

Statement is available for review in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000, (65 FR 19477–78).

The petition, supporting materials, and all comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated below will be filed and will be considered. All comments and supporting materials received after the closing date will also be filed and will be considered to the extent possible. When the petition is granted or denied, notice of the decision will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. GM's Petition: Pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h) (see implementing rule at 49 CFR part 556), GM submitted a petition for an exemption from the notification and remedy requirements of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 301 on the basis that this noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety.

This notice of receipt of GM's petition is published under 49 U.S.C. 30118 and 30120 and does not represent any agency decision or other exercise of judgment concerning the merits of the petition.

II. Vehicles Involved: Affected are approximately 310,243 MY 2012–2015 Chevrolet Sonic passenger cars manufactured between May 5, 2011 and February 4, 2015.

III. Noncompliance: GM explains that the noncompliance is that the high-beam headlamp lenses on the subject vehicles are not marked with "HB3" (the HB bulb type) as required by paragraph S6.5.3.4.1 of FMVSS No. 108.

IV. Rule Text: Paragraph S6.5.3.4.1 of FMVSS No. 108 requires in pertinent part:

S6.5.3.4.1 The lens of each replaceable bulb headlamp must bear permanent marking in front of each replaceable light source with which it is equipped that states either: The HB Type, if the light source conforms to S11 of this standard for filament light sources,
 . . .

V. Summary of GM's Analyses: GM stated its belief that the subject noncompliance is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety for the following reasons:

(A) The high-beam headlamp lenses in question are clearly marked "9005" (the ANSI designation), which GM believes to be a well-known alternative designation recognized throughout the automotive industry and used by lighting manufacturers interchangeably with HB3 the lamp's HB type. GM also verified that the vehicle owner's manuals identify the high beam replacement bulb as 9005.

(B) That the mismarked high-beam headlamps are the correct headlamps for the subject vehicles and that they conform to all other requirements including photometric as required by FMVSS No. 108.

(C) The risk of customer confusion when selecting a correct replacement bulb is remote. Both the HB3 type and the 9005 ANSI designation are marked on the vehicles' headlamp bulb sockets, and packaging for replacement bulbs is commonly marked with both the HB type and the ANSI designation. GM searched a number of national automotive parts stores (Autozone, O'Reilly, Advanced Auto Parts, and Pep Boys), and found that all HB3 replacement bulbs in these stores were marked with the 9005 ANSI designation. Should a consumer attempt to install an incorrect bulb into the headlamp sockets, the bulb could not be successfully installed because of the unique nature of the socket hardware.

(D) GM also cited several previous petitions that NHTSA has granted dealing with noncompliances that GM believes are similar to the noncompliance that is the subject of its petition. Based on these decisions, GM believes that there is also precedent to support granting its petition.

GM is not aware of any VOQ or field data in which a consumer has complained of not being able to identify the proper replacement headlamp bulb for the affected vehicles, which GM believes to be evidence that this noncompliance is not impacting consumers.

GM has additionally informed NHTSA that it has corrected the noncompliance by adding the HB3 designation bulb type to the high-beam headlamp lens in all vehicles produced on or after February 21, 2015.

In summation, GM believes that the described noncompliance of the subject vehicles is inconsequential to motor vehicle safety, and that its petition, to exempt GM from providing recall notification of noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30118 and remedying the recall noncompliance as required by 49 U.S.C. 30120 should be granted.

NHTSA notes that the statutory provisions (49 U.S.C. 30118(d) and 30120(h)) that permit manufacturers to file petitions for a determination of inconsequentiality allow NHTSA to exempt manufacturers only from the duties found in sections 30118 and 30120, respectively, to notify owners, purchasers, and dealers of a defect or noncompliance and to remedy the defect or noncompliance. Therefore, any decision on this petition only applies to

the subject vehicles that GM no longer controlled at the time it determined that the noncompliance existed. However, any decision on this petition does not relieve vehicle distributors and dealers of the prohibitions on the sale, offer for sale, or introduction or delivery for introduction into interstate commerce of the noncompliant vehicles under their control after GM notified them that the subject noncompliance existed.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30118, 30120; Delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.95 and 501.8.

Jeffrey Giuseppe,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2015–11395 Filed 5–11–15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[Docket No. FD 35924]

Boot Hill & Western Railway Holding Co., Inc.—Acquisition and Operation Exemption—Boot Hill & Western Railway Co., LC

Boot Hill & Western Railway Holding Co., Inc. (Holding), a noncarrier holding company, has filed a verified notice of exemption pursuant to 49 CFR 1150.31, to acquire and operate approximately 10.2 miles of rail line owned by Boot Hill & Western Railway Co., LC (BHWR), a Class III rail carrier, extending between milepost 15.8, at or near Wilroads, and milepost 26.0, at Dodge City, in Ford County, Kan. Holding also seeks Board approval to acquire from BHWR the right to reactive common carrier rail service on an approximately 15.8-mile contiguous railbanked rail line, extending between milepost 0.0, at or near Bucklin, and milepost 15.8, at or near Wilroads, in Ford County, Kan.¹ In a prior notice, BHWR was issued a notice of interim trail use or abandonment (NITU) over this portion of the line.

This transaction is related to a concurrently filed verified notice of exemption in *Michael Williams—Continuance in Control Exemption—Boot Hill & W. Ry. Co., LC*, Docket No. FD 35925. Holding may not consummate this transaction until that notice also becomes effective.

According to Holding, the acquisition will allow continued rail operations

¹ *Boot Hill & W. Ry.—Aban. Exemption—In Ford Cnty., Kan., AB 927X* (STB served Feb. 13, 2006). On April 24, 2015, BHWR and Holding jointly filed a motion to substitute Holding as the interim trail sponsor and remove BHWR. That motion will be addressed in a separate decision.

over the remaining 10.2 miles of active rail line and will not result in significant changes to carrier operations. Holding states that the thresholds of 49 CFR 1105.7(e)(5)(ii) will not be exceeded, therefore no environmental documentation is required.

Holding certifies that the projected annual revenues as a result of this transaction will not result in Holding becoming a Class II or Class I rail carrier and that its annual revenue will not exceed \$5 million.

The earliest the transaction could be consummated is May 24, 2014, the effective date of the exemption (30 days after the exemption was filed). The parties expect to consummate the transaction on the later of May 27, 2015, or the effective date of the exemption.

If the verified notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10502(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the effectiveness of the exemption. Petitions to stay must be filed by May 18, 2015 (at least seven days before the exemption becomes effective).

An original and ten copies of all pleadings, referring to Docket No. FD 35924, must be filed with the Surface Transportation Board, 395 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20423-0001. In addition, a copy of each pleading must be served on: Charles H. Montange, Law Offices of Charles H. Montange, 426 NW 162d St., Seattle, WA 98177.

Board decisions and notices are available on our Web site at "WWW.STB.DOT.GOV."

Decided: May 7, 2015.

By the Board, Joseph H. Dettmar, Acting Director, Office of Proceedings.

Brendetta S. Jones,

Clearance Clerk.

[FR Doc. 2015-11429 Filed 5-11-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4915-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Intelligent Transportation Systems Connected Vehicle Reference Implementation Architecture Workshop; Notice of Public Meeting

AGENCY: ITS Joint Program Office, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Research and Technology, U.S. Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice.

In continuation of ITS Connected Vehicle Reference Implementation Architecture (CVRIA) efforts, the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT)

Intelligent Transportation System Joint Program Office (ITS JPO) will present and seek input on the latest results of its Connected Vehicle architecture developments and standards analysis at a workshop in Cambridge, Massachusetts, June 10-12, 2015.

The event will be held at Draper Laboratory, located in the Kendall Square technology innovation area, and near USDOT's Volpe National Transportation Systems Center. The event will be presented in two parts:

- June 10: A training session on:
 - The CVRIA, a consistent framework to guide the planning and deployment of connected vehicle technologies. The architecture identifies options for interoperable deployment of technologies from an enterprise, physical, logical, and communications perspective. It also facilitates the ability of jurisdictions to operate collaboratively and to harness the benefits of a regional approach to transportation challenges.

- The Systems Engineering Tool for Intelligent Transportation (SET-IT) Version 1.1, which allows implementers and decision makers to develop their own architectures for deployment.

Both are available at: <http://www.its.dot.gov/arch/index.htm> and www.iteris.com/cvria.

- June 11-12: A workshop that will provide implementers and decision makers with:
 - An update on the changes to CVRIA and SET-IT as they move toward the release of version 2.0 in late June 2015.
 - An update on the standards analysis that was performed using the CVRIA to identify interfaces that are candidates for standardization.

To register for the CVRIA workshop, please visit: www.itsa.org/cvriaregistration.

For further information, please contact Carlos Alban, Transportation Program Specialist, Intelligent Transportation Society of America, 1100 New Jersey Ave. SE., Suite 850 Washington, DC 20003, 202-721-4223, calban@itsa.org.

Updates will be available on the ITS Program Web site at: <http://www.its.dot.gov/> under Press Room: Public Meetings and Events, and on the ITS Standards Web site at: <http://www.standards.its.dot.gov/DevelopmentActivities/CVReference>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The CVRIA training will be conducted on Wednesday, June 10, 2015 from 9:00-16:00. The workshop will be conducted on Thursday, June 11, 2015 from 9:00-16:30 and on Friday, June 12, 2015 from 9:00-13:00. It will take place at Draper

Laboratory, 555 Technology Square, Cambridge, MA 02139. Directions to Kendall Square, local hotel options, and information for access to Draper Laboratory will be provided to registrants.

As the results of the CVRIA, interface analysis, and standardization efforts are expected to affect a wide range of public and private organizations, it is important that the analyses incorporate, as appropriate, the needs and requirements of the CV community. This workshop is an appropriate opportunity for external stakeholders to engage in the standards discussion.

Issued in Washington, DC, on the 7th day of May 2015.

Stephen Glasscock,

Program Analyst, ITS Joint Program Office.

[FR Doc. 2015-11428 Filed 5-11-15; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of Foreign Assets Control

Designation of 3 Individuals Pursuant to Executive Order 13581, "Blocking Property of Transnational Criminal Organizations"

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Treasury Department's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC") is publishing the names of 3 individuals whose property and interests in property are blocked pursuant to Executive Order 13581 of July 24, 2011, "Blocking Property of Transnational Criminal Organizations."

DATES: The designations by the Director of OFAC, pursuant to Executive Order 13581, of the 3 individuals identified in this notice were effective on April 16, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Assistant Director, Sanctions Compliance and Evaluation, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20220, tel.: 202/622-2490.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Electronic and Facsimile Availability

This document and additional information concerning OFAC are available from OFAC's Web site (www.treas.gov/ofac). Certain general information pertaining to OFAC's sanctions programs is available via facsimile through a 24-hour fax-on-demand service, tel.: 202/622-0077.