may relate to matters under the investigative jurisdiction of another agency. Such information cannot readily be segregated.

Reasons for exemptions under 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5):

(1) 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3) requires that an agency make accountings of disclosures of records available to individuals named in the records at their request. These accountings must state the date, nature and purpose of each disclosure of the record and the name and address of the recipient. The application of this provision would alert subjects of an investigation to the existence of the investigation and that such persons are subjects of that investigation. Since release of such information to subjects of an investigation would provide the subject with significant information concerning the nature of the investigation, it could result in the altering or destruction of documentary evidence, improper influencing of witnesses, and other activities that could impede or compromise the investigation.

(2) 5 U.S.C. 552a(d), (e)(4)(G) and (H), and (f) relate to an individual's right to be notified of the existence of records pertaining to such individual; requirements for identifying an individual who requests access to records; and the agency procedures relating to access to records and the contest of information contained in such records. This system is exempt from the foregoing provisions for the following reasons: To notify an individual at the individual's request of the existence of records in an investigative file pertaining to such individual or to grant access to an investigative file could interfere with investigative and enforcement proceedings; co-defendants of a right to a fair trial; constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy of others; disclose the identity of confidential sources and reveal confidential information supplied by these sources; and disclose investigative techniques and procedures.

(3) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4)(I) requires the publication of the categories of sources of records in each system of records. The application of this provision could disclose investigative techniques and procedures and cause sources to refrain from giving such information because of fear of reprisal, or fear of breach of promises of anonymity and confidentiality. This would compromise the ability to conduct investigations, and to make fair and objective decisions on questions of suitability for Federal employment and related issues.

(4) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(1) requires each agency to maintain in its records only

such information about an individual that is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required by statute or Executive Order. An exemption from the foregoing is needed:

a. Because it is not possible to detect relevance or necessity of specific information in the early stages of an investigation.

b. Relevance and necessity are questions of judgment and timing. What appears relevant and necessary when collected may ultimately be determined to be unnecessary. It is only after that information is evaluated that the relevance and necessity of such information can be established.

c. In any investigation the Inspector General may obtain information concerning the violations of laws other than those within the scope of his or her jurisdiction. In the interest of effective law enforcement, the Inspector General should retain this information as it may aid in establishing patterns of criminal activity, and provide leads for those law enforcement agencies charged with enforcing other segments of criminal or civil law.

d. In interviewing persons, or obtaining other forms of evidence during an investigation, information may be supplied to the investigator which relate to matters incidental to the main purpose of the investigation but which may relate to matters under investigative jurisdiction of another agency. Such information cannot readily be segregated.

Dated: April 28, 2015.

#### Brenda Dolan,

Departmental Freedom of Information and Privacy Act Officer. [FR Doc. 2015–10979 Filed 5–6–15; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–55–P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

# International Trade Administration

### [A-570-932]

# Certain Steel Threaded Rod From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2013–2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the "Department") is conducting the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel threaded rod ("STR") from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"),<sup>1</sup> for the period of review ("POR"), April 1, 2013, to March 31, 2014. The Department selected two respondents for individual review, Gem-Year Industrial Co., Ltd. ("Gem-Year"), and the RMB/IFI Group.<sup>2</sup> We preliminary determine that Gem-Year and the RMB/IFI Group failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of their ability to comply with the Department's request for information, warranting the application of facts otherwise available with adverse inferences, pursuant to sections 776(a)-(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"). As a part of the application of adverse facts available ("AFA"), we preliminarily determine to treat Gem-Year and the RMB/IFI Group as part of the PRC-wide entity.3 If these preliminary results are adopted in the final results, the Department will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") to assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise during the POR. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. DATES: Effective Date: May 7, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julia Hancock or Jerry Huang, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1394 or (202) 482– 4047, respectively.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### Background

On May 29, 2014, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel threaded rod from the PRC for the period, April 1, 2013, through March 31, 2014, for 92 companies.<sup>4</sup> On June 18, 2014, Vulcan Threaded Products, Inc. ("Petitioner") timely withdrew its request for an administrative review of 83 companies.<sup>5</sup> On September 23, 2014,

<sup>3</sup> See Department Memorandum, "Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Decision Memorandum for the Preliminary Results of the 2013–2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review," ("Preliminary Decision Memorandum"), dated concurrently with these results and hereby adopted by this notice.

<sup>4</sup> See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part, 79 FR 30809 (May 29, 2014) ("Initiation Notice").

<sup>5</sup> See Letter to the Department from Petitioner, "Fifth Administrative Review of Certain Steel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Notice of Antidumping Duty Order, 74 FR 17154 (April 14, 2009) ("Order").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> RMB Fasteners Ltd., IFI & Morgan Ltd., and Jiaxing Brother Standard Part Co., Ltd. (collectively "the RMB/IFI Group").

the Department rescinded this administrative review with respect to 83 companies named in the Initiation Notice based on the timely withdrawal of requests for review,6 in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1).7 Accordingly, nine companies remain under review for these preliminary results.

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise covered by the order includes steel threaded rod. The subject merchandise is currently classifiable under subheading 7318.15.5051, 7318.15.5056, 7318.15.5090, and 7318.15.2095 of the United States Harmonized Tariff Schedule ("HTSUS"). Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise is dispositive.<sup>8</sup>

## PRC-Wide Entity

As noted above, a review was requested, but not rescinded, for nine companies. Aside from the mandatory respondents, Gem-Year and RMB/IFI Group, the remaining seven companies are not eligible for separate rate status or rescission because none submitted a completed separate rate application or certification.<sup>9</sup> Accordingly, these seven companies are part of the PRC-wide entity. Additionally, the Department preliminarily determines that Gem-Year and the RMB/IFI Group, the mandatory respondents, failed to cooperate by not acting to the best of their abilities to comply with requests for information, and therefore, neither is eligible for a separate rate. Accordingly, the Department preliminarily finds, based on AFA, that the PRC-wide entity also includes these two companies.<sup>10</sup>

The Department's change in policy regarding conditional review of the PRC-wide entity applies to this administrative review.<sup>11</sup> Under this

Threaded Rod from China: Petitioner's Withdrawal of Review Requests for Specific Companies" (June 18.2014).

<sup>6</sup> See Certain Steel Threaded Rod from the People's Republic of China: Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, 79 FR 56768, (September 23, 2014) ("Partial Rescission Notice").

7 Id.

<sup>8</sup> For a full description of the scope of the Order, see Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

<sup>9</sup> These companies are: (1) Fastco (Shanghai) Trading Co., Ltd., (2) Haiyan Dayu Fasteners Co., Ltd., (3) Jiaxing Brother Standard Part, (4) Midas Union Co., Ltd., (5) Shanghai P&J International Trading Co., Ltd., (6) New Pole Power System Co. Ltd., and (7) Zhejiang Morgan Brother Technology Co., Ltd.

<sup>10</sup> See section 776(b) of the Act.

<sup>11</sup> See Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change in Department Practice for Respondent

policy, the PRC-wide entity will not be under review unless a party specifically requests, or the Department selfinitiates, a review of the entity. Because no party requested a review of the PRCwide entity in this review, the entity is not under review and the entity's rate is not subject to change, (*i.e.*, 206 percent).12

## Methodology

The Department conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Act. For a full description of the methodology underlying our conclusions, see the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>13</sup> The Preliminary Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and **Countervailing Duty Centralized** Electronic Service Šystem ("ACCESS"). ACCESS is available to registered users at *http://access.trade.gov*, and is available to all parties in the Central Records Unit, room 7046 of the main Department of Commerce building. In addition, a complete version of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at http://www.trade.gov/enforcement/. The signed Preliminary Decision Memorandum and the electronic versions of the Preliminary Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

### **Preliminary Results of Review**

The Department preliminarily determines that, for the period April 1, 2013, through March 31, 2014, the companies identified in Appendix I to this notice are part of the PRC-wide entity.

## **Public Comment & Opportunity To Request a Hearing**

Interested parties may submit case briefs within 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.<sup>14</sup> Rebuttals to case briefs, which must be limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within five days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>15</sup> Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with

<sup>13</sup> A list of topics discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum is provided at Appendix II to this notice.

14 See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1)-(2).

the argument (a) a statement of the issue, (b) a brief summary of the argument, and (c) a table of authorities.<sup>16</sup> Parties submitting briefs should do so pursuant to the Department's electronic filing system, ACCESS.

Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice.<sup>17</sup> Hearing requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.<sup>18</sup> If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.19

The Department intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the Federal Register, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

## **Assessment Rates**

Upon issuance of the final results, the Department will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>20</sup> The Department intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 15 days after the publication date of the final results of this review.

For any individually examined respondent whose weighted average dumping margin is above *de minimis* (*i.e.*, 0.50 percent) in the final results, the Department will calculate importerspecific assessment rates on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the importer's examined sales to the total entered value of sales, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Where an importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem rate is greater than *de minimis*, the Department will instruct CBP to collect the appropriate duties at the time of liquidation.<sup>21</sup> Where either a respondent's weighted average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis*, or an importer- (or customer-) specific ad valorem rate is zero or de minimis, the Department will instruct CBP to

<sup>19</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(d).

21 See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See Certain Steel Threaded Rod From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2012-2013, 79 FR 71743, 71744 and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum ("4th AR STR Final Results'').

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(2), (d)(2). 17 See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>18</sup> Id

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b).

liquidate appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.<sup>22</sup> We intend to instruct CBP to liquidate entries containing subject merchandise exported by the PRC-wide entity at the PRC-wide rate.

The Department announced a refinement to its assessment practice in non-market economy ("NME") cases.<sup>23</sup> Pursuant to this refinement in practice, for entries that were not reported in the U.S. sales databases submitted by companies individually examined during the administrative review, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries at the PRC-wide rate. Additionally, if the Department determines that an exporter had no shipments of the subject merchandise, any suspended entries that entered under that exporter's case number (*i.e.*, at that exporter's rate) will be liquidated at the PRC-wide rate.<sup>24</sup>

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, the final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.

### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this review for shipments of the subject merchandise from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by sections 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For any companies listed that have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review (except, if the rate is zero or de minimis, then zero cash deposit will be required); (2) for previously investigated or reviewed PRC and non-PRC exporters not listed that received a separate rate in a prior segment of this proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the existing exporter-specific rate; (3) for all PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be that for the PRCwide entity; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that non-PRC exporter.

<sup>24</sup> Id.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

## **Notification to Importers**

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These preliminary results are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: April 30, 2015.

### Paul Piquado,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

# Appendix I—Companies Subject to the Administrative Review That Are Preliminarily Determined To Be Part of the PRC-Wide Entity

Fastco (Shanghai) Trading Co., Ltd. Gem-Year Industrial Co., Ltd. Haiyan Dayu Fasteners Co., Ltd. Jiaxing Brother Standard Part Co., Ltd., IFI & Morgan Ltd. and RMB Fasteners Ltd. (collectively "RMB/IFI Group") Jiaxing Brother Standard Part.

Midas Union Co., Ltd.

New Pole Power System Co. Ltd.

Shanghai P&J International Trading Co., Ltd. Zhejiang Morgan Brother Technology Co.

Ltd.

## Appendix II—List of Topics Discussed in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum:

Summary

- 1. Background
- 2. Verification
- 3. Respondent Selection
- 4. Scope of the Order
- 5. Questionnaires
- 6. Non-Market Economy Country
- 7. PRC-Wide Entity
- 8. Separate Rates
- 9. Application of Facts Available and Use of Adverse Inference
- 10. Conclusion
- [FR Doc. 2015-11082 Filed 5-6-15; 8:45 am]

### BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## International Trade Administration

[A-549-821]

## Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Rescission of Review in Part; 2013–2014

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Thailand.<sup>1</sup> This review covers 33 companies. The period of review (POR) is August 1, 2013, through July 31, 2014. We preliminarily find that subject merchandise has been sold at less than normal value by the one company subject to this review, Beyond Packaging Co., Ltd. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

### DATES: Effective Date: May 7, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dmitry Vladimirov or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665 and 202–482–1690, respectively.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the antidumping duty order is polyethylene retail carrier bags, which are currently classified under subheading 3923.21.0085 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The HTSUS number is provided for convenience and customs purposes. A full description of the scope of the order is contained in the Preliminary Decision Memorandum.<sup>2</sup> The written description is dispositive.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, *see Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Thailand, 69 FR 48204 (August 9, 2004) (Order).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See memorandum from Christian Marsh, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations, to Paul Piquado, Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance, "Decision Memorandum for Preliminary Results of the 2013/2014 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand" (Preliminary Decision Memorandum), dated concurrently with this notice.