaming activities on Indian lands. As required by 25 CFR 293.4, all compacts are subject to review and approval by the Secretary. The Secretary took no action on the Compact within 45 days of its submission. Therefore, the Compact is considered to have been approved, but only to the extent the Compact is consistent with IGRA. See 25 U.S.C. 2710(d)(8)(C).