

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-BX-2015-037 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2015-037. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-BX-2015-037 and should be submitted on or before July 27, 2015.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁶

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-16416 Filed 7-2-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-75323; File No. SR-NYSEArca-2015-17]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE Arca, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Amending Rule 6.35 to Modify the Appointment Process Utilized by the Exchange

June 29, 2015.

I. Introduction

On March 20, 2015, NYSE Arca, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "NYSE Arca") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² a proposed rule change to modify the Market Maker appointment and withdrawal process used by the Exchange. The proposed rule change was published for comment in the **Federal Register** on April 8, 2015.³ On May 21, 2015, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁴ the Commission designated a longer period within which to approve the proposed rule change, disapprove the proposed rule change, or institute proceedings to determine whether to approve or disapprove the proposed rule change.⁵ The Commission received no comment letters on the proposed rule change. This order approves the proposed rule change.

II. Description of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 6.35 to modify the options Market Maker appointment and withdrawal process used by the Exchange. Under the proposal, once an option trading permit ("OTP") holder has been approved as a Market Maker under Exchange Rule 6.33,⁶ the Market Maker would, subject to certain conditions, be permitted to register rather than apply for an appointment in one or more option classes, and would be permitted to select or withdraw option issues included in its appointment using an Exchange-approved electronic interface. The Exchange also proposes to include a Market Maker's available financial

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 74635 (April 2, 2015), 80 FR 18909 ("Notice").

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 75032, 80 FR 30511 (May 28, 2015).

⁶ See Rule 6.33 ("Registration of Market Makers"). See also Rule 6.32(a) (defining "Market Maker"). The Exchange is not proposing any changes to Rule 6.33.

resources and operational capability as considerations in its periodic evaluation of Market Maker performance, which factors currently are considered when a Market Maker applies for an appointment.

A. Background

Currently, a registered Market Maker may seek an appointment in one or more option classes pursuant to Rule 6.35. Specifically, Rule 6.35(a) provides that "[o]n a form or forms prescribed by the Exchange, a Market Maker must apply for an appointment in one or more classes of option contracts."⁷ In addition to having the authority to appoint one Lead Market Maker ("LMM") per option class,⁸ Rule 6.35(b) provides that "[t]he Exchange may appoint an unlimited number of Market Makers in each class unless the number of Market Makers appointed to a particular option class should be limited" based on the Exchange's judgment.⁹ Further, current Rule 6.35(c) provides that "Market Makers may select from among any option issues traded on the Exchange for inclusion in their appointment, subject to the approval of the Exchange."¹⁰ In considering the approval of the appointment of a Market Maker in each security, "the Exchange will consider the Market Maker's preference; the financial resources available to the Market Maker; the Market Maker's experience, expertise and past performance in making markets, including the Market Maker's performance in other securities; the Market Maker's operational capability; and the maintenance and enhancement of competition among Market Makers in each security in which they are appointed."¹¹ Current Rule 6.35 also sets forth the number of OTPs that the Market Maker must have in order to have a specified number of option issues included in the Market Maker's appointment.¹²

Under current Rule 6.35, "Market Makers may change the option issues in their appointment, subject to the approval of the Exchange," provided such requests are "made in a form and

⁷ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18909.

⁸ See Rule 6.82(a)(1) (defining "LMM"). Any OTP Holder or OTP Firm registered as a Market Maker with the Exchange is eligible to be qualified as an LMM. *Id.* The Exchange is not proposing to change Rule 6.82.

⁹ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18909.

¹⁰ See *id.*

¹¹ See *id.*

¹² See *id.* For example, 1 OTP affords a Market Maker up to 100 option issues included in their appointment, whereas 4 OTPs would enable a Market Maker to have all option issues traded on the Exchange included in their appointment. See *id.*

¹⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

manner prescribed by the Exchange.”¹³ In addition, “Market Makers may withdraw from trading an option issue that is within their appointment by providing the Exchange with three business days’ written notice of such withdrawal.”¹⁴ If a Market Maker fails to provide the required notice, the Market Maker “may be subject to formal disciplinary action pursuant to Rule 10.”¹⁵ Moreover, the Exchange “may suspend or terminate any appointment of a Market Maker in one or more option issues under this Rule whenever, in the Exchanges’ judgment, the interests of a fair and orderly market are best served by such action.”¹⁶ A Market Maker may seek review of any action taken by the Exchange.¹⁷

The Exchange periodically evaluates whether Market Makers have fulfilled performance standards, relating to, among other things, quality of markets, competition of Market Makers, observance of ethical standards and administrative factors.¹⁸ If the Exchange finds that a Market Maker has not met the performance standards, the Exchange may take action, including suspending, terminating or restricting a Market Maker’s appointment or registration, after providing the Market Maker an opportunity to be heard.¹⁹

B. Proposed Modifications

The Exchange proposes to modify the current appointment and withdrawal process. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to modify Rule 6.35 to provide that, rather than apply for an appointment, “a Market Maker may register for an appointment in one or more classes of option contracts,” in a form and manner prescribed the Exchange.²⁰ The Exchange would

continue to have authority to appoint one LMM per option class.²¹ Similarly, an unlimited number of Market Makers could continue to be appointed to an options class, unless the Exchange restricts such appointments following Commission review and approval.²² The Exchange would retain the ability to suspend or terminate any appointment of a Market Maker if necessary to maintain a fair and orderly market.²³

In addition, the Exchange proposes to modify Rule 6.35(c) to provide that “[a] Market Maker may select or withdraw option issues included in their appointment by submitting a request via an Exchange-approved electronic interface with the Exchange on a day when the Exchange is open for business.”²⁴ The modified rule would provide that a Market Maker’s requested appointment would become effective by no later than the following business day, whereas a Market Maker’s request to withdraw option issues from its appointment would not become effective until the following business day.²⁵ Thus, a Market Maker could be appointed to an option issue on the same day it submits a request to the Exchange, depending on the availability of Exchange resources to process the request that day, but such request, if properly made and received, would be effective no later than the following business day. A Market Maker, however, would not be able to withdraw an option issue from its appointment on the same day that it submits the request; instead, the Exchange would only process such requests on an overnight basis for effectiveness on the following business day. Also, before any changes to a Market Maker’s appointment would become effective, the Exchange would be required to confirm that the Market Maker’s appointment would not exceed that permitted under paragraph (d) of the rule, pertaining to the number of OTPs a Market Maker would be required to have,²⁶ and also confirm receipt of the Market Maker’s request.²⁷ According to the Exchange, the confirmation requirement, applicable to requests for

additions, changes, and withdrawals, is designed to ensure that the request was properly made and also successfully transmitted to the Exchange.²⁸ Market Makers would be able to select issues in their appointment or make changes thereto pursuant to proposed Rule 6.35(c) by submitting an email to the Exchange, which is currently “the Exchange-approved electronic interface.”²⁹

As noted above, paragraph (d) of current Rule 6.35 sets forth the number of OTPs a Market Maker must have in order to have a specified number of option issues included in the Market Maker’s appointment. The Exchange recently amended its fee schedule to include this information on its Fee Schedule and therefore is proposing to delete the detailed information set forth in Rule 6.35(d) and instead state that “[a] Market Maker must have the number of OTPs required under the Fee Schedule for its appointment as a Market Maker in option issues.”³⁰

Proposed Rule 6.35(h) would provide that a Market Maker may seek review of any action taken by the Exchange under Rule 6.35.³¹

Pursuant to current Rule 6.35(j), the Exchange conducts periodic evaluations of Market Makers to determine whether they have fulfilled performance standards. The Exchange proposes to modify Rule 6.35(j)(1) to specify two additional factors it may consider in evaluating whether a Market Maker has fulfilled performance standards pursuant to Rule 6.35(j): (1) The financial resources available to the Market Maker and (2) the Market Maker’s operational capability.³² These factors are currently among the factors the Exchange considers when determining whether to approve a Market Maker’s appointment.³³ In connection with the other proposed changes to the Market Maker appointment process, the Exchange proposes that these factors instead be considered as part of the Exchange’s periodic evaluation of a Market Maker.

Further, the Exchange proposes to modify Rule 6.35(j)(2) to reflect the proposed changes to the Market Maker appointment process. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to change the reference to a Market Maker being “re-

¹³ See *id.* In considering the change request, the Exchange will consider the factors set forth in Rule 6.35(c). See *id.* at 18909, n.11.

¹⁴ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18909.

¹⁵ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18909–10.

¹⁶ See *id.* at 18910.

¹⁷ See *id.* Per Rule 6.35(i), Market Makers are also subject to a trading requirement, such that “[a]t least 75% of the trading activity of a Market Maker (measured in terms of contract volume per quarter) must be in classes within the Market Maker’s appointment. A failure to comply with the 75% contract volume requirement may result in a fine pursuant to Rule 10.12; however, if aggravating circumstances are present, formal disciplinary action may be taken pursuant to Rule 10.4.” The Exchange is not proposing any changes to Rule 6.35(i).

¹⁸ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18911, n.34.

¹⁹ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18910, n.17 (describing current Rule 6.35(j)). If a Market Maker’s appointment in an option issue or issues has been terminated pursuant to Rule 6.35(j), the Market Maker may not be re-appointed as a Market Maker in that option issue or issues for a period not to exceed 6 months. See *id.* at 18910, n.17.

²⁰ See proposed Rule 6.35(a). As discussed above, a Market Maker must have the designated number

of OTPs set forth in Rule 6.35(d) in order to have a trading appointment on the Exchange. See proposed Rule 6.35(d).

²¹ See proposed Rule 6.35(b).

²² The Exchange is proposing a conforming change to the text in Rule 6.35(b) to reflect the proposed changes in Rule 6.35(a), to provide that “[a]n unlimited number of Market Makers may register in each class,” subject to any limits imposed by the Exchange. See proposed Rule 6.35(b).

²³ See Rule 6.35(g).

²⁴ See proposed Rule 6.35(c).

²⁵ *Id.*

²⁶ *Id.*

²⁷ See *id.*

²⁸ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18910.

²⁹ The Exchange will announce by Trader Update the email address that Market Makers should utilize to make selections in, or changes to, their appointment pursuant to this Rule. See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18910, n.24.

³⁰ See proposed Rule 6.35(d).

³¹ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18911.

³² See proposed Rule 6.35(j).

³³ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18911.

appointed” by the Exchange if an option issue or issues has been terminated pursuant to this subsection (j), and to instead provide that “the Exchange may restrict the Market Maker’s registration as a Market Maker in that option issue or issues for a period not to exceed 6 months.”³⁴ The Exchange would retain the discretion to suspend that Market Maker’s appointment in the affected option issue(s) for a full six months, or to allow that Market Maker to resume that appointment earlier than the prescribed six-month period, based on the Exchange’s evaluation of the facts and circumstances.³⁵

Finally, the Exchange proposes certain clarifying technical changes to Rule 6.35 as well as certain conforming changes so that there is consistency throughout the rule text.

III. Discussion and Commission Findings

After careful review, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act³⁶ and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.³⁷ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act,³⁸ which requires, among other things, that the Exchange’s rules be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The Commission believes that the proposal to permit an OTP holder approved as a registered Market Maker pursuant to Exchange Rule 6.33 to register for and withdraw from options appointments, subject to the proposed conditions and in accordance with the other provisions of Rule 6.35, is reasonably designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation

and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange states that the proposed changes regarding how Market Makers select and modify their appointments would provide Market Makers with more efficient access to the securities in which they want to make markets, enabling them to quickly begin disseminating competitive quotations in those securities which would provide additional liquidity and enhanced competition in those securities on the Exchange.³⁹ The Exchange notes that the proposed rule change would enable Market Makers to manage their appointments with more flexibility and in a timelier manner, but that Market Makers still will be required to comply with certain obligations to maintain their status as a Market Maker, including that they provide continuous, two-sided quotations in their appointed securities.⁴⁰ The Exchange also believes that preventing Market Makers from being able to withdraw an option issue from its appointment on the same day that it submits the request (as such requests, if properly made and received, are processed on an overnight basis for effectiveness the following business day) will serve to promote just and equitable principles of trade and benefit investors and the public interest.⁴¹ Further, before any changes to a Market Maker’s appointment become effective, the Exchange will be required to confirm that the Market Maker’s appointment will not exceed the number of OTPs a Market Maker is required to have and also will be required to confirm receipt of the Market Maker’s request.⁴²

The Commission notes that the Exchange has proposed to add a Market Maker’s available financial resources

³⁹ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18910. In addition, the Exchange notes that other options exchanges permit market makers to select their appointments in a similar manner via exchange-approved electronic interfaces. See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18911, n.28 (*citing* BATS Exchange, Inc. Rule 22.3(b) (“An Options Market Maker may become registered in a series by entering a registration request via an Exchange approved electronic interface with the Exchange’s systems by 9:00 a.m. Eastern time. Registration shall become effective on the day the registration request is entered”); and NASDAQ Options Market, Chapter VII, Section 3(b) (“An Options Market Maker may become registered in an option by entering a registration request via a Nasdaq approved electronic interface with Nasdaq’s systems. Registration shall become effective on the day the registration request is entered.”)).

⁴⁰ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18910.

⁴¹ See *id.* at 18912.

⁴² See text accompanying notes 26–27 *supra*.

and operational capability as factors the Exchange may consider during its periodic evaluation of a Market Maker’s performance, stating that these factors are important considerations in evaluating a Market Maker’s performance, and that continued consideration of these factors would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and would benefit investors and the public interest.⁴³ The Commission further notes that the Exchange will continue to have authority to suspend or terminate any appointment of a Market Maker in one or more options issues whenever, in the Exchange’s judgment, the interests of a fair and orderly market are best served by such action.⁴⁴ The Exchange will also retain the ability to restrict a Market Maker’s registration in option issues for up to six months if a Market Maker’s appointment in that option issue or issues has been terminated under the rule, and Rule 6.35 will continue to give the Exchange discretion to allow the Market Maker to resume that appointment earlier than the prescribed six-month period or to maintain the suspension for the entire period. Finally, the Exchange is not proposing changes to the disciplinary and appeals process for Market Makers that do not meet minimum performance standards.⁴⁵

Based on the foregoing, the Commission finds the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act.

IV. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁴⁶ that the proposed rule change (SR–NYSEArca–2015–17) be, and hereby is, approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁴⁷

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015–16413 Filed 7–2–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

⁴³ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18912.

⁴⁴ See Rule 6.35(g). See also Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18912, n.40 and Rule 6.33 (regarding the Exchange’s ability to suspend or terminate a Market Maker’s registration based on “a determination of any substantial or continued failure by such Market Maker to engage in dealings in accordance with Rules 6.37, 6.37A or 6.37B,” which outline the obligations of Market Makers).

⁴⁵ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18912.

⁴⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁴⁷ 17 CFR 200.30–3(a)(12).

³⁴ See proposed Rule 6.35(j)(2) (“If a Market Maker’s appointment in an option issue or issues has been terminated pursuant to this subsection (j), the Exchange may restrict the Market Maker’s registration as a Market Maker in that option issue or issues for a period not to exceed 6 months.”).

³⁵ See Notice, *supra* note 3, at 18911.

³⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

³⁷ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule’s impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

³⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).