

Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management (BLM), the John Day—Snake Resource Advisory Council (RAC) will meet as indicated below:

**DATES:** The John Day—Snake RAC will hold a public meeting Thursday, June 18, and Friday, June 19, 2015. The meeting will run from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. on June 18th, and from 8 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on June 19th. The meeting will be held in the Sternwheel Ballroom at the Quality Inn & Suites Conference Center in Clarkston, Washington, and will include a field trip to the Hells Canyon Recreation Area. A public comment period will be available on the second day of the session.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lisa Clark, Public Affairs Specialist, BLM Prineville District Office, 3050 NE. 3rd Street, Prineville, Oregon 97754, (541) 416-6864, or email [lmclark@blm.gov](mailto:lmclark@blm.gov). Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1 (800) 877-8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The John Day—Snake RAC consists of 15 members chartered and appointed by the Secretary of the Interior. Their diverse perspectives are represented in commodity, conservation, and general interests. They provide advice to BLM and Forest Service resource managers regarding management plans and proposed resource actions on public land in central and eastern Oregon. Agenda items for the June 2015 meeting include a field tour of the Hells Canyon Recreation Area, an update on the John Day Basin Resource Management Plan and the Blue Mountain Forest Plan Revision, a presentation of the business plan and request for fee increase for the Lower Deschutes River, committee and member updates, and any other matters that may reasonably come before the John Day—Snake RAC. This meeting is open to the public in its entirety; however, transportation on jet boats during the field tour portion of the meeting on June 18 will not be provided to members of the public. Information to be distributed to the John Day—Snake RAC is requested prior to the start of each meeting. A public comment period will be available on June 19, 2015, at 9:30 a.m. Unless otherwise approved by the John Day—Snake RAC Chair, the public comment period will last no longer than 30 minutes. Each speaker may address the John Day—Snake RAC

for a maximum of 5 minutes. A public call-in number is provided on the John Day—Snake RAC Web site at <http://www.blm.gov/or/rac/jdrac.php>. Meeting times and the duration scheduled for public comment periods may be extended or altered when the authorized representative considers it necessary to accommodate business and all who seek to be heard regarding matters before the John Day—Snake RAC.

**Carol Benkosky,**

*Prineville District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 2015-12299 Filed 5-20-15; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310-33-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

**[NPS-WASO-NRSS-18302;  
PPWONRADW1, PPMRSNR1Y.NW0000  
(155)]**

#### **Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Cape Lookout National Seashore Cultural Resource Values and Vulnerabilities Assessment**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice; request for comments.

**SUMMARY:** We (National Park Service) will ask the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) to approve the Information Collection (IC) described below. The National Park Service is exploring ways to reduce the risk of damage to structures and natural systems from destructive storm surge and sea level rise. We will collect information from stakeholders' about their values and perceptions of climate change-related vulnerabilities and adaptation strategies for managing cultural resources within the two historic districts (Portsmouth Village and Lookout Village) at Cape Lookout National Seashore (CALO). Stakeholders will be visitors at Portsmouth Village and Lookout Village, partner organizations, local community members with ties to the historic districts, federal, state and private cultural resource experts. This collection will be used to inform cultural resource adaptation planning efforts (*i.e.*, maintenance, sustainability and post-storm recovery of historic structures and cemeteries) related to impacts of extreme weather events. To comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, and as part of our continuing efforts to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, we invite the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on this IC.

**DATES:** To ensure that your comments on this IC are considered, we must receive them on or before July 20, 2015.

**ADDRESSES:** Please send your comments to Phadrea Ponds, Information Collections Coordinator, National Park Service, 1201 Oakridge Drive, Fort Collins, CO 80525 (mail); or [phadrea\\_ponds@nps.gov](mailto:phadrea_ponds@nps.gov) (email). Please reference Information Collection 1024—NEW, 2015 CALO SURVEY in the subject line.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Janet Cakir, Ph.D., NPS SER Climate Change, Socioeconomics, and Adaptation Coordinator, South Atlantic Landscape Conservation Cooperative, 1751 Varsity Dr., Raleigh, NC 27606 (mail) or [janet\\_cakir@nps.gov](mailto:janet_cakir@nps.gov) (email).

### I. Abstract

Managers of Cape Lookout National Seashore (CALO) are interested in identifying ways to reduce the risk of damage to coastal buildings and sensitive species from storm surge, sea level rise, and shoreline erosion anticipated over the next 20 to 30 years. Of specific interest to managers are contemporary cultural resource values and perceptions of cultural resource vulnerability and feasible adaptation strategies to sustain its cultural resources for future generations. The National Park Service (NPS) will conduct a survey of visitors to the two historic districts (Portsmouth Village and Lookout Village) at Cape Lookout National Seashore (CALO), a survey with members of CALO's partner organizations, interview local community members with connections to the historic districts, and conduct a survey with cultural resource experts from federal and state agencies and nongovernmental organizations.

The collection will be used to understand the values stakeholders place on cultural resources within the historic districts, and perceptions of strategies to adapt and respond to changes in cultural resource conditions from storms, flooding, and erosion. The information from this collection will provide NPS managers and planners with information that can be used to prepare resource management planning documents.

Lessons learned from this study may be applied to support cultural resource adaptation planning for units across the NPS system.

### II. Data

**OMB Control Number:** None. This is a new collection.

**Title:** Cape Lookout National Seashore Cultural Resource Values and Vulnerabilities Assessment.

*Type of Request:* New.  
*Affected Public:* Park Visitors, Local Residents, Partner Organization Members, State Cultural/Historic Resource Personnel, and Nongovernmental Cultural Resource Organization Personnel.

*Respondent Obligation:* Voluntary.  
*Frequency of Collection:* One-time.  
*Estimated Number of Responses:* 600.  
*Estimated Annual Burden Hours:* 321.  
 We estimate the public reporting burden for this collection will average 10 minutes per response for visitors; 15

minutes per response for partner organizations; 1 hour per response for community members, and 2.5 hours per response for cultural resource experts. This includes the time for reviewing instructions and completing the survey.

	Estimated number of responses	Estimated response time (minutes)	Estimated annual burden (hours)
Visitor Survey .....	200	10	33
Partner Organization Survey .....	200	15	50
Community Interviews .....	50	60	50
Cultural Resource Experts Survey .....	150	75	188
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>.....</b>	<b>321</b>

*Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping “Non-Hour Cost”:* None.

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number and current expiration date.

**III. Request for Comments**

We invite comments concerning this IC on:

- Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;
- Ways to enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments that you submit in response to this notice are a matter of public record. We will include or summarize each comment in our request to OMB to approve this IC. Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Dated: May 14, 2015.  
**Madonna Baucum,**  
*Information Collection Clearance Officer,*  
*National Park Service.*  
 [FR Doc. 2015–12306 Filed 5–20–15; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4310–EH–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

**National Park Service**

**[NPS–PWR–PWR0–17572; PX.P0073969J.00.1]**

**Record of Decision for General Management Plan, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, California**

**AGENCY:** National Park Service, Interior.  
**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** The National Park Service, has prepared and approved a Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the new General Management Plan (GMP) for Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Approval of the GMP concludes a very extensive public engagement and conservation planning and environmental impact analysis effort that began during 2006. The requisite no-action “wait period” was initiated on April 25, 2014, with the Environmental Protection Agency’s **Federal Register** announcement of the filing and release of the Final EIS.

**ADDRESSES:** Those wishing to review the Record of Decision for the GMP may obtain a copy by contacting the General Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, Building 201, Fort Mason, San Francisco, CA 94123, or via telephone request at (415) 561–4930.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Aviles, Senior Planner, Golden Gate National Recreation Area, (415) 561–4942.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The National Park Service (NPS) has

approved the Record of Decision for the GMP/Final Environmental Impact Statement which will guide management of park lands within Golden Gate National Recreation Area (GGNRA) over the next 20 years. Following establishment in 1972, the GGNRA has been operating under a 1980 GMP. Since then GGNRA has doubled in size and visitation now approaches 16 million annually.

The NPS has selected Alternative 1 *Connecting People With Parks* for implementation on park lands in Marin, San Francisco, and San Mateo Counties. Park management will focus on ways to attract and welcome people; connect visitors with the resources; and promote enjoyment, understanding, preservation, and health for diverse populations now and in the future. To achieve these objectives, management zones will be applied in all areas, enhancements will be made to park programs, and a number of projects will be carried out to preserve, restore, and/or improve cultural and natural resources as well as park facilities and infrastructure.

The NPS has selected Alternative 3 *Focusing on National Treasures* for implementation at Alcatraz Island and Muir Woods National Monument. Park management will showcase nationally important cultural and natural resources at each site. These fundamental resources will be managed at the highest level of preservation to protect the resources in perpetuity and to promote appreciation, understanding, and enjoyment of those resources—all other resources will be managed to complement the nationally significant resources and the associated visitor experience.

Four alternatives, including a no-action alternative, were described and evaluated in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, the full range of foreseeable environmental consequences was assessed, and