

Management Plan (Forest Plan) was published in the **Federal Register** (79 FR 30074) on May 27, 2014. The Tongass National Forest is publishing this corrected NOI due to changes in the anticipated dates for the draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD, to designate a new responsible official for the plan amendment, and to clarify the pre-decisional administrative review process. The 2012 Planning Rule (36 CFR part 219) includes subpart B, which establishes a pre-decisional administrative review (hereinafter referred to as “objection”) process for plan amendments giving an individual or entity an opportunity for an independent Forest Service review and resolution of issues before the approval of a plan amendment documented with a ROD (reference 36 CFR part 219, subpart B). This Forest Plan Amendment is subject to the objection process.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Howle, Project Manager, Tongass National Forest, Ketchikan, AK 99901, (907) 228-6340.

Corrections

In the **Federal Register** (79 FR 30074) of May 27, 2014 on page 30074, in the third column under the “Dates” caption, correct the second and fourth sentences to read:

The draft environmental impact statement is expected to be published in October 2015, which will begin a 90-day public comment period.

The Record of Decision is expected to be signed in October 2016.

In the **Federal Register** (79 FR 30074) of May 27, 2014 on page 30075, in the third column under “Scoping Process” caption, correct by adding the following as a third paragraph:

Forest Service regulations at 36 CFR 219, subpart B; published April 9, 2012 (77 FR 21162) include an objection process that applies to plan amendments. This proposed plan amendment is subject to 36 CFR 219, subpart B. There will be an objection process before the final decision is made, and after the final environmental impact statement and draft Record of Decision are made available to the public. Individuals and entities as defined in 36 CFR 219.53 who have submitted substantive formal comments related to the plan amendment during the opportunities for public comment as provided in subpart A (reference 36 CFR 219.16) may file an objection. Objections will be accepted only from those who have previously submitted substantive formal comments related to the plan amendment during scoping, the 90-day DEIS comment period, or other public involvement opportunity where comments are requested

by the responsible official in accordance with 36 CFR 219.16.

In the **Federal Register** (79 FR 30074) of May 27, 2014 on page 30075, in the third column at bottom, correct the name and title “Forrest Cole, Tongass Forest Supervisor” to read:

M. Earl Stewart, Tongass Forest Supervisor

Dated: June 16, 2015.

M. Earl Stewart,

Forest Supervisor, Tongass National Forest.

[FR Doc. 2015-15362 Filed 6-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[B-10-2015]

Foreign-Trade Zone 245—Decatur, Illinois; Authorization of Production Activity; Thyssenkrupp Presta Danville, LLC (Camshafts); Danville, Illinois

On February 18, 2015, the Economic Development Corporation of Decatur & Macon County, grantee of FTZ 245, submitted a notification of proposed production activity to the Foreign-Trade Zones (FTZ) Board on behalf of Thyssenkrupp Presta Danville, LLC, within Subzone 245C, in Danville, Illinois.

The notification was processed in accordance with the regulations of the FTZ Board (15 CFR part 400), including notice in the **Federal Register** inviting public comment (80 FR 9693, 2-24-2015). The FTZ Board has determined that no further review of the activity is warranted at this time. The production activity described in the notification is authorized, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board’s regulations, including Section 400.14.

Dated: June 18, 2015.

Elizabeth Whiteman,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2015-15475 Filed 6-22-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-893]

Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People’s Republic of China: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2014-2015

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (“the Department”) is rescinding the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain frozen warmwater shrimp (“shrimp”) from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) for the period February 1, 2014 through January 31, 2015.

DATES: *Effective Date:* June 23, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Annatheia Cook, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-7425.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 3, 2015, based on a timely request for review on behalf of the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee (“Petitioner”) ¹ and the American Shrimp Processors Association (“Domestic Processors”), ² the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of initiation of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on shrimp from the PRC covering the period February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015. ³ The review covers sixty two companies. ⁴ On April 16, 2015, and May 1, 2015, respectively, Petitioner and Domestic Processors withdrew their requests for an administrative review on all of the sixty two companies listed in the *Initiation Notice*. ⁵ No other party requested a review of these companies or any other exporters of subject merchandise.

¹ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the Ad Hoc Shrimp Trade Action Committee (“AHSTAC”) “Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People’s Republic of China: Request for Administrative Reviews” (February 27, 2015).

² See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from the American Shrimp Processors Association (“ASPA”) “Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order Covering Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People’s Republic of China (POR 10:2/1/14-1/31/15): American Shrimp Processors Association’s Request for an Administrative Review” (February 27, 2015).

³ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 80 FR 18202, 18208 (April 3rd, 2015) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

⁴ See *id.*

⁵ See Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Petitioner “Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp from the People’s Republic of China: Domestic Producers’ Withdrawal of Review Requests” (April 16, 2015); Letter to the Secretary of Commerce from Domestic Processors “Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order Covering Certain Frozen Warmwater Shrimp From the People’s Republic of China: Withdrawal of Review Request on Behalf of the American Shrimp Processors Association” (May 1, 2015).

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), the Department will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if the party that requested the review withdraws its request within 90 days of the publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. In this case, Petitioner and Domestic Processors timely withdrew their request by the 90-day deadline, and no other party requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order. As a result, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), we are rescinding the administrative review of shrimp from the PRC for the period February 1, 2014, through January 31, 2015, in its entirety.

Assessment

The Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Because the Department is rescinding this administrative review in its entirety, the entries to which this administrative review pertained shall be assessed antidumping duties at rates equal to the cash deposit of estimated antidumping duties required at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(i). The Department intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, if appropriate.

Notifications

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department’s presumption that reimbursement of the antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (“APO”) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials, or conversion to judicial protective order, is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the

regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: June 17, 2015.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2015–15468 Filed 6–22–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–475–818]

Certain Pasta from Italy: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Changed Circumstances Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 12, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated a changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy in order to determine whether La Molisana S.p.A. (La Molisana) is the successor-in-interest to La Molisana Industrie Alimentari, S.p.A. (LMI), a respondent in the investigation and several administrative reviews.¹ We preliminarily determine that La Molisana is not the successor-in-interest to LMI. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

DATES: Effective date June 23, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephanie Moore, Office III, AD/CVD Operations, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3962.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 24, 1996, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the antidumping duty order on pasta from Italy.² The most recently completed administrative review for LMI was for the July 1, 1998 to June 30, 1999

¹ See *Certain Pasta from Italy: Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review*, 79 FR 47090 (August 12, 2014) (*Initiation Notice*).

² See *Notice of Antidumping Duty Order and Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Certain Pasta From Italy*, 61 FR 38547 (July 24, 1996); see also *Notice of Second Amendment to the Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Pasta From Italy*, 61 FR 42231 (August 14, 1996).

period.³ Pursuant to Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act, the Department recalculated the cash deposit rate for LMI and assigned it a *de minimis* margin.⁴

On June 23, 2014, La Molisana requested a changed circumstances review. On August 12, 2014, the Department initiated this review.⁵ On October 20, 2014, New World Pasta Company and Dakota Growers Pasta Company (hereinafter referred to as Petitioners) submitted comments on La Molisana’s request for a changed circumstance review. On December 3, 2014, the Department requested additional information from La Molisana, which was submitted, in part, on January 9, 2015, and after an extension was granted, the remainder was submitted on January 28, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as the Supplemental Response).

On February 6, 2015, Petitioners submitted comments on La Molisana’s Supplemental Response. On February 11, 2015, La Molisana objected to Petitioners’ February 6, 2015, submission and requested that the Department reject it because it contained untimely filed, uncertified, new factual information. On February 20, 2015, the Department decided not to reject Petitioners’ February 6, 2015 submission.⁶ La Molisana filed comments on February 27, 2015, regarding the Department’s decision. On March 4, 2015, the Department requested additional information from La Molisana, which was provided on March 24, 2015 (hereinafter referred to as Second Supplemental Response).

On April 27, 2015, we extended the time period for issuing the final results of this changed circumstance review by 90 days.⁷

Scope of the Order

Imports covered by this order are shipments of certain non-egg dry pasta

³ See *Certain Pasta From Italy: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 65 FR 77852 (December 13, 2000).

⁴ See *Notice of Implementation of Determination Under Section 129 of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act: Stainless Steel Plate in Coils From Belgium, Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars From Latvia, Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From Finland, Certain Pasta From Italy, Purified Carboxymethylcellulose From the Netherlands, Stainless Steel Wire Rod From Spain, Granular Polytetrafluoroethylene Resin From Italy, Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Japan*, 77 FR 36257 (June 18, 2012) (*Notice of Section 129 Implementation*).

⁵ See *Initiation Notice*.

⁶ See letter from Eric B. Greynolds, Program Manager, Office III, AD/CVD Operations, dated February 20, 2015.

⁷ See Letter from Melissa G. Skinner, Director Office III, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations to La Molisana, dated April 7, 2015.