

On June 22, 2015, Enterprise, Remaining Respondents, and Google jointly moved to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon settlement. On June 29, 2015, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses to the motion were received.

The ALJ issued the subject ID on July 1, 2015, and a corrected version on July 17, 2015, granting the joint motion for termination. The ALJ found that the settlement agreement satisfies the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b). She further found, pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2), that there is no indication that termination of the investigation would adversely impact the public interest. No one petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID as corrected. In light of the settlement, the Commission has determined to vacate Order No. 34 as moot.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.  
Issued: July 23, 2015.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-18485 Filed 7-28-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-931]

### Certain Formatted Magnetic Data Storage Tapes and Cartridges Containing Same; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating the Investigation Based on Settlement

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 19) by the presiding administrative law judge ("ALJ") terminating the above-captioned investigation based on settlement.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Clark S. Cheney, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW.,

Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2661. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on September 29, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Advanced Research Corporation of White Bear Lake, Minnesota ("ARC"). 79 FR 58382-83 (Sept. 29, 2014). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), based on infringement of five U.S. patents. The notice of investigation, as amended, named the following respondents: Fujifilm Holdings Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Fujifilm Corporation of Tokyo, Japan; Fujifilm Recording Media USA, Inc., of Bedford, Massachusetts; Oracle Corporation of Redwood Shores, California; Oracle America, Inc., of Redwood Shores, California; and International Business Machines Corp. of Armonk, New York. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party. *Id.* at 58383; 79 FR 78905 (Dec. 31, 2014).

On June 19, 2015, ARC and all respondents filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement between ARC and all respondents. On June 26, 2015, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion.

On June 29, 2015, the ALJ issued the subject ID, granting the motion to terminate the investigation. The ALJ found that the motion complied with Commission Rules and that termination would be in the public interest. No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: July 24, 2015.

**Lisa R. Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2015-18578 Filed 7-28-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 29, 2015, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Members of SGIP 2.0, Inc. ("MSGIP 2.0") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), Morgantown, WV; Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC), Wayland, MA; OMNETRIC Corp., Minnetonka, MN; National Instruments, Austin, TX; Opus One Solutions, Richmond Hill, Ontario, CANADA; ITOCHU Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; GridIntellect LLC, Madison, AL; Inman Technology, Cambridge, MA; Xtensible Solutions, Greenwood Village, CO; and Southern California Edison (SCE), Westminster, CA, have been added as parties to this venture.

Also, Kyocera Telecommunications Research Center (KTRC), Fremont, CA; The Associated General Contractors of America, Arlington, VA; RCES Center from Univ. of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX; Arizona Public Service Company, Phoenix, AZ; California Independent System Operator Corporation, Folsom, CA; CenterPoint Energy Houston Electric, Houston, TX; Clevest Solutions, Inc., Richmond, British Columbia, CANADA; Coordinated Science Laboratory—University of Illinois, Urbana, IL; HomePlug Powerline Alliance, Inc., Beaverton, OR; India Smart Grid Forum (ISGF), New Delhi, INDIA; Kottage Industries LLC, Worthington, OH; Mitsubishi Electric Research Labs, Cambridge, MA; MobiComm Communications, The Hague, NETHERLANDS; Telecommunications Industry Association (TIA), Arlington, VA; Tennessee Valley Authority, Chattanooga, TN; UPnP Forum,