

Circular No. 11–2A, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Distribution System, which describes the application procedure.

### Availability and Summary of Documents Proposed for Incorporation by Reference

This document proposes to amend FAA Order 7400.9Y, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2014, and effective September 15, 2014. FAA Order 7400.9Y is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this proposed rule. FAA Order 7400.9Y lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

### The Proposal

The FAA is proposing an amendment to Title 14 Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) Part 71 by modifying Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Ed Carlson Memorial Field-South Lewis County Airport, Toledo, WA. After a review of the airspace, the FAA found modification of the airspace necessary for the safety and management of IFR operations for SIAPs at the airport. The Class E airspace area would be modified to a 4-mile radius of the Ed Carlson Memorial-South Lewis County Airport, with segments extending from the 4-mile radius to 8 miles northeast of the airport, and 7 miles southwest of the airport.

Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order 7400.9Y, dated August 6, 2014, and effective September 15, 2014, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in the Order.

The FAA has determined this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation: (1) Is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified this proposed rule, when promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The FAA’s authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the U.S. Code. Subtitle 1, Section 106, describes the authority for the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency’s authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it would amend controlled airspace at Ed Carlson Memorial-South Lewis County Airport, Toledo, WA.

### Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1E, “Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures” prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

### The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

#### § 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.9Y, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 6, 2014, and effective September 15, 2014, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace areas extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface of the earth.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### ANM WA E5 Toledo, WA [Modified]

Ed Carlson Memorial Field-South Lewis County Airport, WA  
(Lat. 46°28’38” N., long. 122°48’23” W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 4-mile radius of the Ed Carlson Memorial Field-South Lewis County Airport, and within 1.2 miles

each side of the 073° bearing from the airport extending from the 4-mile radius to 8 miles northeast of the airport, and within 1.8 miles each side of the 256° bearing from the airport extending from the 4-mile radius to 7 miles southwest of the airport.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on May 12, 2015.

**Christopher Ramirez,**

*Acting Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.*

[FR Doc. 2015–12569 Filed 5–26–15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910–13–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Office of the Secretary

### 25 CFR Chapters I, II, III, V, VI, and VII

[156D0102DM DS6CS00000  
DLSN00000.000000 DX6CS25]

### Reducing Regulatory Burden; Retrospective Review

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Request for public input.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Interior is requesting public input on selected regulations as part of its plan to review significant regulations in response to the President’s Executive Order on Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review.

**DATES:** Please submit any comments on or before July 13, 2015 for immediate consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments only by one of the following methods:

*Email:* [RegsReview@ios.doi.gov](mailto:RegsReview@ios.doi.gov).

*Mail:* Regulatory Review, Office of the Executive Secretariat and Regulatory Affairs, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street NW., Mail Stop 7328, Washington, DC 20240.

*Hand Delivery or Courier:* Regulatory Review, Office of the Executive Secretariat and Regulatory Affairs, Department of the Interior, Room 7311, 1849 C Street NW., Washington, DC 20240.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mark Lawyer, Office of the Secretary, 202–208–3181, [Mark\\_Lawyer@ios.doi.gov](mailto:Mark_Lawyer@ios.doi.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Department of the Interior (DOI) published a notice on February 25, 2011 (76 FR 10526), asking the public for ideas and information as it prepared a preliminary plan for retrospective regulatory review to comply with President Obama’s Executive Order 13563 dated January 18, 2011, “Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review.” We received helpful

information in response to this request, which we considered in preparing our plan for retrospective regulatory review. The plan is available on DOI's Open Government Web site at: <http://www.doi.gov/open/regsreview/>. This Web site provides links to the plan, the Department's regulations, and an email in-box at [RegsReview@ios.doi.gov](mailto:RegsReview@ios.doi.gov) that interested parties may use to suggest, on an ongoing basis, improvements to DOI's regulations.

We continue to invite comment on all of our regulations but are specifically asking for public comment on the following regulations or policy documents at this time:

- 25 CFR part 169—Rights-of-Way on Indian Land (1076-AF20)
- 25 CFR part 23—Indian Child Welfare Act (1076-AF25)
- 25 CFR part 256—Housing Improvement Program (1076-AF22)
- Expanding Incentives for Voluntary Conservation Actions Under the Endangered Species Act (1018-AY29)

#### Ongoing Public Engagement

DOI views retrospective regulatory review as a continuing process. Public engagement is an essential element, and the public may submit feedback at any time via email at [RegsReview@ios.doi.gov](mailto:RegsReview@ios.doi.gov).

At this time, we are asking for comments related to the following questions:

(1) Are there any specific changes we could make to these regulations that would make them more effective or less burdensome in achieving their regulatory objectives?

(2) DOI has proposed specific rules to review over the next two years. Are there other rules that could benefit from retrospective review in the near future? If so, please identify the rules by their CFR citation (*e.g.*, 25 CFR part 39) or by their subject matter (*e.g.*, forestry rules) and give us detailed ideas on how we can streamline, consolidate, or make these regulations more efficient. Please suggest specific language that would make these rules or guidance more efficient and less burdensome where possible.

(3) Are there ways DOI can better scale its regulations to lessen the burdens imposed on small entities within the existing statutory requirements? Please suggest specific things we could do to exempt small entities or provide more flexible or less-burdensome requirements while still satisfying the requirements of the law.

(4) Are DOI regulations and guidance written in language that is clear and easy to understand? Please suggest which regulations and guidance are

good candidates for a rewriting in plain language.

(5) How can we ensure that our regulations promote our mission in ways that are most efficient and least burdensome to the public?

The Department is issuing this request solely to seek useful information as part of its ongoing public engagement process. Responses to this request do not bind DOI to any further actions related to the response.

Before including your address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from the public review, we would seek to honor your request to the extent allowable under the law but we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

**Authority:** E.O. 13653, 76 FR 3821, Jan. 21, 2011; E.O. 12866, 58 FR 51735, Oct. 4, 1993.

Dated: May 20, 2015.

**Michael L. Connor,**

*Deputy Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2015-12622 Filed 5-22-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4334-34-P**

## OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE

### 32 CFR Part 1701

#### Privacy Act of 1974: Implementation

**AGENCY:** Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) proposes to exempt two new systems of records from subsections (c)(3); (d)(1),(2),(3),(4); (e)(1) and (e)(4)(G),(H),(I); and (f) of the Privacy Act. With respect to the existing system of records named ODNI Information Technology Systems Activity and Access Records (ODNI-19), the ODNI proposes to invoke subsection (k)(2) as an additional rationale for exempting records from these provisions of the Privacy Act. The ODNI has previously established a rule, published on March 28, 2008, that will preserve the exempt status of records it receives when the reason for the exemption remains valid.

**DATES:** Submit comments on or before July 6, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

*Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>.

*Email:* [DNI-FederalRegister@dni.gov](mailto:DNI-FederalRegister@dni.gov).

*Mail:* Information Management Division, Office of the Director of National Intelligence, Washington, DC 20511.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer L. Hudson, Director, Information Management Division, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Office of the Director of National Intelligence, Washington, DC 20511; 703-874-8085.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In compliance with the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4), the ODNI describes in the notice section of this **Federal Register** the following two new systems of records: Counterintelligence Trends Analyses Records (ODNI/NCSC-002) and Insider Threat Program Records (ODNI-22). As permitted by the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a(k), pursuant to this rulemaking, the Director of National Intelligence (DNI) is invoking exemption of records in these systems from the requirements of certain provisions of the Privacy Act, as described herein. In addition, the DNI is invoking subsection 552a(k)(2) as a further basis of exemption for records contained in the existing system entitled Information Technology Systems Activity and Access Records (ODNI-19).

#### Regulatory Flexibility Act

This proposed rule affects the manner in which the ODNI collects and maintains information about individuals. The ODNI certifies that this rulemaking will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, no regulatory flexibility analysis is required for this rule.

#### Small Entity Inquiries

The Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act (SBREFA) of 1996 requires the ODNI to comply with small entity requests for information and advice about compliance with statutes and regulations within the ODNI's jurisdiction. Any small entity that has a question regarding this document may address it to the information contact listed above. Further information regarding SBREFA is available on the Small Business Administration's Web page at <http://www.sba.gov/advo/law/lib.html>.

#### Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)) requires that the