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SUMMARY: The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) proposes placing (1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)[2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl]methanone (UR–144), [1-(5-fluoro-pentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl][2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl]methanone (5-fluoro-UR–144, XLR11), and N-(1-adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (APINACA, AKB48) including their salts, isomers, and salts of isomers whenever the existence of such salts, isomers, and salts of isomers is possible, into schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act. This proposed scheduling action is pursuant to the Controlled Substance Act which requires that such actions be made on the record after opportunity for a hearing through formal rulemaking. If finalized, this action would impose the regulatory controls and administrative, civil, and criminal sanctions applicable to schedule I controlled substances on persons who handle (manufacture, distribute, import, export, engage in research, conduct instructional activities, or possess), or propose to handle UR–144, XLR11, or AKB48.

DATES: Interested persons may file written comments on this proposal in accordance with 21 CFR 1308.43(g). Electronic comments must be submitted, and written comments must be postmarked, on or before June 15, 2015. Commenters should be aware that the electronic Federal Docket Management System will not accept comments after 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on the last day of the comment period. Interested persons, defined at 21 CFR 1300.01 as those “adversely affected or aggrieved by any rule or proposed rule issuable pursuant to section 201 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 811),” may file a request for hearing, notice of appearance, or waiver of hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1308.44 and in accordance with 21 CFR 1316.45, 1316.47, 1316.48, or 1316.49, as applicable. Requests for hearing, notices of appearance, and waivers of an opportunity for a hearing or to participate in a hearing must be received on or before June 15, 2015.

ADDRESSES: To ensure proper handling of comments, please reference “Docket No. DEA–417N” on all correspondence, including any attachments.

- Electronic comments: The Drug Enforcement Administration encourages that all comments be submitted electronically through the Federal eRulemaking Portal, which provides the ability to type short comments directly into the comment field on the Web page or to attach a file for lengthier comments. Please go to http://www.regulations.gov and follow the online instructions at that site for submitting comments. Upon completion of your submission you will receive a Comment Tracking Number for your comment. Please be aware that submitted comments are not instantaneously available for public view on Regulations.gov. If you have received a Comment Tracking Number, your comment has been successfully submitted and there is no need to resubmit the same comment.

- Paper comments: Paper comments that duplicate the electronic submission are not necessary and are discouraged. Should you wish to mail a paper comment in lieu of an electronic comment, it should be sent via regular or express mail to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: DEA Federal Register Representative/ODXL, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

Hearing requests: All requests for hearing and waivers of participation must be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: Federal Register Representative/ODL, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152. All requests for hearing and waivers of participation should also be sent to: Drug Enforcement Administration, Attn: Hearing Clerk/LJ, 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John R. Scherbenske, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration; Mailing Address: 8701 Morrissette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; Telephone: (202) 598–6812.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Posting of Public Comments

Please note that all comments received are considered part of the public record. They will, unless reasonable cause is given, be made available by the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) for public inspection online at http://www.regulations.gov. Such information includes personal identifying information (such as your name, address, etc.) voluntarily submitted by the commenter. The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) applies to all comments received. If you want to submit personal identifying information (such as your name, address, etc.) as part of your comment, but do not want it to be made publicly available, you must include the phrase “PERSONAL IDENTIFYING INFORMATION” in the first paragraph of your comment. You must also place all of the personal identifying information you do not want made publicly available in the first paragraph of your comment and identify what information you want redacted.

If you want to submit confidential business information as part of your comment, but do not want it to be made publicly available, you must include the phrase “CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION” in the first paragraph of your comment. You must also prominently identify confidential business information to be redacted within the comment.

Comments containing personal identifying information and confidential business information identified as directed above will generally be made publicly available in redacted form. If a comment has so much confidential business information or personal identifying information that it cannot be effectively redacted, all or part of that comment may not be made publicly available. Comments posted to http://www.regulations.gov may include any personal identifying information (such as name, address, and phone number) included in the text of your electronic submission that is not identified as directed above as confidential.

An electronic copy of this document and supplemental information to this proposed rule are available at http://www.regulations.gov for easy reference.
Request for Hearing, Notice of Appearance at Hearing, Waiver of Opportunity for a Hearing or To Participate in a Hearing

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(a), this action is a formal rulemaking “on the record after opportunity for a hearing.” Such proceedings are conducted pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 551–559, 21 CFR 1308.41–1308.45; 21 CFR part 1316, subpart D. In accordance with 21 CFR 1308.44 (a)–(c), requests for hearing, notices of appearance, and waivers of an opportunity for a hearing or to participate in a hearing may be submitted only by interested persons, defined as those “adversely affected or aggrieved by any rule or proposed rule issuable pursuant to section 201 of the Act (21 U.S.C. 811).” 21 CFR 1300.01. Such requests or notices must conform to the requirements of 21 CFR 1308.44 (a) or (b), and 1316.47 or 1316.48, as applicable, and include a statement of interest of the person in the proceeding and the objections or issues, if any, concerning which the person desires to be heard. Any waiver must conform to the requirements of 21 CFR 1308.44(c) and 1316.49, including a written statement regarding the interested person’s position on the matters of fact and law involved in any hearing.

Please note that pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(a), the purpose and subject matter of a hearing is restricted to: “finding[ing] that such drug or other substance has a potential for abuse, and . . . mak[ing] with respect to such drug or other substance the findings prescribed by subsection (b) of section 812 of this title for the schedule in which such drug is to be placed . . . .” All requests for hearing and waivers of participation must be sent to the DEA using the address information provided above.

Legal Authority

The DEA implements and enforces Titles II and III of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, as amended. 21 U.S.C. 801–971. Titles II and III are referred to as the “Controlled Substances Act” and the “Controlled Substances Import and Export Act,” respectively, and are collectively referred to as the “Controlled Substances Act” or the “CSA” for the purposes of this action. 21 U.S.C. 801–971. The DEA publishes the implementing regulations for these statutes in title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), chapter II. The CSA and its implementing regulations are designed to prevent, detect, and eliminate the diversion of controlled substances and listed chemicals into the illicit market while providing for the legitimate medical, scientific, research, and industrial needs of the United States. Controlled substances have the potential for abuse and dependence and are controlled to protect the public health and safety.

Under the CSA, each controlled substance is classified into one of five schedules based upon its potential for abuse, its currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, and the degree of dependence the substance may cause. 21 U.S.C. 812. The initial schedules of controlled substances established by Congress are found at 21 U.S.C. 812(a) and the current list of scheduled substances is published at 21 CFR part 1308. 21 U.S.C. 812(a).

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(a)(1), the Attorney General may, by rule, “add to such a schedule or transfer between such schedules any drug or other substance if he . . . finds that such drug or other substance has a potential for abuse, and . . . makes with respect to such drug or other substance the findings prescribed by subsection (b) of section 812 of this title for the schedule in which such drug is to be placed . . . .” The Attorney General has delegated scheduling authority under 21 U.S.C. 811 to the Administrator of the DEA. 28 CFR 0.100.

The CSA provides that proceedings for the issuance, amendment, or repeal of the scheduling of any drug or other substance may be initiated by the Attorney General (1) on her own motion; (2) at the request of the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), or (3) on the petition of any interested party. 21 U.S.C. 811(a). This proposed action is supported by a recommendation from the Assistant Secretary of the HHS and an evaluation of all other relevant data by the DEA. If finalized, this action would impose the regulatory controls and administrative, civil, and criminal sanctions of schedule I controlled substances on any person who handles, or proposes to handle, UR–144, XLR11, or AKB48.

Background

On April 12, 2013, the Deputy Administrator of the DEA published a Notice of Intent to temporarily place (1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)(2,3,3,4-tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone (UR–144), (1-(5-fluoro-pentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl)(2,3,3,4-tetramethylcyclopropyl)methanone (fluoro-UR–144, XLR11), and N-(1-adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (APINACA, AKB48) into schedule I pursuant to the temporary scheduling provisions of the CSA (78 FR 21858). On May 16, 2013, the Deputy Administrator of the DEA published a Final Order in the Federal Register (78 FR 28735) amending 21 CFR 1308.11(h) to temporarily place these three synthetic cannabinoids into schedule I of the CSA pursuant to the temporary scheduling provisions of 21 U.S.C. 811(h). That Final Order, which became effective on the date of publication, was based on findings by the Deputy Administrator of the DEA that the temporary scheduling of these three synthetic cannabinoids was necessary to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1). At the time the Final Order took effect, Section 201(h)(2) of the CSA (21 U.S.C. 811(h)(2)) required that the temporary scheduling of a substance expire at the end of two years from the date of issuance of the scheduling order, and it provided that, during the pendency of proceedings under 21 U.S.C. 811(a)(1) with respect to the substance, temporary scheduling of that substance could be extended for up to 1 year. Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(2), the temporary scheduling of UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 expires on May 15, 2015, unless extended. An extension of the temporary order is being ordered by the DEA Administrator in a separate action.

As described in the Final Order published on May 16, 2013, UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 are synthetic cannabinoids that are pharmacologically similar to delta 9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9–THC) and JWH–018. While UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 have been used as research chemicals and/or studied due to their misuse and abuse, based on the review of the scientific literature, there are no known medical uses for UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48. The Assistant Secretary of Health for the HHS has advised that there are no exemptions or approvals in effect for UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 under section 505 (21 U.S.C. 355) of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FD&C Act). As stated by the HHS, UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 have no known acceptable medical use. They are not the...
subject of any approved new drug applications (NDA) or investigational new drug applications (IND), and are not currently marketed as approved drug products.

**Proposed Determination to Schedule UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48**

Pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(a)(1), proceedings to add a drug or substance to those controlled under the CSA may be initiated by the Attorney General, or her delegate, the DEA Administrator. On August 31, 2013, the DEA requested a scientific and medical evaluation and scheduling recommendation from the Assistant Secretary of Health for the HHS for UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(b). Upon receipt of the scientific and medical evaluation and scheduling recommendations from the HHS, the DEA reviewed the documents and all other relevant data, and conducted its own eight-factor analysis of the abuse potential of UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(c).

Included below is a brief summary of each of the eight factors as analyzed by the HHS and the DEA, and as considered by the DEA in this proposed action. Please note that both the DEA and the HHS analyses are available under “Supporting and Related Material” of the public docket for this proposed rule at http://www.regulations.gov under docket number DEA–417N.

1. The Drug’s Actual or Relative Potential for Abuse: As described by the HHS, the abuse potential of UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 is associated with their ability to evoke pharmacological effects similar to those evoked by other schedule I substances that have a high potential for abuse such as Δ9-THC and JWH–018.

   The legislative history of the CSA suggests the DEA consider the following factors when determining whether a particular drug or substance has a potential for abuse: 2

   (1) There is evidence that individuals are taking the drug or drugs containing such a substance in amounts sufficient to create a hazard to their health or to the safety of other individuals or to the community;

   (2) There is significant diversion of the drug or drugs containing such a substance from legitimate drug channels;

   (3) Individuals are taking the drug or drugs containing such a substance on their own initiative rather than on the basis of medical advice from a practitioner licensed by law to administer such drugs in the course of his professional practice; or

   (4) The drug or drugs containing such a substance are new drugs so related in their action to a drug or drugs already listed as having a potential for abuse to make it likely that the drug will have the same potentiality for abuse as such drugs, thus making it reasonable to assume that there may be significant diversions from legitimate channels, significant use contrary to or without medical advice, or that it has a substantial capability of creating hazards to the health of the user or to the safety of the community.

   The substances UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 share pharmacological properties with schedule I substances, including Δ9–THC and JWH–018. Evaluations in animal models, specifically in drug discrimination studies, have demonstrated cyclcopropylindoles (such as UR–144 and XLR11) and indazole-3-carboximides (such as AKB48) produce Δ9–THC-like discriminative stimulus effects. There have also been numerous anecdotal self-reports substantiating that these substances and their products are abused by humans for their hallucinogenic effects, as well as published reports indicating an increase in the abuse of these substances. State public health departments and poison control centers have issued warnings in response to adverse health effects associated with herbal incense products containing synthetic cannabinoids which include: tachycardia, elevated blood pressure, unconsciousness, tremors, seizures, vomiting, hallucinations, agitation, anxiety, pallor, numbness, and tingling. Numerous public health and poison control centers have issued warnings regarding the abuse of synthetic cannabinoids and their associated products. Law enforcement has also encountered incidents of exposure, primarily in response to the smoking of products purported to be laced with these substances.

2. Scientific Evidence of the Drug’s Pharmacological Effects, If Known: As described by the HHS, UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 have all been shown to bind to the cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1) receptor, act as agonists at the CB1 receptor, and substitute fully for the discriminative stimulus effects of Δ9–THC in the drug discrimination assay. To date, no human pharmacological studies involving UR–144, XLR11, or AKB48 have been reported.

3. The State of Current Scientific Knowledge Regarding the Drug or Other Substance: Synthetic cannabinoids were first reported in the United States in a December 2008 encounter, where a shipment of “Spice” was seized and analyzed by U.S. Customs and Border Protection in Dayton, Ohio. Additionally, around the same time, in December 2008, JWH–018 and cannabicyclohexanol were being identified by German forensic laboratories. Though these substances were identified in 2008, these substances likely existed and were abused some time prior to their identification.

Since the initial identification of JWH–018 in December 2008, many additional synthetic cannabinoids have been found laced on designer drug products abused for their psychoactive
effects. The popularity of synthetic cannabinoids has increased tremendously since January 2010 in the United States based on seizure exhibits and media reports. This trend is similar and consistent with the increased popularity of synthetic cannabinoids in Europe since 2008. Synthetic cannabinoids are being encountered in most regions of the United States with the substances found as adulterants on plant material or being abused alone as self-reported on internet discussion boards. Data gathered from published studies, supplemented by internet discussion Web sites, and personal communications demonstrate that these products are being abused mainly by smoking for their psychoactive properties and are marketed as “legal” alternatives to marijuana. This characterization and their reputation as potent herbal intoxicants increased their popularity. These substances alone or laced on plant material have the potential to be extremely harmful due to their method of manufacture and the potency of the substances. Smoking mixtures of these substances for the purpose of achieving intoxication has resulted in numerous emergency room visits and calls to poison control centers. Numerous states, local jurisdictions, and the international community have also controlled these substances.

Youth appear to be the primary abusers of synthetic cannabinoids and synthetic cannabinoid-containing products, as supported by law enforcement encounters and reports from emergency rooms; however, all age groups have been discussed in media reports as abusing these substances and related products. More recently, clandestinely produced synthetic cannabinoid products have been encountered in liquid forms, and law enforcement has communicated that these designer drug products are intended for use in electronic cigarettes and vaporizers.

5. The Scope, Duration, and Significance of Abuse: As stated by the HHS, based on their pharmacological properties, it is reasonable to assume that, if uncontrolled, the scope, duration, and significance of UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 abuse could be similar to marijuana. National Forensic Laboratory Information Systems (NFLIS), a national database capturing data from forensic laboratories, has reported 46,324 reports (January 2010 to December 2014) related to UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 from 44 states (query date: April 30, 2015). From January 1, 2010, through December 31, 2014, according to the System to Retrieve Information on Drug Evidence (STRIDE) and STARLiMS data, there were 2,049 reports involving 245 cases for UR–144, 4,041 reports involving 487 cases for XLR11, and 201 reports involving 63 cases for AKB48 (query date: April 30, 2015). Recently, numerous exposure incidents have been documented by poison control centers in the United States as the abuse of synthetic cannabinoids has become associated with both acute and long-term public health and safety concerns. The American Association of Poison Control Centers (AAPCC) has reported exposure calls corresponding to products purportedly laced with synthetic cannabinoids since 2011, although the data provided do not generally include biological sample testing that would confirm the specific cannabinoid. AAPCC reported 6,968 exposure calls in 2011 and 5,230 calls in 2012. While exposure calls decreased in 2013 to 2,668, calls involving exposure to a synthetic cannabinoid rebounded in 2014 reaching 3,680. In addition, 623 calls have been reported from January 1 through February 28, 2015. A majority of exposure incidents resulted in seeking medical attention at health care facilities. In 2010, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) reported 11,406 emergency department visits involving a synthetic cannabinoid product. In 2011, SAMHSA reported the number of emergency department visits involving a synthetic cannabinoid product had increased 2.5 times to 28,531.

6. What, if Any, Risk There is to the Public Health: Law enforcement, military, and public health officials have reported exposure incidents that demonstrate the dangers associated with abuse of synthetic cannabinoids to both the individual abusers and those connected to the misuse and abuse of these substances intended for human use. Warnings regarding the dangers associated with abuse of synthetic cannabinoids and their products have been issued by numerous state public health departments, poison control centers, and private organizations. Detailed product analyses describe large variations in the amount of synthetic cannabinoid laced on the plant material even within samplings of the same product. These unknowns present a significant risk of danger to the abusing individuals. Some of the common clinical effects reported in emergency rooms in response to the abuse of synthetic cannabinoids include: vomiting, anxiety, agitation, irritability, seizures, hallucinations, tachycardia, elevated blood pressure, and loss of consciousness.

At least one death has been reported in Minnesota following ingestion of UR–144 and XLR11. In 2013, in California, a 27-year-old female developed hypertension, tachycardia, and rhabdomyolysis prior to being intubated and admitted to the ICU for protection of the airway following ingestion of a synthetic cannabinoid product containing XLR11. A 33-year-old man developed acute cerebral ischemia and infarction shortly following the use of XLR11. In addition, reports have detailed various driving under the influence cases where users operated a motor vehicle while intoxicated with synthetic cannabinoids, including UR–144, XLR11, and/or AKB48.

In February 2013, the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) reported on an association between XLR11 exposure and acute kidney injury. The CDC examined 16 patients with acute kidney injury who reported recent smoking of synthetic cannabinoids. Seven of the 16 patients smoked substances that were positive for XLR11 or its metabolite. In addition, one of these seven cases also tested positive for UR–144.

Additional cases reported adverse health effects including nausea, vomiting, agitation, panic attacks, involuntary muscle twitching and confusion following ingestion of UR–144 and/or XLR11.

7. Its Psychiatric or Physiological Dependence Liability: Chronic abuse of synthetic cannabinoids has been linked to signs of addiction and withdrawal. According to the HHS, the pharmacologic profiles of UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 strongly suggest that they possess physiological and psychological dependence liability that is similar to that of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (D9–THC) (schedule I) and JWH–018 (schedule I). Additionally, tolerance to these drugs may develop fairly rapidly with larger doses being required to achieve the desired effect. However, there are no studies or case reports that document the psychiatric or physiological dependence potential of UR–144, XLR11, or AKB48.
8. Whether the Substance is an Immediate Precursor of a Substance Already Controlled Under the CSA: UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 are not considered immediate precursors of any controlled substance of the CSA as defined by 21 U.S.C. 802(23).

Conclusion: Based on consideration of the scientific and medical evaluations and accompanying recommendation of the HHS, and based on the DEA’s considerations of its own eight-factor analysis, the DEA finds that these facts and all other relevant data constitute substantial evidence of the potential for abuse of UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48. As such, the DEA hereby proposes to schedule UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 as controlled substances under the CSA.

Proposed Determination of Appropriate Schedule

The CSA establishes five schedules of controlled substances known as schedules I, II, III, IV, and V. The DEA also outlines the findings required to place a drug or other substance in any particular schedule. 21 U.S.C. 812(b).

After consideration of the analysis and recommendation of the Assistant Secretary for HHS and review of all other available data, the Administrator of the DEA, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(a) and 21 U.S.C. 812(b)(1), finds that:

(1) (1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)[2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (UR–144), [1-(5-fluoro-pentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl][2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (XLR–144), and N-(1-adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (APINACA, AKB48) have a high potential for abuse that is comparable to other schedule I substances such as delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ9-THC) and JWH–018;

(2) (1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)[2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (UR–144), [1-(5-fluoro-pentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl][2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (XLR–144), and N-(1-adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (APINACA, AKB48) have no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States; and

(3) There is a lack of accepted safety for use of (1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)[2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (UR–144), [1-(5-fluoro-pentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl][2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (XLR–144), and N-(1-adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (APINACA, AKB48) under medical supervision.

Based on these findings, the Administrator of the DEA concludes that (1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl)[2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (UR–144), [1-(5-fluoro-pentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl][2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopentyl)methanone (XLR–144), and N-(1-adamantyl)-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (APINACA, AKB48) including their salts, isomers and salts of isomers, whenever the existence of such salts, isomers, and salts of isomers is possible, warrant control in schedule I of the CSA. 21 U.S.C. 812(b)(1).

Requirements for Handling UR–144, XLR11 and AKB48

If this rule is finalized as proposed, persons who handle UR–144, XLR11, or AKB48 would need to be in compliance with 21 U.S.C. 827, 825, 953, and 958, and in accordance with 21 CFR part 1304.

8. Importation and Exportation. All importation and exportation of UR–144, XLR11, and AKB48 would need to be in accordance with 21 U.S.C. 827, and 21 CFR part 1305.

9. Liability. Any activity involving UR–144, XLR11, or AKB48 not authorized by, or in violation of the CSA or its implementing regulations would be unlawful, and could subject the person to administrative, civil, and/or criminal sanctions.

Regulatory Analyses

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563

In accordance with 21 U.S.C. 811(a), this proposed scheduling action is subject to formal rulemaking procedures done “on the record after opportunity for hearing,” which are conducted pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 556 and 557. The CSA sets forth the criteria for scheduling a drug or other substance. Such actions are exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) pursuant to Section 3(d)(1) of Executive Order 12866 and the principles reaffirmed in Executive Order 13563.

Executive Order 12998

This proposed rulemaking meets the applicable standards set forth in sections 3(a) and 3(b)(2) of Executive Order 12998 to eliminate drafting errors and ambiguity, minimize litigation, provide a clear legal standard for affected conduct, and promote simplification and burden reduction.

Executive Order 13132

This proposed rulemaking does not have federalism implications warranting the application of Executive Order 13132. The proposed rule does not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.
Executive Order 13175

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications warranting the application of Executive Order 13175. It does not have substantial direct effects on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Administrator, in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–602, has reviewed this proposed rule and by approving it certifies that it will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. On May 16, 2013, the Deputy Administrator published a Final Order in the Federal Register (78 FR 28735) amending 21 CFR 1308.11(h) to temporarily place these three synthetic cannabinoids into schedule I of the CSA pursuant to the temporary scheduling provisions of 21 U.S.C. 811(h). All entities that currently handle or plan to handle these synthetic cannabinoids are estimated to have no economic impact on businesses that currently handle UR–144, XLR11, or AKB48 for lawful purposes. This estimate applies to entities large and small. Therefore, DEA has concluded that this proposed rule will not have a significant effect on a substantial number of small entities.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995

On the basis of information contained in the “Regulatory Flexibility Act” section above, the DEA has determined and certifies pursuant to the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1501 et seq.), that this action would not result in any Federal mandate that may result in the expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of $100,000,000 or more (adjusted for inflation) in any one year. Therefore, neither a Small Government Agency Plan nor any other action is required under provisions of the UMRA of 1995.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995

This action does not impose a new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3521). This action would not impose recordkeeping or reporting requirements on State or local governments, individuals, businesses, or organizations. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 1308

Administrative practice and procedure, Drug traffic control. Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set out above, 21 CFR part 1308 is proposed to be amended to read as follows:

PART 1308—SCHEDULES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

§ 1308.11 Schedule I.

(16) [1-pentyl-1H-indol-3-yl][2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropyl]methanone (UR–144) ........................................... (7144)

(17) [1-(5-fluoro-pentyl)-1H-indol-3-yl][2,2,3,3-tetramethylcyclopropyl]methanone (5-fluoro-UR–144, XLR11) ............... (7011)

(18) N-[1-adamantyl]-1-pentyl-1H-indazole-3-carboxamide (APINACA, AKB48) ................................................... (7048)

* * * * *

Dated: May 12, 2015.

Michele M. Leonhart,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2015–11762 Filed 5–13–15; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–09–P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELESS SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 100

[Docket Number USCG–2015–0045]

RIN 1625–AA08

Special Local Regulation; Southeast Drag Boat Championships, Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway; Bucksport, SC

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to establish a special local regulation on the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway in Bucksport, South Carolina during the Southeast Drag Boat Championships, a series of high-speed boat races. The event is scheduled to take place from 10 a.m. on July 24, 2015, through 6 p.m. on July 26, 2015. Approximately 50 high-speed race boats are anticipated to participate in the races. This special local regulation is necessary to provide for the safety of life and property on navigable waters of the United States during the event. This special local regulation would temporarily restrict vessel traffic in a portion of the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway. Persons and vessels that are not participating in the races would be prohibited from entering, transiting through, anchoring in, or remaining within the restricted area unless authorized by the Captain of the Port Charleston or a designated representative.

DATES: Comments and related material must be received by the Coast Guard on or before June 15, 2015. Requests for public meetings must be received by the Coast Guard on or before May 29, 2015.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number using any one of the following methods:


(2) Fax: 202–493–2251.

(3) Mail or Delivery: Docket Management Facility (M–30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590–0001. Deliveries accepted between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. The telephone number is 202–366–9329.

See the “Public Participation and Request for Comments” portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below for further instructions on submitting comments. To avoid duplication, please use only one of these three methods.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, call or email Chief Warrant Officer Christopher Ruleman, Sector Charleston Office of Waterways Management, Coast Guard;